By Tatsuo Kawata

1. Let $\phi(x)$ be a continuous periodic function with period 2π which satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order α ($o < \alpha < 1$). Suppose through this paper that

(1.1)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{2\pi} \varphi(x) \, dx = 0.$$

The object of the present paper is to discuss the various similar properties of the series

$$(1.2) \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \varphi(\lambda_n x),$$

as the Fourier series with gaps, for example, the convergence, mean convergence, absolute convergence of (1.2) and distribution properties of partial sums of (1.2).

Among other results, M.Kac has proved that if λ_n are positive integers such that

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \geq q > 1,$$

then the convergence of

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_n^2$$

implies the convergence of (1.2) at almost all ∞ and the mean convergence in every finite interval.") Recently M.Udagawa and the author proved that the convergence property of (1.2) under the condition $\sum c_n^2 < \infty$ holds good for non-integer sequence λ_n . Also it was shown by M.Kac that, if $\varphi(\lambda) = 2^{1/2}$, then the above result also holds even if the integral character is not supposed (2), and in this case the divergence of (1.4) implies the almost everywhere divergence of (1.2). The last fact is due to M. Kac land P.Hartman (4). For general series (1.2), the more severe condition than (1.3) on gaps is necessary for the validity of the last fact. Recently M.Udagawa and the author proved that the almost everywhere convergence of (1.2) under the condition $\sum c_n^2 < \infty$ follows for non-integer sequence λ_n with (1.3). In § 2, we shall prove the more complete theorem (Theorem 1) as to (1.2) which is well known for Fourier series with gaps (1.3), under the following gap condition,

$$\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \ge n^c$$

where β is any positive number, and the λ_n is not necessarily an integer.

The Fourier series with gaps (1.3) of a bounded function, converges absolutely. This is well known theorem of S.Sidon, which was generalized to the non-harmonic series, (almost periodic Fourier series) by M.Udagawa and the author. 5) Corresponding theorem for

the general series (1.2) will be shown in Theorem 12.

In the last section we shall consider the behavior of the distribution of partial sums

$$(4.6) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k} \varphi(\lambda_{k}^{x}) = S_{n}(x).$$

2. Lemma 1. Let $\varphi(x)$ belong to $\lim_{x \to \infty} (0 \cdot x \le 1)$ satisfying (1.1) and let (1.3) to be hold. We put

(2.1)
$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{X} \frac{\sin^2 x/2}{x^2/2} dx$$

Then

(2.2)
$$\iint_{\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{j}x) \varphi(\lambda_{k}x) d\sigma(x) | \leq A q^{-\alpha |j-k|}$$

where A is a constant independent of j and k.

This lemma was proved by M.Kac $^{(b)}$ in the case $\{\lambda_k\}$ are integers and was generalized by M.Udagawa and the author to general case. (9) We shall suppose $\varphi_{(x)}$ to be real in this paper.

Lemma 2. Let a_{μ} , b_{μ} ($\mu = 1, 2, ...$) be the Fourier cosine and sine coefficients of $\mathcal{P}(x)$ which satisfies the conditions in Lemma 1 ($a_0 = 0$ by (1.1)), and denote

(2.3)
$$B = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} (a_{\mu}^{2} + b_{\mu}^{2})$$

If (1.3) holds and

$$(24)$$
 $9^{\alpha} 1 > \frac{2A}{B}$

A being a constant in (2.2), then

$$(2.5) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_n^2(x) dx \ge \Delta \sum_{k=1}^{n} \zeta_k^2,$$

where

(2.6)
$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \varphi(\lambda_k x)$$

and

$$\Delta = B - 2AC_q$$
, $C_q = \frac{1}{q\alpha - 1}$

Proof. We have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{n}^{2}(x) d\sigma(x) =$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \varphi(l_{k}x) c_{j} \varphi(l_{j}x) d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} \varphi^{2}(l_{k}x) d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} \varphi^{2}(l_{k}x) d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} \varphi^{2}(l_{k}x) d\sigma(x)$$

Now $\{\sqrt{2}\cos\lambda_k\mu^{\chi},\sqrt{2}\sin\lambda_k\mu^{\chi}\}$ is an orthonormal set of functions in $(-\infty,\infty)$ with respect to $\sigma(\chi)$ which is considered as measure function, $\mu=1,2,\ldots$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos \lambda x \, d\sigma(\alpha) = (1 - |\lambda|) \, , \quad |\lambda| < 1,$$

$$(2.8) = 0 \, , \qquad |\lambda| > 1,$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin \lambda x \, d\sigma(x) = 0, \quad \text{for every } \lambda.$$

$$\int_{\infty}^{\infty} \varphi^{2}(\lambda_{K}\lambda) d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu} \cos \lambda_{K} \mu x + b_{\mu} \sin \lambda_{K} \mu x \right)^{2} d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \left(a_{\mu}^{2} + b_{\mu}^{2} \right) = \beta.$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \right\}^{2} d\sigma(x)$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{j}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \varphi(\lambda_{j} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{j}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \varphi(\lambda_{j} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{j}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \varphi(\lambda_{j} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2} + c_{j}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) dx|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) dx|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) dx|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} - 2 A \sum_{k>j} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}|$$

$$\geq B \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} |c_{k} c_{k}^{2}| |c_{k}^{2}| |c_{$$

We shall now prove the following theo-

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi(z)$ be a periodic function belonging to $L_p \propto (o \propto z)$ (1.1) being supposed. If there is a positive number c such that

being supposed. If there is a tive number c such that

$$(2./0) \frac{\lambda_{kr}}{\lambda_{k}} \ge k^{c} > 0, \ k = i, 2, ...,$$

then for $\beta > 0$, there exist constants A_{p} , B_{p} independent of n, $(\circ < A_{p} < B_{p} < \infty)$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad A_{p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \Big|^{p} d\sigma(x)$$

$$\leq B_{p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. We take the sequence of integers $\{m_k\}$ such that

$$(2./2) \quad C = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^2 \alpha} < \infty$$

Let $T_m(z)$ be m -th Fejer mean of the Fourier series of $\varphi(z)$. Then \sin

(2./3)
$$|\varphi(x) - \tau_m(x)| \le \frac{C}{m\pi}$$

uniformly for - $\infty < x < \infty$. The constant C here and hereafter may differ on each occurrence.

$$S_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \varphi(\lambda_{k}x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \left\{ \varphi(\lambda_{k}x) - \tau_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k}x) \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \tau_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k}x) = J_{1} + J_{2},$$
say. By (2.13) we have
$$|J_{1}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} |c_{k}| |\varphi(\lambda_{k}x) - \tau_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k}x)|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|c_{k}|}{m_{k}^{\alpha}}$$

$$(2.44) \leq C \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{i_{m}^{2}x}{m_{k}^{2}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Integrating with respect to $\sigma(x)$ we get

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathcal{J}_{i}|^{2h} d\sigma(x) \leq C \left(\sum_{R=m}^{n} c_{R}^{2} \right)^{h}.$$

