

On a conjecture of Nakai on Picard principle

By Michihiko KAWAMURA^{*)}

(Received Nov. 30, 1977)

A nonnegative locally Hölder continuous function $P(z)$ on the punctured closed unit disk $0 < |z| \leq 1$ will be referred to as a *density* on $\Omega: 0 < |z| < 1$. We view Ω as interior of the bordered surface: $0 < |z| \leq 1$; so we consider the boundary $\partial\Omega$ to be the circle: $|z|=1$. The *elliptic dimension* of a density P on Ω at $z=0$, $\dim P$ in notation, is defined to be the dimension of the half module \mathcal{F}_P of nonnegative solutions of the equation $\Delta u = Pu$ ($\Delta = \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2$) on Ω with vanishing boundary values on $\partial\Omega: |z|=1$. After Bouligand we say that the *Picard principle* is valid for P at $z=0$ if $\dim P=1$. We are interested in determining those densities P on Ω for which the Picard principle is valid. We observe the following example of Nakai [26, 29]: Let $P_\mu(z)=|z|^{-\mu}$ and $P_{-\infty}(z)=0$. Then

$$(1) \quad \dim P_\mu = \begin{cases} 1 & (\mu \in [-\infty, 2]) \\ c & (\mu \in (2, +\infty)) \end{cases}$$

where c is the cardinal number of continuum. In this connection Nakai *conjectured* that the Picard principle is valid for general densities $P(z)$ on Ω with $P(z)=\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$). The *purpose* of this paper is to prove this conjecture in the *affirmative*. Namely we shall prove the following

MAIN THEOREM. *The Picard principle is valid for any density $P(z)$ on Ω with $P(z)=\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$).*

The proof of this theorem will be given in Section 1. A formulation of the Harnack inequality by S. Itô [11] will play an essential role in our proof. The author is grateful to Professor Itô for his advice on this inequality. In Section 2 we will discuss the order of the generator $g(z)$ of \mathcal{F}_P as $z \rightarrow 0$ for $P(z)=\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$). We will establish the following inequality:

$$(2) \quad C_1 \log \frac{1}{|z|} \leq g(z) \leq C_2 |z|^{-c}$$

on Ω where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants and $c = \sup_{\mathcal{Q}} |z| P(z)^{1/2}$. In the final Section 3 we will mention two generalizations of the main theorem. We will show that the condition $P(z) \leq \text{const.} |z|^{-2}$ on Ω in the main theorem can be relaxed to $P(z) \leq \text{const.} |z|^{-2}$ only on a sequence of disjoint concentric annuli A_n

^{*)} The author is grateful to Professor Nakai for the valuable discussions with him.

in Ω converging to $z=0$ such that $\inf_n \text{mod } A_n > 0$. In this form, the result will further be generalized to certain ends of Riemann surfaces.

§ 1. **General densities** $P(z)=\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$).

1.1. A density $P(z)$ on $\Omega: 0 < |z| < 1$ is, by definition, a locally Hölder continuous function $P(z)$ on $0 < |z| \leq 1$. We denote by \mathcal{F}_P the half module of nonnegative solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on Ω with vanishing boundary values. We are interested in characterizing those densities P on Ω for which the *Picard principle* is valid, i. e. \mathcal{F}_P has a single generator. For *rotation free* densities $P(z)$, i. e. densities P with $P(z)=P(|z|)$ on Ω , the problem may be viewed as being almost brought to its completion (cf. BreLOT [2, 3], Nakai [26, 29], Kawamura-Nakai [14], Godefroid [6] etc.). For rotation free densities, we know ([29], [14]) that if two densities P_1 and P_2 are related as $P_2(z) \leq P_1(z)$ or $P_2(z) = \text{const.} \cdot P_1(z)$ on Ω , then the Picard principle is valid for P_2 if it does for P_1 . Hence for rotation free densities $P(z)$ with $P(z)=\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$) the Picard principle is valid since it does for $|z|^{-2}$. What happens to general densities $P(z)$ with $P(z)=\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$)? For general densities $P(z)$, we only know two fragmentary results:

If

$$\int_{\Omega-E} P(z) \log \frac{1}{|z|} dx dy < \infty$$

for a closed subset E of Ω thin at $z=0$, then the Picard principle is valid (Nakai [28]);

If

$$\int_{\Omega} P(z) dx dy < \infty,$$

then the Picard principle is valid (Nakai [32], Kawamura [13]). None of these two results can take care of densities $P(z)=\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$) and we need some completely new device to establish the following

THEOREM. For a general densities $P(z)$ on Ω satisfying

$$(3) \quad P(z) \leq c^2 |z|^{-2}$$

with a suitable constant c the Picard principle is valid.

