

## A THEOREM ON LIMITS OF KLEINIAN GROUPS

HIRO-O YAMAMOTO

(Received July 8, 1974)

1. Let  $G$  be a group of conformal automorphisms of the extended complex plane  $\hat{C} = C \cup \{\infty\}$ . Every element of  $G$  is a Möbius transformation of the form

$$T: z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are complex numbers with  $ad - bc = 1$ . This transformation  $T$  is often identified with  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  in  $PSL(2, C)$  and, in this case,  $a + d$  is called the trace of  $T$  and is denoted by trace  $T$ .

If there does not exist a sequence of  $G$  which converges to the identity under the topology of  $PSL(2, C)$ , then  $G$  is called discrete.

A point  $w \in \hat{C}$  is called a limit point of  $G$  provided that there exist a point  $z \in \hat{C}$  and a sequence  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of elements of  $G$  such that  $T_j \neq T_k (j \neq k)$  and such that  $T_i(z) \rightarrow w$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . If a point  $w \in \hat{C}$  is not a limit point of  $G$ , it is called an ordinary point of  $G$ . Denote by  $\Lambda(G)$  the set of all limit points of  $G$  and by  $\Omega(G)$  the set of all ordinary points of  $G$ . If  $\Omega(G)$  is not empty, then  $G$  is called a discontinuous group. If the limit set of a discontinuous group  $G$  contains more than two points, then  $G$  is called kleinian. A discontinuous group not being kleinian is said to be elementary. It is known that a kleinian group contains infinitely many loxodromic elements and the set of attracting fixed points of loxodromic elements in  $G$  is dense in  $\Lambda(G)$ .

An isomorphism  $\phi$  of a kleinian group  $G_1$  onto a kleinian group  $G_2$  is said to be type preserving if  $\phi(T)$  is parabolic if and only if  $T$  is parabolic.

Let  $T$  be a Möbius transformation of the form

$$T: z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad c \neq 0.$$

Then we call two circles  $I(T): |z + d/c| = 1/|c|$  and  $I(T^{-1}): |z - a/c| = 1/|c|$  the isometric circles of  $T$  and of  $T^{-1}$ , respectively. It is known that  $T$  maps the exterior of  $I(T)$  onto the interior of  $I(T^{-1})$ . Since the radii of  $I(T)$  and  $I(T^{-1})$  are both equal to  $1/|c|$  and since the distance of the center of  $I(T)$  from that of  $I(T^{-1})$  equals  $|(a + d)/c|$ , a necessary and sufficient

condition in order that the two isometric circles  $I(T)$  and  $I(T^{-1})$  bound a doubly connected domain containing the point  $\infty$  is  $|\text{trace } T| = |a + d| > 2$ .

The following theorem is due to Chuckrow [1].

**CHUCKROW'S THEOREM.** *Let  $G = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\}$  and  $G(n) = \{S_1(n), S_2(n), \dots\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be kleinian groups. Assume that for every  $m$  there exists a Möbius transformation  $\Sigma_m$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_m(n) = \Sigma_m$  and denote by  $\Gamma$  the group  $\{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots\}$ . Assume further that all mappings  $\phi_n: S_m \mapsto S_m(n)$  of  $G$  onto  $G(n)$  are type preserving isomorphisms. Then the mapping  $\phi: S_m \mapsto \Sigma_m$  is an isomorphism of  $G$  onto  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  contains no elliptic element of infinite order.*

The purpose of this paper is to supplement the above theorem in the following form.

**THEOREM.** *Under the same assumption of Chuckrow's theorem, the group  $\Gamma$  is discrete.*

**REMARK 1.** Our theorem is not valid if discontinuous groups  $G$  and  $G(n)$  are elementary. The fact is easily verified from the following examples.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $G(n) = \left\langle \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{-1/n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right\rangle$ , where  $\langle T, U, \dots \rangle$  denotes the group generated by the Möbius transformations  $T, U, \dots$ . Then clearly  $\Gamma = \left\langle \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right\rangle$  is not discrete.

