

# CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION IN AN OPERATOR ALGEBRA

HISAHARU UMEGAKI

(Received August 30, 1954)

**1. Introduction.** The concept of the conditional expectation in probability theory is very important, especially fundamental for the martingale theory. In a book [1]<sup>1)</sup> of J. Doob, various properties of the conditional expectation in a probability space are described for the random variables having the expectations. While in a recent paper [2], Shuh-teh C. Moy has discussed the characteristic properties of the conditional expectation as a linear transformation of the space of all extended real-valued measurable functions on a probability space into itself.

The present paper deals with the conditional expectation as a mapping of a space of measurable operators belonging to a  $L^1$ -integrable class associated with a certain  $W^*$ -algebra into itself. This generalization seems to be a first attempt of a non-commutative probability theory. The non-commutative integration theory<sup>2)</sup> of I. E. Segal (cf. [3]) has its due application in the subject.

We shall show in §2, the existence of the conditional expectation for the space of measurable operators of the  $L^1$ -integrable class associated with a certain  $W^*$ -algebra, and in §3, the uniqueness in a certain sense of such a mapping which is a generalization of a characterization theorem of S. C. Moy.

**2. Existence of conditional expectation.** Let  $A$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra, acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ , with a complete (faithful) normal trace  $\mu$  with  $\mu(1) = 1$ .

Let  $A_1$  be an arbitrary (but fixed)  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ . In this section we shall introduce a conditional expectation in  $A$  relative to  $A_1$ .

First we shall prove in  $L^1(A)$  the existence theorem of conditional expectation where  $L^1(A)$  consists of all integrable operators on  $H$  with respect to the  $L^1$ -norm  $\|x\|_1 = \mu(|x|)$  (cf. [3] Def. 3.2, Cor. 10.1 and Cor. 11.3) which are associated with the  $W^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Similarly we denote the space  $L^1(A_1)$  associated with the  $W^*$ -subalgebra  $A_1$ , then  $L^1(A_1)$  can be considered as a closed subspace of  $L^1(A)$ .

**THEOREM 1.**<sup>3)</sup> *There exists a mapping  $x \rightarrow x^e$  from  $L^1(A)$  onto  $L^1(A_1)$  satisfying the following conditions: for any  $x, y \in L^1(A)$  and any complex numbers  $\alpha, \beta$*

$$(i) \quad (\alpha x + \beta y)^e = \alpha x^e + \beta y^e,$$

1) Numbers in brackets refer to the reference at the end of the paper.

2) J. Dixmier has also described the similar theory under a different way (cf. [4]). In the present paper, we shall use the definitions and terminologies of I. E. Segal (cf. [3]). We shall denote the product, sum and difference of measurable operators  $x, y$  merely by  $xy, x+y$  and  $x-y$ , e. g.,  $xy$  implies  $x \cdot y$  in the notations in [3]. When  $x=y$  nearly everywhere, we shall denote merely  $x=y$  (n. e.) or  $x=y$ .

3) After we had proved the Thm 1, we have been pointed out by M. Nakamura that the existence of mapping  $x \rightarrow x^e$  from  $A$  to  $A_1$  was proved by Dixmier using his operator method (cf. Thm. 8 of [4]). In this paper, we shall prove Thm. 1 by Radon-Nikodym Thm. of Segal (cf. [3]) and extend it onto  $L^1(A)$ .

- (ii)  $x^{*e} = x^{o*},$
- (iii)  $x \geq 0$  implies  $x^e \geq 0,$
- (iv)  $x \geq 0$  and  $x^e = 0$  imply  $x = 0,$
- (v)  $z^e = z$  for any  $z$  in  $L(A_1).$

Moreover the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^e$  transforms  $A$  onto  $A_1$  satisfying  $\|x^e\| \leq \|x\|,$   
 $x^{*e}x^e \leq (x^*x)^e$  and

- (vi)  $(x^e y)^e = (x y^e)^e = x^e y^e$  for  $x \in L^1(A), y \in A$  or  $x \in A, y \in L^1(A),$
- (vii)  $x_\gamma \uparrow x$  implies  $x_\gamma^e \uparrow x^e$  for  $x_\gamma, x \in A,$
- (vii)'  $x \rightarrow x^e$  is strongly and weakly continuous on the unit sphere of  $A,$
- (viii)  $(x y)^e = (y x)^e$  for  $x \in L^1(A)$  and  $y \in A'_1 \cap A,$
- (ix)  $\mu(|x^e|) \leq \mu(|x|).$