1.2. The duality theorem. The unique bounded solution $e=e_P$ of

$$(4) \quad L_P u \equiv \Delta u - P u = 0$$

on $\Omega: 0 < |z| < 1$ with continuous boundary values 1 on $\partial\Omega: |z|=1$ will be referred to as the *P-unit* (cf. Singer [40]). Consider the associated operator \hat{L}_P with L_P which is introduced by Nakai [31, 32]:

$$(5) \quad \hat{L}_P u \equiv \Delta u + 2V(\log e_P) \cdot \nabla u$$

for $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ where e_P is the P -unit on $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$, and $\nabla f = (\partial f / \partial x, \partial f / \partial y)$. We say that the *Riemann theorem* is valid for \hat{L}_P at $z=0$ if $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} u(z)$ exists for every bounded solution u of

$$(6) \quad \hat{L}_P u = 0$$

on Ω and continuous on $\partial\Omega$. Nakai ([31, 32]; cf. also Heins [8], Hayashi [7], Nakai [28]) showed the following *duality theorem*: *The Picard principle is valid for an operator L if and only if the Riemann theorem is valid for the associated operator \hat{L} .*

1.3. *The maximum-minimum principle.* Let Ω_t be $0 < |z| < t$ and $\partial\Omega_t$ be $|z| = t$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. And consider classes \mathcal{F}_t and \mathcal{B}_t of nonnegative and non-negative bounded solution of (4) on Ω_t with boundary values zero and continuous boundary values on $\partial\Omega_t$, respectively. Then it is known that (cf. BreLOT [2], Ozawa [33], Royden [36], Nakai [28])

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{F}_t \cap \mathcal{B}_t = \{0\},$$

i. e. $z=0$ is of *parabolic character*.

For a nonnegative solution u of (4) the maximum principle is valid. On the other hand, Nakai [28] showed the following *maximum-minimum principle* for the solution of the associated equation (6): *Let v be a bounded nonnegative solution of (6) on Ω_s ($s \in (0, 1]$). Then*

$$(8) \quad \sup_{z \in \Omega_t} v(z) = \max_{z \in \partial\Omega_t} v(z), \quad \inf_{z \in \Omega_t} v(z) = \min_{z \in \partial\Omega_t} v(z)$$

is valid for any $t \in (0, s)$.

1.4. *Itô's form of the Harnack inequality.* We denote by $\Delta(z_0, R)$ the open disk: $|z - z_0| < R$ and $\overline{\Delta(z_0, R)} = \{|z - z_0| \leq R\}$. Suppose that a density $P(z)$ is given on Ω , $\overline{\Delta(z_0, R)} \subset \Omega$, and $0 \leq P(z) \leq c$ on $\overline{\Delta(z_0, R)}$ for some $c > 0$. Then S. Itô [11] showed the following:

The inequality

$$(9) \quad u(z) \leq 4e^{R\sqrt{c}} u(z_0)$$

is valid for any $z \in \overline{\Delta(z_0, R/2)}$ and for any nonnegative solution u of (4) on $\overline{\Delta(z_0, R)}$.

We include here an outline of the proof of Itô [11] for the sake of convenience to the reader. Let u be a nonnegative solution of (4) on $\overline{\Delta(z_0, R)}$ and let $e_{c,\rho}$ be the c -unit of $\Delta u = cu$ on $\overline{\Delta(z_0, \rho)}$ for $0 < \rho \leq R$. We first show the following inequality

$$(10) \quad e_{c,R}(z_0) \cdot (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} u(\zeta) \rho d\theta \leq u(z_0) \rho$$

where $\zeta = z_0 + \rho e^{i\theta}$. Let v be the solution of $\Delta u = cu$ with $u = v$ on $\partial\mathcal{A}(z_0, \rho)$, and let $G(z, \zeta)$ be the Green's function of $\Delta u = cu$ on $\mathcal{A}(z_0, \rho)$. Since the constant function c is rotation free, $\partial G(z_0, \zeta)/\partial n_\zeta$ (where n denotes inner normal) is also rotation free, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} v(z_0) &= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} v(\zeta) \rho (\partial G(z_0, \zeta)/\partial n_\zeta) d\theta \\ &= \rho (2\pi)^{-1} (\partial G(z_0, \zeta)/\partial n_\zeta) \int_0^{2\pi} u(\zeta) d\theta \\ &= e_{c, \rho}(z_0) \cdot (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} u(\zeta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq P(z) \leq c$ and $u = v$ on $\partial\mathcal{A}(z_0, \rho)$, the comparison principle assures that $v(z_0) \leq u(z_0)$. Observing that $e_{c, \rho}(z_0) \geq e_{c, R}(z_0)$ we deduce (10).