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $G(n) = \left\langle \left( \begin{array}{cc} e(\theta) + \sqrt{-1/n} & 1 \\ (\sqrt{-1/n})e(-\theta) & e(-\theta) \end{array} \right) \right\rangle$ , where  $\theta$  is an irrational number and  $e(\theta) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta)$ . Then clearly

$$\Gamma = \left\langle \left( \begin{array}{cc} e(\theta) & 1 \\ 0 & e(-\theta) \end{array} \right) \right\rangle,$$

which is not discrete.

**REMARK 2.** It is easily seen that our theorem implies the following.

**MARDEN'S THEOREM.** (Marden [3]). *A boundary group of the Schottky space is discrete.*

2. In this section we shall state lemmas which are concerned with discontinuous groups. The following lemma is due to Chuckrow and was used to prove Chuckrow's theorem stated above.

**LEMMA 1.** (Chuckrow [1]). *If  $\{\langle T_n, U_n \rangle\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of marked Schottky groups and if  $U_n$  converges to  $U$ , a Möbius transformation, then  $T_n$  does not converge to the identity.*

Next, we prove an elementary lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $G$  be a kleinian group and let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of loxodromic elements in  $G$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that all the fixed points of  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  are in the complement of a domain  $D \subset \hat{C}$  which contains at least a limit point of  $G$ .*

PROOF. Let  $D_1, D_2$  and  $D_3$  be domains in  $\hat{C}$  satisfying

- (i)  $\bigcup_{p=1}^3 \bar{D}_p \supset A(G)$ ,
- (ii)  $D_p \cap A(G) \neq \emptyset, p = 1, 2, 3$ ,

and

- (iii)  $D_p \cap D_q = \emptyset, p \neq q, p, q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Here  $\bar{D}_p$  is the closure of  $D_p$ .

Let  $(\xi_i, \xi'_i)$  be the pair of fixed points of  $T_i$ , where  $\xi_i$  and  $\xi'_i$  are attracting and repelling fixed points of  $T_i$ , respectively.

If there is a set  $\bar{D}_p$ , say  $\bar{D}_1$ , containing infinitely many pairs  $\{(\xi_{i_j}, \xi'_{i_j})\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of fixed points of elements  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  belonging to the given sequence  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , then clearly  $D_2$  can be considered as a desired domain  $D$ .

In the other case, the property (i) implies that there is a set  $\bar{D}_p$ , say  $\bar{D}_1$ , which contains  $\xi_i$  for an infinite number of  $i$  and that there is a set  $\bar{D}_q$  ( $p \neq q$ ), say  $\bar{D}_2$ , containing repelling fixed points  $\xi'_{i_j}$  of  $T_{i_j}$  for an infinite number of  $T_{i_j}$  whose attracting fixed points are contained in  $\bar{D}_1$ . By (ii) and (iii), we see that the domain  $D_3$  is a desired domain  $D$ .

By the same argument as in the above proof, we can immediately show the following.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that  $G$  is a group of Möbius transformations and has an infinite number of elements  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and at least three loxodromic elements and that fixed points of those loxodromic elements are different from each other. Then there exist a loxodromic element  $L \in G$  and a subsequence  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $L$  does not fix any fixed point of  $T_{i_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ).*

PROOF. Let  $L_p$  ( $p = 1, 2, 3$ ) be loxodromic elements in  $G$  whose fixed points are different from each other and let  $D_p$  be a domain containing the fixed points of  $L_p$  and satisfying  $\bigcup_{p=1}^3 \bar{D}_p = \hat{C}$  and  $D_p \cap D_q = \emptyset$  ( $p \neq q$ ). Then clearly the argument in the proof of Lemma 2 establishes our lemma.

The following lemma is well known. For the proof we refer to [2].

LEMMA 4. *Let  $G$  be a discontinuous group and let the point  $\infty$  be an ordinary point of  $G$ . Then there are only a finite number of  $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  in  $G$  such that  $|c|$  is less than any preassigned real number  $c_0$ .*

The following lemma with Lemma 7 occupies the main part of the proof of our theorem.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $G$  be a kleinian group such that the point  $\infty$  is an ordinary point and no element in  $G$  fixes the point  $\infty$ . Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of the loxodromic elements in  $G$ . Then there exists a sequence of Schottky subgroups  $\{\langle L, A_k \rangle\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $G$  such that any  $A_k$  is some  $T_i$  or is of the form  $T_i T_{i'}^{-1}$ , where  $i' > i$  and  $i$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $k$  tends to  $\infty$ .*

PROOF. We shall prove our lemma by classifying the situation into two cases: (i) the case where  $|\text{trace } T_i| > 3$  for infinitely many  $T_i$  and (ii) the case otherwise.

