

## THREE-SPACE-PROBLEMS AND SMALL BALL PROPERTIES FOR FRÉCHET SPACES

ALFREDO PERIS

Dedicated to the memory of Susanne Dierolf

**Abstract:** We unify several known three-space-properties in the context of Fréchet spaces by using the small ball properties for Fréchet spaces introduced by Frerick and the author [8].

**Keywords:** Fréchet spaces, Three-space-properties, small ball properties.

### 1. Introduction

A natural problem for topological vector spaces is the so-called *three-space-problem*, that is, given a topological vector space  $X$  and a closed subspace  $L \subset X$  such that  $L$  and the quotient space  $X/L$  satisfy certain property  $P$ , does  $X$  also satisfy  $P$ ? The classical article of Dierolf and Roelcke [7] is certainly the best reference for positive (and also negative) solutions to this problem for a large variety of properties.

Our purpose is, within the framework of Fréchet spaces, to unify several known positive solutions to the three-space-problem. To do this, we will reformulate the problem in terms of small ball properties for Fréchet spaces as defined in [8].

**Definition 1 ([8]).** *Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of subsets of  $M$  (shortly,  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^M$ ) stable under finite unions.  $D \subset M$  has the  $\mathcal{A}$ -small ball property ( $\mathcal{A}$ -sbp) if, for each decreasing zero sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ , there is  $(A_n)_n \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that*

$$D \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B(A_n, \varepsilon_n),$$

where  $B(A, \delta) := \{x \in M ; d(x, A) < \delta\}$ .

The above definition was inspired by the small ball property introduced by Behrends and Kadets [2]. We also refer to [1] for quantitative results on Behrends small balls property. Our main motivation was to study sbp for Fréchet spaces, and the following result was the key to do it.

---

This work is supported in part by MEC and FEDER, Project MTM2010-14909, and by Generalitat Valenciana, Project PROMETEO/2008/101.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** primary: 46A04; secondary: 46A11.

**Proposition 2 ([8]).** *Let  $T : (M_1, d_1) \rightarrow (M_2, d_2)$  be a uniformly continuous map between metric spaces where  $(M_1, d_1)$  is in addition complete and let  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^{M_2}$  stable under finite unions. If  $T(M_1)$  has the  $\mathcal{A}$ -sbp then there exists an  $r > 0$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $x \in M_1$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $T(B(x, r)) \subset B(A, \varepsilon)$ .*

From now on, if  $E$  is a Fréchet space, we denote by  $\mathcal{U}_0(E)$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ ) the family of all absolutely convex and closed 0-neighbourhoods (respectively, bounded sets). If  $U \in \mathcal{U}_0(E)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ , the corresponding local Banach spaces are denoted by  $E_U$  and  $E_B$ .

We will need the following slight generalization of Lemma 1 in [8], whose proof is not included since it follows the same lines as in [8].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $T : E \rightarrow F$  be an operator between Fréchet spaces, and let  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^F$  stable under finite unions such that*

- (i) *for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we have  $\lambda A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,*
- (ii) *for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}_0(F)$ , and  $x \in E$ , there is  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $T(x) + A \subset B + U$ .*

*Then  $T(E)$  has the  $\mathcal{A}$ -sbp if and only if there exists  $V \in \mathcal{U}_0(E)$  such that for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}_0(F)$ , there is  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with*

$$T(V) \subset A + U.$$

From now on, any family  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^E$  of subsets of a Fréchet space  $E$  for which we are considering the sbp, will be supposed to satisfy

- (i)  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(E)$ , and it is stable under finite unions and finite sums.
- (ii) For all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ , we have  $\lambda A \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (iii)  $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = E$ .

Observe, for  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^E$ , that the first and the last conditions imply condition (ii) of Lemma 3. Therefore this Lemma is valid under our assumptions.

Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space. Examples for families  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying the above assumptions are the system  $\mathcal{E}(E)$  of all finite subsets of  $E$ , the system  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  of all bounded subsets of  $E$ , and the system  $\Sigma(E)$  of all sets which are contained in some absolutely convex and  $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact subset of  $E$ . Also, if a bounded absolutely convex set  $A_0 \subset E$  is such that  $\overline{\text{span}(A_0)} = E$ , then the class generated by  $A_0$ , defined as  $\mathcal{A}(A_0) = \{\lambda A_0 ; \lambda \geq 0\}$  satisfies our assumptions.

