

## On the Uniqueness of Semistable Embedding and Domain of Semistable Attraction for Probability Measures on $p$ -adic Groups

Riddhi SHAH

*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research*

(Communicated by H. Nakada)

**Abstract.** We show that on a  $p$ -adic Lie group, any normal semistable measure has a unique semistable embedding. This, in particular, implies the uniqueness of semistable embedding of any (operator-)semistable measure on a finite dimensional  $p$ -adic vector space. We compare two classes of probability measures on a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group: the class of semistable measures and that of measures whose domain of semistable attraction is nonempty.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a locally compact (Hausdorff) group with identity  $e$  and let  $M^1(G)$  denote the topological semigroup of probability measures on  $G$  with weak topology and convolution ‘ $*$ ’ as the semigroup operation. Let  $\text{Aut}(G)$  denote the group of continuous automorphisms of  $G$  (with compact-open topology).

A probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  is said to be  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable for  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $c \in ]0, 1[$  if  $\mu$  is embeddable in a continuous (real) one-parameter semigroup  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset M^1(G)$  as  $\mu = \mu_1$  such that  $\tau(\mu_t) = \mu_{ct}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ; we also call  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$   $(\tau, c)$ -semistable. A measure  $\mu$  (resp.  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ ) in  $M^1(G)$  is said to be *semistable* if it is  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable for some  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and some  $c \in ]0, 1[$ . Note that in case of (finite dimensional)  $p$ -adic (resp. real) vector spaces, this definition corresponds to that of operator-semistable (resp. strictly operator-semistable) measures.

It is well-known that if any locally compact group  $G$  admits a semistable measure  $\mu$  embeddable in a  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset M^1(G)$  for  $\tau$  and  $c$  as above, then  $\mu$  is supported on the closure of the  $K$ -contraction group of  $\tau$ , namely  $C_K(\tau) = \{x \in G \mid \tau^n(x)K \rightarrow K \text{ in } G/K\}$ , where  $K$  is a compact subgroup such that  $\mu_0 = \omega_K$ , the normalised Haar measure of  $K$ . The structure of  $C(\tau) = C_{\{e\}}(\tau)$ , the contraction group of  $\tau$ , is well-known. If  $G$  admits a contracting automorphism  $\tau$ ; i.e.  $C(\tau) = G$ , then it is a direct product  $G^0 \times D$ , where  $G^0$  is a simply connected nilpotent contractible group and  $D$  is a totally disconnected contractible group (cf. [Si]). Semistable measures on real vector spaces, or more generally,

on simply connected nilpotent groups, have been studied in details (see [HSi2] and references cited therein). In this article, we are interested in investigating some aspects of semistability for measures on  $p$ -adic Lie groups, which form a significant subclass of totally disconnected groups, (see [V] or [S] for exposition on  $p$ -adic Lie groups).

In case  $G$  is a  $p$ -adic Lie group and  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(G)$ , by Theorem 3.5 of [W],  $C(\tau)$  is a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group (see section 2 for some details). Also, if  $\tau(K) = K$  then  $C_K(\tau)$  is closed and  $C_K(\tau) = C(\tau) \cdot K$ , a semidirect product. Moreover, any  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable one-parameter semigroup  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $G$  can be expressed as  $\mu_t = \mu_t^{(0)} * \omega_K = \omega_K * \mu_t^{(0)}$  for all  $t$ , where  $\{\mu_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable one-parameter semigroup supported on  $C(\tau)$  (cf. [DSh1]; a similar result is true for real Lie groups also, see [HSi1]). For a survey of results on semistable measures on locally compact groups, the reader is referred to [HSi2] and for semistable measures on  $p$ -adic groups in particular to [DSh1], [Sh1], and also [MSh1]–[MSh2] for more recent results.

For any  $x \in G$ , let  $\delta_x$  denote the dirac measure supported on  $x$ . Let  $\mu \in M^1(G)$ . Let  $\tilde{\mu} \in M^1(G)$  be defined as  $\tilde{\mu}(B) = \mu(B^{-1})$  for all Borel subsets  $B$  of  $G$ .  $\mu$  is said to be *normal* if  $\mu * \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu} * \mu$ . Let  $G(\mu)$  denote the closed subgroup generated by  $\text{supp } \mu$ , the support of  $\mu$  and let  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \{x \in G \mid \delta_x * \mu = \mu * \delta_x = \mu\}$  which is a compact subgroup of  $G$ . We also define the invariance group of  $\mu$  as  $\text{Inv}(\mu) = \{\tau \in \text{Aut}(G) \mid \tau(\mu) = \mu\}$ ; it is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .

We say that a semistable measure on  $G$  has a *unique semistable embedding* if the following holds: if  $\mu$  is embeddable in  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable and  $(\psi, d)$ -semistable one-parameter semigroups  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{v_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $M^1(G)$  respectively as  $\mu_1 = \mu = v_1$ , for some  $\tau, \psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $c, d \in ]0, 1[$ , then  $\mu_t = v_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

In section 2, we discuss the uniqueness of semistable embedding on a  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G$  under certain conditions and show that any normal semistable measure on  $G$  has a unique semistable embedding (see Theorem 2.1). In particular, this implies the uniqueness of semistable embedding of any (operator-)semistable measure on any  $p$ -adic vector space. In section 3, on a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group, we compare semistable measures with measures whose domain of semistable attraction is nonempty, (in particular, see Theorem 3.1).

## 2. On the uniqueness of semistable embedding on $p$ -adic groups

In this section, we discuss the uniqueness of semistable embedding on a  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G$  under certain conditions. The reader is referred to [C] for generalities on  $p$ -adic vector spaces and to [V] and [S] for  $p$ -adic Lie groups.

For a prime  $p$ , let  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  denote the field of  $p$ -adic numbers with the usual  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$ . Let  $GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  be the group of  $m \times m$  non-singular matrices with entries in  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , with the topology as a subset of  $\mathbf{Q}_p^{m^2}$ . Then  $GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is a  $p$ -adic Lie group. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a  $p$ -adic algebraic group and let  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  be the  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -rational points of  $\tilde{G}$ . i.e.  $\tilde{G}$  (resp.  $G$ )

is the set of common zeros in  $GL_m(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p})$  (resp. in  $GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ ) of finitely many polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , where  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  denotes the algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Then  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  (the set of natural numbers), in particular, it is a  $p$ -adic Lie group; We will occasionally call  $G$  itself a  $p$ -adic algebraic group. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is said to be algebraic if  $H = \tilde{H}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  for some algebraic group  $\tilde{H} \subset \tilde{G}$ ;  $H$  is closed in  $G$ . An algebraic group  $\tilde{G}$  is said to be unipotent if it consists of unipotent elements. If  $\tilde{G}$  is unipotent, then  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is a subgroup of  $U_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  (for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ), the group of  $m \times m$  upper triangular matrices with all diagonal entries equal to 1, (see [B], [Ho] and [Hu] for generalities on algebraic groups).

Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a  $p$ -adic algebraic group and let  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . For a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ , we will denote by  $\tilde{G}(\mu)$  the smallest (closed) algebraic subgroup of  $G$  containing  $\text{supp } \mu$ . A probability measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  is said to be *full* (resp. *S-full*) if  $\tilde{G}(\mu) = G$  (resp.  $\tilde{G}(\mu * \tilde{\mu}) = G$ ). Note that these definitions are consistent with the definitions on ( $p$ -adic) vector spaces as all its algebraic subgroups are subspaces.