In the case (i) we may assume that  $|\text{trace } T_i| > 3$  for all  $i$ , so  $I(T_i)$  and  $I(T_i^{-1})$  are disjoint for every  $i$ . By Lemma 2 we can find a subsequence  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that all the fixed points of  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  are contained in the complement of a domain  $D$ , which contains a point  $w \in A(G)$ .

Since the isometric circle of a loxodromic element contains the repelling fixed points of that element, Lemma 4 implies the existence of a subsequence  $\{T'_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and a subdomain  $D^*$  of  $D$  which contains the point  $w \in A(G)$  such that  $\hat{C} - D^*$  contains  $I(T'_k)$  and  $I(T'^{-1}_k)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) together with their interior. From  $w \in A(G) \cap D^*$ , we see that there exists a loxodromic element  $U \in G$  such that its attracting fixed point  $\xi$  lies inside  $D^*$ . Let  $V \in G$  be another loxodromic element, none of whose fixed points  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  is  $\xi$ . For a sufficiently large integer  $M$ , fixed points  $U^M(\eta)$  and  $U^M(\eta')$  of the loxodromic element  $U^M V U^{-M}$  are in  $D^*$ . Since the centers  $(U^M V U^{-M})^{-N}(\infty)$  and  $(U^M V U^{-M})^N(\infty)$  of isometric circles of  $(U^M V U^{-M})^N$  and  $(U^M V U^{-M})^{-N}$  tend to  $U^M(\eta')$  and  $U^M(\eta)$ , respectively, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and since by Lemma 4 radii of isometric circles of  $(U^M V U^{-M})^{-N}$  and  $(U^M V U^{-M})^N$  tend to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we can find an integer  $N$  such that  $I((U^M V U^{-M})^N)$  and  $I((U^M V U^{-M})^{-N})$  are disjoint and are contained in  $D^*$ . Put  $A_k = T'_k$  and  $L = (U^M V U^{-M})^N$ . Then it is immediate that the sequence of the Schottky groups  $\{\langle L, A_k \rangle\}_{k=1}^\infty$  has the required property.

In the case (ii) we may assume that for all  $i$

$$(1) \quad |\text{trace } T_i| = |a_i + d_i| < 3, \quad T_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $\overline{\lim} |a_i| < \infty$ , we can find a subsequence  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of the given sequence  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that the sequences  $\{a_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $\{d_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  converge to complex numbers  $a$  and  $d$ , respectively, where  $T_{i_j} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_j} & b_{i_j} \\ c_{i_j} & d_{i_j} \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence we may assume that, for all  $j$ ,

$$(2) \quad |a_{i_j}| < 2|a| + 1, \quad |d_{i_j}| < 2|d| + 1.$$

Here two cases can occur: the case where  $b_{i_j} \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $j$  and the case otherwise.

If there are infinitely many  $T_{i_j}$  with  $b_{i_j} \neq 0$  in  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ , then Lemma 4 and (2) imply the existence of a subsequence  $\{T'_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that

$$(3) \quad |b'_k| > |b'_{k+1}| > 0$$

and

$$(4) \quad |b'_k c'_{k+1}| > 3 + 2(2|a| + 1)(2|d| + 1) + |b'_k c'_k|,$$

where  $T'_k = \begin{pmatrix} a'_k & b'_k \\ c'_k & d'_k \end{pmatrix}$ . By (2), (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{trace } T'_k T'^{-1}_{k+1}| \\ &= |a'_k d'_{k+1} - b'_k c'_{k+1} - b'_{k+1} c'_k + a'_{k+1} d'_k| \\ &\geq |b'_k c'_{k+1}| - |a'_k d'_{k+1}| - |b'_{k+1} c'_k| - |a'_{k+1} d'_k| \\ &\geq 3 + 2(2|a| + 1)(2|d| + 1) + |b'_k c'_k| - 2(2|a| + 1)(2|d| + 1) - |b'_k c'_k| \\ &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the case has been reduced to the case (i) again.