An easy consequence of Lemma 3 are the following characterizations of small ball properties, which were implicit in [8].

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space and  $\mathcal{A}$  a family of bounded sets in  $E$  satisfying our assumptions. The following characterizations hold:*

- (a) *For every operator  $T$  from  $E$  into a Banach space  $X$  the set  $T(E)$  has the  $T(\mathcal{A})$ -sbp if, and only if,*

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{U}_0(E) \quad \exists V \in \mathcal{U}_0(E) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists A \in \mathcal{A} : V \subset A + \varepsilon U.$$

(b) For every operator  $T$  from any Banach space  $X$  into  $E$  the set  $T(X)$  has the  $\mathcal{A}$ -sbp if, and only if,

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(E) \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{U}_0(E) \quad \exists A \in \mathcal{A} : B \subset A + U.$$

With this at hand, we were able to characterize several properties for Fréchet spaces in terms of small ball properties. We recall them:

A Fréchet space  $E$  is *quasinormable* (respectively, *Schwartz*) if, for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}_0(E)$ , there is  $V \in \mathcal{U}_0(E)$  such that, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  (respectively,  $A \in \mathcal{E}(E)$ ) such that

$$V \subset A + \varepsilon U.$$

Reflexivity can be also equivalently formulated in terms of sets, as in (b) of Lemma 4, so that,  $E$  is *Montel* (respectively, *reflexive*) if, for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}_0(E)$ , there is  $A \in \mathcal{E}(E)$  (respectively,  $A \in \Sigma(E)$ ) such that,

$$B \subset A + U.$$

The following result was obtained in [8], which follows from the (implicit) Lemma 4.

**Corollary 5 ([8]).** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space. Then*

1.  $E$  is a Schwartz space if, and only if, for all continuous linear maps from  $E$  into a Banach space  $X$  the set  $T(E)$  has the  $T(\mathcal{E}(E))$ -sbp.
2.  $E$  is quasinormable if, and only if, for all continuous linear maps from  $E$  into a Banach space  $X$  the set  $T(E)$  has the  $T(\mathcal{B}(E))$ -sbp.
3.  $E$  is Montel if, and only if, for every operator  $T$  from any Banach space  $X$  into  $E$  the set  $T(X)$  has the  $\mathcal{E}(E)$ -sbp.
4.  $E$  is reflexive if, and only if, for every operator  $T$  from any Banach space  $X$  into  $E$  the set  $T(X)$  has the  $\Sigma(E)$ -sbp.

We are also interested in the *density condition* (DC) which, for a Fréchet space  $E$ , can be formulated as (see [3]): There exists a bounded set  $A_0 \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  such that, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  and for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}_0(E)$ , there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$B \subset \lambda A_0 + U.$$

Taking into account Lemma 4, the (DC) can be characterized in terms of small ball properties.

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space.  $E$  has the (DC) if, and only if, there exists a bounded absolutely convex subset  $A_0 \subset E$  such that, for every operator  $T$  from any Banach space  $X$  into  $E$  the set  $T(X)$  has the  $\mathcal{A}(A_0)$ -sbp.*

## 2. Three-space-problems

We intend to provide known positive solutions to three-space-problems for Fréchet spaces under a unified formulation of small ball properties. Some concepts about lifting of sets are also needed. Given a Fréchet space  $E$  and a closed subspace  $F \subset E$ , we say that the quotient map  $q : E \rightarrow E/F$  *lifts bounded sets* if, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E/F)$ , there is  $C \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  such that  $B \subset q(C)$ . We recall that, by a result of Bonet and Dierolf [4], it suffices that  $q$  *lifts bounded sets with closure*, i.e., for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E/F)$ , there is  $C \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  such that  $B \subset \overline{q(C)}$ .