PROOF. For any  $x \in A^+,$  putting  $\mu_x(z) = \mu(xz)$  for  $z \in A_1,$   $\mu_x$  is a positive linear functional on  $A_1$  satisfying that  $|\mu_x(z)| \leq \|x\| \cdot \mu(|z|)$  for all  $z \in A_1.$  By a lemma of Segal (cf. Lem. 14.1 of [3]), there exists a unique positive operator  $x'$  in  $A_1$  such that  $\mu_x(z) = \mu(x'z)$  for all  $z \in A_1$  where the operator  $x'$  is uniquely determined by  $x.$  Putting  $x^e = x',$   $(\alpha x + \beta y)^e = \alpha x^e + \beta y^e$  for any  $x, y \in A^+$  and numbers  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0.$  Since any  $x \in A = x_1 - x_2 + ix_3 - ix_4$  (for some  $x_k \in A^+$ ) and this expression is unique, putting  $x^e = x_1^e - x_2^e + ix_3^e - ix_4^e,$   $x \rightarrow x^e$  is defined for all  $x \in A$  and it satisfies that

$$(1) \quad \mu(xz) = \mu(x^e z) \quad \text{for any } x \in A \text{ and } z \in A_1.$$

It is easily seen that  $z_1 = z_2$  ( $z_1, z_2 \in A_1$ ) if and only if  $\mu(z_1 z) = \mu(z_2 z)$  for all  $z \in A_1.$  This fact implies that the introduced mapping  $x \rightarrow x^e$  is well defined on  $A,$  transforms  $A$  onto  $A_1$  and satisfies the conditions i), ii) and v) for all  $x, y \in A$  and  $z \in A_1.$  iii) is clear by the construction of  $x^e$  in  $A.$  iv) for  $x \in A$  follows from (1), iii) and the completeness of  $\mu.$  Moreover for any  $x, y \in A$  and  $z \in A_1$

$$\mu((x^e y)^e z) = \mu(x^e y z) = \mu(y z x^e) = \mu(x^e y^e z) = \mu((x y^e)^e z),$$

hence vi) holds for  $x, y \in A.$  The normal continuity of  $x \rightarrow x^e$  (i. e., vii)) or more generally vii)' follow from that iv),  $x^{*e}x^e \leq (x^*x)^e$  (as below) and the following fact: The trace  $\mu$  is represented by a canonical trace, i. e.,  $\mu(x) = (x\xi, \xi)$  for some  $\xi \in H,$  and for any  $\xi \in H$  there exists a vector  $\xi'$  in  $[A\xi]$  such that  $(x\xi, \xi) = (x\xi', \xi')$  for all  $x \in A$  (by the Radon-Nikodym theorem of Segal, cf. Thm. 14 of [3]), and for any  $y \in A$  there is  $z \in A,$  such that  $\mu(x^e y y^*) = \mu(x z z^*).$  While for any  $z \in A_1, x \in A$  and  $y \in A'_1 \cap A$

$$\mu((x y)^e z) = \mu(x y z) = \mu(x z y) = \mu(y x z) = \mu((y x)^e z),$$

hence viii) holds for such  $x, y$  in  $A.$  ix): For  $x \in A$  there exists a partially isometric operator  $w \in A_1$  such that  $|x^e| = w x^e,$  hence  $\mu(|x^e|) = \mu(w x^e) \leq \|w\| \cdot \mu(|x|) \leq \mu(|x|)$  and we have ix) for  $x \in A.$   $\|x^e\| \leq \|x\|$  is clear by the construction of  $x \rightarrow x^e.$  Since for any  $x \in A$

$$0 \leq ((x - x^e)^*(x - x^e))^e = (x^*x - x^{*e}x + x^{*e}x^e - x^*x^e)^e = (x^*x)^e - x^{*e}x^e,$$

we have  $x^{*e}x^e \leq (x^*x)^e.$

Since all  $x \in A$  or  $A_1$  are elementary operators respectively (cf. [3]),  $A$  and  $A_1$  are dense in  $L^1(A)$  and  $L^1(A_1)$  respectively. By ix) for  $x \in A$ ,  $x \rightarrow x^e$  can be extended onto  $L^1(A)$  and i), ix) hold for  $x, y \in L^1(A)$ . For any  $x \in L^1(A)$  (or  $L^1(A_1)$  resp.), taking  $\{x_n\} \subset A$  (or  $A_1$  resp.) such that

$$(2) \quad \mu(|x_n - x|) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

since  $\mu(|y^*|) = \mu(|y|)$  for any  $y \in L^1(A)$ , we have ii) for  $x \in L^1(A)$ . (2) also implies that

$$(3) \quad \mu(x^e z) = \lim (\mu x_n^e z) = \lim \mu(x_n z) = \mu(xz) \quad \text{for all } z \in A_1.$$

