

A NOTE ON STRONGLY ERGODIC SEMI-GROUP OF OPERATORS

By Isao MIYADERA

(Comm. by T. Kawata)

Let $\{T(\xi); 0 < \xi < \infty\}$ be a semi-group of operators satisfying the following assumptions:

(i) For each ξ , $0 < \xi < \infty$, $T(\xi)$ is a bounded linear operator from a complex Banach space X into itself and

(1) $T(\xi + \eta) = T(\xi)T(\eta)$.

(ii) $T(\xi)$ is strongly measurable on $(0, \infty)$.

(iii) $\int_0^\xi \|T(\xi)x\| d\xi < \infty$ for each $x \in X$.

If $T(\xi)$ satisfies the condition

(iv) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)x d\xi = x$ for each $x \in X$,

then $T(\xi)$ is said to be of class $(0, A)$. If, instead of (iv), $T(\xi)$ satisfies the stronger condition

(v) $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \alpha \xi^{-\alpha} \int_0^\xi (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha-1} T(\tau)x d\tau = x$ for each $x \in X$,

then $T(\xi)$ is said to be of class $(0, C_\alpha)$. If (iii) is replaced by the stronger condition

(iii') $\int_0^\xi \|T(\xi)\| d\xi < \infty$,

then these classes become $(1, A)$ and $(1, C_\alpha)$, respectively.

It follows from (i) and (ii) that $T(\xi)$ is strongly continuous for $\xi > 0$ and $\omega_0 = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \log \|T(\xi)\|/\xi < \infty$.

We shall now define $R(\lambda; A)$, for each $\lambda > \omega_0$, by

(2) $R(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)x d\xi$ for each $x \in X$.

It is clear that this integral converges absolutely for $\lambda > \omega_0$. When $T(\xi)$ is a semi-group of class $(0, A)$, the following properties are well known [3]:

(a) There exists the complete

infinitesimal generator A .

(b) The domain $D(A)$ of A is dense in X and $R(\lambda; A)$ is the resolvent of A .

(c) $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} T(\xi)x = x$ for $x \in D(A)$.

2. Theorem 1. Let α be a positive number. A necessary and sufficient condition that a semi-group of class $(0, A)$ is of class $(0, C_\alpha)$, is that there exists a real number $\omega \geq 0$ such that

(3) $\sup_{\lambda > 0, k \geq 0} \left\| \frac{\alpha \cdot k!}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{T(k+d-i)}{(k-i)!} [R(\lambda+\omega; A)]^{i+1} \right\| = M < \infty$.

In case of $\alpha = 1$ this theorem is due to R.S. Phillips [3] and further

more in case where α is a positive integer, the theorem has been proved by the present author [1].

Proof. ω is a fixed non-negative number such that $\omega > \omega_0$. Then $e^{-\omega\xi} \|T(\xi)\|$ is bounded at $\xi = \infty$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda^{k+d+1}}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} \xi^{k+d} \left[d \xi^{-d} \int_0^\xi (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\omega\tau} T(\tau)x d\tau \right] d\xi \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{k+d+1}}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\omega+\lambda)\tau} T(\tau)x d\tau \int_\tau^\infty d e^{-\lambda(\xi-\tau)} \xi^k (\xi-\tau)^{\alpha-1} d\xi \\ &= \frac{\alpha \lambda^{k+d+1}}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\omega+\lambda)\tau} T(\tau)x d\tau \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} (\xi+\tau)^k \xi^{\alpha-1} d\xi \\ &= \frac{\alpha \lambda^{k+d+1}}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \frac{T(k+d-i)}{\lambda^{k+d-i}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\omega+\lambda)\tau} \tau^i T(\tau)x d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+\omega)\tau} T(\tau)x d\tau = \frac{x}{\lambda+\omega}$ [$\lambda R(\lambda+\omega; A)$], we obtain

(4) $\frac{\lambda^{k+d+1}}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} \xi^{k+d} \left[d \xi^{-d} \int_0^\xi (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\omega\tau} T(\tau)x d\tau \right] d\xi = \frac{\alpha \cdot k!}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{T(k+d-i)}{(k-i)!} [\lambda R(\lambda+\omega; A)]^{i+1} x$.

