476 [Vol. 9,

136. Remarks on the Cesàro Summability of Divergent Series.

By Tatsuo TAKAHASHI.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai. (Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., Nov. 13, 1933.)

The object of this paper is to prove a converse of Cauchy's theorem concerning limit and give alternate proofs of Doetsch's theorem¹⁾ and the well-known Cesàro-Tauberian theorem due to Hardy and Landau.

1. Theorem I. If

(1)
$$na_n \ge (n-1)a_{n-1}, \quad n > 1$$

then

(2)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1+a_2+\cdots\cdots+a_n}{n}=L$$

implies $\lim a_n = L$.

Proof. Since the sequence (na_n) is monotone increasing, its limit exists. If the limit of (na_n) is finite, then $a_n \to 0$, consequently L must be 0. In this case the theorem is evident. If $L \neq 0$, the limit of (na_n) can not be finite. Thus we have to discuss the case, where na_n tends to infinity.

Plainly we can suppose that a_n is positive for all n. For any positive number ε , there is an integer n_0 such that

$$\left|\frac{a_1+a_2+\cdots\cdots+a_n}{n}-L\right|<\varepsilon,$$

for $n \ge n_0$. Let p be a fixed positive integer, then

$$rac{a_1+a_2+\cdots\cdots+a_n+a_{n+1}+\cdots\cdots+a_{n+\left\lceil rac{n}{p}
ight
ceil}}{n+\left\lceil rac{n}{p}
ight
ceil} < L+arepsilon$$
 ,

for $n \ge n_0$, where [x] denotes the integral part of x. From (3), we have

¹⁾ Doetsch: Über die Cesàrosche Summabilität bei Reihen und eine Erweiterung des Grenzwertbegriffs bei integrablen Funktionen. Math. Zeit. 11 (1921). See Nikola Obreschkoff: Über einige Sätz für Summierung von divergenten Reihen. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 32 (1930).

$$(4) \frac{a_{n+1}+\cdots\cdots+a_{n+\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]}}{n+\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]} < \frac{n}{n+\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]}\varepsilon+\varepsilon+\left(1-\frac{n}{n+\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]}\right)L$$

$$< 2\varepsilon+\left(1-\frac{n}{n+\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]}\right)L,$$

and from (1), it results

$$a_{n+q} \geq \frac{n}{n+q} a_n,$$

for any positive integer q. Putting (5) into (4), we have

$$\left(\frac{n}{n+1}+\cdots+\frac{n}{n+\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]}\right)a_n/\left(n+\frac{n}{p}\right) < 2\varepsilon+\left(1-\frac{n}{n+\left[\frac{n}{p}\right]}\right)L$$
,

$$\left(\log\frac{n+\left\lceil\frac{n}{p}\right\rceil}{n}+\gamma_{n+\left\lceil\frac{n}{p}\right\rceil}-\gamma_n\right)a_n<\frac{n+\left\lceil\frac{n}{p}\right\rceil}{n}\left\{2\varepsilon+\left(1-\frac{n}{n+\left\lceil\frac{n}{p}\right\rceil}\right)L\right\},$$

where $\gamma_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} - \log n$, which tends to the Euler's constant.

If η be an arbitrary positive number, then there exists an integer n_1 $|\gamma_{n+\left[\frac{n}{n}\right]}-\gamma_n| < \eta$, for $n \ge n_1$. such that

Thus we have
$$\left\{\log\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right)-\eta\right\}a_n \le 4\varepsilon + \left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{n}\right)L$$
,

for $n \ge \text{Max}(n_0, n_1)$. Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n=\infty} a_n \cdot \left\{ \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) - \eta \right\} \leq 4\varepsilon + \frac{1}{p} L.$$

 $\overline{\lim_{n=\infty}} a_n \leq \frac{L}{p \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)}.$ Since ε and η are arbitrary,

Since p is arbitrary, we have by letting $p \to \infty$ (6) $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \leq L$.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n\leq L.$$