Next we consider

where k is a positive integer. By multinomial theorem

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \, \sigma_{m_{k}} (\lambda_{k} \, x) \right\}^{2k}$$

$$(2./7) = \sum_{\alpha_{i} \neq \alpha_{k} \leftarrow \pm 2k} \frac{(2k)!}{\alpha_{i}! \, \alpha_{k}! \cdots c_{k_{i}}} c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{i}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \cdots c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \cdots c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \cdots c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \cdots c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \cdots c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{k}}^{\alpha_{k}} \cdots c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} \cdots c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} \cdots c_{k_{i}}^{\alpha_{k}} c_{k_{i}}^{$$

Let q be so large that $h < \frac{1}{2}(q-1)$ and suppose that

Then we have

$$(2.19) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_{R_{i}}}^{\alpha_{i}}(\lambda_{k_{i}}^{2}x) \sigma_{m_{R_{i}}}^{\alpha_{i}}(\lambda_{k_{i}}^{2}x) \cdot d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_{R_{i}}}^{\alpha_{i}}(\lambda_{k_{i}}^{2}x)d\sigma(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_{R_{i}}}^{\alpha_{i}}(\lambda_{k_{i}}^{2}x)d\sigma(x) \cdot \cdots,$$

$$(h_{i}, h_{i}, h_{i}, h_{i})$$

for, for example, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{N_{c}}^{D_{c}}(\lambda,x) \ \sigma_{N_{c}}^{M_{c}}(\lambda_{c}x) \\ = & \left\{ \ \sigma_{0}^{(i)} + (\int_{0}^{(i)} \cos \lambda_{N_{c}}x + \int_{0}^{(i)} \sin \lambda_{N_{c}}x) + (\int_{0}^{(i)} \cos \lambda_{N_{c}}x + \int_{0}^{(i)} \sin \lambda_{N_{c}}x) + \\ & \left\{ \ \sigma_{0}^{(i)} + (\int_{0}^{(i)} \cos \lambda_{N_{c}}x + \int_{0}^{(i)} \cos \lambda_{N_{c}}x + \int_{0}^{(i)} \sin \lambda_{N_{c}}x) + (\int_{0}^{(i)} \cos \lambda_{N_{c}}x + \int_{0}^{(i)} \sin \lambda_{N_{c}}x + \int_{0}^{(i)} \sin$$

and the greatest frequency of the first factor is $\alpha_{l}m_{R_{l}}\lambda_{R_{l}}$, $l(2\pi)$ which is less than $\lambda_{R_{l}}$ $l(2\pi)$ in virtue of (2.18).

$$O_{m_{R_{i}}}^{O_{i}}(\lambda_{R_{i}}x) \ \sigma_{m_{R_{i}}}^{\omega_{i}}(\lambda_{k_{i}}x)$$
= $V_{o}^{(i)} \ V_{o}^{(i)} + (V_{o}^{(i)}\cos V_{i}x + V_{o}^{(i)}\sin V_{i}x) + \cdots$

where i < v, < v, < . . , and thus

$$\begin{split} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{\sigma_{m_{k_{1}}}^{\alpha_{1}}}(\lambda_{k_{1}}x) \, \widetilde{\sigma_{m_{k_{1}}}^{\alpha_{1}}}(\lambda_{k_{2}}x) \, d\sigma(x) \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{f_{o}}^{(1)} \, \widetilde{f_{o}}^{(2)} \, d\sigma(x) \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{f_{o}}^{(1)} \, \widetilde{f_{o}}^{(2)} \, d\sigma(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{\sigma_{m_{k_{1}}}^{\alpha_{1}}}(\lambda_{k_{1}}x) \, d\sigma(x) \, , \end{split}$$

In general if.

(2.20)
$$\alpha_i m_{R_i} \lambda_{R_i} + \alpha_i m_{R_i} \lambda_{R_i} + \cdots + \lambda_{R_j}$$
, $k_i < k_i < \cdots < k_j$, then (2.19) holds. But (2.20) is true, since

Thus (2.19) is proved.

Now let $\varphi(x)$ be an odd function. Then $\sigma_m(x)$ is also odd, and so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_m^{\alpha}(x) d\sigma(x) = 0,$$

if α is odd. Therefore the left hand of (2.19) is not zero, only when $\alpha_{\prime\prime}$, α_{z} , are all even numbers. Thus we

$$\begin{split} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \, \sigma_{m_{k}} \left(\lambda_{k} x \right) \right\}^{2k} d\sigma(x) \\ &= \sum_{\beta_{i} \neq \beta_{2} + \cdots = k} \frac{(2k)!}{\alpha \beta_{i} i!} \frac{c_{k} \beta_{i}}{(2\beta_{i})!} - c_{k}^{2\beta_{i}} c_{k}^{2\beta_{2}} \\ &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_{k_{i}}}^{2\beta_{i}} \left(\lambda_{k_{i}} x \right) d\sigma(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_{k_{i}}}^{2\beta_{i}} \left(\lambda_{k_{i}} x \right) d\sigma(x) \end{split}$$

which is, putting $|\sigma_m(x)| \le M$, not greater than

$$\stackrel{\leq}{=} \sum_{\beta_{i} + \beta_{k} + \dots + h} \frac{(2h)! \cdot h!}{h! \, 2h} \zeta_{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h}^{\beta_{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h}} \zeta_{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h}^{\beta_{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h}} \zeta_{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h}^{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h}$$

$$(2 \cdot 2i) = C \left(\sum_{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h}^{\alpha_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h} \zeta_{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h}^{\beta_{i} \mid \beta_{k} \mid \dots + h} \right)$$

If $\varphi(x)$ is an even function, then we consider

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sin x)^{2h}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \sin x \cdot \sigma_{m_k}(\lambda_k x) \right\}^{2h} d\sigma(x),$$

and we can prove (2.21). In general case, by dividing $\varphi(x)$ into the sum of an even function and an odd function, we can prove that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k} \sigma_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k} x) \right\}^{2h} d\sigma(x)$$

$$(2.22) \leq C \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{L} \right)^{h},$$

where (depends on 4

, we take an Now for any \$> a integer \$\lambda\$ such that

Then we have by (2.22).

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i}^{k} C_{K} \varphi(\lambda_{R} x) \right|^{p} d\sigma(x)
\leq \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{i}^{n} C_{K} \varphi(\lambda_{R} x) \right\}^{2h} d\sigma(x) \right\}^{\frac{p}{2h}}
(2,22) \leq C_{h} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} C_{R}^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2h}}$$

Next, by Lemma 2, and Holder's inequality, if φ is so large that (2.4) is true, then

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{c_{k}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tilde{\Sigma}_{c_{k}}^{2} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x)|^{2} d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \frac{i}{\Delta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i}^{n} C_{R} \, \mathcal{P} \left(\lambda_{R} \, X \right) \right|^{2} \left| \sum_{i}^{n} C_{R} \right.$$

$$\left. \mathcal{P} \left(\lambda_{R} \, X \right) \right|^{2 - t} d\sigma(x)$$

$$\{ 0 < t < P, t < I \}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\tilde{F}_{CK} \varphi(\lambda_{K} x)|^{p} d\sigma(x) \right\}^{\frac{t}{P}}$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\tilde{F}_{CK} \varphi(\lambda_{K} x)|^{(x-t)} f(p-t) d\sigma(x) \right\}^{\frac{p}{P}}$$

$$\leq 4 \left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\tilde{F}_{CK} \varphi(\lambda_{K} x)|^{p} d\sigma(x) \right\}^{\frac{t}{P}}$$

$$\left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} |\tilde{F}_{CK} \varphi(\lambda_{K} x)|^{2h} \right\}^{\frac{2-t}{2h}}$$

where 2h is an even function greater than (2-t)p/(p-t),

$$\leq \Delta \left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left| \tilde{\Sigma} \left(\kappa \varphi \left(\lambda_{R} \, \tilde{x} \right) \right|^{p} d\sigma(\tilde{x}) \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\tilde{\Sigma} \left(\kappa^{2} \right)^{\frac{2-t}{2}} \right) \right\}$$