If $T(\xi)$ is a semi-group of class $(0, C_\alpha)$, then $e^{-\omega\xi} T(\xi)$ is of class $(0, C_\alpha)$ and $e^{-\omega\xi} \|T(\xi)\|$ is bounded at $\xi = \infty$. Thus there exists a positive number M such that

$$\sup_{\xi > 0} \left\| \alpha \xi^{-\alpha} \int_0^\xi (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\omega\tau} T(\tau)x \, d\tau \right\| \leq M \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Therefore we get the relation (3) from (4).

On the other hand, using the theorem that $f(\xi)$ is a bounded continuous vector valued function from $(0, \infty)$ in X and $f_\lambda \rightarrow \gamma$ ($\lambda = \lambda(k) \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$) then

$$\frac{\lambda^{k+d+1}}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} \xi^{k+d} f(\xi) \, d\xi \rightarrow f(\gamma),$$

we obtain from (4)

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha \cdot k!}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\Gamma(k+d-i)}{(k-i)!} [\lambda R(\lambda+\omega; A)]^{i+\alpha} x = \alpha \int_0^\gamma (\gamma - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\omega\tau} T(\tau)x \, d\tau$$

for $x \in D(A)$. Therefore we get by (3)

$$\sup_{\xi > 0} \left\| \alpha \xi^{-\alpha} \int_0^\xi (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\omega\tau} T(\tau)x \, d\tau \right\| \leq M \|x\|$$

for $x \in D(A)$. Since $D(A)$ is dense in X and $\alpha \xi^{-\alpha} \int_0^\xi (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\omega\tau} T(\tau)x \, d\tau$ is a bounded linear operator for each $\xi > 0$, the above relation is true for all $x \in X$. We have $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} T(\xi)x = x$ for $x \in D(A)$ and a fortiori

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \alpha \xi^{-\alpha} \int_0^\xi (\xi - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\omega\tau} T(\tau)x \, d\tau = x$$

for $x \in D(A)$. Thus the above relation is true for all $x \in X$ by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem. Hence $e^{-\omega\xi} T(\xi)$ is a semi-group of class $(0, C_\alpha)$, so that $T(\xi)$ is of class $(0, C_\alpha)$.

The present author [2] has already given a necessary and sufficient condition that a closed linear operator A becomes the complete infinitesimal generator of a semi-group of class $(0, A)$. Thus we can obtain from Theorem 1 the following:

Theorem 2. Let α be a positive number. A necessary and sufficient condition that a closed linear operator A is the complete infinitesimal generator of a semi-group $\{T(\xi); 0 < \xi < \infty\}$ of class $(0, C_\alpha)$, is that

- (i') $D(A)$ is a dense linear subset in X ,
- (ii') there exists a real number $\omega \geq 0$ such that the spectrum of A is located in $\mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ (the real part of λ) $< \omega$ and

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0, k \geq 0} \left\| \frac{\alpha k!}{\Gamma(k+d+1)} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\Gamma(k+d-i)}{(k-i)!} [\lambda R(\lambda+\omega; A)]^{i+\alpha} \right\| < \infty,$$

where $R(\lambda; A)$ is the resolvent of A , (iii') there exists a non-negative function $f(\xi, x)$ defined on the product space $(0, \infty) \times X$ having the following properties:

(a') for each $x \in X$, $f(\xi, x)$ is continuous for $\xi > 0$, integrable on $[0, 1]$ and $e^{-\omega\xi} f(\xi, x)$ is bounded at $\xi = \infty$,

(b') $\|R^{(k)}(\lambda + \omega; A)x\| \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+\omega)\xi} \cdot \xi^k f(\xi, x) \, d\xi$

for each $x \in X$, all real $\lambda > 0$ and all integers $k \geq 0$, where $R^{(k)}(\lambda; A)$ denotes the k -th derivative of $R(\lambda; A)$ with respect to λ .

We note that, in the above theorem, if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then "the complete infinitesimal generator" may be replaced by "the infinitesimal generator".