Let z be any point in $\overline{\mathcal{A}(z_0, R/2)}$ and set $|z - z_0| = r$. Integration of both sides of (10) by ρ on $(0, 2r)$ yields

$$(11) \quad \iint_{\mathcal{A}(z_0, 2r)} u \, dx \, dy \leq 4\pi r^2 (e_{c, R}(z_0))^{-1} u(z_0).$$

Since u is subharmonic in $\overline{\mathcal{A}(z, r)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}(z_0, 2r)}$, we have that

$$(12) \quad u(z) \leq (\pi r^2)^{-1} \iint_{\mathcal{A}(z, r)} u \, dx \, dy \leq (\pi r^2)^{-1} \iint_{\mathcal{A}(z_0, 2r)} u \, dx \, dy.$$

On the other hand c -unit $e_{c, R}(z)$ is represented by the modified Bessel function of the first kind of zero order I_0 (cf. Bowman [1]), i. e. $e_{c, R}(z) = I_0(\sqrt{c}|z - z_0|) / I_0(\sqrt{c}R)$, where

$$I_0(x) = 1 + 2^{-2}x^2 + 2^{-2} \cdot 4^{-2}x^4 + 2^{-2} \cdot 4^{-2} \cdot 6^{-2}x^6 + \dots \leq e^x \quad (0 \leq x).$$

By (11), (12) and the above fact, we obtain (9).

1.5. Uniform Harnack inequality I. For a density $P(z)$ on $\Omega: 0 < |z| < 1$ with $P(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ we shall reform Itô's form of the Harnack inequality as follows. We may assume that $0 \leq P(z) \leq c^2|z|^{-2}$ on $0 < |z| \leq 1$ for some nonnegative number c . Let a and s be real numbers such that $a \in (0, 1)$ and $s \in (0, 1]$. We maintain the following concentric circle form of the Harnack inequality:

LEMMA. *There exists a positive number K_1 depending only on a and c such that*

$$(13) \quad u(w) \leq K_1 u(z)$$

for any nonnegative solution u of $L_P u = 0$ on Ω_s and for any z and w with $|z| = |w| = t < s/(1+a)$.

To prove this assertion take arbitrary points z_0 and w on the circle $\Gamma_0: |z| = t$, ($t < s/(1+a)$). Let Γ be a subarc of Γ_0 with the initial point z_0 and the terminal point $-z_0$ such that $w \in \Gamma$. Consider a finite sequence of the

closed disks $\overline{A(z_k, at/2)}$ such that $z_k \in \Gamma \cap \partial A(z_{k-1}, at/2)$ and $z_k \neq z_{k-2}$. We observe that

$$\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^p \overline{A(z_k, at/2)} \subset \Omega_s,$$

if $p = 1 + [\pi/2 \arcsin(a/4)]$, where $[\]$ denotes the Gauss symbol. Observe that the maximum of $c^2|z|^{-2}$ on $\overline{A(z_k, at)}$ is attained at the point $(1-a)z_k$ and that the maximum value equals $c^2(1-a)^{-2}t^{-2}$. Thus we have that $P(z) \leq c^2(1-a)^{-2}t^{-2}$ on $\overline{A(z_k, at)}$ for each k ($k=0, 1, 2, \dots, p$). By applying Itô's form of the Harnack inequality to the disk $\overline{A(z_k, at)}$, we deduce that

$$u(z) \leq K_0 u(z_k)$$

if $z \in \overline{A(z_k, at/2)}$ for any nonnegative solution u of (4) on Ω_s , where $K_0 = 4 \exp\{ca/(1-a)\}$. In particular, by setting $z = z_{k+1}$ on the above inequality and using these inequalities k times ($k \leq p$), we have that $u(w) \leq K_0^k u(z_0)$. Since $K_0 > 1$, we deduce that $u(w) \leq K_0^p u(z_0)$. We stress that K_0^p depends only on a and c . By setting $K_1 = K_0^p$, we have the desired conclusion.

1.6. Uniform Harnack inequality II. Consider the associated equation (6) with (4). We shall prove that a similar inequality as in 1.5 is valid for nonnegative solutions of (6) on Ω_s . This inequality will play an essential role in the proof of the theorem.

