

## KRASNOSEL'SKIĀ-SCHAEFER TYPE METHOD IN THE EXISTENCE PROBLEMS

CALOGERO VETRO — DARIUSZ WARDOWSKI

---

ABSTRACT. We consider a general integral equation satisfying algebraic conditions in a Banach space. Using Krasnosel'skiĀ-Schaefer type method and technical assumptions, we prove an existence theorem producing a periodic solution of some nonlinear integral equation.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$  be the Banach space of continuous  $\Gamma$ -periodic functions  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\Gamma > 0$  and the supremum norm. In this paper, we study the following integral equation

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(t) = f(t, \varphi(t)) - \int_{t-\alpha}^t D(t, s)g(s, \varphi(s)) ds,$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $f, g, D: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions satisfying the following assumptions:

- (A1)  $f(t + \Gamma, x) = f(t, x)$ ,  $D(t + \Gamma, s + \Gamma) = D(t, s)$ ,  $g(t + \Gamma, x) = g(t, x)$  for all  $s, t, x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (A2)  $D(t, t - \alpha) = 0$ ,  $D_{st}(t, s) \leq 0$ ,  $D_s(t, s) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \in (t - \alpha, t)$ ,
- (A3) the function  $D_{st}$  is continuous,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47H10, 47N20.

*Key words and phrases*.  $F$ -contraction; compact operator; nonlinear integral equation; Krasnosel'skiĀ-Schaefer fixed point theorem.

The second author was financially supported by the National Science Center, Poland. Grant with a registration number 2017/01/X/ST1/00390.

(A4) there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq \frac{|x - y|}{1 + \gamma|x - y|} \quad \text{for all } t, x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

(A5)  $xg(t, x) \geq 0$  for all  $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

(A6) for each positive  $K$  such that  $K > |f(t, 0)|$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  there exist  $P > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$\frac{-2\gamma|x|}{1 + \gamma|x|} xg(t, x) \leq (-\beta - 2K)|g(t, x)| + P \quad \text{for all } t, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using the Krasnosel'skiĭ-Schaefer type method (see Schaefer [4]), we prove an existence theorem producing a periodic solution of problem (1.1). There is a wide literature on the application of this methodology to the integral equations theory. We mention here the important papers of Burton [1], Burton and Kirk [2], Liu and Li [3], Wardowski [7], which are strongly related to our findings. In our approach we derive new fixed point tools useful to deal with abstract existence problems.

Recently Wardowski [6] initiated a concept of a contraction-type mapping, characterized by the possibility of overcoming various situations (by hybridization with well-known contractive conditions in the literature, see Vetro and Vetro [5] and the references therein). Here, we recall the following version of the notion of a Wardowski's contraction mapping and related fixed-point result for further use.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is called a  $(\tau, F)$ -contraction if there exist the functions  $F: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tau: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  satisfying:

- (i)  $F$  is strictly increasing,
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F(t) = -\infty$ ,
- (iii)  $\liminf_{s \rightarrow t^+} \tau(s) > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,
- (iv)  $\tau(d(x, y)) + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $Tx \neq Ty$ .

REMARK 1.1. Observe that (i), (iv) and the fact that  $\tau > 0$  immediately imply that for all  $x, y \in X$   $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$ , and this gives the continuity of  $T$ .

THEOREM 1.2 ([7, Theorem 2.1]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\tau, F)$ -contraction. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

Finally, we recall the following Schaefer's result in [4].

THEOREM 1.3. *Let  $X$  be a normed space,  $H: X \rightarrow X$  a continuous mapping, compact on each bounded subset of  $X$ . Then either the equation  $x = Hx$  has a solution or the set of all solutions of the equation  $x = \lambda Hx$ , for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , is unbounded.*

