

## 94. On the Automorphism Groups of Edge-coloured Digraphs

By Mitsuo YOSHIZAWA

Department of Mathematics, Josai University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1989)

**1. Introduction.** For any finite group  $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q\}$ , we construct an edge-coloured strongly connected digraph  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$  with the vertex-set  $V\Delta = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_q\}$  such that for any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $\Delta$  both  $(u, v)$  and  $(v, u)$  are directed edges of  $\Delta$  and they are coloured with colours  $u^{-1}v$  and  $v^{-1}u$  respectively. Then the (colour-preserving) automorphism group  $\text{Aut } \Delta$  of  $\Delta$  on  $V\Delta$  is isomorphic to the regular representation [4] of  $G$  as a permutation group ([5, p. 96, Lemma 3.1]). On the other hand, Frucht [1] and Sabidussi [2] proved the following: For any finite group  $G$  and any integer  $k \geq 3$  there exist infinitely many connected  $k$ -regular (undirected) graphs  $\Gamma$  in which  $V\Gamma$  has a disjoint union decomposition  $V\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^q V_i$  ( $q = |G|$ ) such that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut } \Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  acts faithfully on the set  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_q\}$  by the natural action and the permutation group derived by its action is isomorphic to the regular representation of  $G$  as a permutation group.

In this paper we shall extend the above. Let  $\Delta$  be an edge-coloured digraph and  $C$  be the set of colours  $c$  with which at least one directed edge of  $\Delta$  is coloured. We define a uniquely definite positive integer  $\lambda(\Delta)$  as follows. For any vertex  $x$  of  $\Delta$  and  $c$  in  $C$  let  $\lambda_{\text{in}}(x; c)$  denote the number of directed edges with colour  $c$  having  $x$  as head and  $\lambda_{\text{out}}(x; c)$  denote the number of directed edges with colour  $c$  having  $x$  as tail. We define  $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\Delta) = \max\{\lambda_{\text{in}}(x; c), \lambda_{\text{out}}(x; c) : x \in V\Delta, c \in C\}$  and  $\lambda(\Delta) = \max\{\lambda_{\text{max}}(\Delta) + 1, 3\}$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove

**Theorem.** *Let  $\Delta$  be an edge-coloured weakly connected digraph with  $|V\Delta| = n$ . Then for any integer  $k \geq \lambda(\Delta)$  there exist infinitely many connected  $k$ -regular (undirected) graphs  $\Gamma$  in which  $V\Gamma$  has a disjoint union  $V\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i$  such that  $\text{Aut } \Gamma$  acts faithfully on the set  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$  by the natural action and the permutation group derived by its action is isomorphic to the (colour-preserving) automorphism group  $\text{Aut } \Delta$  of  $\Delta$  on  $V\Delta$  as a permutation group.*

**2. Preliminaries.** Unless stated otherwise, all graphs are finite, undirected, simple and loopless. If an edge  $e$  joins two vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , we write  $e = [u, v] = [v, u]$ . If  $\text{Aut } \Gamma = 1$ ,  $\Gamma$  is called asymmetric.

Now we introduce a notion of the type [1]  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  ( $r = m(m-1)/2$ ) of a vertex  $v$  of valency  $m$  in a graph  $\Gamma$ . Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  be the adjacent vertices of  $v$ . We define the number  $\alpha_{ij}$  ( $i < j$ ) as follows:

$\alpha_{ij}$  = the minimum length of circuits which contain the two edges  $[u_i, v]$

and  $[v, u_j]$  if there exists such a circuit,  
 $= \infty$  otherwise.

By ranging  $m(m-1)/2$  numbers of  $\alpha_{i,j}$ 's in increasing order, we get the type  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$  of  $v$ , where  $r = m(m-1)/2$ ,  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_r$  and  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\} = \{\alpha_{i,j} : 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}$ .