Let a, c and s be real numbers as mentioned in 1.5. Then we have the following

LEMMA. *There exists a positive number K depending only on a and c such that*

$$(14) \quad v(w) \leq K v(z)$$

for any nonnegative solution v of $\hat{L}_P v = 0$ on Ω_s , and for any z and w with $|z| = |w| = t < s/(1+a)$.

To prove this take an arbitrary nonnegative solution v of (6) on Ω_s and let e_P be the P -unit of (4) on Ω and set $u = v e_P$. Observe that e_P and u are both nonnegative solutions of (4) on Ω_s . By Lemma in 1.5, we have that

$$e_P(z) \leq K_1 e_P(w)$$

and

$$v(w) e_P(w) \leq K_1 v(z) e_P(z)$$

for any z and w such that $|z| = |w| = t < s/(1+a)$. Since e_P is positive on Ω_s , the above two inequalities imply that $v(w) \leq K_1^2 v(z)$. By setting $K = K_1^2$ we have the desired conclusion.

1.7. Proof of the theorem. In view of the duality theorem in 1.2, we only have to show that the Riemann theorem is valid for the associated operator

\hat{L}_P at $z=0$, i. e. $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z)$ exists for every bounded solution v of (6) on Ω with continuous boundary values on $\partial\Omega$.

Let v be an arbitrary bounded solution of (6) on Ω and take any $s \in (0, 1]$. Set

$$m(s) = \inf_{z \in \Omega_s} v(z)$$

and

$$v_s(z) = v(z) - m(s).$$

Since the associated operator \hat{L}_P is linear and a constant function is a solution of (6), the function v_s is a nonnegative bounded solution of (6) on Ω_s . Thus the maximum-minimum principle in 1.3 is applicable to v_s on Ω_s . Then, there exist two points w_t and z_t on $\partial\Omega_t$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega_t} v_s(z) = \max_{z \in \partial\Omega_t} v_s(z) = v_s(w_t)$$

and

$$\inf_{z \in \Omega_t} v_s(z) = \min_{z \in \partial\Omega_t} v_s(z) = v_s(z_t)$$

for each t in $(0, s)$. From Lemma in 1.6, we have that $v_s(w_t) \leq K v_s(z_t)$ for any t with $t < s/(1+a)$, where a and K are as in 1.6, i. e.

$$(15) \quad \sup_{z \in \Omega_t} v(z) - m(s) \leq K(\inf_{z \in \Omega_t} v(z) - m(s)).$$

For a fixed s , on letting $t \rightarrow 0$ in the above inequality, we have that

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z) - m(s) \leq K(\liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z) - m(s)).$$

Since $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} m(s) = \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z)$, again letting $s \rightarrow 0$ in the above inequality we deduce that $\limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z) - \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z) \leq 0$, i. e. $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z)$ exists.

The proof of the theorem is herewith complete.

§2. Order of generator of \mathcal{F}_P .

2.1. In view of Theorem in 1.1, if the density $P(z)$ on $\Omega: 0 < |z| < 1$ satisfies that $P(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$), then $\dim P = 1$, i. e. the half module \mathcal{F}_P of non-negative solutions of (4) on Ω with vanishing boundary values on $\partial\Omega$ has a single generator g , i. e. $\mathcal{F}_P = \mathbf{R}^+g$. We are interested in the question to determine the rate of growth of g as $z \rightarrow 0$. For a density $P(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$, we first estimate the growth from the above as follows:

THEOREM. *If a density $P(z)$ satisfies $P(z) \leq c^2|z|^{-2}$ on Ω , then the generator g of \mathcal{F}_P has the order $|z|^{-c}$, i. e. $g(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-c})$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, where $c > 0$.*

2.2. To prove the theorem in 2.1 it is sufficient to show that there exist a positive solution $g \in \mathcal{F}_P$ and an $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(z) \leq v(z) \equiv |z|^{-c} - |z|^c$ on $\bar{\Omega}_r$ since $\dim P = 1$. We observe that v is a rotation free positive solution of $\Delta v = c^2|z|^{-2}v$ on Ω and $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Again observe that for a fixed $w \in \Omega$ there

exists a positive solution $u \in \mathcal{F}_P$ with $u(w) < v(w)$. Set $D = \{z \in \Omega; u(z) < v(z)\}$. The set D is an open set in Ω containing w . Let G be a connected component of D containing w . Then we have that any circle $C: |z|=t$ ($0 < t < |w|$) intersects G . In fact, contrary to the assertion assume that there exists a circle $C: |z|=t$ ($0 < t < |w|$) such that $C \cap G = \emptyset$. Since G is a connected component of D containing w , G is contained in an annulus: $t < |z| < 1$, i.e. \bar{G} is compact in $\bar{\Omega}$. Since $u=v$ on ∂G and $P(z) \leq c^2|z|^{-2}$, by the comparison principle, we have that $u \geq v$ in G . This contradicts the definition of G . Hence $C \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