## 2. Auxiliary results

We start from our fixed point tool, that is a local version of Theorem 1.2.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T: B(x_0, r) \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\tau, F)$ -contraction defined on the open ball centered at  $x_0 \in X$  with radius  $r > 0$ . If  $F$  is left-continuous,  $\tau$  nonincreasing and the following condition holds*

$$F(r) - F(r - d(x_0, Tx_0)) < \tau(r),$$

*then  $T$  has a fixed point.*

**PROOF.** Since  $F$  is left-continuous, we can find  $0 < \varepsilon < r$  such that

$$F(\varepsilon) - F(\varepsilon - d(x_0, Tx_0)) < \tau(r).$$

Consider the closed ball  $C = \overline{B}(x_0, \varepsilon)$ . We show that  $T(C) \subset C$ . Take  $x \in C$  and observe that if  $d(Tx, x_0) - d(Tx_0, x_0) \leq 0$  then we immediately get

$$d(Tx, x_0) \leq d(Tx_0, x_0) \leq \varepsilon.$$

In other case we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(Tx, x_0) - d(Tx_0, x_0)) &\leq F(d(Tx, Tx_0)) \leq F(d(x, x_0)) - \tau(d(x, x_0)) \\ &\leq F(\varepsilon) - \tau(r) \leq F(\varepsilon - d(x_0, Tx_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get  $d(Tx, x_0) \leq \varepsilon$ , which means that  $Tx \in C$ . Completeness of  $C$  and Theorem 1.2 end the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $U$  an open subset of  $X$  and let  $T: U \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\tau, F)$ -contraction. If  $F$  is left-continuous and  $\tau$  nonincreasing then the mapping  $h(x) = x - T(x)$ ,  $x \in U$  maps homeomorphically  $U$  onto  $h(U)$ .*

**PROOF.** The continuity of  $h$  is easily visible due to Remark 1.1. So, consider  $u, v \in X$ ,  $u \neq v$  and suppose that  $h(u) = h(v)$ . Consequently we get  $T(u) \neq T(v)$ . Moreover, we have

$$\|h(u) - h(v)\| = \|u - T(u) - v + T(v)\| \geq \|u - v\| - \|T(u) - T(v)\|.$$

So, we obtain

$$F(\|u - v\|) \leq F(\|T(u) - T(v)\|) \leq F(\|u - v\|) - \tau(\|u - v\|),$$

which is impossible since  $\tau$  is positive. Therefore  $h$  is a one-to-one mapping.

In order to show the continuity of  $h^{-1}$ , we verify that  $h$  is open. Let  $Q$  be an open subset of  $U$  and let  $w \in h(Q)$ . Take  $v \in Q$  such that  $w = h(v)$ . There exists  $r > 0$  satisfying  $B(v, r) \subset Q$ . Since  $F$  is left-continuous, there exists  $0 < \varepsilon < r$  for which we get

$$(2.1) \quad F(r) - F(r - \eta) < \tau(r) \quad \text{for every } \eta \in [0, \varepsilon].$$

Now, take  $y \in B(w, \varepsilon)$  and define the mapping  $S: B(v, r) \rightarrow X$  as follows

$$S(x) := y + T(x).$$

It is obvious that  $S$  is a  $(\tau, F)$ -contraction. Moreover, observe that, by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) - F(r - \|v - S(v)\|) &= F(r) - F(r - \|y + T(v) - v\|) \\ &= F(r) - F(r - \|y - h(v)\|) < \tau(r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, applying Theorem 2.1 to the mapping  $S$ , there exists  $x \in B(v, r)$  such that  $x = S(x) = y + T(x)$ . In consequence  $y = h(x) \in h(B(v, r))$ , which implies  $B(w, \varepsilon) \subset h(B(v, r)) \subset h(Q)$ . Thus  $h(Q)$  is open.  $\square$

Now, we are interested in a special case of  $(\tau, F)$ -contractive mapping, where  $F(t) = -1/t$  for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . We will show that the contractive condition for such  $F$ , in the setting of Banach space  $X$ , gives the possibility to obtain the extensions of some known results and new applications in the theory of integro-differential equations. So, putting  $F(t) = -1/t$  in (iv), we get

$$(2.2) \quad \|T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \frac{\|x - y\|}{1 + \tau(\|x - y\|)\|x - y\|}, \quad x, y \in X, \quad x \neq y.$$

Now, we prove the following propositions.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *For every  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , if  $T$  satisfies inequality (2.2), then  $\lambda T(\cdot/\lambda)$  is  $(\eta, F)$ -contraction, with*

$$\eta(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tau\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad F(t) = -\frac{1}{t} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, \infty).$$