We note that any symmetric semistable measure on any locally compact group has a unique semistable embedding. This is because if a symmetric measure is embeddable in a continuous one-parameter semigroup which consists of symmetric measures then such an embedding is unique and if  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable for some automorphism  $\tau$  and some  $c \in ]0, 1[$  where  $\mu_1$  is symmetric then  $\tau^n(\mu_1)^m = \mu_{mc^n}$  is symmetric for  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and since  $\{\mu_{mc^n} \mid m, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a dense subset of  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ , each  $\mu_t$  is symmetric. Here, we show that any normal semistable measure on a  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G$  has a unique semistable embedding. In particular, any (operator-)semistable measure on a  $p$ -adic vector space (or more generally) on an abelian  $p$ -adic Lie group has a unique semistable embedding. Note that on a simply connected nilpotent group, the uniqueness of semistable embedding has been shown (see [H]).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Any normal semistable measure on a  $p$ -adic Lie group has a unique semistable embedding.*

The following corollary follows easily from the above theorem. In particular, it holds for any (operator-)semistable measure on any  $p$ -adic vector space.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Any semistable measure on an abelian  $p$ -adic Lie group has a unique semistable embedding.*

Before proving the above theorem, we state and prove several results, some of which will be of independent interest. We also state a specific case of convergence-of-types theorem which we will use often.

**THEOREM 2.3** ([Sh2]). *Let  $G$  be a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group. Let  $\{\mu_n\} \subset M^1(G)$  and  $\{\tau_n\} \subset \text{Aut}(G)$  be such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  and  $\tau_n(\mu_n) \rightarrow \lambda$  for some full measures  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  in  $M^1(G)$ . Then  $\{\tau_n\}$  is relatively compact in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Moreover, for any limit  $\tau$  of  $\{\tau_n\}$  in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $\tau(\mu) = \lambda$ . In particular,  $\text{Inv}(\mu)$  is compact in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic Lie group and let  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{v_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be respectively  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable and  $(\psi, d)$ -semistable one-parameter semigroups in  $M^1(G)$  for some  $\tau, \psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $c, d \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $\mu_1 = v_1 = \mu \neq \delta_e$  and  $\mu_0 = \delta_e$ . Then  $\log c$  and  $\log d$  are commensurable. Moreover, If  $\tau$  and  $\psi$  commute with each other, then  $\mu_t = v_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $G$  is totally disconnected, it is well known that  $\mu_t$  and  $v_t$  are supported on  $G(\mu)$  and, in fact,  $G(\mu_t) = G(\mu) = G(v_t)$ ,  $t > 0$ . Therefore,  $\tau(G(\mu)) = G(\mu)$  and  $\psi(G(\mu)) = G(\mu)$ . Hence without loss of any generality, we may assume that  $G = G(\mu)$ . Since  $\mu_0 = \delta_e$ ,  $G = C(\tau)$  (cf. [Si]). This implies that  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , where  $\tilde{G}$  is a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group, (cf. [W], Theorem 3.5), and  $\tau$  contracts  $G$ . Also,  $\tau$  and  $\psi$  are  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -rational automorphisms of  $G$  (cf. [Sh2], Theorem 2.1).

*Step 1:* If possible, suppose  $\log c$  and  $\log d$  are incommensurable. Then  $c$  and  $d$  generate a dense subsemigroup (say)  $B$  of  $\mathbf{R}_+^*$  (the semigroup of positive real numbers with the usual topology). Hence, for any  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+^*$ , there exist sequences  $\{l_n\}, \{m_n\} \subset \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $l_n \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $m_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c^{m_n} d^{l_n} \rightarrow t$ . For any  $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$ , let  $[r]$  denote the largest integer less than or equal to  $r$ . We have, for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\psi^{l_n}(\mu) = \mu^{[d^{l_n}]} * v_{b_n}, \quad \text{where } b_n = d^{l_n} - [d^{l_n}], \quad 0 \leq b_n < 1.$$

Here,  $\{v_{b_n}\}$  is relatively compact and since  $\tau$  is contracting, we get  $\tau^{m_n}(v_{b_n}) \rightarrow \delta_e$ . Also,  $c^{m_n} b_n \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $c^{m_n} [d^{l_n}] \rightarrow t$ . Now we get

$$\tau^{m_n} \psi^{l_n}(\mu) = \tau^{m_n}(\mu^{[d^{l_n}]} * v_{b_n}) = \mu_{c^{m_n} [d^{l_n}]} * \tau^{m_n}(v_{b_n}) \rightarrow \mu_t.$$

Since each  $\mu_t$  is full, the above implies, by the convergence-of-types theorem (cf. Theorem 2.3), that  $\{\tau^{m_n} \psi^{l_n}\}$  is relatively compact and for any limit point  $\sigma$  of it, we have that  $\sigma(\mu) = \mu_t$ . That is, all limit points of  $\{\tau^{m_n} \psi^{l_n}\}$  are contained in  $\tau_t \text{Inv}(\mu)$  for some  $\tau_t \in \text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+^*$ . Moreover, by the convergence-of-types theorem, we get that  $\{\tau_t \text{Inv}(\mu)\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}_+^*}$  form a continuous image of  $\mathbf{R}_+^*$  in the quotient space  $\text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inv}(\mu)$  and hence it is a connected set. Since  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is totally disconnected and locally compact,  $\text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inv}(\mu)$  is totally disconnected. Hence  $\tau_t \text{Inv}(\mu) = \tau_1 \text{Inv}(\mu) = \text{Inv}(\mu)$  for all  $t > 0$ . This implies that  $\mu = \mu_t$  for all  $t$  and hence  $\mu = \delta_e$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\log c$  and  $\log d$  are commensurable.

*Step 2:* Now we assume that  $\tau$  and  $\psi$  commute with each other and show that  $\mu_t = v_t$  for all  $t$ . Since  $\log c$  and  $\log d$  are commensurable, there exist  $a > 0$  and  $l, m \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $c = a^l$  and  $d = a^m$ . Then  $c^m = d^l$  and hence  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  (resp.  $\{v_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ ) is  $(\tau^m, c^m)$ -semistable (resp.  $(\psi^l, d^l)$ -semistable). If necessary, replacing  $\tau$  and  $\psi$  by  $\tau^m$  and  $\psi^l$  respectively, without loss of any generality, we may assume  $c = d$ , i.e.  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{v_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  are respectively  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable and  $(\psi, c)$ -semistable on a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group  $G$  and  $\mu = \mu_1 = v_1$  is full on  $G$ .