3. We shall give a semi-group which is of class $(0, A)$ but not of class $(1, A)$. The following example is a modification of that by R.S. Phillips [3]. Let X consist of all

sequence pairs x ; $n = 1, 2,$

such that and n

with norm $\|x\| = n$

The operator $T(\xi)x$

$n = 1, 2,$ is defined by

$$\chi'_n = \begin{cases} \exp[-(n+in^2)\xi] (\chi_n \cos n\xi - \gamma_n \sin n\xi), \\ \exp[-(n+in^2)\xi] (\chi_n \sin n\xi + \gamma_n \cos n\xi). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that $\{T(\xi); 0 < \xi < \infty\}$ is a semi-group of bounded linear operator and that $T(\xi)$ is strongly continuous for $\xi > 0$. Since

$$\|T(\xi)x\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\chi'_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\gamma'_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\chi_n| + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\gamma_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n e^{-n\xi} |\chi_n|,$$

we get

$$(5) \int_0^\infty \|T(\xi)x\| \, d\xi \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\chi_n| + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\gamma_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\chi_n| \int_0^\infty e^{-n\xi} \, d\xi = 2 \|x\|$$

for all $x \in X$.

However, for $x^{(n)} = \{\delta_{in}, 0\}$; $i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, $\delta_{ii} = 1$, we have for all n

$$\|T(\xi)x^{(n)}\| = \exp(-n\xi) |\cos n\xi| + n e^{-n\xi} |\sin n\xi| \geq n e^{-n\xi} |\sin n\xi|$$

and $\|x^n\| = 1$. Therefore, for any small $\xi > 0$, we get

$$\|T(\xi)\| \geq \sup_n n^{-n\xi} |\sin n\xi| \geq \sup_{\substack{[n\xi] \geq n\xi - \frac{1}{2\xi} \\ [n\xi] \geq n\xi - \frac{1}{2\xi} + 1}} n^{-n\xi} |\sin n\xi| \geq \frac{1}{\xi} (2e)^{-1} \sin 1/2.$$

Hence $T(\xi)$ is not of class $(1, A)$. On the other hand, we get $\|T(\xi)\| \leq 2$ for all $\xi \geq 1$. Then

$$R(\lambda; A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda\xi} T(\xi)x d\xi = \{(\alpha_m(\lambda), \beta_m(\lambda)); n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is defined for all $\lambda > 0$ and for all $x \in X$. Then we have $\alpha_m(\lambda) = \alpha_m \alpha_n(\lambda) - \alpha_n \beta_m(\lambda)$ and $\beta_m(\lambda) = \alpha_m \beta_n(\lambda) + \alpha_n \alpha_m(\lambda)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \exp[-(\lambda + n + in^2)\xi] \cos n\xi d\xi \\ &= \frac{\lambda + n + in^2}{(\lambda + n + in^2)^2 + n^2} \\ \beta_m(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \exp[-(\lambda + n + in^2)\xi] \sin n\xi d\xi \\ &= \frac{n}{(\lambda + n + in^2)^2 + n^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\alpha_m(\lambda)| \leq \lambda^{-1}$, $|\beta_m(\lambda)| \leq \lambda^{-1}$ and $|\alpha_m(\lambda)| \leq \lambda^{-1}$ for all $\lambda > 0$, we get

$$\|R(\lambda; A)x\| = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\alpha_m(\lambda)| + \sum_{n=1}^\infty n |\beta_m(\lambda)| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \|x\|.$$

Hence $\|\lambda R(\lambda; A)\| \leq 2$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Furthermore, for any ultimately zero vector $x = \{(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_m, \beta_m), (0, 0), (0, 0), \dots\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\lambda R(\lambda; A)x - x\| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m |\lambda \alpha_k(\lambda) - \alpha_k| + \sum_{k=1}^m n |\lambda \beta_k(\lambda) - \beta_k| \rightarrow 0 \\ &\text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set of the ultimately zero vectors is dense in X , it follows from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda; A)x = x \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Thus we obtain from (5) and (6) that $T(\xi)$ is of class $(0, A)$.

References

- [1] I. Miyadera; A note on strongly (C, \mathcal{A}) -ergodic semi-group of operators, Proc. Japan Acad., vol.30 (1954), 797-800.
- [2] I. Miyadera; On the generation of a strongly ergodic semi-group of operators II, Tôhoku Math. Journ., vol.6 (1954), 231-242.
- [3] R.S. Phillips; An inversion formula for Laplace transforms and semi-groups of linear operators, Ann. Math., vol.59 (1954), 325-356.

Mathematical Institute,
Tokyo Metropolitan University.

(*) Received June 30, 1955.