Given  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(E/F)$  and  $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{B}(E)$ , we will say that  $q$  has the  $(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A})$ -*lifting property with closure* if, for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$  such that  $A \subset \overline{q(A')}$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space,  $F \subset E$  a closed subspace, and let  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(F)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(E)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_3 \subset \mathcal{B}(E/F)$  satisfy our assumptions. If  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ , the quotient map  $q : E \rightarrow E/F$  has the  $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3)$ -lifting property with closure, and the following two properties are satisfied*

- $T(F)$  satisfies the  $T(\mathcal{A}_1)$ -sbp for every operator  $T$  from  $F$  into any Banach space  $X$ ,
- $T(E/F)$  satisfies the  $T(\mathcal{A}_3)$ -sbp for every operator  $T$  from  $E/F$  into any Banach space  $X$ ,

*then  $T(E)$  satisfies the  $T(\mathcal{A}_2)$ -sbp for every operator  $T$  from  $E$  into any Banach space  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}_0(E)$ . By assumption on  $F$ , there is  $V \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  ( $V \subset U$ ) such that, for every  $\lambda, \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C \in \mathcal{A}_1$  such that

$$\lambda V \cap F \subset C + \varepsilon U.$$

Also, by applying directly the  $T(\mathcal{A}_3)$ -sbp of  $T(E/F)$  with respect to the canonical operator from  $E/F$  into the local Banach space associated to  $q(V)$ , and since  $q : E \rightarrow E/F$  has the  $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3)$ -lifting property with closure, we find a double sequence  $(B_{n,j})_{n,j}$  with  $B_{n,j} \in \mathcal{A}_2$  for each  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( B_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2nj} V + F \right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Fix a double sequence  $(\lambda_{n,j})_{n,j}$  of positive numbers such that  $B_{n,j} \subset \lambda_{n,j} V$  for all  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . There is a double sequence  $(C_{n,j})_{n,j}$  of elements in  $\mathcal{A}_1$  satisfying

$$(2 + \lambda_{n,j}) V \cap F \subset C_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2nj} U,$$

for every  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,

$$V \cap \left( B_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2nj} V + F \right) \subset B_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2nj} V + (2 + \lambda_{n,j}) V \cap F \subset B_{n,j} + C_{n,j} + \frac{1}{nj} U,$$

for each  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is,

$$V \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( B_{n,j} + C_{n,j} + \frac{1}{nj}U \right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $j(B_{k,j} + C_{k,j}) \subset A_n$  for every  $k, j \leq n$ , and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We easily obtain

$$jV \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( A_n + \frac{1}{n}U \right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$

and, since  $V$  is absorbing, we reach

$$E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( A_n + \frac{1}{n}U \right).$$

This yields the conclusion since, as we observed in [8], a subset  $D \subset M$  of a metric space  $M$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{A}$ -small ball property as soon as, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are a sequence  $(A_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and a sequence of positive scalars  $(\delta_n)_n$  converging to 0 with  $\delta_n < \varepsilon, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$D \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B(A_n, \delta_n),$$

because  $\mathcal{A}$  is stable under finite unions. ■

As a consequence, we easily obtain that quasinormability and Schwartz properties are three-space properties. We just need to observe that, if  $E/F$  is Schwartz, then bounded sets are relatively compact, thus they are lifted. On the other hand, if  $F$  is quasinormable, a classical result of De Wilde [6] tells us that  $q$  lifts bounded sets.

**Corollary 8 ([7]).** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space and  $F \subset E$  a closed subspace.*

- (a) *If  $F$  and  $E/F$  are Schwartz, then  $E$  is Schwartz.*
- (b) *If  $F$  and  $E/F$  are quasinormable, then  $E$  is quasinormable.*

Before proceeding with the next result, we would like to recall that every Fréchet space  $E$  satisfies the *strict Mackey condition*, that is, for any bounded set  $B \subset E$ , there is a bounded absolutely convex and closed subset  $C \subset E$  with  $B \subset C$  such that the spaces  $E_C$  and  $E$  induce the same topology on  $B$ . This allows us to observe that, given  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(E)$  satisfying our assumptions,  $T(X)$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{A}$ -sbp for every operator  $T$  from any Banach space  $X$  into  $E$  if and only if

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(E) \quad \exists C \in \mathcal{B}(E) \quad \forall (\varepsilon_n)_n \subset ]0, +\infty[ \quad \exists (A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A} : \quad \text{span}(B) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_n + \varepsilon_n C). \quad (2.1)$$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space,  $F \subset E$  a closed subspace, and let  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}(F), \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(E), \mathcal{A}_3 \subset \mathcal{B}(E/F)$  satisfy our assumptions. If  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ , the quotient map  $q : E \rightarrow E/F$  lifts bounded sets,  $q$  has the  $(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3)$ -lifting property with closure, and the following two properties are satisfied*

- $T(X)$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -sbp for every operator  $T$  from any Banach space  $X$  into  $F$ ,
- $T(X)$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -sbp for every operator  $T$  from any Banach space  $X$  into  $E/F$ ,

then  $T(X)$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{A}_2$ -sbp for every operator  $T$  from any Banach space  $X$  into  $E$ .