We have therefore

$$\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n}C_{\mathbf{R}}^{2}\right\}^{1/2} \leq \Delta^{1/2}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n}C_{\mathbf{R}}\varphi(\lambda_{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{x})\right|^{p}d\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x})\right\}^{1/p}$$

Thus we have proved (2.11), under the assumptions (2.12), (2.18), (2.4) and 九(一(8-1)

To prove (2.11) generally, we divide $\{\lambda_R\}$ into r sequences of $\{\lambda_{RT+S}\}$ $k=0,1,2,\ldots$, where $s=0,1,2,\ldots$

$$\frac{\lambda_{(R+1)\,r+s}}{\lambda_{RT+s}} \geq R^{rc}$$

if we take r so large that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{-kr} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and then take $m_k = k^{rc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, and further $(rc/2)^{o} - 1 > 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then by the fact above proved, we have

$$A_{\beta}\left(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n}C_{\kappa r+s}^{2}\right)^{\beta/2} \leq \int_{00}^{\infty} \left|\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n}C_{\kappa r+s}^{2}\left(\lambda_{\kappa r+s}^{2}\right)\right|^{\beta} d\sigma(x)$$

$$\leq B_{\beta}\left(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n}C_{\kappa r+s}^{2}\right)^{\beta/2}$$

S=0, 1, r=1. By adding these inequalities with respect to S, and using the inequality,

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_p)^p$$

 $\leq C(|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_p|^p) \quad (p>0)$

 $(\times > o)$ where c may depend on r , we get the (2.11). Thus we have completely proved the theorem.

Theorem 2. If $a > 2\pi$, and sufficiently large, then there exists consts A and B which may depend on p and p > 0, such that

(2.23)
$$A(\tilde{\Sigma}C_{R}^{2})^{\frac{N}{2}} \leq \int_{-R}^{\alpha} |\tilde{\Sigma}C_{R}\varphi(\lambda_{R}x)|^{\frac{N}{2}} dx$$
$$\leq B(\tilde{\Sigma}C_{R}^{2})^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

provided that $\mathcal{G}(x)$ and λ_K satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.

This follows from Theorem 1 in the following manner. Since $\sin \frac{y^2}{y^2} \ge (2/\pi)^2$ for $-\pi/2 \le y \le \pi/2$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i}^{n} C_{R} \varphi \left(\lambda_{R} \chi \right) \right|^{p} d\sigma(\chi) \\ &\geq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{i}^{n} C_{R} \varphi \left(\lambda_{R} \chi \right) \right|^{p} d\sigma(\chi) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{i}^{n} C_{R} \varphi \left(\lambda_{R} \chi \right) \right|^{p} d\chi. \end{split}$$

Hence by Theorem :

$$B(\tilde{\Sigma}_{R}^{r}C_{R}^{2})^{t/2} \geq \int_{\pi}^{\pi} |\tilde{\Sigma}_{R}^{r}C_{R}g(\lambda_{R}x)|^{t} dx$$

Generally considering $\sigma(x-y)$ instead of $\sigma(x)$, we have

$$B\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}C_{k}^{2}\right)^{p/2} \geq \int_{\pi/y}^{\pi+y} \left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}C_{k}g\left(\lambda_{k}x\right)\right|^{p}dx,$$
 from which if $a>2\pi$, then

(2,24)
$$a \cdot B\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \ge \int_{-a}^{a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} \varphi(\lambda_{i} x) \Big|^{p} dx$$
On the other hand

JIXIDA I E CAP (XXX) | Pd (X)

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|x| > a} \left(\sum_{i}^{\infty} C_{K} \varphi(\lambda_{K} x) \right)^{p} \frac{A^{in^{2}} \frac{2}{2}}{x^{2}/2} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|x| > a} \left(\sum_{i}^{\infty} C_{K} \varphi(\lambda_{K} x) \right)^{p} \frac{dx}{x^{2}}$$

$$= 2 \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} d\left(\int_{-x}^{x} \sum_{i}^{\infty} C_{K} \varphi(\lambda_{K} x) \right)^{p} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{a^{2}} \int_{a}^{a} \left(\sum_{i}^{\infty} \int_{-x}^{p} dx + 2 \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx \right)^{p} dx$$

which does not exceed, using (2.24),

$$\frac{2B}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} {\binom{2}{i}}^{p/2} + 2B \left(\sum_{i}^{n} {\binom{2}{i}}^{2} \right)^{p/2} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{dX}{x^{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{B}{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i} {\binom{2}{i}}^{n} \right)^{p/2}$$

Hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \right|^{k} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a}$$

$$\geq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| - \right| \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{|x| > a} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{$$

$$\geq A \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} - \frac{B}{A} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

$$\geq \left(A - \frac{B}{A}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

provided $A = \frac{B}{A} > 0$. This and (2.24) show the theorem.

We mention that it holds

This is evident by (2.24).

3. The object of this section is to prove the inequality theorems concerning $M_{K_{cd}} \sum_{k}^{K} C_{K} \gamma(x_{k} x_{k})$. We get the following theorems.

Theorem 3. If p > 1, then under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have

where A does not depend on

Theorem 4. If P > I, then under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have for any a > 0,

(3.2)
$$\int_{-a}^{a} \left| \max_{1 \leq R \leq h} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{R} \varphi(\lambda_{R} X) \right|^{p} dX \leq A \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{R}^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$$

where A is independent of N but may depend on a .

We shall prove Theorem 4. Clearly we may suppose that α is large. Putting

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k \varphi(\lambda_k x)$$

we have, for any x_0 , N > 2,

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} S_N(x) dx - S_N(x_0)$$

$$= \sum_{n+1}^{N} C_k \frac{1}{h} \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \varphi(\lambda_k x) dx$$

$$+ \frac{1}{h} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \varphi(\lambda_k x) - \varphi(\lambda_k x_0) dx$$

$$= J_1 + J_2$$

say. Then we have

Since

Next noticing that

(3.4)
$$\left|\int_{0}^{\delta} \varphi(\lambda_{n}x) dx\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \int_{a\lambda_{n}}^{\delta\lambda_{n}} \varphi(u) du\right| \leq C \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}$$

being independent of a and δ , which follows by the assumption $\int_{0}^{2\pi} g(x) dx = 0$, we have

$$|J_1| \leq Ch^{-1} \left(\sum_{n \neq 1}^{N} C_n^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \neq 1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq Ch^{-1} \left(\sum_{n \neq 1}^{N} C_n^2\right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Hence combining this with (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} &|\hat{\mathcal{T}} \int_{x_{o}}^{x_{o}+k} S_{N}(x) dx - \sum_{i}^{n} C_{k} \mathcal{G}(\lambda_{k} x_{o})| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} C_{k}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(h^{-1} \frac{c}{\lambda_{n}} + Mh^{\times} \left(\sum_{i}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{2\alpha}\right)^{1/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we take $n = n_0 = n_0 (x_0)$ such that

max
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{R} \mathcal{G}(\lambda_{R} \chi_{o}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{o}(x_{o})} C_{R} \mathcal{G}(\lambda_{R} \chi_{o})$$

and take $h = h(x_o) = \lambda_{n_o}^{-1}$. Then the above expression does not exceed