Let z_t be a point in $C \cap G$ for $t \in (0, |w|)$. Take an a such that $0 < a < \min(1, (1-|w|)/|w|)$ and determine the number K_1 of (13) in 1.5 for a and c . Set $g = u/K_1$. Then, g is the required function. In fact, by (13) we have that $g(z) \leq K_1 g(z_t) = u(z_t) < v(z_t) = t^{-c} - t^c = v(z)$ for z with $|z|=t$ and for any $t \in (0, r)$ where $r = |w|/(1+a)$.

2.3. We have discussed in 2.1 and 2.2 about the upper order of a generator g of \mathcal{F}_P and obtained that $g(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-c})$ ($z \rightarrow 0$) if $P(z) \leq c^2|z|^{-2}$. We next proceed to the determination of the lower order of g as $z \rightarrow 0$.

Before doing this, we mention the following unpublished remark of Hideo Imai: For any density P on Ω and any nonzero $u \in \mathcal{F}_P$,

$$(16) \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(ze^{i\theta}) d\theta \right) / \log \frac{1}{|z|} > 0.$$

In particular, if P is rotation free with $\dim P=1$,

$$(17) \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} u(z) / \log \frac{1}{|z|} > 0.$$

A typical example is the generator $\log(1/|z|)$ of \mathcal{F}_0 , i.e. \mathcal{F}_P with $P \equiv 0$. In view of these one might feel that for any density P on Ω with $\dim P=1$ (17) is always valid. It may be of some interest, in this connection, to recall the following result of Nakai [27] (cf. also Kawamura [12]): There exists a finite density P (i.e. $\int_{\Omega} P(z) dx dy < +\infty$) such that $\liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z) = 0$ for any positive solution v of (4) on Ω . As already mentioned the Picard principle is valid for any finite, and hence for the above, P (Nakai [32]). Therefore (17) may not be valid for the generator g of \mathcal{F}_P even for P quite close to 0 in some sense such as finiteness. However, we are fortunate enough to obtain the following:

THEOREM. *If a density $P(z)$ satisfies $P(z) \leq c^2|z|^{-2}$ on Ω , then*

$$(18) \quad \liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} g(z) / \log \frac{1}{|z|} > 0$$

for the generator g of \mathcal{F}_P . In particular $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} u(z) = +\infty$ for any nonzero $u \in \mathcal{F}_P$.

2.4. The proof of the theorem in 2.3 goes on analogous way as in 2.2.

Take a fixed point $w \in \Omega$. Then there exists a $u \in \mathcal{F}_P$ such that $\log(1/|w|) < u(w)$. Set $D = \{z \in \Omega; \log(1/|z|) < u(z)\}$ and let G be a connected component of D containing w . Then we have that any circle $C: |z|=t$ ($0 < t < |w|$) intersects G as in 2.2. There exist an r ($0 < r < |w|$) and K_1 as in (13) such that $u(z_t) \leq K_1 u(z)$ for $|z|=|z_t|=t$, $0 < t < r$, where $z_t \in C \cap G$. By setting $g = K_1 u$, we have that $\log(1/|z|) < g(z)$ for $|z| < r$ as in 2.2. Since g is a generator of \mathcal{F}_P , we have the desired conclusion.

§ 3. Generalizations of the main theorem.

3.1. Reexamining the proof in 1.7 of the theorem in 1.1 we naturally come to being aware of the following: In the implication of

$$(19) \quad \limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z) - m(s) \leq K(\liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} v(z) - m(s))$$

in 1.7 from (15) in 1.7, i. e.