We shall make substantial use of methods of Sabidussi [2, 3]: For graphs  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots$  and  $\Gamma_h$  we define the product  $\prod_{i=1}^h \Gamma_i$  by

$$V(\prod_{i=1}^h \Gamma_i) = \prod_{i=1}^h V\Gamma_i \text{ (the cartesian product of the sets } V\Gamma_i),$$

$$E(\prod_{i=1}^h \Gamma_i) = \{[(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_h), (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_h)] : \{i : u_i \neq v_i, 1 \leq i \leq h\} \text{ is a one-element set } \{j\} \text{ satisfying } [u_j, v_j] \in E\Gamma_j\}.$$

A graph  $\Gamma$  is called prime if  $\Gamma$  is non-trivial and if  $\Gamma \cong \Lambda \times \Pi$  implies that  $\Lambda$  or  $\Pi$  is trivial, where a trivial graph is a vertex-graph. Two graphs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are called relatively prime if  $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma'_1 \times \Pi$  and  $\Gamma_2 \cong \Gamma'_2 \times \Pi$  imply that  $\Pi$  is a trivial graph. We say that a connected graph  $\Gamma$  can be decomposed into prime factors if there exist connected prime graphs  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_r$  satisfying  $\Gamma \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma_i$ .

We suppose that any digraph  $\Delta$  has no loops. We denote a directed edge whose tail is  $u$  and whose head is  $v$  by  $(u, v)$ . An edge-coloured digraph  $\Delta$  is a digraph  $\Delta$  together with a function  $\phi : E\Delta \rightarrow C$  which maps  $E\Delta$  into a set  $C$  of colours. Of course any automorphism of an edge-coloured digraph  $\Delta$  must preserve colours.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $u, v$  be vertices of graphs  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  respectively. Then the valency of  $(u, v)$  in  $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$  is the sum of the valencies of  $u$  and  $v$ .*

**Lemma 2** [2]. *If in a connected graph  $\Gamma$  there is an edge which is not contained in a 4-cycle, then  $\Gamma$  is prime.*

**Proposition 1** [3]. *Let  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_h$  be connected relatively prime graphs. Then*

$$\text{Aut}(\prod_{i=1}^h \Gamma_i) \cong \prod_{i=1}^h \text{Aut} \Gamma_i.$$

**Proposition 2** [3]. *If a connected graph  $\Gamma$  has a prime factor decomposition, then the prime factor decomposition of  $\Gamma$  is unique up to isomorphisms.*

**Corollary 1.** *Any connected graph has the unique prime factor decomposition up to isomorphisms.*

The proofs of the following Lemmas 3, 4, 5 and 6 are easy.

**Lemma 3.** *There is a connected 3-regular asymmetric graph  $\Gamma$  of girth 5.*

**Lemma 4.** *For any even integer  $n \geq 26$  there is a connected 3-regular asymmetric prime graph  $\Gamma$  of girth 4 with  $|V\Gamma| = n$  such that  $\Gamma - e$  is a connected graph of girth 4 for some edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$ .*

**Lemma 5.** *There exist infinitely many connected 4-regular asymmetric prime graphs  $\Gamma$  of girth 4 such that  $\Gamma - e$  is a connected graph of girth 4 for some edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$ .*

**Lemma 6.** *There exist infinitely many connected 5-regular asymmetric prime graphs  $\Gamma$  of girth 4 such that  $\Gamma - e$  is a connected graph of girth 4*

for some edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 3.** *For any integer  $m \geq 3$  there exist infinitely many connected  $m$ -regular asymmetric graphs  $\Gamma$  of girth 4 such that  $\Gamma - e$  is a connected graph of girth 4 for some edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t$  be an integer with  $t \equiv m \pmod{3}$  and  $3 \leq t \leq 5$ . Let us set  $q = (m - t) / 3$ . By Lemmas 4, 5 and 6 there exist non-isomorphic  $q + 1$  connected asymmetric prime graphs  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_q$  of girth 4 such that  $\Gamma_0$  is  $t$ -regular and  $\Gamma_i$  is 3-regular ( $1 \leq i \leq q$ ) and that  $\Gamma_0 - e_0$  is a connected graph of girth 4 for some edge  $e_0$  of  $\Gamma_0$ . Then the product  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_q$  is a connected  $m$ -regular graph of girth 4 such that  $\Gamma - e$  is a connected graph of girth 4 for some edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$ . By Proposition 1  $\Gamma$  is asymmetric.