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} C_{k}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(C + M \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n_{0}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{n_{0}}}\right)^{2\alpha} \right|^{1/2}\right)$$

$$\leq C \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} C_{k}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

for there exists $\frac{9}{7} > 1$ such that $\frac{\lambda k}{\lambda n_0} \le \frac{9}{7} - \frac{(n_0 - k)}{(k < n_0)}$ and it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \left(\frac{\lambda k}{\lambda_{n_0}} \right)^{2d} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{9^{-(n_0-k)}}{2} \leq C$$

Thus we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{h_0} \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + h_0} S_N(x) dx - \max_{1 \le n \le N} \frac{\pi}{2} C_R q(\lambda_R x_0) \right|$$

$$\leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n C_R^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

from which follows:

$$|\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{n} \varphi(\lambda_{n} X_{0}) |$$

$$\leq \max_{-\lambda_{n}^{-1} \leq h \leq \lambda_{n}^{-1}} |\overline{h}|_{X_{0}}^{X_{0} + h} (x) ||_{X_{0}}^{X_{0}} + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{n}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \max_{i=1}^{N} |\overline{h}|_{X_{0}}^{X_{0} + h} (x) ||_{X_{0}}^{X_{0} + h} (x) ||_{X_{0} + h}^{X_{0} + h}$$

By the well known maximal theorem of Hardy and Littlewood, we have, for

$$\int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \max_{|\lambda| \leq \alpha} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{x_0}^{x_0 + \lambda} S_N(x) dx \right|^p dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \left| S_N(x) \right|^p dx$$

which is not greater, by Theorem 2, than

if a is large. Hence

$$\int_{-a}^{a} \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{k} g(\lambda_{k} x) \right|^{p} dx \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{k}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

Theorem 3 is proved similarly, if we notice that the maximal theorem is true even in the form

Fine even in the form
$$\int_{-\alpha}^{\infty} \max_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq \alpha} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma(E_{i,k})} \int_{X}^{x+h} |x| d\sigma(x) d\sigma(x) \right| d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \left(\int_{-\alpha}^{\infty} |S_{N}(x)|^{\frac{1}{p}} d\sigma(x) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{x,\lambda}$ is the set $(x,x+\lambda)$ and

$$\sigma(E_{x,h}) = \int_{E_{x,h}} d\sigma(x)$$

Combining Theorems 3 and 4 with Theorems 1 and 2, we can state the following results.

Theorem 5. If the conditions in Theorem 1 are assumed, then r>1,

(3.5)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \max_{1 \le n \le N} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \right|^{p} d\sigma(x) \\ \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_{k} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \right|^{r} dx$$

where C is a constant independent of N.

Theorem 6. If $a > 2\pi$ and the conditions in Theorem 1 are assumed, then,

$$\int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \max_{1=\pi \leq N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{k} \mathcal{G}(\lambda_{k} x) \right|^{p} dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{k} \mathcal{G}(\lambda_{k} x) \left| \right|^{p} dx$$

where C may depend on a but not on N.

4. We consider the convergence problem of

(41)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k g(\lambda_k x).$$

As we stated in \ 1, if,

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k^2 < \infty$$

then (4.1) converges almost everywhere provided that $\lambda_{N^{+1}}/\lambda_{N} \ge \sqrt{2} > l$, and $\Re(x)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1. We shall first show the converse in the following forms.

Theorem 1. Let g(x) satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1, and let has gaps (2.10). Then there exists such that

(43)
$$A \int_{E} d\sigma(x) \cdot \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \leq \int_{E} \left| \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k} \varphi(\lambda_{k} x) \right|^{2} d\sigma(x)$$
$$\leq B \int_{E} d\sigma(x) \cdot \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2}$$

where E is any measurable set of positive measure, A, B being independent of n

The following fact follows immediately from Theorem 7.

Theorem 8. Under the conditions of Theorem 7. if

$$(44) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{R}^{z} = \omega,$$

then the series $\sum_{k}^{\infty} C_{k} \mathcal{P}(\lambda_{k} x)$ diverges almost everywhere.

For if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k \, \mathcal{G}(\lambda_k \, X)$ converges on a set of positive measure, then this series uniformly on a subset E of positive measure. And hence by (4.3), there exist M such that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^2 < M$. This contradicts to (4.4).

We now prove Theorem 7. Let |E| >0

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \sum_{k=R_{0}}^{N} C_{k} \varphi \left(\lambda_{k} \lambda \right) \right\}^{2} d\sigma(x) \\
& = \int_{E} \left\{ \sum_{k=R_{0}}^{N} C_{k} \left(\varphi \left(\lambda_{k} \lambda \right) - \sigma_{m_{k}} \left(\lambda_{k} \lambda \right) \right) \right. \\
& + \sum_{R=R_{0}}^{N} C_{k} \sigma_{m_{k}} \left(\lambda_{k} \lambda \right) \right\}^{2} d\sigma(x) \\
& = \int_{E} \left\{ \sum_{k=R_{0}}^{N} C_{k} \sigma_{m_{k}} \left(\lambda_{k} \lambda \right) \right\}^{2} d\sigma(x) \\
& + 2 \int_{E} \sum_{k=R_{0}}^{N} C_{k} \left(\varphi(\lambda_{k} \lambda) - \sigma_{m_{k}} \left(\lambda_{k} \lambda \right) \right) \\
& \cdot \sum_{R=R_{0}}^{N} C_{k} \sigma_{m_{k}} \left(\lambda_{k} \lambda \right) d\sigma(x) \\
& + \int_{E} \left\{ \sum_{k=R_{0}}^{N} C_{k} \left(\varphi(\lambda_{k} \lambda) - \sigma_{m_{k}} \left(\lambda_{k} \lambda \right) \right) \right\}^{2} d\sigma(x)
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.14)

$$|\mathcal{T}_{2}| \leq 2 \left\{ \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_{0}}^{K} C_{k} (\mathcal{Y}(\lambda_{k} X) - \mathcal{O}_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k} X)) \right)^{2} d\mathcal{O}(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{K} C_{k} \mathcal{O}_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k} X) \right)^{2} d\mathcal{O}(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(4.6) \leq C\left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{n} C_k^2\right) \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{2k}}\right)^{1/2}$$

And

$$|\mathcal{J}_{3}| \leq |\int_{E} | \leq \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} c_{k} (\mathcal{T}(k_{k}x) - \mathcal{G}_{m_{k}}(k_{k}x)) \right]^{2} dx$$

$$d \mathcal{T}(x)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} C_{k}^{2} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2k}} dx$$

rra hame

$$\int_{E} \left\{ \sum C_{R} \sigma_{m_{R}}(\lambda_{K} x) \right\}^{2} d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \int_{E} \sum_{k>R}^{\infty} c_{k}^{2} \sigma_{m_{R}}(\lambda_{K} x) \right\}^{4} d\sigma(x)$$

$$+ 2 \int_{E} \sum_{k>1} c_{k} c_{j} \sigma_{m_{R}}(\lambda_{R} x) \sigma_{m_{j}}(\lambda_{j} x) d\sigma(x)$$