In the remainder case, we may assume that  $b_{i_j}$  always vanishes. Since all loxodromic elements  $T_{i_j}$  have a common fixed point 0 and since  $G$  is discontinuous, the set  $\{\xi, \xi'\}$  of fixed points of  $T_{i_1}$  is identical with of every  $T_{i_j}$  ( $j > 1$ ). Let  $B \in PSL(2, C)$  satisfy  $B(\xi) = 0$  and  $B(\xi') = \infty$ . We may assume that

$$BT_{i_j}B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{i_j} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{i_j}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\rho_{i_j}| > 1.$$

Since  $G^* = BGB^{-1}$  is discontinuous again, any  $|\rho_{i_j}|$  must be greater than a real number  $\rho > 1$ . Hence we can find ring domains  $\Delta_j$  such that  $\Delta_j$  is a fundamental domain of the cyclic group  $\langle BT_{i_j}B^{-1} \rangle$  and all  $\Delta_j$  contain a ring domain  $\Delta$  such that  $\Delta$  contains a limit point of  $G^*$ . This last property of  $\Delta$  can be easily verified from the fact that  $G^*$  is kleinian. As in the case (i) we can find two loxodromic elements  $BLB^{-1}$  and  $BL^{-1}B^{-1}$  in  $G^* = BGB^{-1}$  whose isometric circles are contained in  $\Delta$  and are disjoint each other. Obviously Schottky subgroups  $\{\langle L, T_{i_j} \rangle\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $G$  are desired.

If  $\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i = \infty$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |a_{i_j}| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |d_{i_j}| = \infty.$$

First we assume  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (d_{i_j}/c_{i_j}) = 0$ . We can take a suitable subsequence  $\{T'_k\}$  of  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that

$$9 \left| \frac{d'_{k+1}}{c'_{k+1}} \right| < \left| \frac{d'_k}{c'_k} \right|, \quad T'_k = \begin{pmatrix} a'_k & b'_k \\ c'_k & d'_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

By an easy computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } T'_k T'^{-1}_{k+1} &= a'_k d'_{k+1} - b'_k c'_{k+1} - b'_{k+1} c'_k + a'_{k+1} d'_k \\ &= a'_k d'_{k+1} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{d'_k}{c'_k} - \frac{1}{a'_k c'_k} \right) \frac{c'_{k+1}}{d'_{k+1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( \frac{a'_{k+1}}{c'_{k+1}} - \frac{1}{c'_{k+1} d'_{k+1}} \right) \frac{c'_k}{a'_k} + \frac{a'_{k+1} d'_k}{a'_k d'_{k+1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (5) we can conclude that, for a sufficiently large  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d'_k}{c'_k} \right| &< \left| \frac{d'_k}{c'_k} - \frac{1}{a'_k c'_k} \right|, \\ \left| \frac{a'_{k+1}}{c'_{k+1}} - \frac{1}{c'_{k+1} d'_{k+1}} \right| &< 2 \left| \frac{d'_{k+1}}{c'_{k+1}} \right|, \\ \left| \frac{c'_k}{a'_k} \right| &< 2 \left| \frac{c'_k}{d'_k} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{d'_k}{a'_k} \cdot \frac{a'_{k+1}}{d'_{k+1}} \right| < 2. \end{aligned}$$

By using these, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\text{trace } T'_k T'^{-1}_{k+1}| \\ &\geq |a'_k d'_{k+1}| \left( \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d'_k}{c'_k} \cdot \frac{c'_{k+1}}{d'_{k+1}} \right| - 1 - 4 \left| \frac{d'_{k+1}}{c'_{k+1}} \right| \left| \frac{c'_k}{d'_k} \right| - 2 \right) \\ &\geq |a'_k d'_k| \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot 9 - 1 - 4 \cdot \frac{1}{9} - 2 \right) \\ &\geq |a'_k d'_k|. \end{aligned}$$

The condition (5) yields that  $T'_k T'^{-1}_{k+1}$  is a loxodromic element in  $G$  and satisfies  $|\text{trace } T'_k T'^{-1}_{k+1}| > 3$  for a sufficiently large  $k$ . Thus our case can be reduced to the case (i).