Now for the sequence  $\{k_n = [c^{-n}]\} \subset \mathbf{N}$ , we have that  $\tau^n(\mu^{k_n}) \rightarrow \mu$  and  $\psi^n(\mu^{k_n}) \rightarrow \mu$ . Moreover,  $\tau^n(\mu^{[k_n t]}) \rightarrow \mu_t$  and  $\psi^n(\mu^{[k_n t]}) \rightarrow v_t$  for all  $t > 0$ . From the first assertion,

$(\psi^n \tau^{-n})\tau^n(\mu^{k_n}) = \psi^n(\mu^{k_n}) \rightarrow \mu$ . Since  $\mu$  is full, using the convergence-of-types theorem (cf. Theorem 2.3), we get that  $\{\sigma_n = \psi^n \tau^{-n}\}$  is relatively compact and all its limit points belong to  $\text{Inv}(\mu)$ . Also, since  $\tau$  and  $\psi$  commute with each other, we can choose  $\sigma_n = \rho_n \alpha_n = \alpha_n \rho_n$ , where  $\alpha_n \in \text{Inv}(\mu)$ ,  $\alpha_n$  and  $\rho_n$  commute with both  $\tau$  and  $\psi$ , and  $\rho_n \rightarrow I$ , the identity in  $\text{Aut } G$ . Now for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \lim_n \psi^n(\mu^{[k_n t]}) = \lim_n \sigma_n \tau^n(\mu^{[k_n t]}) \\ &= \lim_n \tau^n \sigma_n(\mu^{[k_n t]}) \\ &= \lim_n \tau^n \rho_n(\mu^{[k_n t]}) \\ &= \lim_n \rho_n \tau^n(\mu^{[k_n t]}) \\ &= \mu_t. \end{aligned}$$

The above also implies that  $v_0 = \mu_0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We now state a simple result which will be useful.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic Lie group, let  $\mu \in M^1(G)$  and let  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Suppose  $\mu$  is embeddable in  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{v_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $M^1(G)$ , (as  $\mu = \mu_1 = v_1$ ), which are  $(\tau_1, c_1)$ -semistable and  $(\tau_2, c_2)$ -semistable respectively for some  $\tau_i \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $c_i \in ]0, 1[$ . Let  $K_1, K_2$  be compact subgroups of  $G$  such that  $\mu_0 = \omega_{K_1}$  and  $v_0 = \omega_{K_2}$ . Then  $C(\tau_1) \cap G(\mu) = C(\tau_2) \cap G(\mu) = U$  is a closed nilpotent normal subgroup of  $G(\mu)$ ,  $U = \tilde{U}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , where  $\tilde{U}$  is a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group,  $G(\mu) = K_i \cdot U$ , a semidirect product and,  $\tau_i(K_i) = K_i$  and  $\tau_i(U) = U$  for each  $i$ . Moreover, there exists a  $(\tau_1, c_1)$ -semistable (resp.  $(\tau_2, c_2)$ -semistable) one-parameter semigroup  $\{\mu_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$ , (resp.  $\{v_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$ ) such that  $\mu_0^{(0)} = v_0^{(0)} = \delta_e$ , each  $\mu_t^{(0)}$  (resp.  $v_t^{(0)}$ ) is supported on  $U$ ,  $\mu_t = \omega_{K_1} * \mu_t^{(0)} = \mu_t^{(0)} * \omega_{K_1}$ ,  $v_t = \omega_{K_2} * v_t^{(0)} = v_t^{(0)} * \omega_{K_2}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isomorphic. Also, if  $\pi : G(\mu) \rightarrow G(\mu)/[U, U]$  is the natural projection then  $\pi(K_1) = \pi(K_2) = \pi(\mathcal{I}(\mu))$  and  $\pi(\mu_1^{(0)}) = \pi(v_1^{(0)})$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . As earlier, we have that  $G(\mu_t) = G(\mu) = G(v_t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , and  $\tau_i(G(\mu)) = G(\mu)$  and  $\tau_i(K_i) = K_i \subset G(\mu)$  for  $K_i$  as above. Hence, we assume that  $G = G(\mu)$ . Let  $U_i = C(\tau_i)$ . Then  $\tau_i(U_i) = U_i$  and  $U_i = \tilde{U}_i(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , where  $\tilde{U}_i$  is a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group (cf. [W], Theorem 3.5). Also,  $G = K_i \cdot U_i$ , a semidirect product (cf. [DSh1], Theorem 3.1), each  $U_i$  is closed and normal in  $G$ . We first show that  $U_1 = U_2$ . This will also imply that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isomorphic as each quotient group  $G/U_i$  is isomorphic to  $K_i$ . Since each  $U_i$  is unipotent, it is a divisible nilpotent group. Let  $\pi_1 : G \mapsto G/U_1$  be the natural projection. Then  $\pi_1(U_2)$  is a divisible nilpotent group and its closure  $L$ , being compact, is also divisible. It is well-known that any compact divisible nilpotent group is connected. But  $L$  is totally disconnected, hence it is a trivial subgroup in  $G/U_1$ . Therefore,  $U_2 \subset U_1$ . Similarly, we get that  $U_1 \subset U_2$ , i.e.  $U_1 = U_2 = U$ .

From Theorem 4.1 of [DSH1], we have  $\mu_t = \omega_{K_1} * \mu_t^{(0)} = \mu_t^{(0)} * \omega_{K_1}$  (resp.  $\nu_t = \omega_{K_2} * \nu_t^{(0)} = \nu_t^{(0)} * \omega_{K_2}$ ), where  $\{\mu_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$  (resp.  $\{\nu_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$ ) is a  $(\tau_1, c_1)$ -semistable (resp.  $(\tau_2, c_2)$ -semistable) continuous one-parameter semigroup on  $U$  with  $\mu_0^{(0)} = \nu_0^{(0)} = \delta_e$  and  $\mu = \omega_{K_1} * \mu_1^{(0)} = \omega_{K_2} * \nu_1^{(0)}$ .

Let  $\pi$  be as in the hypothesis. Suppose that  $U$  is abelian and  $\pi$  is an identity homomorphism. Then using Fourier transforms, one can show that  $\mathcal{I}(\mu_1^{(0)}) = \mathcal{I}(\nu_1^{(0)}) = \{e\}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = K_1 = K_2 = K$  (say). That is,  $G = K \cdot U$ , a semidirect product and hence  $\mu_1^{(0)} = \nu_1^{(0)}$ . Now suppose that  $U$  is not abelian. We have that  $[U, U]$  is closed and characteristic and  $U/[U, U]$  is abelian. Also,  $\{\pi(\mu_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  (resp.  $\{\pi(\nu_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ ) is  $(\tau'_1, c_1)$ -semistable (resp.  $(\tau'_2, c_2)$ -semistable) one-parameter semigroup on  $\pi(G(\mu))$ , where  $\tau'_i(\pi(x)) = \pi(\tau_i(x))$ ,  $x \in G(\mu)$ , both  $\tau'_1$  and  $\tau'_2$  are automorphisms of  $\pi(G(\mu))$ . Now the last assertion in the theorem follows from above.  $\square$