Now the sequence $\frac{1}{\beta_{k_j}} \delta_{m_k}(\lambda_k x) \delta_{k_j}(\lambda_j x)$ $k \neq j$ forms as normal orthogonal sequence, where

$$\beta_{k_j}^2 = \int_{00}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_k}^2(\lambda_k x) \sigma_{m_j}^2(\lambda_k x) d\sigma(x)$$

Hence

$$2\int_{E} \sum_{k,j\geq k_0} c_k c_j \sigma_{m_k}(A_{jk}x) \delta_{m_j}(A_{jk}x) d\sigma(x)$$

$$\leq 2\left(\sum_{k,j\geq k_0} c_k c_j^2\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left\{\sum_{k,j\geq k_0} \left(\int_{E} \sigma_{m_k}(A_{jk}x) \delta_{m_j}(A_{jk}x) d\sigma(x)\right)^{1/2}\right\}$$

$$= 2\left(\sum_{k,j\geq k_0} c_k^2 c_j^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k,j\geq k_0} \beta_{n_j}^{j} \cdot b_{n_j}^{j}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= 2\left(\sum_{k,j\geq k_0} c_k^2 c_j^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k,j\geq k_0} \beta_{n_j}^{j} \cdot b_{n_j}^{j}\right)^{1/2}$$

where is the Fourier coefficient (with respect to 6) of a

characteristic function of the set F Since $| \beta_{kj} | \leq M^2$ (putting $| \gamma | \leq M$ as before) the right side of (4.7) is

$$(4.8) \leq C \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} C_k^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} b_{k_0}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

the last series being convergent by Bessel inequality.

Now we take k_o so large that the last expression is less than

$$(4.9) \qquad \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{E}} d\sigma(x) \left(\sum_{k=k_0}^{n} C_k^2 \right).$$

Now we have

$$\int_{E} \sum_{k=R_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \sigma_{m_{k}}^{2} (\lambda_{k} X) d\sigma(X)$$

$$= \sum_{k=R_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \int_{E} \sigma_{m_{k}}^{2} (\lambda_{k} X) d\sigma(X)$$

$$= \sum_{k=R_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \int_{E} \int_{V=1}^{m_{k}} (1 - \frac{V}{m_{k}}) (\alpha_{V} \cos \lambda_{k} VX + b_{V} \sin \lambda_{k} M(X))^{2} d\sigma(X),$$

which is, denoting $(1-\frac{1}{m_{K}}) = dv_{K}$, $a_{V}\cos VX + b_{V}\sin VX = A_{V}(x)$,

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}_{0}}^{\mathbf{k}} C_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \Big[\int_{E} \sum_{j=1}^{\mathbf{k}_{K}} d_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}}^{2} A_{\mathbf{j}}^{2} (\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} \chi) d\sigma(\chi) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{E} \sum_{j,p\geq 1}^{\mathbf{k}_{K}} d_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} d_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} d_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{j}} (\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} \chi) A_{\mathbf{j}} (\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} \chi) \\ \cdot d\sigma(\chi) \Big]$$

Here

$$\begin{split} \int_{E} \sum_{V=1}^{m_{R}} d_{V,R}^{2} A_{V}^{2} (\lambda_{R}x) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \sum_{V=1}^{m_{R}} d_{V,R}^{2} \int_{E} (\alpha_{V}^{2} \cos^{2}\lambda_{R} VX + b_{V}^{2} \sin^{2}\lambda_{R} VX \\ &+ 2\alpha_{V}b_{V} \cos\lambda_{R}VX \sin\lambda_{R} VX) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{V=1}^{m_{R}} d_{V,R}^{2} (\alpha_{V}^{2} + b_{V}^{2}) \int_{E} d\sigma(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{Z=1}^{m_{R}} d_{V,R}^{2} (\alpha_{V}^{2} + b_{V}^{2}) \int_{E} d\sigma(x) \\ &- b_{V}^{2} \int_{E} \cos 2\lambda_{R} VX d\sigma(x) \\ &+ 2\sum_{V=1}^{m_{R}} d_{V,R}^{2} \alpha_{V} b_{V} \int_{E} \cos\lambda_{R} VX \lambda_{M} \lambda_{K} VX d\sigma(x) \end{split}$$

$$(4.11) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{M_{N}} d_{\nu R} (a_{\nu}^{2} + b_{\nu}^{2}) \int_{E} d \sigma(x) + J_{R},$$

sav. Then

$$\sum_{k=R_0}^{n} C_k^2 |J_4| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m_R} (a_k^2 + 2|a_ib_i| + b_i^2)$$

$$- \sum_{k=R_0}^{n} C_k^2 (|J_{\underline{\mu}} con 2\lambda_{\underline{\mu}} V X d\sigma(x)|$$

$$+ |J_{\underline{\mu}} sin 2\lambda_{\underline{\mu}} V X con 2\lambda_{\underline{\mu}} V X d\sigma(x)|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i^2 + b_i^2) (\sum_{k=R_0}^{\infty} C_k^{-4})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n}\left(\int_{E}\cos2\lambda_{k}\nu\chi\,d\sigma(x)\right)^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(\int_{E}\sin2\lambda_{k}\nu\chi\,d\sigma(x)\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n}C_{k}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n}\left(\int_{E}\cos2\lambda_{y}\nu\chi\,d\sigma(x)\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$+\left(\int_{E}\sin2\lambda_{k}\nu\chi\cos2\lambda_{k}\nu\chi\,d\sigma(x)\right)^{2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$$

Further we assume that k_o is so large that the last series is less than $\beta \cdot \sigma(E)$

where \tilde{c} shall be determined soon later. This is possible by Bessel inequality. The same is also true for the second term in the inner bracket of (4.10). Hence we get, for sufficiently large k_{\circ} , by above facts and (4.11)

$$\int_{E}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \delta_{m_{k}}^{-} (\lambda_{k} x) d\sigma(x)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{m_{k}} d_{\nu,k}^{2} (a_{\nu}^{2} + b_{\nu}^{2}) \int_{E} d\sigma(x)$$

$$- 2\beta \cdot \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \cdot \int_{E} d\sigma(x)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{m_{k}} d_{\nu,k}^{2} (a_{\nu}^{2} + b_{\nu}^{2}) \int_{E} d\sigma(x)$$

$$- 2\beta \cdot \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \int_{E} d\sigma(x)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (a_{\nu}^{2} + b_{\nu}^{2}) \int_{E} d\sigma(x)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} (a_{\nu}^{2} + b_{\nu}^{2}) \int_{E} d\sigma(x)$$

$$- 2\beta \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \int_{E} d\sigma(x)$$

Now clearly we can take β and k, so that the last expression

for some constant A . Thus we get the left side inequality of (4.3), where κ may depend on ε .

That the right hand side of (4.3) is true, is implied in the above proof. Hence the theorem is proved.

We shall now give the consequences of theorems obtained. We have already stated that (4.2) implies the almost everywhere convergence of (4.1) under rather more general condition (1.3), which was gotten by M.Kac, M.Udagawa and the author . But if we assume (2.10), then we get the following theorem which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 or 4.