When  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (d_{i_j}/c_{i_j}) \neq 0$ , we consider a suitable conjugate  $WGW^{-1} = G'$  of  $G$  such that  $\infty$  is also an ordinary point of  $G'$  and such that for  $WT_{i_j}W^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_j}^* & b_{i_j}^* \\ c_{i_j}^* & d_{i_j}^* \end{pmatrix}$ , it holds  $\lim (d_{i_j}^*/c_{i_j}^*) = 0$ . If  $\overline{\lim} |a_{i_j}^*| = \infty$ , then the above argument shows that our lemma holds for  $G'$ , which establishes Lemma 5 itself. If  $\overline{\lim} |a_{i_j}^*| < \infty$ , then the proof in the case  $\overline{\lim} |a_{i_j}| < \infty$  gives validity of Lemma 5 for  $G'$ , so Lemma 5 also holds for  $G$ . Thus the proof of the lemma is complete.

3. In this section we prepare some results obtained under the assumption in our theorem. Let  $G = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\}$  and  $G(n) = \{S_1(n), S_2(n), \dots\}$  be

kleinian groups. We restate the assumption of the theorem as follows: there exists a Möbius transformation  $\Sigma_m$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_m(n) = \Sigma_m$  for every  $m$  and there exists a type preserving isomorphism  $\phi_n: S_m \mapsto S_m(n)$  of  $G$  onto  $G(n)$  for every  $n(m = 1, 2, \dots)$ .

Denote by  $\Gamma$  the group  $\{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots\}$ . First we prove the following.

LEMMA 6. *In addition to the assumption in our theorem, suppose that  $\infty \in \Omega(G)$  and is not fixed by any element of  $G$ . Then  $\Gamma$  contains infinitely many loxodromic elements  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that trace  $V_i$  is identical with trace  $V_1$  for any  $i$  and such that  $V_j$  and  $V_k$  have no common fixed point for any  $j$  and  $k$ .*

PROOF. First, we shall show that  $\Gamma$  contains a loxodromic element  $V_1$ . Let  $U_1$  be a loxodromic element in  $G$ . If  $\phi(U_1)$  is loxodromic, we have nothing to prove. If  $\phi(U_1)$  is not loxodromic, then by using Chuckrow's theorem we see  $\phi(U_1)$  is parabolic. Let  $U_2$  be a loxodromic element in  $G$  whose fixed points are different from the fixed points of  $U_1$ . Again we may assume  $\phi(U_2)$  is parabolic. We observe that  $\phi(U_1)$  and  $\phi(U_2)$  have no common fixed point. In fact, if  $\phi(U_1)$  and  $\phi(U_2)$  have a common fixed point, then  $\phi(U_1)$  and  $\phi(U_2)$  are commutative. Hence  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are commutative, which contradicts the fact that loxodromic elements  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  have no common fixed point. Therefore, we may assume that  $\phi(U_1)$  and  $\phi(U_2)$  are parabolic and of the form  $\phi(U_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\phi(U_2) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Clearly we have trace  $\phi(U_1)^N \phi(U_2) = a + N\lambda c + d$ , which shows that for a sufficiently large integer  $N$  such that  $V_1 = \phi(U_1)^N \phi(U_2)$  is a loxodromic element in  $\Gamma$ .

Next we shall show that  $\Gamma$  contains a transformation  $W$  which is not elliptic and has no common fixed point with  $V_1$ . It is of no loss of generality to assume

$$V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |a| > 1.$$

The fixed points of  $V_1$  are 0 and  $\infty$ . We shall show the existence of a loxodromic element  $U$  in  $G$  such that  $W = \phi(U)$  fixes neither 0 nor  $\infty$ . For the aim, suppose that for each loxodromic element  $U$ ,  $\phi(u)$  fixes either 0 or  $\infty$ . By our assumption we can find loxodromic elements  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  and  $U_4$  in  $G$  such that their fixed points are different from each other and such that  $\phi(U_1), \phi(U_2), \phi(U_3)$  and  $\phi(U_4)$  fix the point  $\infty$ , one of the fixed points of  $V_1$ . Since the centers  $U_p^{-N}(\infty)$  and  $U_p^N(\infty)$  ( $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) of isometric circles of  $U_p^N$  and  $U_p^{-N}$  tend to the repelling and attracting fixed points of  $U_p$ , respectively, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and since by Lemma 4 radii