**Corollary 2.** *For any integer  $m \geq 3$  there exist infinitely many connected asymmetric graphs  $\Gamma$  of girth 4 such that just two vertices of  $\Gamma$  have valency  $m - 1$  and every other vertex of  $\Gamma$  has valency  $m$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected  $m$ -regular asymmetric graph of girth 4 such that  $\Gamma - e$  is a connected graph of girth 4 for some edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma - e)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut} \Gamma$ ,  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma - e) = 1$  holds. Hence by Proposition 3 we complete the proof.

**3. Proof of Theorem.** Let  $\Delta$  be an edge-coloured weakly connected digraph with  $|V\Delta| = n$  and  $k$  be any integer with  $k \geq \lambda(\Delta)$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_s$  be the all orbits [4] of  $\text{Aut} \Delta$  on  $E\Delta$ . Obviously two directed edges which are in the same orbit  $E_i$  have the same colour, but two directed edges which are in different orbits  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  do not necessarily have different colours. Now let  $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s\}$  be a set of (different)  $s$  colours. We paint the directed edges in  $E_i$  the colour  $c_i$  for convenience ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ). We remark that the permutation group  $\text{Aut} \Delta$  on  $V\Delta$  is unchangeable and  $\lambda(\Delta)$  does not become larger by the painting. Hence from now on we prove the theorem on  $\Delta$  which has been changed as above.

Let  $b$  be a positive integer satisfying  $4s < k(k - 1)^b$ . For any  $x \in V\Delta$  we first define a graph  $\Omega_x$  by

$$\begin{aligned} V\Omega_x &= \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \dot{\cup} \{x_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq b, 1 \leq j \leq k(k - 1)^i\} \\ &\quad \dot{\cup} \{x_{b+1j} : 1 \leq j \leq k(k - 1)^b\} \text{ (disjoint union),} \\ E\Omega_x &= \{[x_i, x_j] : 1 \leq i < j \leq k\} \cup \{[x_i, x_{0i}] : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{[x_{ij}, x_{i+1h}] : \\ &\quad 0 \leq i \leq b - 1, 1 \leq j \leq k(k - 1)^i, (j - 1)(k - 1) + 1 \leq h \leq j(k - 1)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{[x_{0j}, x_{b+1j}] : 1 \leq j \leq k(k - 1)^b\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Omega_x$  is a connected graph in which the vertices  $x_{b_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k(k - 1)^b$ ) have valency 2, the vertices  $x_{b+1_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, k(k - 1)^b$ ) have valency 1 and the other vertices have valency  $k$ . Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} p &= k(k - 1)^b / 2, \\ q &= \{k(k - 1)^b(k - 2) + k(k - 1)^b(k - 1) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_{\text{out}}(x; c_i) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_{\text{in}}(x; c_i)\} / 2. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 2 we have non-isomorphic  $q$  graphs  $A(x_{b_j}, r)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ;  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$ ),  $A(x_{b+1_j}, r)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ;  $r = 1, 2, \dots, (k - 1) - \lambda_{\text{out}}(x; c_j)$ ),  $A(x_{b+1_j}, r)$  ( $j = s + 1, s + 2, \dots, 2s$ ;  $r = 1, 2, \dots, (k - 1) - \lambda_{\text{in}}(x; c_{j-s})$ ) and