$$(15) \quad \sup_{z \in \Omega_t} v(z) - m(s) \leq K(\inf_{z \in \Omega_t} v(z) - m(s)),$$

we only have to take the inferior limit in (15) as $t \rightarrow 0$ instead of taking, as was done in 1.7, the limit in (15) as $t \rightarrow 0$. Therefore having (15) for every $t \in (0, 1)$ is superfluous for establishing (19). We only have to have (15) for a decreasing zero sequence $\{t_n\}$ with $t_n < s/(1+a)$. Supposing the validity of (15) for $t = t_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce (19). Examination of the proof of (15) in 1.7, we at once see that (15) is valid for $t = t_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) by only assuming $P(z) \leq c^2|z|^{-2}$ on annuli

$$(20) \quad A_n : a_n \equiv (1-a)t_n \leq |z| \leq (1+a)t_n \equiv b_n,$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$. Here observe that $\text{mod } A_n = \log(b_n/a_n) = \log((1+a)/(1-a))$. Conversely, let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of closed disjoint concentric annuli A_n in Ω such that A_{n+1} separates $z=0$ from A_n . In order to obtain the above mentioned situation, i. e. A_n is represented as in (20), it is necessary and sufficient for $\{A_n\}$ to satisfy $\inf_n \text{mod } A_n > 0$. Such a sequence $\{A_n\}$ will be referred to as satisfying the condition [A]. We then obtain the following generalization of the main theorem:

THEOREM. *If a density $P(z)$ on $\Omega: 0 < |z| < 1$ satisfies $P(z) \leq c^2|z|^{-2}$ on $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$, where c is a nonnegative constant and $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence of annuli in Ω with the condition [A], then the Picard principle is valid for P .*

3.2. Consider a parabolic end Ω of a Riemann surface in the sense of Heins [8], i. e. the relative boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω is a single analytic Jordan curve and Ω has an isolated single ideal boundary point δ of parabolic character. A density $P = P(z)dxdy$ ($z = x + iy$) on Ω is a 2-form on $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$ with nonnegative

locally Hölder continuous coefficients $P(z)$. The *elliptic dimension* of a density at δ , $\dim P$ in notation, is defined (Nakai [31, 32]) to be the dimension of the half module \mathcal{F}_P of nonnegative solutions of the equation

$$(21) \quad L_P u \equiv \Delta u - Pu = 0 \quad (\text{i. e. } d^*du - uP = 0)$$

on Ω with the vanishing boundary values on $\partial\Omega$. The elliptic dimension of the particular density $P \equiv 0$ at δ , i. e. $\dim 0$, is called the *harmonic dimension* of δ . As in Section 1, we say that the *Picard principle* is valid for a density P at δ if $\dim P = 1$.

3.3. Consider an end Ω with $\dim 0 = 1$ at δ . To further generalize the result in 3.1, we take an *Evans harmonic function* l on Ω (cf. e. g. Nakai [23, 24], Sario-Nakai [37], Sario-Noshiro [38]), i. e.

- (a) $l \in \mathcal{F}_0$
- (b) $\lim_{z \rightarrow \delta} l(z) = +\infty$
- (c) $\int_{\partial\Omega} *dl = -2\pi$.

Since $\dim 0 = 1$ at δ , such an l is unique on Ω and l is a generator of \mathcal{F}_0 . Using l we introduce a *polar coordinate differential* $(dr, d\theta)$ with its center δ :

$$\begin{cases} dr/r = -dl \\ d\theta = -*dl. \end{cases}$$

Then $r = e^{-l}$ is a single valued function on Ω but θ is a multi-valued function on Ω . We may use $re^{i\theta}$ as local parameters at each point of Ω except for the isolated set of Ω where $rdrd\theta = rdr \wedge d\theta = 0$. We denote by E the set of $r \in (0, 1)$ such that the level line $\{z \in \Omega; r(z) = r\}$ is an analytic Jordan curve in Ω . Clearly E is an open subset of $(0, 1)$ with $0 \in \bar{E}$. Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $a_{n+1} < b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$ and $[a_n, b_n] \subset E$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then

$$(22) \quad A_n = \{z \in \Omega; a_n \leq r(z) \leq b_n\}$$

is an annulus with $\text{mod } A_n = \log(b_n/a_n)$. We say that $\{A_n\}$ satisfies the *condition [A]* if

$$(23) \quad \inf_n \text{mod } A_n > 0.$$

The existence of such a sequence $\{A_n\}$ is a property of Ω , which may not hold in general. A typical example of such an Ω is the punctured unit disk $0 < |z| < 1$.