of the isometric circles of  $U_p^N$  and of  $U_p^{-N}$  tend to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , it is easy to see that for a sufficiently large integer  $N$ , these eight isometric circles of  $U_p^N$  and  $U_p^{-N}$  ( $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are mutually disjoint and bound an 8-ply connected domain containing the point  $\infty$ . Obviously  $\langle U_1^N, U_2^N \rangle$  and  $\langle U_3^N, U_4^N \rangle$  are Schottky subgroups of  $G$  and it is easily seen that one of the fixed points of the loxodromic element  $U_1^N U_2^N U_1^{-N} U_2^{-N}$  is in the isometric circle of  $U_1^N$  and the other is in the isometric circle of  $U_2^N$ . For the loxodromic element  $U_3^N U_4^N U_3^{-N} U_4^{-N}$ , the situation is quite similar. Hence two loxodromic elements  $U_1^N U_2^N U_1^{-N} U_2^{-N}$  and  $U_3^N U_4^N U_3^{-N} U_4^{-N}$  have no common fixed point and they are not commutative. Therefore  $\phi(U_1^N U_2^N U_1^{-N} U_2^{-N})$  and  $\phi(U_3^N U_4^N U_3^{-N} U_4^{-N})$  must not be commutative. On the other hand, since  $\phi(U_p^N)$  ( $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) fix the point  $\infty$ , we can write as

$$\phi(U_1^N) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & a_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(U_2^N) = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & a_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(U_1^N)\phi(U_2^N)\phi(U_1^{-N})\phi(U_2^{-N}) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a_2 b_2 - a_1 a_2^2 b_1 + a_1^2 a_2 b_2 + a_1 b_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\phi(U_1^N U_2^N U_1^{-N} U_2^{-N}) = \phi(U_1^N)\phi(U_2^N)\phi(U_1^{-N})\phi(U_2^{-N})$  is parabolic and fixes the point  $\infty$ . For the element  $\phi(U_3^N U_4^N U_3^{-N} U_4^{-N})$ , we have the same property. Therefore, they are commutative, which is absurd. Thus there exists a loxodromic element  $U \in G$  such that  $W = \phi(U) \in \Gamma$  has no fixed point common with  $V_1$ .

Put  $V_{i+1} = W^i V_1 W^{-i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then obviously the set  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of loxodromic elements is the desired.

**LEMMA 7.** *In addition to the assumption in our theorem suppose that  $\infty \in \Omega(G)$  and is not fixed by any element of  $G$ . If  $\Gamma$  is not discrete, then there exists a sequence  $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of loxodromic elements in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converges to the identity.*

**PROOF.** Since  $\Gamma$  is not discrete, we can find a sequence  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $\Gamma$  which converges to the identity. If  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  contains an infinite number of loxodromic elements, then we have nothing to prove more. So we may assume that  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  contains no loxodromic elements. There are two cases: (i) the case when  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  contains infinitely many elliptic elements and (ii) the case when  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  contains at most a finite number of elliptic elements.

First we consider the case (i). By Lemma 6 there exist loxodromic elements  $L_p$  ( $p = 1, 2, 3$ ) which have no common fixed point. Hence Lemma

3 implies that there exist a loxodromic element  $L$  in  $\Gamma$  and a subsequence  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $L$  does not fix any fixed point  $T_{i_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ). We normalize  $T_{i_j}$  into the form

$$W_j T_{i_j} W_j^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e(\theta_{i_j}) & 0 \\ 0 & e(-\theta_{i_j}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad e(\theta_{i_j}) \neq \pm 1,$$

where  $W_j$  is in  $PSL(2, C)$ , not necessary in  $\Gamma$ , and  $e(\theta) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta)$  and put

$$W_j L W_j^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_j c_j \neq 0.$$

Then we can see that  $\text{trace } X_j = 2 + 2b_j c_j (1 - \cos 2\theta_{i_j})$  for

$$X_j = W_j T_{i_j} L T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-1} W_j^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{trace } \hat{X}_j = 2 + 2b_j c_j (a_j + d_j)^2 (1 - \cos 2\theta_{i_j})$$

for  $\hat{X}_j = W_j T_{i_j} L^2 T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-2} W_j^{-1}$ .