**Proof.** Fix a basis  $(U_n)_n$  of absolutely convex 0-neighbourhoods in  $E$ , and let  $B \subset E$  be a bounded and absolutely convex set. Since  $q(E_B)$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{A}_3$ -sbp, by the lifting properties of  $q : E \rightarrow E/F$  and taking into account equation (2.1), there is a double sequence  $(B_{n,j})_{n,j} \subset \mathcal{A}_2$  and an absolutely convex bounded set  $C \subset E$ ,  $B \subset C$ , absorbing the sequence  $(B_{n,j})_{n,j}$ , such that

$$\text{span}(B) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( B_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2j}(C \cap U_n) + F \right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Fix a double sequence  $(\lambda_{n,j})_{n,j} \subset ]0, +\infty[$  of such that  $B_{n,j} \subset \lambda_{n,j}C$  for all  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . By hypothesis on  $F$ , there is a double sequence  $(C_{n,j})_{n,j} \subset \mathcal{A}_1$  satisfying

$$(2 + \lambda_{n,j})C \cap F \subset C_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2j}U_n,$$

for every  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,

$$B \cap \left( B_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2j}(C \cap U_n) + F \right) \subset B_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2j}U_n + (2 + \lambda_{n,j})C \cap F \subset B_{n,j} + C_{n,j} + \frac{1}{j}U_n,$$

for each  $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is,

$$B \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( B_{n,j} + C_{n,j} + \frac{1}{j}U_n \right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_2$  such that  $j(B_{k,j} + C_{k,j}) \subset A_n$  for every  $k, j \leq n$ , and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We easily obtain

$$jB \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_n + U_n), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$

to conclude that

$$\text{span}(B) \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (A_n + U_n).$$

■

The previous Theorem allows us to establish the final (known) result.

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space and  $F \subset E$  a closed subspace.*

- If  $F$  and  $E/F$  are Montel, then  $E$  is Montel (see [7]).*
- If  $F$  and  $E/F$  are reflexive, then  $E$  is reflexive (see [7]).*
- If  $q : E \rightarrow E/F$  lifts bounded sets,  $F$  has the (DC) and  $E/F$  has the (DC), then  $E$  has the (DC) (see [5]).*

## References

- [1] E. Behrends, *The small ball property in Banach spaces—quantitative results*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. **76** (2005), 3–16.
- [2] E. Behrends and V. M. Kadets, *Metric spaces with the small ball property*, Studia Math. **148** (2001), 275–287.
- [3] K. D. Bierstedt and J. Bonet, *Stefan Heinrich’s density condition for Fréchet spaces and the characterization of the distinguished Köthe echelon spaces*, Math. Nachr. **135** (1988), 149–180.
- [4] J. Bonet and S. Dierolf, *On the lifting of bounded sets in Fréchet spaces*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **36** (1993), 277–281.
- [5] J. Bonet, S. Dierolf, and C. Fernández, *On the three-space-problem for distinguished Fréchet spaces*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège **59** (1990), 301–306.
- [6] M. De Wilde, *Sur le relèvement des parties bornées d’un quotient d’espaces vectoriels topologiques*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège **43** (1974), 299–301.
- [7] S. Dierolf and W. Roelcke, *On the three-space-problem for topological vector spaces*, Collect. Math. **32** (1981), 13–35.
- [8] L. Frerick and A. Peris, *Small ball properties for Fréchet spaces*, RACSAM Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. **97** (2003), 257–261.

**Address:** Alfredo Peris: IUMPA, Universitat Politècnica de València, Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada, Edifici 7A, 46022 València, Spain.

**E-mail:** aperis@mat.upv.es

**Received:** 15 October 2010; **revised:** 11 March 2011