Next, for any positive number ε , there is an n_2 such that

$$L-\epsilon < \underbrace{-\frac{a_1+a_2+\cdots\cdots+a_{\left \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right \rfloor}+a_{\left \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right \rfloor+1}+\cdots\cdots+a_n}{n}}$$

for $n \ge p(n_2+1)$, where p is a fixed positive number > 1. Hence

(7)
$$L-\varepsilon < \frac{a_1+a_2+\cdots\cdots+a_{\lceil \frac{n}{p}\rceil}}{n} + \frac{a_{\lceil \frac{n}{p}\rceil+1}+\cdots\cdots+a_n}{n}.$$

Putting (5) into (7), we have

$$L-\varepsilon < \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil}}{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil} - L\right) \frac{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil}{n} + \left(\frac{n}{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil + 1} + \frac{n}{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil + 2} + \dots + \frac{n}{n}\right) \frac{a_n}{n} + L \frac{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil}{n}$$

$$< \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left\{\log \frac{n}{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil} + (\gamma_n - \gamma_{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil})\right\} a_n + L \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$< \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left(\log \frac{1}{\left\lceil \frac{n}{p} \right\rceil} + \gamma\right) a_n + L \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}\right),$$

for $n \ge \text{Max}(p(n_2+1), n_1)$. Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$L-\varepsilon \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p} + (\log p + \eta) \lim_{n=\infty} a_n + \frac{L}{p}$$
.

Since ε and η are arbitrary, we have

$$\frac{L\!\!\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}{\log p} \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} a_n.$$

Letting $p \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$(8) L \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n.$$

From (7) and (8), we have finally $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = L$,

which is the required result.

then

2. Theorem II. Put $\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{\nu} = S_n$. If $S_n > -k$, k being a constant, then the fact that $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n$ is (C, r) summable (r > 1), implies that $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n$ is (C, 1) summable.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that r=2. For our purpose, it is sufficient to prove that if

$$\left\{S_1 + \frac{S_1 + S_2}{2} + \dots + \frac{S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_n}{n}\right\} / n \to L,$$

$$\underbrace{S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_n}_{n} \to L.$$

We can suppose that k=0. For otherwise we take $S_{\nu}+k$ for S_{ν} . Then the theorem is evident from Theorem I.

Theorem III. If $\sum_{1}^{\infty} (na_n - (n-1)a_{n-1})$ is (C, r) summable to L, r being positive and $na_n \ge -k$, then $\frac{a_1 + 2a_2 + \cdots + na_n}{n}$ tends to L.

If we take $na_n - (n-1)a_{n-1}$ for a_n in Theorem II $(a_0 = 0)$, then we have Theorem III.

Theorem IV. The series, which is one-sidedly bounded (C, r) (r > -1) and (C, r') summable, is (C, r + 1) summable.

This theorem is due to Dr. Doetsch.

Proof. If the series is (C, r+1) summable, then the arithmetic mean of (C, r) partial sum tends to a limit. Therefore the theorem is valid by Theorem II, where r is any number greater than -1.

3. Theorem V. If $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n$ is (C, r) summable and $na_n \ge -k$, then $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

This is the Hardy-Landau's theorem.

Proof. We can suppose that r is an integer. Let

Then we have $U_n^{(1)} = nT_n^{(0)} - T_n^{(1)}$, consequently $u_n^{(1)} = T_n^{(0)} - \tau_n^{(1)}$ and in general $u_n^{(r+1)} = \tau_n^{(r)} - \tau_n^{(r+1)}$.

If $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n$ is (C, r) summable, then $\tau_n^{(r)}$ tends to a limit and hence $u_n^{(r+1)}$ tends to zero. Therefore $\sum_{1}^{\infty} (na_n - (n-1)a_{n-1})$ is (C, r+1) summable to zero. Hence by Theorem III, we have

$$\frac{a_1+2a_2+\cdots\cdots+na_n}{n}\to 0.$$

Consequently $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n$ is convergent. Thus the theorem is proved.