Since both  $\{W_j^{-1} X_j W_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $\{W_j^{-1} \hat{X}_j W_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  converge to the identity, it is sufficient to show that  $W_j^{-1} X_j W_j$  or  $W_j^{-1} \hat{X}_j W_j$  is loxodromic for every  $j$ . For the purpose we have only to prove that  $X_j$  or  $\hat{X}_j$  is loxodromic for every  $j$ . If  $\text{trace } L$  is neither real nor pure imaginary, then  $\text{trace } L = \text{trace } W_j L W_j^{-1} = a_j + d_j$  is neither real nor pure imaginary, and at least one of  $\text{trace } X_j$  or  $\text{trace } \hat{X}_j$  is not real, because  $b_j c_j (1 - \cos 2\theta_{i_j}) \neq 0$ . If  $\text{trace } L$  is pure imaginary, we see easily that  $\text{trace } L^2$  is real. Therefore as remainder we consider the case, where  $\text{trace } L$  is real. Then  $W_j L W_j^{-1}$  is hyperbolic. If  $W_j L W_j^{-1}$  transforms the disk  $\{z; |z| \leq \rho\}$  onto itself, then  $W_j L W_j^{-1}$  is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_j & \rho b_j \\ \rho^{-1} \bar{b}_j & \bar{a}_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho b_j \neq 0.$$

Hence  $\text{trace } X_j = 2 + 2|b_j|^2 (1 - \cos 2\theta_{i_j}) > 2$  and  $X_j$  is loxodromic. If for any  $\rho > 0$  the disk  $\{z; |z| \leq \rho\}$  is not invariant under  $W_j L W_j^{-1}$ , then two elements  $L$  and  $T_{i_j}$  have no common invariant disk. Hence we may assume that  $L$  makes invariant the upper half plane and is of the form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \beta \\ 0 & 2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta > 0,$$

and that  $T_{i_j}$  does not make invariant the upper half plane and is of the form

$$T_{i_j} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_j} & b_{i_j} \\ c_{i_j} & d_{i_j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_{i_j} d_{i_j} - b_{i_j} c_{i_j} = 1,$$

where at least one of  $a_{i_j}$ ,  $b_{i_j}$ ,  $c_{i_j}$  and  $d_{i_j}$  is not real and  $c_{i_j} \neq 0$ . Obviously trace  $L^M T_{i_j}$  is equal to  $2^M a_{i_j} + (2^{M-1} + 2^{M-3} + \dots + 2^{-M+1}) \beta c_{i_j} + 2^{-M} d_{i_j}$  and is not real for a sufficiently large integer  $M$ . In fact if trace  $L^M T_{i_j}$  is real for any integer  $M$ , then  $a_{i_j}$ ,  $c_{i_j}$  and  $d_{i_j}$  are clearly real, and  $b_{i_j} = (a_{i_j} d_{i_j} - 1)/c_{i_j}$  is also real. This contradicts the assumption that at least one of  $a_{i_j}$ ,  $b_{i_j}$ ,  $c_{i_j}$  and  $d_{i_j}$  is not real. Further, if trace  $L^M T_{i_j}$  is purely imaginary for infinitely many integers  $M$  and for any  $j$ , then  $a_{i_j}$ ,  $c_{i_j}$  and  $d_{i_j}$  must be pure imaginary, for any  $j$ , which contradicts the fact that  $T_{i_j}$  tends to the identity as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we have shown that  $\Gamma$  contains a loxodromic element  $L^* = L^M T_{i_j}$  whose trace is neither real nor pure imaginary. Hence by Lemma 3 and Lemma 6 we see the existence of a subsequence  $\{T'_k\}_{k=1}$  of  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}$  and a loxodromic element  $L^{**}$  such that  $L^{**}$  does not fix any fixed point of an arbitrary  $T'_k$  and trace  $L^{**} = \text{trace } L^*$ . Therefore, this case can be reduced to the previous case.