Since v) holds for  $A_1$ , by (2) it also holds for  $L^1(A_1)$ . If  $x \geq 0$  ( $x \in L^1(A)$ ), there exists a  $\{x_n\} \subset A^+$  satisfying (2) (by Cor. 12.1 of [3]). Whence  $x^e = \lim x_n^e$  (in  $L^1$ -mean) and  $x^e \geq 0$  by Lem. 13.3 of [3]; and if  $x \geq 0$  and  $x^e = 0$ , then

$$\mu(x) = \mu(xI) = \mu(x^e) = 0$$

and  $x = 0$  (n. e.), and we have iii) and iv) for  $L^1(A)$ . For any  $x \in L^1(A)$  and  $y \in A$  taking  $\{x_n\} \subset A$  as (2),

$$(x^e y)^e = \lim (x_n^e y)^e = \lim x_n^e y^e = x^e y^e$$

and similarly  $= (xy^e)^e$ . We have also viii) by the similar way taking the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $A$ . The later part of vi) follows from the former and ii).

Q. E. D.

REMARK 1. Applying the proof of Thm. 1, it holds that

$$\text{viii)' } \quad (xy)^e = (yx)^e$$

for  $x \in A$  and  $y \in L^1(A'_1 \cap A)$  which is the integrable operator associated with the  $W^*$ -subalgebra  $A'_1 \cap A$ .

We shall call the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^e$  from  $L^1(A)$  to  $L^1(A_1)$  the *conditional expectation relative to  $A_1$* .

**3. A characterization of conditional expectation.** In this section, we shall prove a characterization theorem of the conditional expectation which is a generalization of a theorem of Shuh-teh Chen Moy (cf. Thm. 2.2 of [2]).

THEOREM 2. *Let  $x \rightarrow x^e$  be a mapping from  $A$  into itself satisfying i), ii), vi), ix) for  $x, y \in A$ , and*

$$\text{v)' } \quad I^e = I.$$

*Then the range  $A^e$  of the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^e$  is a  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  and  $x \rightarrow x^e$  coincides with the conditional expectation relative to  $A^e$ , that is,*

$$x^e = x^e \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

PROOF. Let  $A_0$  be the collection of all  $z \in A$  such that

$$(zx)^e = zx^e \text{ and } (xz)^e = x^e z \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

Then  $A_0$  is a self-adjoint subalgebra of  $A$  containing  $I$ . Indeed, for any  $z_1, z_2, z \in A_0$  and  $x \in A$ ,

$$((z_1 + z_2)x)^e = (z_1x)^e + (z_2x)^e = z_1x^e + z_2x^e = (z_1 + z_2)x^e,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (z^*x)^e &= (x^*z)^{e*} = (x^*z)^{e*} = (x^{e*}z)^* = (x^{e*}z)^* = z^*x^e, \\ (z_1z_2x)^e &= z_1(z_2x)^e = z_1z_2x^e, \\ (\alpha z x)^e &= \alpha z x^e, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $(x(z_1 + z_2))^e = x^e(z_1 + z_2), \dots$  etc. hold. Clearly  $I \in A_0$ . Let  $A_1$  be the weak closure of  $A_0$ , and  $x \rightarrow x^e$  be the conditional expectation relative to  $A_1$ . Putting  $\mu(x) = \mu(x^e)$ , since

$$|\mu_1(x)| \leq \mu(|x^e|) \leq \mu(|x|),$$

$\mu_1$  is a bounded linear functional on  $L^1(A)$ . Hence there exists an operator  $r$  in  $A$  such that

$$\mu_1(x) = \mu(x^e) = \mu(xr) \quad \text{for all } x \in A$$

(cf. Cor. 18.1 of [3] or Thm.5 of [4]). Therefore for any  $z \in A_0$ ,

$$(4) \quad \mu(zx^e) = \mu((zx)^e) = \mu(zxr) = \mu(z(xr)^e).$$

Since  $A_0$  is strongly dense in  $A_1$  and the both sides of the equation (4) are strongly continuous for  $z \in A_0$ ,

$$\mu(zx^e) = \mu(z(xr)^e) \quad \text{for all } z \in A_1.$$

Hence  $x^e = (xr)^e$ . Since

$$\mu(xr) = \mu(x^e) = \mu(x^{e*}) = \overline{\mu(x^{e*})} = \overline{\mu(x^*r)} = \mu((x^*r)^*) = \mu(r^*x) = \mu(xr^*)$$

for all  $x \in A$ ,  $r^* = r$ . By  $|\mu(xr)| \leq \mu(|x|) \|r\|$  and  $\|r^2\| \leq 1$ , hence  $r^2 \leq I$ . While

$$r^e = (Ir)^e = I^e = I$$

and by iii), iv)

$$0 \leq ((I - r)(I - r))^e = (I - 2r^e + r^2)^e = I - 2r^e + (r^2)^e = (r^2)^e - I \leq 0.$$

Therefore  $((I - r)(I - r))^e = 0$  and  $r = I$ . This implies immediately  $x^e = x^e$  and  $A^e = A_0 = A_1$ . Q. E. D.