3.4. Consider a density P on Ω . Then $P/rdrd\theta$ is a single valued function on Ω with values in $[0, +\infty]$ finite on Ω except for the set where $rdrd\theta = 0$. We can represent as

$$(24) \quad P = P(r, \theta)rdrd\theta = P(re^{i\theta})rdrd\theta.$$

In particular, $re^{i\theta}$ is a genuine polar coordinate on each of A_n . Then we have the following generalization of Theorem in 3.1 and, of course, of the main theorem :

THEOREM. *Suppose there exists a sequence $\{A_n\}$ of annuli as in (22) with the condition [A] in Ω . If a density $P=P(re^{i\theta})rdrd\theta$ on Ω satisfies $P(re^{i\theta})\leq c^2r^{-2}$ on $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n$, then the Picard principle is valid for P at δ .*

In fact, since the duality theorem and the maximum-minimum principle are also valid on Ω (cf. [31]) and since the Harnack principle is only used on $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n$, the proof for the theorem in 3.1 can be verbatim applied to the present one.

3.5. We remark that our first assumption $\dim 0=1$ in 3.3 is used to obtain the uniqueness of the Evans harmonic function, but actually the condition [A] (i. e. (23)) is stronger than the condition $\dim 0=1$. Heins [8] showed that $\dim 0=1$ if Ω satisfies the condition [H]: There exists a sequence $\{A_n\}$ of disjoint annuli with analytic Jordan boundaries on Ω satisfying the condition that for each n , A_{n+1} separates A_n from the ideal boundary δ , and A_1 separates the relative boundary $\partial\Omega$ from the ideal boundary and

$$(25) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{mod } A_n = \infty.$$

We shall show that we cannot replace the condition [A] (i. e. (23)) by the Heins condition [H], i. e. (25), in the theorem in 3.4, by a counter example: there exist a rotation free density P on $0<|z|<1$ and a sequence of annuli $\{A_n\}$ with (25) such that $P(z)=0$ on $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n$ but $\dim P \neq 1$ (hence $\dim P=c$ (cf. Nakai [26])).

3.6. To construct an example mentioned above, we recall the P -unit criterion for the rotation free densities $P(z)$ (Kawamura-Nakai [14]). The associated function $Q(t)$ to $P(r)$ is the function on $[0, \infty)$ defined by $Q(t)=e^{-2t}P(e^{-t})$. The Riccati component a_Q of Q is the unique nonnegative solution of the equation

$$(26) \quad -a'(t)+a(t)^2=Q(t)$$

on $[0, \infty)$. It is known (cf. [14]) that $\dim P=1$ if and only if

$$(27) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a_Q(t)+1} = +\infty.$$

Take three sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ such that $a_n=1+2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(1/k)$, ($a_1=1$), $b_n=a_n+1/n$, $c_n=b_n+1/n$, ($n=1, 2, \dots$). With the aid of these sequences we define a continuous function $a(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ by $a(t)=1/(c_n-t)$ if $t \in [a_n, b_n]$, $a(t)$ is a polygonal line if $t \in [b_n, a_{n+1}]$, and $a(t)=1/2$ if $t \in [0, 1]$. We observe that $-a'(t)+a(t)^2 \geq 0$ for $t \neq a_n, b_n$ and the equality is valid in (a_n, b_n) . We compute

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{b_n}^{a_{n+1}} \frac{dt}{a(t)+1} \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+3)} < +\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \int_{a_n}^{b_n} \frac{dt}{a(t)+1} &= \sum_{n=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{n} + \log \frac{n+1}{n+2} \right) \\ &= C + \log 2 + \log(k/(k+2)) + d_k \end{aligned}$$

where C is the Euler constant and $d_k \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore we deduce that $\int_0^{\infty} (a(t)+1)^{-1} dt < +\infty$. We replace $a(t)$ by the parabola on sufficiently small neighbourhoods of a_n and b_n which are tangent to $a(t)$ so that resulting function $a_Q(t)$ is of class C^1 on $[0, \infty)$. We successively set $Q(t) = -a'_Q(t) + a_Q(t)^2$ and $P(r) = r^{-2}Q(-\log r)$. This $P(r)$ is a required density.