In the case (ii), we may assume that each  $T_i$  is parabolic. By the same way as in the case (i), we can find a loxodromic element  $L$  and a subsequence  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}$  of  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}$  in such that  $L$  does not fix the fixed points of any  $T_{i_j}$ . Since the sequence  $\{T_{i_j}\}_{j=1}$  converges to the identity, the sequence  $\{T_{i_j} L T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-1}\}$  also converges to the identity, so our final task is to show that  $T_{i_j} L T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-1}$  is loxodromic for each  $j$ . For the purpose, we normalize  $T_{i_j}$  into

$$W_j T_{i_j} W_j^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_j \neq 0,$$

where  $W_j$  is in  $PSL(2, C)$ . It is easily seen that  $W_j (L T_{i_j} L^{-1}) W_j^{-1}$  is parabolic and does not fix the point  $\infty$ . Hence

$$W_j (L T_{i_j} L^{-1}) W_j^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j & \beta_j \\ \gamma_j & \delta_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_j \neq 0$$

and, therefore, immediately we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } T_{i_j} L T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-1} &= \text{trace } W_j (T_{i_j} L T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-1}) W_j^{-1} \\ &= 2 + \gamma_j \lambda_j, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $T_{i_j} L T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-1}$  is not parabolic. If the sequence  $\{T_{i_j} L T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-1}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  contains an infinitely many elliptic elements, our case can be reduced to the case (i). If  $\{T_{i_j} L T_{i_j}^{-1} L^{-1}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  contains at most a finite number of elliptic elements, this sequence contains infinitely many loxodromic elements. Thus our lemma is proved completely.

#### 4. Now we can give the proof of our theorem.

First we note that we may restrict ourselves to the case where the

set  $\Omega(G)$  contains the point  $\infty$  and any element of  $G$  does not fix  $\infty$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  is not discrete. Then Lemma 7 implies the existence of a sequence  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that every  $V_i$  is loxodromic and  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  converges to the identity. Put  $\phi^{-1}(V_i) = T_i$ . Then for a sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\phi_n(T_i)$  is loxodromic and hence  $T_i$  is also loxodromic. By Lemma 5 we can find a sequence of Schottky subgroups  $\{\langle L, A_k \rangle\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of  $G$  such that  $A_k$  is some  $T_i$  or is of the form  $T_i T_{i'}^{-1}$  where  $i' > i$ .

First we deal with the second case, that is, the case where  $A_k$  is of the form  $T_i T_{i'}^{-1}$ . For each  $k$  it holds that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(A_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(T_i T_{i'}^{-1}) = V_i V_{i'}^{-1}$ . Consequently, there exists a subsequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n_k}(A_k) = id$ . On the other hand  $\langle L, A_k \rangle$  is a free and purely loxodromic group. Since  $\phi_{n_k}$  is a type preserving isomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G(n_k)$ ,  $\langle \phi_{n_k}(L), \phi_{n_k}(A_k) \rangle$  is also a free and purely loxodromic group. Moreover, since  $\langle \phi_{n_k}(L), \phi_{n_k}(A_k) \rangle$  is a subgroup of a discontinuous group  $G(n_k)$ ,  $\langle \phi_{n_k}(L), \phi_{n_k}(A_k) \rangle$  is also a discontinuous group. By a theorem of Maskit [4],  $\langle \phi_{n_k}(L), \phi_{n_k}(A_k) \rangle$  is a Schottky group, which contradicts Lemma 1 due to Chuckrow.

In the remainder case where  $A_k$  is some  $T_i$ , we arrive at the contradiction by the same reasoning as above. Thus we complete the proof of our theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. CHUCKROW, On Schottky groups with applications to kleinian groups, *Ann. of Math.*, 88 (1968), 47-61.
- [2] J. LEHNER, Discontinuous groups and automorphic functions, *Mathematical Surveys* 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1964.
- [3] A. MARDEN, Schottky groups and circles, *Contribution to Analysis*, A collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers, Academic Press, 1974, pp. 273-278.
- [4] B. MASKIT, A characterization of Schottky groups, *J. Analyse Math.*, 19 (1967), 227-230.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, JAPAN