Moreover, for every  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\left\| \lambda T\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{1 + \eta(\|x\|)\|x\|} + \|T(0)\|.$$

**PROOF.** For  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \lambda T\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) - \lambda T\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right) \right\| &\leq \lambda \frac{\left\| \frac{x}{\lambda} - \frac{y}{\lambda} \right\|}{1 + \tau\left(\left\| \frac{x}{\lambda} - \frac{y}{\lambda} \right\|\right) \left\| \frac{x}{\lambda} - \frac{y}{\lambda} \right\|} \\ &= \frac{\|x - y\|}{1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \tau\left(\frac{\|x - y\|}{\lambda}\right) \|x - y\|} = \frac{\|x - y\|}{1 + \eta(\|x - y\|)\|x - y\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for every  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , using the above inequality, we obtain

$$\left\| \lambda T\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \right\| \leq \left\| \lambda T\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) - \lambda T(0) \right\| + \lambda \|T(0)\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{1 + \eta(\|x\|)\|x\|} + \|T(0)\|. \quad \square$$

Following the Burton's ideas, we state and prove next result.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $T_1: X \rightarrow X$  continuous and mapping bounded sets into compact sets, and let  $T_2: X \rightarrow X$  satisfy (2.2) with constant  $\tau > 0$ . Then one of the following holds:*

- (a) *the equation  $x = \lambda T_1(x) + \lambda T_2(x/\lambda)$  has a solution for  $\lambda = 1$ ;*
- (b) *the set  $\{x \in X : x = \lambda T_1(x) + \lambda T_2(x/\lambda) \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$  is unbounded.*

**PROOF.** We observe that, by Proposition 2.3 and the fact that  $\tau\lambda^{-1} > \tau$ , the mapping  $X \ni x \mapsto \lambda T_2(x/\lambda)$  satisfies (2.2). Moreover, considering any  $y \in X$  the mapping  $X \ni x \mapsto \lambda T_2(x/\lambda) + \lambda T_1(y)$  also satisfies the condition (2.2). Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, there exists exactly one  $x \in X$  satisfying

$$x = \lambda T_1(y) + \lambda T_2\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right).$$

Putting  $h(x) = x - T_2(x)$  and applying Theorem 2.2, the above equation can be rewritten in the form

$$x = \lambda(h^{-1} \circ T_1)(y).$$

By Theorem 1.3 (the Schaefer's result) the above equation with  $y = x$  has a solution for  $\lambda = 1$  or the set  $\{x \in X : x = \lambda(h^{-1} \circ T_1)(x) \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$  is unbounded, which ends the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Periodic solution of integral equations

On account of Theorem 2.4, we prove the existence of a periodic solution for the integral equation (1.1). Precisely, we build our existence theorem on two key-lemmas.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *The mapping  $T: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  given by the formula*

$$(T\varphi)(t) = f(t, \varphi(t)),$$

*with  $f$  fulfilling (A4), satisfies (2.2) with constant  $\tau = \gamma$ .*

**PROOF.** We observe that for all  $\zeta, \eta \in \mathcal{B}$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$|(T\zeta)(t) - (T\eta)(t)| = |f(t, \zeta(t)) - f(t, \eta(t))| \leq \frac{|\zeta(t) - \eta(t)|}{1 + \gamma|\zeta(t) - \eta(t)|}.$$

The function  $[0, \infty) \ni s \mapsto s/(1 + \gamma s)$  is increasing, therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\zeta - T\eta\| &= \sup_{t \in [0, \Gamma]} |(T\zeta)(t) - (T\eta)(t)| \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0, \Gamma]} |f(t, \zeta(t)) - f(t, \eta(t))| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, \Gamma]} \frac{|\zeta(t) - \eta(t)|}{1 + \gamma|\zeta(t) - \eta(t)|} \\ &= \frac{\sup_{t \in [0, \Gamma]} |\zeta(t) - \eta(t)|}{1 + \gamma \sup_{t \in [0, \Gamma]} |\zeta(t) - \eta(t)|} = \frac{\|\zeta - \eta\|}{1 + \gamma\|\zeta - \eta\|}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists  $C > 0$  such that if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$  is the solution of the equation*

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi(t) = \lambda f\left(t, \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda}\right) - \lambda \int_{t-\alpha}^t D(t, s)g(s, \varphi(s)) ds,$$

for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then  $\|\varphi\| \leq C$ .