Now we consider a normal continuous mapping  $x \rightarrow x^e$  on  $A$  without the assumptions v) and ix) (cf. Thm. 1.1 of [2]).

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $x \rightarrow x^e$  be a mapping from  $A$  into itself satisfying i), iii), iv) and vii) for  $x, y$  and  $x_\gamma$  in  $A$ , then there exists a unique positive operator  $r \in L^1(A)$  such that*

$$(5) \quad I^e = r^e \text{ and } x^e = (xr)^e = (rx)^e \quad \text{for all } x \in A,$$

where  $x \rightarrow x^e$  is a conditional expectation relative to a  $W^*$ -subalgebra determined by the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^e$ .

**PROOF.** Putting  $\mu_1(x) = \mu(x^e)$  for all  $x \in A$ ,  $\mu_1$  is a positive linear function and strongly continuous on the unit sphere  $S_0$  of  $A$ . For, since  $x \rightarrow x^e$  is weakly continuous on  $S_0$  (cf. Dixmier's Cor.1 of [4]),  $\mu_1$  is also weakly continuous on  $S_0$ , and hence strongly continuous on  $S_0$  because  $\mu_1$  is a numerical function. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem of Segal (cf. Thm. 14 of [3]), there exists a positive operator  $r \in L^1(A)$  such that

$$\mu_1(x) = \mu(xr) \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

We now prove i) and iii) imply ii). Since any  $x$  in  $A$  can be expressed by

$x = x_1 - x_2 + ix_3 - ix_4$  for  $x_k \in A$ , we have  $x^* = x_1 - x_2 - ix_3 + ix_4$ . i) and iii) imply that  $x_k^\epsilon \geq 0$  ( $k = 1, \dots, 4$ ) and  $x^{k\epsilon} = x_1^\epsilon - x_2^\epsilon - ix_3^\epsilon + ix_4^\epsilon$ , and the right side equals to  $x^{\epsilon*}$  hence we have  $x^{k\epsilon} = x^{\epsilon*}$ .

Taking  $A_0$  as in the proof of Thm. 2, the weak closedness of  $A$  follows from the normal continuity of  $x^\epsilon$  and a theorem of Dixmier (cf. Nakamura-Turumaru [5]), that is,  $A_0 = A_1$ . Let  $x \rightarrow x^\epsilon$  be the conditional expectation relative to  $A_1$ . Then by (3) in the proof of Thm. 1, for all  $z \in A_1$ ,

$$\mu(zx^\epsilon) = \mu((zx)^\epsilon) = \mu(zxr) = \mu(z(xr)^\epsilon)$$

which implies that  $x^\epsilon = (xr)^\epsilon$  and  $I^\epsilon = r^\epsilon$ . Moreover for any  $x \in A$ ,

$$(xr)^\epsilon = x^\epsilon = x^{\epsilon*} = (x^*r)^\epsilon = (x^*r)^\epsilon = (r^*x)^\epsilon = (rx)^\epsilon. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

REMARK 2. If the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^\epsilon$  in Thm. 3 is  $L^1$ -continuous instead of the normal continuity, then we can find a positive operator  $r$  in  $A$  satisfying (5). More generally, for a mapping  $x \rightarrow x^\epsilon$  from  $A$  into itself satisfying i), ii), vi) for all  $x, y \in A$  and the  $L^1$ -continuity, (5) also holds for s. a.  $r \in A$  where the conditional expectation  $x \rightarrow x^\epsilon$  is taken relative to the  $W^*$ -subalgebra  $A_1$  (cf. the proof of Thm. 2), and this fact results that the normal, strong and weak continuities (on the unit sphere of  $A$ ) of the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^\epsilon$  and  $A^\epsilon = A_1$ .

REFERENCES

[1] J. L. DOOB, Stochastic processes, New York, 1954.  
 [2] SHUH-TEH C. MOY, Characterizations of conditional expectation as a transformation on function spaces, Pacific Journ. of Math., vol. 4(1954), 47-65.  
 [3] I. E. SEGAL, A non-commutative extension of abstract integration, Ann. of Math., vol. 57(1953), 401-457.  
 [4] J. DIXMIER, Formes linéaires sur un anneau d'opérateurs, Bull. de la Soc. Math. de France, (1953), 6-39.  
 [5] M. NAKAMURA and T. TURUMARU, Expectations in an operator algebra, Tôhoku Math. Journ., vol. 6(1954), 174-176

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.