Theorem 9. Let f(x) be conditioned as in Theorem 1 and let (2.10) be assumed. If

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{50} C_k^2 < \infty$$

then $\sum C_R \varphi(\lambda_R X)$ is convergent almost everywhere in $(-\infty, \infty)$ and the limit function belongs to C_R for every p>1

The following theorems are also consequences of Theorem 3 and 4, and analogous theorems for independent functions were proved by S.Karlin . Proofs of the theorems are completely analogous.

Theorem 10. Conditions in Theorem 1 are assumed. Then $\sum_{k}^{\infty} C_{kk} \varphi \left(\sum_{k} \times \right)$ converges almost everywhere to a function of L_{p} (p > 1) in every finite interval, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$(4.13) \int_{-a}^{a} \left| \sum_{\kappa=1}^{m} C_{\kappa} \varphi(\lambda_{\kappa} x) \right|^{p} dx \leq V_{p}$$

for every a , γ_r being dependent on a and ρ

Theorem 11. Conditions in Theorem 1 are assumed. Then if $\Sigma \subseteq_R \varphi(\lambda_R x)$ converges almost everywhere to a function which belongs to L_p in every finite interval, then the series converges in mean L_p with respect to $\sigma(x)$. And the converse is true.

5. We shall consider, in this section the absolute convergence of

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \, \mathcal{P}(\lambda_k \, X)$$

Theorem 12 below is an analogous theorem in a sense to the well known theorem of S.Sidon concerning Fourier series with gap.

Theorem 12. Let the conditions in Theorem 1 are assumed. If

(5,2)
$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{k} \mathcal{G}(\lambda_{k} x) \right| \leq C_{k}$$

C being independent of n, then

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |C_k| < \infty$$

By (5.2) and Theorem 7, $\sum C_k^2 < \infty$. Letting $S_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k ? (\lambda_k x)$,

$$S_{m}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k} \left(\mathcal{P}(\lambda_{k} x) - \mathscr{F} \widetilde{\sigma}_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k} x) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k} \widetilde{\sigma}_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k} x)$$

say. If (2.18) is true, then $\{\sigma_{m_k}(\lambda_k x)\}$ forms the orthogonal system. Denoting

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{m_k}^2(\lambda_k x) d\sigma(x) = \beta_k^2,$$

we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}_2 \cdot \mathcal{F}_{m_{\beta}}(\lambda_{\beta} x) \, d\sigma(x) = C_{\beta} \beta k.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |C_{k}| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k} \mathcal{E}_{k} \qquad (\mathcal{E}_{k} = Sgn C_{k})$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} J_{2} \cdot \widetilde{\sigma}_{k} (\lambda_{k} \times) \frac{\mathcal{E}_{k}}{\beta_{k}} d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \frac{M}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} J_{2} \cdot \widetilde{\sigma}_{m_{k}} (\lambda_{k} \times) \frac{L \mathcal{E}_{k}}{M \beta_{k}} d\sigma(x) = J_{3}$$

say, where $|\sigma_m(x)| \le M$ and L is a positive constant such that

- 27 -

The existence of \triangle in (5.4) is implied in the proof of Theorem 7 (4.10).

If we put

(5,6)
$$P_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{L}{M} \frac{\mathcal{E}_k}{\mathcal{E}_k} \sigma_{m_k}(\lambda_R x)\right)$$

then, by (5.4)

$$\left|\frac{L}{M}\frac{\mathcal{E}_{k}}{\rho_{k}}\delta_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k}x)\right|\leq1$$

and hence

$$(5,7) P_n(x) \ge 0$$

Now by (2.18) $\sigma_m: (\lambda_i, \chi) \cdots \sigma_{m_{i_j}}(\lambda_i, \chi)$ have no terms with same frequencies $(\lambda_i < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_{r})$

(5.8)
$$Q_{1,2,\cdots,5}(x) = \sigma_{mi_1}(\lambda_{i,1}x) \cdot \sigma_{mi_5}(\lambda_{i,5}x)$$

has maximum frequency $(\frac{1}{2\pi})$ $(m_{i_3}\lambda_{i_5} + m_{i_3-1}\lambda_{i_5-1} + \cdots + m_{i_1}\lambda_{i_1})$ and minimum frequency $(\frac{1}{2\pi})$ $(m_{i_3}\lambda_{i_5} - m_{i_5-1}\lambda_{i_5-1} - \cdots - m_{i_1}\lambda_{i_1})$. The former is less than

and the latter is greater than

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{9^2} - \cdots \right) \lambda_{is} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{9^{-1}} \right) \lambda_{is}$$

Hence if f is sufficiently large, then we see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_2 Q_{1,2}, \quad , s(x) d\delta(x) = 0$$

except when $Q_{1,2,\cdots,s}(x)$ consist of a single factor $\sigma_{m_1}(\lambda_j x)$. Thus multiplying out $p_n(x)$

(5,9)
$$J_3 = \left| \frac{M}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_2 \int_{-m}^{p} (x) d\sigma(x) \right|.$$

Since $J_1 = S_m(x) - J_1$ and

$$|J_1| \leq \left(\sum_{n} c_n^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n} \frac{1}{m_n^{2n}}\right)^{1/2} \leq C$$

providing $\sum \frac{1}{m^{2d}} < \infty$, $|J_{3}| \le C + C = C$ Thus by (5.9) we get, noticing (5.7)

$$(5,10) |J_3| \leq \frac{CM}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{CM}{L}$$

Hence by (5.4)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |C_n| \le \frac{cM}{L}$$

which proves theorem when 0 is sufficiently large and $\sum m_k^{-2\kappa} \stackrel{<}{<} \infty$. Even in general case under the hypothesis (2.10), we can prove the theorem in similar manner in the proof of Theorem 1.

6. We consider the distribution of the partial sum

as n - bo . For the series

(6.2)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (a_{k} cos \lambda_{k} x + b_{k} sim \lambda_{k} x),$$

$$\frac{\lambda_{R+1}}{\lambda_R} \ge q > 1$$

R.Salem and A.Zygmund have proved that if $c_n/c_n \rightarrow o$, $c_n \rightarrow \infty$ where

$$C_R = (a_R^2 + b_R^2)^{1/2}, \quad C_{hn} = \frac{1}{2} (c_1^2 + \cdots + c_n^2)^{1/2}$$

then for every bounded set S, |S| > 0

(6.3)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|E(\frac{S_n}{C_n}\leq y)_n S|}{|S|}=\overline{\varrho}(y)$$

$$\vec{P}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{y} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$$

Moreover they remarked that if

$$f(x) = a_{\ell} \cos \ell x + \cdots + c_{m} \cos m x,$$

$$S_n(x) = a_1 f_1(\lambda_1 x) + a_2 f_2(\lambda_2, x) + \cdots + a_n f_2(\lambda_n x)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f^{2} d\chi = \frac{1}{2} (c_{\ell}^{2} + \cdots + c_{m}^{2}) = \frac{1}{2} C$$

$$A_{m} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C(a_{\ell}^{2} + \cdots + a_{m}^{2}) \right\}^{1/2}$$

then under the conditions that

$$|E(\frac{s_n}{An} \le y)_n S|/|s|$$

> being any set in
$$(0,2\pi)$$

We shall show that analogous theorems holds for a series (6.1), under some assumptions.