REMARK 2.6. Theorem 2.4 is valid even without the condition that  $\mu_0 = \delta_e$ . For  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $\{\nu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\psi$ ,  $c$  and  $d$  as in the hypothesis of the theorem, suppose  $\mu_0 = \omega_{K_1}$  and  $\nu_0 = \omega_{K_2}$  for some compact subgroups  $K_1, K_2$  in  $G$  and  $\mu_1 = \nu_1 = \mu \neq \omega_{K_1}$ . Then  $G(\mu) = K_i \cdot U$ , where  $U = C(\tau) = C(\psi)$  as shown above. Here,  $U \neq \{e\}$  as  $\mu \neq \omega_{K_1}$ . Let  $\pi : G(\mu) \mapsto G(\mu)/[U, U]$  be as above. Then if  $\log c$  and  $\log d$  are incommensurable, we can replace  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  (resp.  $\{\nu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ ) in the proof with  $\{\pi(\mu_t^{(0)})\}_{t \geq 0}$  (resp.  $\{\pi(\nu_t^{(0)})\}_{t \geq 0}$ ) which is  $(\tau', c)$ -semistable (resp.  $(\psi', d)$ -semistable) on  $\pi(U)$  with  $\pi(\mu_0^{(0)}) = \pi(\nu_0^{(0)}) = \delta_{\pi(e)}$ , for  $\tau'$  (resp.  $\psi'$ ) defined on  $\pi(G(\mu))$  as  $\tau'(\pi(x)) = \pi(\tau(x))$  (resp.  $\psi'(\pi(x)) = \pi(\psi(x))$ ) for all  $x \in G(\mu)$ , and arrive at a contradiction. For the second assertion, if  $\tau$  and  $\psi$  commute then it is easy to show that  $\tau(K_2) = K_2$ ,  $\psi(K_1) = K_1$  and  $K_1 = K_2$  and hence  $\mu_1^{(0)} = \nu_1^{(0)}$  and again we can work with  $\{\mu_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{\nu_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$  in place of  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{\nu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and show for all  $t$ ,  $\mu_t^{(0)} = \nu_t^{(0)}$  and hence  $\mu_t = \nu_t$ .

LEMMA 2.7. *Let  $G$  be a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group and let  $\mu \in M^1(G)$  be a full semistable measure which is embeddable in a  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset M^1(G)$  as  $\mu = \mu_1$  for some  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and some  $c \in ]0, 1[$ . Let  $T : \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$  be an automorphism defined as  $T(\rho) = \tau\rho\tau^{-1}$ ,  $\rho \in \text{Aut}(G)$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{K} = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \text{Inv}(\mu_t)$  is a compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  and  $T(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$ ,
- (ii)  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \tau^n(\text{Inv}(\mu))\tau^{-n} = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \text{Inv}(\mu_t)$  and
- (iii)  $\text{Inv}(\mu) \subset C_{\mathcal{K}}(T)$ .

PROOF. Here,  $\mathcal{K}$  is obviously a group. Let  $t > 0$ . Since  $\mu_1 = \mu$  is full so is each  $\mu_t$ , and hence  $\text{Inv}(\mu_t)$  is compact. (cf. Theorem 2.3). Therefore  $\mathcal{K}$  is compact. Since  $\tau(\mu_t) = \mu_{ct}$ ,  $\tau(\text{Inv}(\mu_t))\tau^{-1} = \text{Inv}(\mu_{ct})$ . Therefore,  $T(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$ . Thus (i) holds. Here,  $\tau^n(\mu) = \tau^n(\mu_1) = \mu_{c^n}$  and hence  $\tau^n \text{Inv}(\mu)\tau^{-n} = \text{Inv}(\mu_{c^n}) \subset \text{Inv}(\mu_{kc^n})$  for all  $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ . In particular, the inclusion ‘ $\supset$ ’ is obvious in (ii). Also, since each  $\mu_t$  is full and since  $\{kc^n \mid k, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is dense

in  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , we can easily show, by using the convergence-of-types theorem (cf. Theorem 2.3), that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \tau^n \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau^{-n} \subset \text{Inv}(\mu_t)$  for each  $t > 0$ . This proves (ii).

Let  $\rho \in \text{Inv}(\mu)$ . From above,  $T^n(\rho) \in \text{Inv}(\mu_{kc^n})$  for all  $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ . For a fixed  $t > 0$ , let  $r_n = [tc^{-n}]$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $T^n(\rho) \in \text{Inv}(\mu_{r_n c^n})$  and since  $\mu_{r_n c^n} \rightarrow \mu_t$ , by the convergence-of-types theorem, we get that  $\{T^n(\rho)\}$  is relatively compact and all its limit points belong to  $\text{Inv}(\mu_t)$ , since this is true for all  $t > 0$ , we get that  $\rho \in C_{\mathcal{K}}(T)$ . Thus the assertion (iii) is proved.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $\mu \in M^1(G)$ . Suppose  $\mu$  is embeddable in a  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable one-parameter semigroup  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset M^1(G)$  as  $\mu = \mu_1 \neq \mu_0$  for some  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and some  $c \in ]0, 1[$ . Consider the following statements:*

- (i)  $\text{Inv}(\mu) = \text{Inv}(\mu_c^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .
- (ii)  $\tau$  normalises  $\text{Inv}(\mu)$ .
- (iii)  $\mu$  has a unique semistable embedding.

*Then (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii). They are all equivalent if  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ ,  $\tilde{G}$  is a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group and  $\mu \in M^1(G)$  is full.*

**PROOF.** *Step 1:* Let  $G$  be any locally compact group. Since  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable and  $\mu_1 = \mu$ ,  $\tau^n(\mu) = \mu_{c^n}$  and hence  $\tau^n \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau^{-n} = \text{Inv}(\mu_{c^n})$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Thus, it is clear that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

*Step 2:* Suppose (iii) holds. It is enough to show that (ii) holds. If possible, suppose  $\tau \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau^{-1} \neq \text{Inv}(\mu)$ . Suppose that there exists  $\rho \in \text{Inv}(\mu)$  such that  $\rho \notin \tau \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau^{-1}$ . Since  $\rho(\mu) = \mu$ , we get that  $\mu$  is embeddable in  $\{\rho(\mu_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  which is  $(\rho \tau \rho^{-1}, c)$ -semistable. But  $\mu_c \neq \rho(\mu_c)$  as  $\rho \notin \tau \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau^{-1} = \text{Inv}(\mu_c)$ .

Now suppose there exists  $\rho' \in \tau \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau^{-1}$  such that  $\rho' \notin \text{Inv}(\mu)$ . Let  $\rho = \tau^{-1} \rho' \tau \in \text{Inv}(\mu)$ . Then  $\rho(\mu) = \mu$  and  $\rho \notin \tau^{-1} \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau$ . Now arguing as above, we get that  $\mu$  is embeddable in  $\{\rho(\mu_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  which is  $(\rho \tau \rho^{-1}, c)$ -semistable. But  $\rho(\mu_{c^{-1}}) \neq \mu_{c^{-1}}$  as  $\rho \notin \tau^{-1} \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau = \text{Inv}(\mu_{c^{-1}})$ . Thus, in both cases we get that  $\mu$  is embeddable in two different semistable one-parameter semigroups, a contradiction. Therefore,  $\text{Inv}(\mu) = \tau \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau^{-1}$ .