PROOF. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$  denote a solution of (3.1) for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Using the Burton's method consider the following function of Liapunov type

$$V(t) = \lambda^2 \int_{t-\alpha}^t D_s(t, s) \left( \int_s^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv \right)^2 ds.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &= \lambda^2 \int_{t-\alpha}^t \left[ D_{st}(t, s) \left( \int_s^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2D_s(t, s)g(t, \varphi(t)) \int_s^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv \right] ds \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 D_s(t, t) \left( \int_t^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv \right)^2 - \lambda^2 D_s(t, t-\alpha) \left( \int_{t-\alpha}^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We get  $\int_t^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv = 0$ , moreover using (A2) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &\leq 2\lambda^2 g(t, \varphi(t)) \int_{t-\alpha}^t D_s(t, s) \int_s^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv ds \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 D_s(t, t-\alpha) \left( \int_{t-\alpha}^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Due to  $D_s(t, t-\alpha) \geq 0$  (see again (A2)) we get the following inequality

$$V'(t) \leq 2\lambda^2 g(t, \varphi(t)) \int_{t-\alpha}^t D_s(t, s) \int_s^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv ds.$$

Integration by part and  $D(t, t-\alpha) = 0$  (see (A2)) yield

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &\leq 2\lambda^2 g(t, \varphi(t)) \left[ \int_{t-\alpha}^t D(t, s)g(s, \varphi(s)) ds - D(t, t-\alpha) \int_{t-\alpha}^t g(v, \varphi(v)) dv \right] \\ &= 2\lambda^2 g(t, \varphi(t)) \int_{t-\alpha}^t D(t, s)g(s, \varphi(s)) ds = 2\lambda g(t, \varphi(t)) \left[ \lambda f\left(t, \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda}\right) - \varphi(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using (A4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \lambda f\left(t, \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda}\right) \right| &= \lambda \left| f\left(t, \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda}\right) - f(t, 0) + f(t, 0) \right| \\ &\leq \lambda \left| f\left(t, \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda}\right) - f(t, 0) \right| + |f(t, 0)| \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{\left| \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda} \right|}{1 + \gamma \left| \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda} \right|} + K \leq \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{1 + \gamma |\varphi(t)|} + K \end{aligned}$$

for some  $K > |f(t, 0)|$ . Observe that the choice of  $K$  does not depend on  $t$  since  $f(\cdot, x)$  is periodic. By using (A5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} V'(t) &\leq 2\lambda \left[ \frac{\varphi(t)g(t, \varphi(t))}{1 + \gamma |\varphi(t)|} + K|g(t, \varphi(t))| - \varphi(t)g(t, \varphi(t)) \right] \\ &= 2\lambda \left[ \frac{-\gamma |\varphi(t)|}{1 + \gamma |\varphi(t)|} \varphi(t)g(t, \varphi(t)) + K|g(t, \varphi(t))| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From (A6) we obtain

$$V'(t) \leq \lambda(P - \beta|g(t, \varphi(t))|).$$

From the above facts and since  $V$  is a periodic function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = V(\Gamma) - V(0) &= \int_0^\Gamma V'(t) dt \\ &\leq \lambda \int_0^\Gamma [P - \beta|g(t, \varphi(t))|] dt = \lambda \left[ P\Gamma - \beta \int_0^\Gamma |g(t, \varphi(t))| dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In consequence, we get

$$\int_0^\Gamma |g(t, \varphi(t))| dt \leq \frac{P\Gamma}{\beta}.$$

Next, by (A1) and the continuity of  $g$  we have  $g(t, \varphi(t)) \in \mathcal{B}$ . Therefore, there exists  $N > 0$  chosen independently from  $\varphi$  such that

$$\int_{t-\alpha}^t |g(s, \varphi(s))| ds \leq N.$$

Denote  $M := \max_{-\alpha \leq s \leq t \leq \Gamma} |D(t, s)|$ . Summarizing we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t)| &\leq \left| \lambda f\left(t, \frac{\varphi(t)}{\lambda}\right) \right| + \lambda \int_{t-\alpha}^t |D(t, s)g(s, \varphi(s))| ds \\ &\leq \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{1 + \gamma |\varphi(t)|} + K + MN \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} + K + MN. \end{aligned}$$

So, it is easy to deduce that

$$\|\varphi\| \leq C := \frac{1}{\gamma} + K + MN,$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

Before we announce the main result of this section, for the convenience of the reader, we recall the following result due to Burton and Kirk.

