# Dirichlet finite harmonic differentials with integral periods on arbitrary Riemann surfaces

By

Masahiko TANIGUCHI

(Received March 19, 1982)

## Introduction

In this paper we consider Dirichlet finite harmonic differentials with integral periods on arbitrary Riemann surfaces. From such a differential  $\sigma$  on an arbitrarily given Riemann surface R, we can construct a mapping  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  (, for the definition, see §1,) from R into  $S^1 = \{|z| = 1\}$ , and we can take  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t)$  as a "level set" of  $\sigma$  for every  $t \in S^1$ . Such a mapping can be extended continuously onto the Royden's compactification  $R^*$  of R. Now Theorem 1 in §1 states that for almost all t in  $S^1 - u_{\sigma}(\Delta)$  the set  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t)$  consists only of (at most countable number of) simple closed (, hence compact) curves in R, where  $\Delta$  is the harmonic boundary of  $R^*$ . In particular, if  $u_{\sigma}(\Delta)$  is a set of linear measure zero on  $S^1$ , then the holomorphic quadratic differential  $(-*\sigma + \sqrt{-1} \cdot 1\sigma)^2$  has closed trajectories (in the sense of K. Strebel).

Next Theorem 2 states that if  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are contained in the same component of  $S^1 - u_{\sigma}(\Delta)$ , then the "level sets"  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t_1)$  and  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t_2)$  have same length with respect to the metric naturally induced by  $\sigma$  (, or equivalently,  $*\sigma$  has same periods along  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t_1)$  and  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t_2)$  with suitable orientations).

Definitions and main theorems are stated in §1, and the applications are made to basic differentials and functions such as reproducing differentials for 1-cycles, Green's functions and harmonic measures in §2. Proofs of main theorems are given in §3, and examples are provided in §4.

### §1. Definitions and main results

Let R be an arbitrary Riemann surface and  $\Gamma_h(R)$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable *real* harmonic differentials on R. We say that a differential  $\sigma$  in  $\Gamma_h(R)$  has *integral periods* if  $\int_{C} \sigma$  is an integer for every 1-cycle c on R, and set

 $\Gamma_{hI}(R) = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma_h(R) : \sigma \text{ has integral periods} \}.$ 

Here note that  $\Gamma_{he}(R)$  is clearly contained in  $\Gamma_{hI}(R)$ . For every  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{hI}(R)$  and arbitrarily fixed point  $p_0 \in R$  and real constant  $a_0$ ,

Masahiko Taniguchi

$$u_{\sigma}(p) = \exp\left[2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot\left(\int_{p_0}^p \sigma + a_0\right)\right]$$

is well defined function on R whose values fall in  $S^1 = \{|z|=1\}$ . We call  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  a circular function for  $\sigma$  (with the base point  $p_0$  and the additive constant  $a_0$ ). For the later use, a circular function  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  for a given  $\sigma$  need to be determined up to multiplicative factor of modulus one, so the choice of  $p_0$  and  $a_0$  are inessential.

Now since it holds that

$$|\text{grad } u_{\sigma}(p)| = 2\pi |u_{\sigma}(p) \cdot \sigma| = 2\pi |\sigma|$$

(, where  $|\sigma|^2 = (a(z)^2 + b(z)^2)dxdy$  if  $\sigma = a(z)dx + b(z)dy$  with a local parameter  $z = x + \sqrt{-1} \cdot y$ ),  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  is a (complex) Dirichlet finite function on R, hence can be extended to a continuous function from the Royden's compactification  $R^*$  of R into  $S^1$ , which is denoted by the same  $u_{\sigma}(p)$ . For the details of the theory of the Royden's compactification, see [4] or [11]. Let  $\Delta$  be the harmonic boundary of  $R^*$ , and set  $E_{u_{\sigma}} = u_{\sigma}(\Delta)$ , which we call the set of essential boundary values of  $u_{\sigma}$ . Because  $\Delta$  is compact,  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  is also compact, so  $S^1 - E_{u_{\sigma}}$  consists of at most countably many open arcs on  $S^1$ , each of which we call a supplementary interval for  $u_{\sigma}$ .

Next, in general, for a meromorphic quadratic differential  $\varphi$  on R, a trajectory of  $\varphi$  is defined as a maximal curve along which  $\varphi$  is positive. A trajectory  $\gamma$  of  $\varphi$  is called *critical* if  $\gamma$  tends to a zero or a pole of  $\varphi$  in either direction, and *regular* if otherwise. Recall that the number of critical trajectories of  $\varphi$  is at most countable, and that a compact regular trajectory is a simple closed curve (i.e., Jordan curve). Now we call a meromorphic quadratic differential  $\varphi$  has closed trajectories (cf. [12]) if  $\varphi \equiv 0$  or the complement of all compact regular trajectories is a set of 2-dimensional measure zero (, i.e. its intersection with every parameter neighbourhood has area measure zero). Note that  $\varphi$  has closed trajectories if and only if the complement of all compact regular trajectories if and only if the set