*Step 3:* Let  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , where  $\tilde{G}$  is a  $p$ -adic algebraic group and let  $\mu \in M^1(G)$  be full, then each  $\mu_t$  is also full,  $t > 0$ . Suppose (i) holds. Then  $\text{Inv}(\mu) = \text{Inv}(\mu_{c^n}) = \tau^n \text{Inv}(\mu) \tau^{-n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Now from Lemma 2.7(ii),  $\text{Inv}(\mu) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \text{Inv}(\mu_t)$ . We show that  $\mu$  has a unique semistable embedding. If possible, suppose there exists a  $(\psi, d)$ -semistable one-parameter semigroup  $\{v_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  such that  $\mu = v_1$ . Then by Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.6 we get that  $\log c$  and  $\log d$  are commensurable. Also, if  $\tau$  normalises  $\text{Inv}(\mu)$ , so does its power. Replacing  $\tau$  and  $\psi$  by its suitable powers if necessary, we may assume that  $\mu$  is  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable and  $(\psi, c)$ -semistable. Then as in Step 2 of proof of Theorem 2.4, we get that for  $k_n = [c^{-n}]$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\tau^n(\mu)^{[k_n t]} \rightarrow \mu_t \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^n(\mu)^{[k_n t]} \rightarrow v_t, \quad t > 0.$$

Since  $\mu_1 = \nu_1 = \mu$ , by the convergence-of-types theorem,  $\{\psi^n \tau^{-n}\}$  is relatively compact and all its limit points belong to  $\text{Inv}(\mu) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \text{Inv}(\mu_t)$ . Therefore, from the above equation, we get that  $\mu_t = \nu_t$  for each  $t > 0$  and hence for  $t = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 2.9. It follows from the proposition that if  $\mu$  is a full semistable measure on a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group  $G$  such that  $\text{Inv}(\mu)$  is trivial then  $\mu$  has a unique semistable embedding. This also holds for non-full semistable measures on  $G$  if  $A = \{\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G(\mu)) \mid \alpha(\mu) = \mu\}$  is trivial. More generally, if  $\mu$  is a semistable measure on any  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G$  with  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \{e\}$  and  $A$  as above is trivial then  $\mu$  has a unique semistable embedding. In view of the above, it will be interesting to find conditions under which  $\text{Inv}(\mu)$  is trivial.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Let  $\mu$  be a normal semistable measure on a  $p$ -adic Lie group  $G$ . As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we may assume that  $G = G(\mu)$ . Suppose there exist  $\tau, \psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $c, d \in ]0, 1[$  such that  $\mu$  is both  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable and  $(\psi, d)$ -semistable. That is, there exist continuous one-parameter semigroups  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{\nu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $M^1(G)$  such that  $\mu_1 = \mu = \nu_1$  and  $\tau(\mu_t) = \mu_{ct}$  and  $\psi(\nu_t) = \nu_{dt}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ . We have to show that  $\mu_t = \nu_t$  for all  $t$ .

For  $k_n = [c^{-n}]$ ,  $\tau(\mu^{[k_n t]}) \rightarrow \mu_t, t \in \mathbf{R}_+^*$ . Hence since  $\mu$  is normal, each  $\mu_t$  is also normal. Similarly, each  $\nu_t$  is normal. This implies that  $\mu * \tilde{\mu}$  is embeddable in  $\{\mu_t * \tilde{\mu}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{\nu_t * \tilde{\nu}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ . But since both the one-parameter semigroups consist of symmetric measures and  $\mu * \tilde{\mu} = \mu_1 * \tilde{\mu}_1 = \nu_1 * \tilde{\nu}_1$ , we have by the uniqueness of symmetric embedding,  $\mu_t * \tilde{\mu}_t = \nu_t * \tilde{\nu}_t$  for all  $t$ . In particular,  $\mu_0 = \nu_0 = \omega_K$ , (where  $K = \mathcal{I}(\mu)$ ). If  $\mu = \omega_K$ , then  $\mu_t = \nu_t = \omega_K$  for all  $t$ . Suppose,  $\mu \neq \omega_K$ . By Lemma 2.5, we have  $G = K \cdot U$ , a semidirect product, where  $U = C(\tau) = C(\psi)$ ,  $U = \tilde{U}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ ,  $\tilde{U}$  is a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group,  $\tau^0 = \tau|_U$  and  $\psi^0 = \psi|_U$  are  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -rational morphisms and  $\mu_t = \omega_K * \mu_t^{(0)}$  and  $\nu_t = \omega_K * \nu_t^{(0)}$ , where  $\mu_1^{(0)} = \nu_1^{(0)}$  and  $\{\mu_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$  is  $(\tau^0, c)$ -semistable and  $\{\nu_t^{(0)}\}_{t \geq 0}$  is  $(\psi^0, d)$ -semistable with  $\mu_0^{(0)} = \nu_0^{(0)} = \delta_e$ . Also,  $\mu_1^{(0)} = \nu_1^{(0)} \neq \delta_e$  is a normal measure. Now it is enough to prove that  $\mu_t^{(0)} = \nu_t^{(0)}$  for all  $t$ . Therefore, replacing  $\mu$  by  $\mu_1^{(0)} = \nu_1^{(0)}$  we may assume that  $\mu \neq \delta_e$ ,  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group and  $\tau, \psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$  are contracting automorphisms which are also  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -rational. Also, by Theorem 2.4,  $\log c$  and  $\log d$  are commensurable.

Here, since  $\mu$  is full on  $G$  which is unipotent,  $\text{Inv}(\mu)$  is a compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  (cf. Theorem 2.3). Let  $\mathcal{K} = \bigcap_t \text{Inv}(\mu_t)$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is a compact subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Let  $T : \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$  be defined as follows:  $T(\rho) = \tau \rho \tau^{-1}$  for all  $\rho \in \text{Aut}(G)$ . Then  $T$  is a continuous automorphism of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  which is a  $p$ -adic Lie group. Now by Lemma 2.7,  $T(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$  and  $\text{Inv}(\mu) \subset C_{\mathcal{K}}(T)$ . We also have  $C_{\mathcal{K}}(T) = \mathcal{K} \cdot C(T)$  (cf. [DSh1]). Since  $\mu$  is embeddable and  $G$  is totally disconnected, we have  $G(\mu) = G(\mu * \tilde{\mu})$  and since  $\mu$  is full so is  $\mu * \tilde{\mu}$ . Hence  $\text{Inv}(\mu * \tilde{\mu}) = \mathcal{H}$  (say) is compact. Since  $\mu * \tilde{\mu}$  has a unique semistable embedding, we get by Proposition 2.8 that  $T(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H} \cap C(T) = \{I\}$ , but  $\text{Inv}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{H}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $\text{Inv}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{H} \cap (\mathcal{K} \cdot C(T)) = \mathcal{K}$ . This implies that

$\text{Inv}(\mu) = \mathcal{K}$ . Now by Proposition 2.8,  $\mu$  is embeddable in a unique semistable one-parameter semigroup.  $\square$

### 3. Domain of semistable attraction and semistable measures on unipotent $p$ -adic groups

For a probability measure  $\mu$  on a locally compact group  $G$ , we define  $\text{DSSA}(\mu)$ , the domain of semistable attraction of  $\mu$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DSSA}(\mu) = \{v \in M^1(G) \mid \text{there exist } \tau_n \in \text{Aut}(G) \text{ and } k_n \in \mathbf{N} \\ \text{such that } \tau_n(v^{k_n}) \rightarrow \mu \text{ and } k_n/k_{n+1} \rightarrow c \in ]0, 1[ \}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that our definition is slightly different from an earlier definition in [T], as we do not assume that  $\{\tau_n(v)\}$  is infinitesimal. It is easy to see that for any  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable measure  $\mu$ ,  $\text{DSSA}(\mu)$  is nonempty. For,  $\mu$  itself belongs to  $\text{DSSA}(\mu)$ , since  $\tau^n(\mu)^{k_n} \rightarrow \mu$ , where  $k_n = \lfloor c^{-n} \rfloor$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Conversely, we have the following.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be an  $S$ -full probability measure on a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \{e\}$  and  $\text{DSSA}(\mu)$  is nonempty. Then  $\mu$  is semistable.*