References

- [1] F. Bowman, Introduction to Bessel Function, Longmans, Green and Co. Ltd., 1938.
- [2] M. Brelot, Étude de l'équation de la chaleur $\Delta u = c(M)u(M)$, $c(M) \geq 0$, au voisinage d'un point singulier du coefficient, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 48 (1931), 153-246.
- [3] M. Brelot, Sur le principe des singularités positives et la notion de source pour l'équation (1) $\Delta u(M) = c(M)u(M)$, ($c \geq 0$), Ann. Univ. Lyon Sci. Math. Astro., 11 (1948), 9-19.
- [4] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, Über einige Problem von M. Heins, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 4 (1959), 277-281.
- [5] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen, Springer, 1963.
- [6] M. Godefroid, Sur un article de Kawamura et Nakai à propos du principe de Picard, Bull. Sci. Math., 102 (1978), 295-303.
- [7] K. Hayashi, Les solutions positives de l'équation $\Delta u = Pu$ sur une surface de Riemann, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 13 (1961), 20-24.
- [8] M. Heins, Riemann surfaces of infinite genus, Ann. of Math., 55 (1952), 296-317.
- [9] S. Itô, On existence of Green function and positive superharmonic functions for linear elliptic operators of second order, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 16 (1964), 299-306.
- [10] S. Itô, Martin boundary for linear elliptic differential operator of second order in a manifold, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 16 (1964), 307-334.
- [11] S. Itô, Partial Differential Equations, Baifûkan, 1966 (in Japanese).
- [12] M. Kawamura, Denseness of singular densities, Proc. Japan Acad. 50 (1974), 818-820.
- [13] M. Kawamura, Picard principle for finite densities on some end, Nagoya Math. J., 67 (1977), 35-40.
- [14] M. Kawamura and M. Nakai, A test of Picard principle for rotation free densities II, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28 (1976), 232-342.
- [15] Z. Kuramochi, An example of a null-boundary Riemann surface, Osaka J. Math., 6 (1954), 83-91.

- [16] A. Lahtinen, On the solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ for acceptable densities on open Riemann surfaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, **515** (1972).
- [17] A. Lahtinen, On the equation $\Delta u = Pu$ and the classification of acceptable densities on Riemann surfaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, **553** (1973).
- [18] A. Lahtinen, On the existence of singular solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on Riemann surfaces, *Ann. Acad. Fenn.*, **546** (1973).
- [19] R. Martin, Minimal positive harmonic functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **49** (1941), 137-172.
- [20] L. Myrberg, Über die Integration der Differentialgleichung $\Delta u = c(P)u$ auf offenen Riemannschen Flächen, *Math. Scand.*, **2** (1954), 142-152.
- [21] L. Myrberg, Über die Existenz der Greenschen Funktion der Gleichung $\Delta u = c(P)u$ auf Riemannschen Flächen, *Ann. Sci. Fenn.*, **170** (1954).
- [22] M. Nakai, The space of nonnegative solutions of the equation $\Delta u = Pu$ on a Riemann surface, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **12** (1960), 151-178.
- [23] M. Nakai, On Evans potential, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **38** (1962), 624-629.
- [24] M. Nakai, Evans' harmonic functions on Riemann surfaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **39** (1963), 74-78.
- [25] M. Nakai, Order comparisons on canonical isomorphisms, *Nagoya Math. J.* **50** (1973), 67-87.
- [26] M. Nakai, Martin boundary over an isolated singularity of rotation free density, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **26** (1974), 483-507.
- [27] M. Nakai, Densities without Evans solutions, *Tōhoku Math. J.*, **26** (1974), 363-370.
- [28] M. Nakai, A test for Picard principle, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **56** (1974), 105-119.
- [29] M. Nakai, A test of Picard principle for rotation free densities, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **27** (1975), 412-431.
- [30] M. Nakai, A remark on Picard principle II, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **51** (1975).
- [31] M. Nakai, Picard principle and Riemann theorem, *Tōhoku Math. J.*, **28** (1976), 277-292.
- [32] M. Nakai, Picard principle for finite densities, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **70** (1978) 7-14.
- [33] M. Ozawa, Classification of Riemann surfaces, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **4** (1952), 63-76.
- [34] M. Ozawa, Some classes of positive solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on Riemann surfaces I, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **6** (1954), 121-126.
- [35] M. Ozawa, Some classes of positive solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on Riemann surfaces II, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, **7** (1955), 15-20.
- [36] H. Royden, The equation $\Delta u = Pu$, and the classification of open Riemann surfaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, **271** (1959).
- [37] L. Sario and M. Nakai, *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, Springer, 1970.
- [38] L. Sario and K. Noshiro, *Value Distribution Theory*, Van Nostrand, 1966.
- [39] I. Singer, Dirichlet finite solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **32** (1972), 464-468.
- [40] I. Singer, Boundary isomorphism between Dirichlet finite solutions and harmonic functions, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **50** (1973), 7-20.
- [41] M. Šur, The Martin boundary for a linear elliptic second order operator, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **27** (1963), 45-60, (in Russian).

Michihiko KAWAMURA
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Fukui University
Bunkyo, Fukui, Japan

Current Address
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Gifu University
Nagara, Gifu
Japan