Theorem 13. Let f(x) be a periodic function with period 2π belonging to $\Box_{\nu} \sigma$ and be such that

$$(6.6) \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(x) dx = 0$$

Put

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\varphi^{2}(z)dx=\frac{1}{2}C,$$

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k g(\lambda_k x)$$

and
$$A_n = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + \dots + c_n^2) \right\}^{1/2}$$

Further we assume that $C_n/A_n \rightarrow 0$ An $\rightarrow \infty$ and

(6.7)
$$\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} \ge m_k > 0$$
, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{2k}} < \infty$

Then for every bounded set

$$\frac{|E(\frac{S_n(x)}{An} \leq y)_n S|}{|S|} \to \overline{\mathfrak{X}}(y)$$

We can first prove, under the assumptions in Theorem 13,

(6.9)
$$\sigma_h \left(E \left(S_n(x) / A_n \leq y \right) \cap S \right) / \sigma_h \left(E \right) \rightarrow \overline{P}(y)$$

where
$$\sigma_h(E) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_E \frac{Air^2 h x/2}{k x^2 h} dx$$
 $(h>0)$

This can be proved by similar way as in Salem and Zygmund's paper if we make use the following Lemma 3.

That (6.8) holds if (6.9) is true every h > o, is shown as follows.

Let the characteristic function of the set $E(S_n(x)/A_n \times n)$ be a constant. $E(S_n(x)/A_n \leq y)$ be $g_n(y)$

$$\sigma_h \left(E \left(s_n(x) / A_n \leq y \right) / \sigma_h (s) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\int_{S} g_{n}(y) \frac{A \dot{m}^{2} h t}{h^{2} t^{2}} dt}{\int_{S} \frac{A \dot{m}^{2} h t}{h^{2} t} dt}$$

where S is any bounded set. Since

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\int_{S} \frac{\sin^{2}ht}{h^{2}t^{2}} dt = \int_{S} dt = |S|,$$

(6.10)
$$\frac{1}{|s|} \int_{S} g_{n}(y) \frac{\sin^{2}ht}{h^{2}t^{2}} dt \rightarrow \overline{x}(y)$$

$$F_{n}(y) = \frac{1}{|s|} | E(s_{n}(x)/A_{n} \leq y)_{n} s|$$

$$= \frac{1}{|s|} \int_{S} g_{n}(y) dy \geq \frac{1}{|s|} \int_{S} g_{n}(y) \frac{\lambda^{2} h^{2} t}{h^{2} t^{2}} dt$$

for $pin^2ht/(h^2t^2) \le 1$. By (6.10)

$$(6,11) \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} F_n(y) \geq \bar{\mathcal{I}}(y).$$

If we consider the set $E(S_n(x)/A_n>2)$ oS, then

$$\sigma_n(E(S_n(x)/A_n > y)_n S)/\sigma_n(s) \rightarrow I - \mathcal{I}(y)$$

Then similarly as (6.11), we have

$$\underline{\lim} \left(1 - F_n(y) \right) \ge 1 - \underline{\mathfrak{P}}(y)$$

which means

(6.11) and (6.12) proves

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} F_n(y) = \overline{\Psi}(y)$$

Hence for the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show (6.9) for every 4>0

Lemma 3. Let S be a bounded set. on(E(Yn(t)≤y),S)

converge to a non-decreasing function G(3) $(4(-\infty)=0, 4(\infty)=1)$ at cont nuity points of the latter function, and suppose that

(6.13)
$$\sigma_h(E(|X_n(t)| > E)_n S) \rightarrow 0$$

for every $E > 0$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sigma_h(E(X_m(t)+Y_n(t)\leq y)_n S)}{\sigma_h(s)} = G(y)$$

For
$$\sigma_k(E(\chi_n(t) + \gamma_n(t) \leq \xi) \wedge S)$$

$$= \delta_{h} \left(\Xi \left(X_{n}(t) + Y_{n}(t) \angle y \right) \sum_{s \in S} E \left(|X_{n}(t)| < \epsilon \right) \right)$$

+
$$\sigma_h \left(E(X_m(t) + Y_m(t) \leq y) \cap S_n E(|X_m(t)| \setminus \epsilon) \right)$$

$$\leq \sigma_{k}(E(|X_{n}(t)|>\varepsilon),S)+\sigma_{k}(E(Y_{n}(t)\leq j+\varepsilon),S)$$

(6.14)
$$\frac{\overline{\lim} \, \sigma_h (E(X_n(t) + Y_n(t) \leq y)_n S)}{\sigma_h (s)}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_{h}(E(Y_{n}(t) \leq y + \varepsilon) \wedge S)}{g_{h}(s)} = G(y + \varepsilon)$$

Here it is assumed that $y + \varepsilon$ continuity point of G

Next since

$$\delta_{h}(E(|X_{n}(t)| < \varepsilon, Y_{n}(t) \le y - \varepsilon)_{n} S)
\leq \delta_{h}(E(|X_{n}(t)| + |Y_{n}(t)| \le y)_{n} S),$$

$$\sigma_{k}(E(Y_{n} \leq \beta - \epsilon) \cap S)$$

$$\leq \sigma_{h} \left(E(X_{n}(t) + Y_{n}(t) \leq y) \cap S \right)$$

from which it results

(6,15)
$$G(y-\varepsilon)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sigma_n(E(Y_n(t)\leq y-\varepsilon)_nS)}{\sigma_k(s)}$$

$$\leq \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{O_n(E(X_n(t)+Y_n(t)<\theta) \wedge S)}{O_n(s)}$$

where $y - \varepsilon$ is a continuity point of φ . (6.14) and (6.15) shows our assertion.

We now prove Theorem 13. Write

$$S_{m}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k} \left(\hat{T}(\lambda_{k} x) - \tilde{O}_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k} x) \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k} \tilde{O}_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k} x)$$

$$(6.16) = \chi_n(x) + \chi_n(x)$$

$$\frac{1}{4n^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|X_n(x)|^2d\delta_h(x)$$

$$=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}C_{k}(\varphi(\lambda_{k}x)-\delta_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k}x)^{2}\right\}d\delta_{k}(x)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \right\}^2 dG_k(x)$$

$$\leq C \frac{1}{A_{n}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{2} + C \cdot \frac{1}{A_{n}^{2}} (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{2})^{1/2} (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_{k}^{2k}})^{1/2} d \ell_{k}(t)$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{A_n^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|X_n(x)|^2d\delta_h(x)\leq C\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m_n^{2\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Since no can be arbitrarily chosen,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x_n^{*}(x)}{An^2} dG_h(x) = 0,$$

from which $\mathcal{O}_{h}\left(E\left(\left|\frac{X_{n}(x)}{A_{n}}\right|>\varepsilon\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0$.

Hence by Lemma 3, for the proof of (6.9), it suffices to show that

(b.17)
$$\sigma_{\overline{h}}(E(\frac{Y_{n},t)}{A_{n}} \leq y)_{n}S)/\sigma_{\overline{h}}(s) \rightarrow \overline{\Psi}(y)_{n}$$

where $Y_{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} T_{m_{k}}(\lambda_{k}t)$.

But (6.17) can be shown a quite analogous way as in the paper of Salem and Zygmund .