Before proving the theorem let us state and prove several results which will be useful.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group and let  $\mu_n, \mu \in M^1(G)$  be such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ . If  $\mu$  is full (resp.  $S$ -full) in  $M^1(G)$ , then so is  $\mu_n$  for all large  $n$ .*

We need following notations for the proof of the lemma. For a  $p$ -adic vector space  $V$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q}_p^m$  ( $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ), let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  be a basis of  $V$ . For any  $x, y \in V$ , we have  $x = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i e_i$  and  $y = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i e_i$  and we define  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i$ . It is a continuous bilinear map from  $V^2$  to  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Any one-dimensional projection of  $V$  is of the form  $y \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$  for some  $x \in V$ . Also,  $x \mapsto \|x\|_p = |\langle x, x \rangle|_p^{1/2}$  on  $V$  defines a norm on  $V$ . For  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $V_x = \text{Ker}(y \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle)$ ; it is a subspace of co-dimension 1 in  $V$ . Also, any subspace  $W$  of co-dimension 1 in  $V$  is of this form, i.e.  $W = V_x$  for some  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . For  $\mu \in M^1(V)$  and  $x \in V$ , let  $(x, \mu)$  denote the image of  $\mu$  under the map  $y \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$ .

**PROOF OF LEMMA 3.2.** Let  $G, \mu_n$  and  $\mu$  be as in the hypothesis. Since  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ ,  $\mu_n * \tilde{\mu}_n \rightarrow \mu * \tilde{\mu}$ . Also,  $\mu$  is  $S$ -full if and only if  $\mu * \tilde{\mu}$  is full. Hence it is enough to prove that fullness of  $\mu$  implies that of  $\mu_n$  for all large  $n$ . Let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/[G, G]$  be the natural projection. Then  $G/[G, G]$  is an abelian unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group. It is easy to see that any probability measure  $\nu$  is full on  $G$  if and only if  $\pi(\nu)$  is full on  $G/[G, G]$ , which is isomorphic to a  $p$ -adic vector space. Now since  $\pi(\mu_n) \rightarrow \pi(\mu)$ , it is enough to prove the assertion in case  $G$  is a  $p$ -adic vector space.

Now we may assume  $G = V$ , an  $m$ -dimensional  $p$ -adic vector space and  $\text{supp } \mu$  generates  $V$  as a vector space. We fix a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  for  $V$ . If possible, suppose  $\mu_n$  is not full on  $V$  for infinitely many  $n$ . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we get that  $\text{supp } \mu_n \subset V_n$ ,

where  $V_n$  is a proper subspace of co-dimension 1 in  $V$  for all  $n$ . Let  $x_n \in V \setminus \{0\}$  be such that  $V_n = V_{x_n} = \{y \in V \mid \langle x_n, y \rangle = 0\}$ . Replacing  $x_n$  by  $x_n/\|x_n\|_p$ , we may assume that  $\|x_n\|_p = 1$  for all  $n$ . Then  $(x_n, \mu_n) = \delta_0$  on  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  for all  $n$ . Here,  $\{x_n\}$  is relatively compact, it has a limit point  $x$  (say), then  $\|x\|_p = 1$ . Since  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ ,  $(x, \mu) = \delta_0$  on  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . In particular,  $\text{supp } \mu \subset V_x$ , which is a subspace of co-dimension 1 in  $V$  as  $x \neq 0$ . This leads to a contradiction as  $\mu$  is full. Hence  $\mu_n$  is full for all large  $n$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let  $G = GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  and let  $U$  be the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of all upper triangular matrices. Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $G$  and  $\psi_n$  be an inner automorphism defined by  $\psi_n(x) = a_n x a_n^{-1}$  for all  $x \in G$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $H = \{x \in G \mid \psi_n(x) \rightarrow e\}$  and let  $C = \{v \in M^1(G) \mid \psi_n(v) \rightarrow \delta_e\}$ , where the identity  $e = I$ , the identity matrix in  $GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ . Then*

- (i)  $H$  is a (closed) algebraic subgroup of  $G$  and there exists  $a \in G$  such that  $H \subset a U a^{-1}$  and
- (ii) for any  $v \in C$ ,  $\text{supp } v$  is contained in  $H$ .

The above proposition can be deduced along the same lines as Theorem 2.1 of [DSh2]; this theorem uses Lemma 2.2 of [DSh2], which is also valid for any locally compact first countable group. Also, instead of the polar decomposition of  $a_n$ , one has to use the decomposition  $a_n = c_n d_n k_n$ , where  $c_n, k_n \in GL_m(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ , which is compact, where  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, and  $d_n$  are diagonal matrices which can be chosen to have entries whose  $p$ -adic absolute values are in the ascending order (cf. [Ma]), subsequently also, one has to use the  $p$ -adic absolute value instead of the real absolute value. We will not repeat the proof here.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  be a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group and let  $\{\tau_n\} \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ . Let  $H = \{x \in G \mid \tau_n(x) \rightarrow e\}$  and let  $C = \{v \in M^1(G) \mid \tau_n(v) \rightarrow \delta_e\}$ . Then  $H$  is a (closed) algebraic subgroup of  $G$  such that for any  $v \in C$ ,  $\text{supp } v$  is contained in  $H$ . In particular, if there exists a  $v \in C$  which is full on  $G$ , then  $H = G$  and  $\tau_n(\mu) \rightarrow \delta_e$  for all  $\mu \in M^1(G)$ . That is, if  $C$  contains a full measure, then  $C = M^1(G)$ .*

REMARK 3.5. The above theorem is also valid for any Zariski connected semisimple  $p$ -adic algebraic group with trivial center. It can also be shown to hold for any Zariski connected  $p$ -adic algebraic group  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  such that the maximal central torus of  $\tilde{G}$  is trivial and  $\{\tau_n\} \subset \text{Aut}(G)$  are  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -rational automorphisms. In both these cases, a similar proof (as given below) works and  $H$  will be a unipotent algebraic subgroup of  $G$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.4. Since  $G$  is unipotent, any continuous automorphism of  $G$  is  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -rational and the group  $\text{Aut}(G)$  is also a group of rational points of a  $p$ -adic algebraic group and the action of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  on  $G$  is  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -rational (cf. [Sh2], Theorems 2.1, 3.1). As in the proof of Main Theorem in [Sh2], we can form a semidirect product  $L = \text{Aut}(G) \cdot G$ ,  $L = \tilde{L}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is a  $p$ -adic algebraic group, with the group operation  $(\tau, g)(\tau', g') = (\tau\tau', g\tau(g'))$ , for  $\tau, \tau' \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $g, g' \in G$ . That is, the action of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  on  $G$  is given by  $\tau g \tau^{-1} = \tau(g)$  for all  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $g \in G$ . Also,  $L \subset GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ .

We have  $H = \{x \in G \mid \tau_n(x) \rightarrow e\} = \{x \in G \mid \tau_n x \tau_n^{-1} \rightarrow e \text{ in } L\}$ . This implies  $H = H' \cap G$ , where  $H' = \{x \in GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p) \mid \tau_n x \tau_n^{-1} \rightarrow e\}$ , here  $e = I$ , the identity matrix. By Proposition 3.3 (i),  $H'$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  and hence  $H$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $G$ . In particular, it is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is closed in  $GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ ,  $C = M^1(G) \cap C'$ , where  $C' = \{v \in M^1(GL_m(\mathbf{Q}_p)) \mid \tau_n(v) \rightarrow \delta_e\}$ . Let  $v \in C$ . Using Proposition 3.3 (ii), we get that  $\text{supp } v \subset H' \cap G = H$ . Now, assume that  $v$  is full on  $G$ . Then since  $H$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $G$ , we get that  $G = \tilde{G}(v) \subset H$  and hence  $G = H$ . Moreover, from the definition of  $H$ , it is clear that for any  $\mu \in M^1(G)$ ,  $\tau_n(\mu) \rightarrow \delta_e$ , i.e.  $C = M^1(G)$ .  $\square$

For any  $\alpha \in M^1(G)$ , let  $F(\alpha)$  be the set of (two-sided) factors of  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $F(\alpha) = \{\beta \in M^1(G) \mid \beta * \gamma = \gamma * \beta = \alpha \text{ for some } \gamma \in M^1(G)\}$ .

LEMMA 3.6. *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic algebraic group. Let  $\{v_n\} \subset M^1(G)$  and  $\mu \in M^1(G)$  be such that  $\mu$  is full and  $v_n^{k_n} \rightarrow \mu$  for some  $\{k_n\} \subset \mathbf{N}$ . Then we have the following:*

- (i) *Let  $Z$  be the center of  $G$  and let  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/Z$  be the natural projection. For  $\mathcal{A} = \{v_n^m \mid m \leq k_n, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ ,  $\pi(\mathcal{A})$  is relatively compact.*
- (ii)  *$\{v_n * \tilde{v}_n\}$  is relatively compact and all its limit points are supported on  $\mathcal{I}(\mu)$ .*

PROOF. As  $\mu$  is full, Theorem 4.1 of [Sh3] implies (i), and it also implies that  $\{v_n * \delta_{z_n}\}$  is relatively compact for some sequence  $\{z_n\} \subset Z$ . For every  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\{v_n^m * \delta_{z_n^m}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ , and hence  $\{v_n^m * \tilde{v}_n^m\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is relatively compact. Let  $\lambda$  be a limit point of  $\{v_n * \delta_{z_n}\}$ . Then the above implies that  $\lambda^m \in F(\mu)$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Again by Theorem 4.1 of [Sh3], we get that  $\{\lambda^m * \delta_{z'_m}\}$  is relatively compact for some sequence  $\{z'_m\} \subset Z$ ; let  $\beta$  be any limit point of it. It is clear that  $\beta \in F(\mu)$  and  $\beta^2 \in F(\beta)$ . This implies that  $\beta = \omega_H * \delta_x = \delta_x * \omega_H$  for some compact subgroup  $H \subset \mathcal{I}(\mu)$ . We also have  $\lambda \in F(\beta)$ . Therefore,  $\text{supp } \lambda \subset Hy = yH$  for some  $y \in \text{supp } \lambda$  and hence  $\text{supp}(\lambda * \tilde{\lambda}) \subset \mathcal{I}(\mu)$ . Since any limit point of  $\{v_n * \tilde{v}_n\}$  is of the form  $\lambda * \tilde{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda$  as above, we get that all limit points of  $\{v_n * \tilde{v}_n\}$  are supported on  $\mathcal{I}(\mu)$ . This proves (ii).  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\mu$  be an S-full probability measure on a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \{e\}$  and  $\text{DSSA}(\mu) \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exist sequences  $\{\tau_n\} \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $\{k_n\} \subset \mathbf{N}$  and a measure  $\nu \in M^1(G)$  such that  $\tau_n(\nu)^{k_n} \rightarrow \mu$  and  $k_n/k_{n+1} \rightarrow c \in ]0, 1[$ .

Since  $\mu$  is S-full,  $\mu$  is also full and by Lemma 3.6,  $\tau_n(\nu * \tilde{\nu}) \rightarrow \delta_e$  as  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \{e\}$ . By Lemma 3.2, for all large  $n$ ,  $\tau_n(\nu)^{k_n}$  is S-full, and hence so is  $\tau_n(\nu)$ . This implies that  $\tau_n(\nu * \tilde{\nu})$  is full. Also each  $\tau_n$  is  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -rational (cf. [Sh2], Theorem 2.1). Therefore,  $\nu * \tilde{\nu}$  is also full. Since  $\tau_n(\nu * \tilde{\nu}) \rightarrow \delta_e$ , by Theorem 3.4,  $\tau_n(x) \rightarrow e$  for all  $x \in G$ , and hence  $\tau_n(\nu) \rightarrow \delta_e$ .

Now we have that  $\tau_n(\nu)^{k_n} \rightarrow \mu$ ,  $\tau_n(\nu) \rightarrow \delta_e$ ,  $k_n/k_{n+1} \rightarrow c \in ]0, 1[$  (this condition has not been used so far), and  $\mu$  is full on a unipotent  $p$ -adic algebraic group. Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 4.6 of [T].  $\square$

REMARK 3.7. 1. The above theorem generalises Theorem 4.6 of [T] in the case of S-full probability measures  $\mu$  with  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \{e\}$ , as we do not assume that  $\{\tau_n(v)\}$  is infinitesimal in the hypothesis but derive it as a consequence.

2. In view of Theorem 4.6 of [T] stated for the semisimple group case, we would like to note that there does not exist any nontrivial (non-idempotent) full semistable measure on  $G = \tilde{G}(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , where  $\tilde{G}$  is any semisimple  $p$ -adic algebraic group. That is, if  $\mu$  is a full semistable measure, on such a group  $G$ , embeddable in  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset M^1(G)$ , with  $\mu_0 = \omega_K$ , then as mentioned earlier  $\mu = \mu_1$  is supported on  $C_K(\tau) = K \cdot C(\tau)$  (cf. [DSH1], Theorem 3.1), and hence  $C_K(\tau)$  is Zariski dense in  $G$ . In particular, this implies that  $C(\tau)$  is normal in  $G$ , but  $C(\tau)$  is a unipotent algebraic subgroup of  $G$  (cf. [W], Theorem 3.5). Since  $G$  is semisimple, the above implies that  $C(\tau) = \{e\}$ , hence  $G(\mu) = K$  and  $\mu = \omega_K$ , with  $\tau(K) = K$ .