$A(x_{b+1j}, r)$  ( $j=2s+1, 2s+2, \dots, p; r=1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ) each of which is a connected asymmetric graph  $A$  of girth 4 such that just two vertices of  $A$  have valency  $k-1$  and every other vertex of  $A$  has valency  $k$ . Let  $u(x_{hj}, r)$  and  $u'(x_{hj}, r)$  ( $b \leq h \leq b+1$ ) be the vertices of valency  $k-1$  of  $A(x_{hj}, r)$ . Next we define a graph  $\Pi_x$  by

$$\begin{aligned} V\Pi_x &= V\Omega_x \dot{\cup} \left( \sum_{j,r} V(A(x_{bj}, r)) \right) \dot{\cup} \left( \sum_{j,r} V(A(x_{b+1j}, r)) \right) \text{ (disjoint union),} \\ E\Pi_x &= E\Omega_x \cup \left( \sum_{j,r} E(A(x_{bj}, r)) \right) \cup \left( \sum_{j,r} E(A(x_{b+1j}, r)) \right) \cup \{[x_{bj}, u(x_{bj}, r)], [x_{bj+p}, \\ &u'(x_{bj}, r)]: 1 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq r \leq k-2\} \cup \{[x_{b+1j}, u(x_{b+1j}, r)], [x_{b+1j+p}, \\ &u'(x_{b+1j}, r)]: 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq r \leq (k-1) - \lambda_{\text{out}}(x; c_j)\} \cup \{[x_{b+1j}, u(x_{b+1j}, r)], \\ &[x_{b+1j+p}, u'(x_{b+1j}, r)]: s+1 \leq j \leq 2s, 1 \leq r \leq (k-1) - \lambda_{\text{in}}(x; c_{j-s})\} \cup \{[x_{b+1j}, \\ &u(x_{b+1j}, r)], [x_{b+1j+p}, u'(x_{b+1j}, r)]: 2s+1 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq r \leq k-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Pi_x$  is a connected graph in which there exists the unique complete subgraph with  $k$  vertices induced by  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  and both vertices  $x_{b+1j}$  and  $x_{b+1j+p}$  have valency  $k - \lambda_{\text{out}}(x; c_j)$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots, s$ , both vertices  $x_{b+1j}$  and  $x_{b+1j+p}$  have valency  $k - \lambda_{\text{in}}(x; c_{j-s})$  for  $j=s+1, s+2, \dots, 2s$  and every other vertex has valency  $k$ . By Corollary 2 we may assume that every  $\Pi_x$  ( $x \in V\mathcal{A}$ ) is asymmetric and for  $x, y \in V\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Pi_x$  is isomorphic to  $\Pi_y$  if and only if there is an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\sigma(x)=y$ . Moreover we may assume that if  $\Pi_x$  is isomorphic to  $\Pi_y$  for  $x, y \in V\mathcal{A}$ , then the isomorphism from  $\Pi_x$  to  $\Pi_y$  has the correspondence of  $x_{b+1j}$  to  $y_{b+1j}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k(k-1)^b$ ). Last we define a graph  $\Gamma$  by

$$\begin{aligned} V\Gamma &= \sum_{x \in V\mathcal{A}} V\Pi_x \text{ (disjoint union),} \\ E\Gamma &= \left( \sum_{x \in V\mathcal{A}} E\Pi_x \right) \cup \{[x_{b+1i}, y_{b+1s+i}], [x_{b+1i+p}, y_{b+1s+i+p}]: (x, y) \in E\mathcal{A}, \\ &\text{the colour of } (x, y) \text{ is } c_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Gamma$  is a connected  $k$ -regular graph such that  $\text{Aut } \Gamma$  acts faithfully on the set  $\{V\Pi_x: x \in V\mathcal{A}\}$  by the natural action and the permutation group derived by its action is isomorphic to the automorphism group  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $V\mathcal{A}$  as a permutation group by the correspondence of  $V\Pi_x$  to  $x$  ( $x \in V\mathcal{A}$ ).

### References

- [1] R. Frucht: Graphs of degree three with a given abstract group. *Can. J. Math.*, **1**, 365-378 (1949).
- [2] G. Sabidussi: Graphs with given group and given graph-theoretical properties. *ibid.*, **9**, 515-525 (1957).
- [3] —: Graph multiplication. *Math. Z.*, **72**, 446-457 (1960).
- [4] H. Wielandt: *Finite Permutation Groups*. Academic Press, New-York, London (1964).
- [5] H. P. Yap: *Some topics in graph theory*. London Math. Soc., Lecture Notes, **108**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986).