LEMMA 3.3 ([2, Lemma 3.3]). *Let  $T$  be defined as follows:*

$$(T\varphi)(t) = - \int_{t-\alpha}^t D(t, s) g(s, \varphi(s)) ds, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{B}.$$

*Then  $T(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}$ ,  $T$  is continuous and  $T$  maps bounded sets into compact sets.*

THEOREM 3.4. *If (A1)–(A6) hold for some  $\Gamma > 0$ , then the equation (1.1) has a  $\Gamma$ -periodic solution.*

PROOF. In the light of Theorem 2.4 we put

$$X = \mathcal{B}, \quad (T_1\varphi)(t) = - \int_{t-\alpha}^t D(t, s) g(s, \varphi(s)) ds, \quad (T_2\varphi)(t) = f(t, \varphi(t)).$$

By Lemma 3.3, the mapping  $T_1$  is continuous and maps bounded sets into compact subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Using Lemma 3.2, we get that condition (b) of Theorem 2.4 does not hold and hence (a) is satisfied.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 3.5. Consider the following equation:

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi(t) = \frac{|\varphi(t)|}{1 + |\varphi(t)|} - \int_{t-\alpha}^t (s - t + \alpha) \varphi(s) ds,$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is taken arbitrarily and fixed. The existence of a  $\Gamma$ -periodic solution of the equation (3.2) for any  $\Gamma > 0$  is guaranteed by Theorem 3.4. Indeed, it is enough to consider  $f(t, x) = |x|/(1 + |x|)$ ,  $g(t, x) = x$ ,  $D(t, s) = s - t + \alpha$  and  $\gamma = 1$ . Then, the conditions (A1)–(A5) are easy to be observed. In order to get (A6) it is enough for any  $K > 0$  to take  $\beta = K$  and  $P = (9K^2 + 24K)/8$ .

On the other hand, observe that the function  $f$  in the equation (3.2) does not allow to reduce the problem to the most known case of  $F$ -contraction, i.e. Banach contraction. If it was possible then we would have the existence of  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq k|x - y| \quad \text{for all } x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In consequence, taking  $y = 0$  and any  $x \neq 0$  we would have:

$$k \geq \frac{|f(t, x) - f(t, 0)|}{|x|} = \frac{1}{1 + |x|},$$

which is impossible.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T.A. BURTON, *Integral equations, implicit functions, and fixed points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 8, 2383–2390.
- [2] T.A. BURTON AND C. KIRK, *A fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskiĭ–Schaefer type*, Math. Nachr. **189** (1998), 23–31.

- [3] Y. LIU AND Z. LI, *Schaefer type theorem and periodic solutions of evolution equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **316** (2006), 237–255.
- [4] H. SCHAEFER, *Über die Methode der a priori-Schranken*, Math. Ann. **129** (1955), 415–416.
- [5] C. VETRO AND F. VETRO, *The class of  $F$ -contraction mappings with a measure of noncompactness*, Advances in Nonlinear Analysis via the Concept of Measure of Noncompactness (J. Banas et al. eds.), Springer Singapore, 2017, pp. 297–331, DOI:10.1007/978-981-10-3722-1\_7
- [6] D. WARDOWSKI, *Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **94** (2012), 1–6.
- [7] D. WARDOWSKI, *Solving existence problems via  $F$ -contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), 1585–1598.

*Manuscript received March 28, 2018*

*accepted October 26, 2018*

CALOGERO VETRO  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University of Palermo  
Via Archirafi 34  
90123, Palermo, ITALY  
*E-mail address:* calogero.vetro@unipa.it

DARIUSZ WARDOWSKI (corresponding author)  
Department of Nonlinear Analysis  
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University of Łódź  
Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* dariusz.wardowski@wmii.uni.lodz.pl