We now give examples to show that in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, S-fullness of  $\mu$  can not be replaced by fullness of  $\mu$ , and also the condition that  $\mathcal{I}(\mu) = \{e\}$  is necessary.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $G = \mathbf{Q}_p$ . Let  $v = \delta_x$  for some  $x \in G$  such that  $x \neq 0$ . Take  $\tau_n = I$ , the identity in  $\text{Aut}(G)$ , and  $k_n = p^n + 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $k_n/k_{n+1} \rightarrow 1/p$ ,  $\tau_n(v)^{k_n} \rightarrow \delta_x$ , hence  $\text{DSSA}(\delta_x) \neq \emptyset$  but  $\delta_x$  is not semistable. Here  $\mathcal{I}(\delta_x) = \{0\}$ ,  $\delta_x$  is full but not S-full.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $G = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $v = \omega_H * \delta_x$  for some compact open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and some  $x \in G \setminus H$ . So  $\mu$  is S-full. Let  $\{\tau_n\}$  and  $\{k_n\}$  be as in Example 1. Then  $k_n/k_{n+1} \rightarrow 1/p$ ,  $\tau_n(v)^{k_n} = \omega_H * \delta_x$  for all large  $n$ , hence  $\text{DSSA}(\omega_H * \delta_x) \neq \emptyset$  but  $\omega_H * \delta_x$  is not semistable as it is not even embeddable. Note that  $\mathcal{I}(\omega_H * \delta_x) = H \neq \{0\}$ .  $\square$

Now we state a result comparing semistable measures and measures with nonempty domain of semistable attraction on any totally disconnected locally compact group.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let  $G$  be any totally disconnected locally compact group and  $\mu \in M^1(G)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu$  is  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable.
- (ii) *There exist  $\{\tau_n\} \subset \text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $v \in M^1(G)$ ,  $\{k_n\} \subset \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\tau_n(v)^{k_n} \rightarrow \mu$ ,  $k_n/k_{n+1} \rightarrow c \in ]0, 1[$  (i.e.  $\text{DSSA}(\mu) \neq \emptyset$ ), with the additional properties that  $\tau_{n+1}\tau_n^{-1} \rightarrow \tau$  and  $\tau_n(v) \rightarrow \omega_H$  for some compact subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .*

PROOF. “(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)” is obvious. Now we assume (ii) and show that (i) holds. By Theorem 2.1 of [Sh4], the set  $\mathcal{A} = \{\tau_n(v)^m \mid m \leq k_n, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is relatively compact. Now from Theorem 3.6 of [T],  $\mu$  is embeddable in a continuous one-parameter semigroup  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0} \subset M^1(G)$  such that, for some sequence  $\{n_j\} \subset \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_j}(v)^{[k_{n_j} t]} \rightarrow \mu_t,$$

uniformly on compact subsets of  $]0, \infty[$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  be fixed. Clearly,  $\tau_n \tau_{n-m}^{-1} \rightarrow \tau^m$  and  $k_n/k_{n+m} \rightarrow c^m$ . Let  $a_j = k_{n_j-m}/k_{n_j}$ , then  $a_j \rightarrow c^m$ . For any fixed  $r \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$(2) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_j}(v)^{rk_{n_j-m}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_j}(v)^{[rk_{n_j} a_j]} = \mu_{rc^m},$$

from the uniform convergence on compact sets of  $]0, \infty[$  in (1) above (see the proof of Theorem 4.6 of [T]). Also,

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_j}(v)^{rk_{n_j-m}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n_j} \tau_{n_j-m}^{-1} (\tau_{n_j-m}(v)^{rk_{n_j-m}}) = \tau^m(\mu)^r.$$

From (2) and (3), for all  $m, r \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have that  $\tau^m(\mu)^r = \mu_{rc^m}$ , and hence  $\tau(\mu_{rc^m}) = \mu_{rc^{m+1}}$ . Let  $M = \{rc^m \mid r, m \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . Then  $\tau(\mu_t) = \mu_{ct}$  for all  $t \in M$ . Since  $M$  is dense in  $\mathbf{R}_+$  and  $\tau$  is continuous we get that  $\tau(\mu_t) = \mu_{ct}$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ , i.e.  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is  $(\tau, c)$ -semistable with  $\mu_1 = \mu$ .  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to thank Keio University, in particular, Makoto Maejima, for hospitality while a large part of work was done. I would also like to thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for a Long-Term Invitation Fellowship which supported the visit to Keio University.

## References

- [B] A. BOREL, *Linear algebraic groups* (2nd edition), Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [C] J. W. S. CASSELS, *Local Fields*, London Math. Soc. Students Texts 3, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge-London-New York, 1986.
- [DSh1] S. G. DANI and RIDDHI SHAH, Contraction subgroups and semistable measures on  $p$ -adic Lie groups, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **110** (1991), 299–306.
- [DSh2] S. G. DANI and RIDDHI SHAH, Contractible measures and Levy's measures on Lie groups, *Probability on Algebraic Structures*, Ed. G. Budzban et al. *Cont. Math.* **261**, 3–14 (2000).
- [H] W. HAZOD, On some convolution semi- and hemigroups appearing as limit distributions of products of group-valued random variables, *Proc. Marseille conference on "Analysis on infinite-dimensional Lie groups"*, 1997 (Ed. H. HEYER and J. MARION, 1998, World Scientific, 104–121).
- [HSi1] W. HAZOD and E. SIEBERT, Automorphisms on a Lie group contracting modulo a compact subgroup and applications to semistable convolution semigroups, *J. Theor. Prob.* **1** (1988), 211–225.
- [HSi2] W. HAZOD and E. SIEBERT, *Stable Probability Measures on Euclidean spaces and on locally compact groups: Structural properties and limit theorems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2001.
- [Ho] G. P. HOCHSCHILD, *Basic theory of algebraic groups and Lie algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1981.
- [Hu] J. E. HUMPHREYS, *Linear Algebraic groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1975.
- [Ma] I. G. MACDONALD, Spherical Functions on a Group of  $p$ -adic Type Publ 2, Ramanujan Institute Madras (1971).
- [MSh1] M. MAEJIMA and RIDDHI SHAH, Moments and Projections of Semistable Probability Measures on  $p$ -adic Vector Spaces, *J. Theor. Prob.* **19** (2006), 447–459.
- [MSh2] M. MAEJIMA and RIDDHI SHAH, Operator-semistable, Operator Semi-selfdecomposable Probability Measures and Related Nested Classes on  $p$ -adic Vector Spaces, *Monat. Math.* **151** (2007), 293–318.

- [S] J.-P. SERRE, *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, W. A. Benjamin, 1964.
- [Sh1] RIDDHI SHAH, Semistable measures and limit theorems on real and  $p$ -adic groups. *Monat. Math.* **115** (1993), 191–213.
- [Sh2] RIDDHI SHAH, Convergence-of-types theorems on  $p$ -adic algebraic groups, Proc. Oberwolfach conference on “Probability Measures on Groups and Related Structures”, 1994 (ed. H. HEYER, 1995), 357–363.
- [Sh3] RIDDHI SHAH, Limits of commutative triangular system on real and  $p$ -adic groups, *Math. Proc. Camb.Soc.* **120** (1996), 181–192.
- [Sh4] RIDDHI SHAH, Limits of commutative triangular systems on locally compact groups, *Ind. Acad. Sci. Proc. (Math. Sci.)* **111** (2001), 49–63.
- [Si] E. SIEBERT, Contractive automorphisms on locally compact groups, *Math. Zeit.* **191**, 73–90.
- [T] K. TELÖKEN, Limit Theorems for probability measures on totally disconnected groups, *Semigroup Forum* **58** (1999), 69–84.
- [V] V. S. VARADHARAJAN, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and their Representations*, Graduate Texts in Math. no. 102, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [W] J. S. P. WANG. The Mautner phenomenon on  $p$ -adic Lie groups, *Math. Zeit.* **185** (1984), 403–412.

*Present Address:*

SCHOOL OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES,  
JAWHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY,  
NEW DELHI 110067, INDIA.  
*e-mail:* rshah@mail.jnu.ac.in