The characteristic function of the distribution of $\gamma_n(t)$ is

$$(6.18) \frac{1}{\sigma_{h}(s)} \int_{S} e^{i\lambda Y_{m}(t)} / A_{m} d\sigma_{h}(t)$$

$$= \sigma_{h}^{-1}(s) \int_{S} e^{i\lambda Y_{m}(t)} \int_{R=1}^{m} C_{h} T_{m_{h}}(\lambda_{h}t) d\sigma_{h}(t)$$

$$= \sigma_{n}^{-1}(s) \int_{S} e^{i(t)} \int_{R=1}^{m} \left(1 + \frac{i\lambda C_{h}}{A_{m}} T_{m_{h}}(\lambda_{h}t)\right)$$

$$= \lambda \int_{S} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda^{2} C_{h}^{2} T_{m_{h}}^{2}(\lambda_{h}t)}{\Delta^{2}}\right) d\sigma_{h}(t)$$

where o(!) means to converge to ouniformly in t, \(\lambda\) being assumed to be bounded. Now

$$\left| \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{i\lambda c_{k}}{An} T_{m_{k}}^{r}(\lambda_{k}t) \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda^{2} c_{k}^{2}}{A_{n_{k}}^{2}} T_{m_{k}}^{2}(\lambda_{k}t) \right)^{1/2} \leq e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}$$

Since \mathcal{T}_{m_k} is uniformly bounded.

$$\sum_{R=1}^{n} \frac{C_{R}^{2}}{A_{R}^{2}} T_{m_{R}}^{2} (\lambda_{R} t) = \frac{1}{A_{R}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{R}^{2}.$$

$$\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{R}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}}) (\alpha_{\mu} \cos \mu \lambda_{R} t + \beta_{\mu} \sin \mu \lambda_{R} t)\right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{A_{R}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{R}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}})^{2} (\alpha_{\mu}^{2} \cos \mu \lambda_{\mu} t + \beta_{\mu}^{2} \sin^{2} \mu \lambda_{R} t + 2 \alpha_{\mu}^{2} \beta_{\mu} \sin^{2} \mu \lambda_{\mu} t \cos \mu \lambda_{R} t)$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{\mu>\gamma} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}}) (1 - \frac{\gamma}{m_{R}}) (\alpha_{\mu} \cos \lambda_{R} \mu t + \beta_{\mu} \sin \lambda_{R} \mu t)$$

$$\cdot (\alpha_{\gamma} \cos \lambda_{R} \gamma t + \beta_{\gamma} \sin \lambda_{R} \gamma t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{A_{R}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{R}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}})^{2} (\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{A_{R}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{R}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}})^{2} (\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{A_{R}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{R}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}})^{2} (\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{A_{R}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{R}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}})^{2} (\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{A_{R}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{R}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}})^{2} (\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{A_{R}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{R}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{R}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{R}})^{2} (\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2})$$

say. Then

$$\begin{split} \xi_{m}(t) &= \frac{1}{\int_{m}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{k}^{2} \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{k}} (1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{k}^{2}}) \right. \\ &\left. \left(\frac{1}{2} \cos 2\mu \lambda_{k} t \left(\beta_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2} \right) + \partial_{\mu} \beta_{\mu} \sin 2\mu \lambda_{k} t \right] \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu > \nu} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{k}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{m_{k}} \right) \right. \\ &\left. \left(\beta_{\mu} \cos \mu \lambda_{\mu} t + \beta_{\mu} \lambda_{m} \mu \lambda_{\mu} t \right) \right. \\ &\left. \left(\beta_{\nu} \cos \nu \lambda_{k} t + \beta_{\nu} \lambda_{m} \nu \lambda_{\mu} t \right) \right. \end{split}$$

Since $m_k \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1}$, we can easily prove

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{m}^{2}(t) d\sigma_{k}(t) \leq \frac{C}{A_{m}} \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} C_{K} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2})^{2}$$

$$\leq C \frac{1}{A_{m}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{K}^{4}$$

which tends to zero on account of $^{Cn}/_{An} \rightarrow o$. Hence the set of measure of the set tends to zero for any but fixed positive constant σ .

Further the first term of (6.18) is equal to

(6,20)
$$1 + \frac{1}{A_{n}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{k}} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_{k}}{n_{k}^{2}} \right)^{2} - 1 \right\} \right)$$

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{k}} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{m_{k}} \right)^{2} - 1 \right\} \left(\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{m_{k}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{k}} \mu \left(\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m_{k}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m_{k}} \mu^{2} \left(\alpha_{\mu}^{2} + \beta_{\mu}^{2} \right)$$

is clearly tends to zero as $m_{\mu} \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore by (6.19) and (6.20), writing

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n} \frac{C_n^2}{A_n^2} T_{m_n}^2(\lambda_n t) = 1 + \gamma_n(t),$$

the measure of the set

tends to zero. Hence we get the limit of the left hand side of (6.18) is the limit of

(6,21)
$$\sigma_h(s) e^{-\lambda_{2/2}^{2}} \int_{S} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{i\lambda C_k}{A_m} T_{m_k}(\lambda_k t)\right) d\sigma_k(t)$$

But in virtue of (2.20), we can write

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{i\lambda c_k}{A_n} T_{m_k}(\lambda_k t)\right) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k^{(n)} a_k \, \theta_k t$$

where $\theta_k > 1$ and $\theta_{Kr_1} - \theta_k > 1$ Thus the integral of (6.21) is

$$(6.22)$$
 $\delta_{N}(5) + \sum J_{R}^{(n)} h_{R}$

with respect to $\sigma_{h}(t)$ of the characteristic function of the set S. It is easy to see that $\sigma_{h}(t)$ of the characteristic function of the set S. It is easy to see that $\sigma_{h}(t)$ of the characteristic function of the set S. It is easy to see that $\sigma_{h}(t)$ of the second term tends to zero as and the second term tends to zero as $\sigma_{h}(t)$. Well known theorem on the convergence of distributions shows (6.17).

(*) Received 13th April, 1950.

(To be continued to p. 40)

(Continued)

- (1) M.Kac, Convergence of certain

- (1) M.Kac, Convergence of certain
 gap series, Ann. of Math.,
 44 (1943).

 (2) M.Kac, Convergence and divergence
 of non-harmonic gap series,
 Duke Math. Journ., 8 (1941).

 (3) M.Kac, ibid. Duke Math. Journ.
 (4) P.Hartman, Divergence of nonharmonic gap theorems. Duke
 Math. Journ. 9 (1942).

 (5) T.Kawata and M.Udagawa, Some gap
 theorems, Kodai Math. Sem.
 Nos. 5-6 (1949).

 (6) M.Kac, ibid., (1).

 (7) T.Kawata and M.Udagawa, ibid.
 (8) Corresponding theorem concerning
 the sequence of independent
 functions, and related theorems the sequence of independent functions, and related theorems, see, S.Karlin, Orthogonal properties of independent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1949).

 (9) T.Kawata and M.Udagawa, ibid.
 (10) S.Karlin, ibid.
 (11) R.Salem and A.Zygmund, On lacumary trigonometric series (I), (II), Proc. Nat. Acad. U.S.A. 33, No.11, (1947), 34, No.2, (1948).

Tokyo Institute of Technology.