 $CA_1(R) = \{\theta: \theta \text{ is a meromorphic abelian differential whose square has closed trajectories}\}.$ 

Now for every  $\sigma \in \Gamma_h(R)$  we set  $\theta_{\sigma} = -*\sigma + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sigma$  and call the holomorphic differential associated with  $\sigma$ . Then one of main results can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let R be an arbitrary Riemann surface,  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{hl}(R)$ ,  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  a circular function for  $\sigma$  and  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  the set of essential boundary values of  $u_{\sigma}$ . Then for almost every t in  $S^1 - E_{u_{\sigma}}$ , the set  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t)$  consists only of compact regular trajectories of  $\theta_{\sigma}^2$ , where  $\theta_{\sigma}$  is the holomorphic differential associated with  $\sigma$ .

In particular, if the linear measure of  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  is zero, then the holomorphic differential  $\theta_{\sigma}$  associated with  $\sigma$  belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ .

**Remark.** Even if  $\sigma$  has not discrete periods, the differential  $\theta_{\sigma}$  associated with  $\sigma$  may belong to  $CA_1(R)$ . Such examples for compact surfaces are already known. Also note that the set  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t)$  generally consists of trajectories and possibly zeros of  $\theta_{\sigma}^2$  for every  $t \in S^1$ .

Finally for every  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{hI}(R)$ , let  $u_{\sigma}(p)$ ,  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  and  $\theta_{\sigma}$  be as before and  $\{I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i}\}$  be the

set of all supplementary intervals for  $u_{\sigma}(p)$ . Then for every  $t \in S^1$  we call the set of all trajectories of  $\theta_{\sigma}^2$  contained in  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t)$  the set of level curves of  $\sigma$  for t (with respect to  $u_{\sigma}(p)$ ), and denote by  $L_t$ . This  $L_t$  is nothing but  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t)$  deleted all zeros of  $\theta_{\sigma}$  as a point set. Also note that the set  $L_t$  depends on the choice of  $u_{\sigma}(p)$ , but the whole family  $\{L_t\}_{t\in S^1}$  depends only on  $\sigma$ . For every  $t \in S^1$ , let  $L_t = \bigcup_j c_j$ , where every  $c_j$ is a trajectory of  $\theta_{\sigma}^2$ , and in the sequel we assume that every  $c_j$  is oriented so that  $\int_{c_j} \theta_{\sigma} (=\int_{c_j} -*\sigma)$  is positive. Then the length  $m_{\sigma}(t)$  of  $L_t$  is defined by

$$m_{\sigma}(t) = \sum_{j} \int_{c_{j}} \theta_{\sigma} \left( = \sum_{j} \int_{c_{j}} |\theta_{\sigma}| \right)$$

Here if  $L_t$  is the empty set, then we consider that  $m_o(t)=0$ . And we show the following

**Theorem 2.** Let R be an arbitrary Riemann surface,  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{hI}(R)$ ,  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  a circular function for  $\sigma$ ,  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  the set of essential boundary values of u and  $\{I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i}\}$  the set of all supplementary intervals for  $u_{\sigma}(p)$ . Then if  $t_{1}$  and  $t_{2}$  are contained in the same  $I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i}$ , then it holds that

$$m_{\sigma}(t_1) = m_{\sigma}(t_2).$$

Moreover if the linear measure of  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  is zero, then it holds that

$$\|\sigma\|_R^2 = \sum_i a(I_{u_\sigma}^i) \cdot m_i,$$

where  $m_i = m_{\sigma}(t)$  with some (, hence every) t in  $I_{u_{\sigma}}^i$ ,  $a(I_{u_{\sigma}}^i) = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1$  when  $I_{u_{\sigma}}^i = \{\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot\alpha): \alpha_1 < \alpha < \alpha_2\}$  for every i, and  $\|\sigma\|_R$  is the Dirichlet norm of  $\sigma$  on R.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 will be given in §3.

### §2. Applications

First for an arbitrary Riemann surface R, we set

- $A_1S(R) = \left\{ \theta: \theta \text{ is a meromorphic abelian differential on } R \text{ such that } \theta \text{ has an expansion as} \left( \frac{a}{z} + \text{regular terms} \right) dz \text{ with purely imaginary number} a \text{ at every pole} \right\}, \text{ and }$
- $A_1S_0(R) = \{ \theta \in A_1S(R) : \text{ Im } \theta \text{ has } \Gamma_{\{0\}} \text{-behavior, namely, there is a canonical region } G \text{ in } R \text{ such that for every component } U \text{ of } R \overline{G} \text{ we can find a function } f_U \text{ (of } C^{\infty} \text{-class) on } R \text{ such that } df_U \in \Gamma_{e0}(R) \text{ and } df_U \equiv \text{Im } \theta \text{ on } U \}$

Note that if R is compact, then  $A_1S(R) = A_1S_0(R)$ , and hence the following Proposition is a generalization of [13] Lemma 6.

**Proposition 1.** Let R be an arbitrary Riemann surface and  $\theta \in A_1S_0(R)$ . If Im  $\theta$  has integral periods, then  $\theta$  belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ .

*Proof.* First because Im  $\theta$  has  $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ -behavior, there is a canonical region G

### Masahiko Taniguchi

as in the definition of  $A_1S_0(R)$ . Here we may assume without loss of generality that all poles of  $\theta$  are contained in G. Since G is relatively compact,  $\theta$  has only a finitely many poles, which are denoted by  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^N$ . Next the square  $\theta^2$  has such an expansion as  $\left(\frac{a}{z^2} + \frac{b}{z} + \text{regular terms}\right) dz^2$  with some real negative a near  $p_i$  for every i. Hence from the local structure theorem near a pole of order two (cf. [5]) we see that there is a compact regular trajectory, say  $\gamma_i$ , of  $\theta^2$  freely homotopic to the point  $p_i$  in Gfor every i.

Now let  $D_i$  be the disk in G surrounded by  $\gamma_i$  for every *i* and set  $R' = R - \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \overline{D}_i$ and  $\theta' \equiv \theta$  restricted on R'. Then clearly Im  $\theta'$  belongs to  $\Gamma_h(R')$  and has integral periods. So we can define a circular function u'(p) for Im  $\theta'$ . Here note that the number of connected components of R' - G (= R - G) is finite. And it is easily seen from the assumption that  $u'(\Delta' \cap \overline{U})$  is a constant for every component U of R' - G, where  $\Delta'$  is the harmonic boundary of  $R'^*$  and  $\overline{U}$  is the closure of U in  $R'^*$ . (Recall that  $\Delta' \cap (R'^* - G)$  can be identified with  $\Delta$ ). Also  $u'(\gamma_i)$  is a constant for every *i*, for Im  $\theta = 0$  along every  $\gamma_i$  from the definition. Thus we conclude that the set  $E_{u'}$ of essential boundary values of u' consists of a finite number of points, and the assertion follows from Theorem 1. q. e. d.

Next take a 1-cycle c on an arbitrarily given Riemann surface R. Then the reproducing differential  $\sigma(c)$  on R is characterized by the condition that

$$\int_{c} \omega = (\omega, \sigma(c)) \quad \text{for every} \quad \omega \in \Gamma_{h}(R).$$

For basic facts on the theory of differentials, including reproducing ones, see for example, [3] Ch. V or [7] Ch. 9. Here we recall a standard construction of  $*\sigma(c)$ . First let c be the homology class of  $\sum_{k=1}^{m} n_k \cdot c_k$  with suitable integers  $n_k$  and oriented simple closed curves  $c_k$  on R. Then take a relatively compact annular neighbourhood  $U_k$  of  $c_k$  and consider a function  $v_k$  of the  $C^{\infty}$ -class on  $R - c_k$  with a compact support in R such that  $v_k \equiv 1$  on the right side component of  $U_k - c_k$  and  $v_k \equiv 0$  on the other side. And then it is known that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} n_k \cdot dv_k = *\sigma(c) + df \quad \text{with} \quad df \in \Gamma_{e0}(R).$$

In particular, it is clear that  $*\sigma(c)$  has integral periods.

**Proposition 2.** Let R and c be as above. Then the holomorphic reproducing differential  $\theta_c = \sigma(c) + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sigma(c)$  (, i.e. the holomorphic differential associated with  $\sigma(c)$ ) belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ .

Moreover let u(p) be a circular function for  $*\sigma(c)$  and denote by m(t) the length  $m_{*\sigma(c)}(t)$  of the set  $L_t$  of level curves of  $*\sigma(c)$  for t for every  $t \in S^1$ . Then it holds that

$$m(t) = \|\sigma(c)\|_{R}^{2} \quad (= \|*\sigma(c)\|_{R}^{2})$$

for every  $t \in S^1$  except for at most single one value.

*Proof.* Note that  $u(p) = \exp\left[2\pi\sqrt{-1}\left(\int_{p_0}^p \sum_{k=1}^m n_k \cdot dv_k + a_0\right)\right]$  on the harmonic boundary of  $R^*$ , where  $n_k$  and  $v_k$  are as in the above construction of  $*\sigma(c)$  and  $p_0 \in R$  and  $a_0$  are suitably chosen. So we can see that the set  $E_u$  of essential boundary values of u is empty or consists of only one value  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot a_0)$ . Thus the assertions follow from Theorems 1 and 2.

**Remark.** Proposition 2 is essentially due to Accola. See [1] and [2]. (Also cf. [8] and [13].) Note that the first assertion of Proposition 2 is also a corollary of Proposition 1, for  $\theta_c$  belongs to  $A_1S_0(R)$  (, i.e. it is clear from the construction that  $*\sigma(c)$  has  $\Gamma_{\{0\}}$ -behavior.)

Now we state a special but important case of Propositions 1 and 2.

**Corollary 1** ([2] and [10]). Let g(p, q) be the Green's function on a hyperbolic Riemann surface  $R(R \Subset O_G)$  with the pole  $q \in R$ . Then the meromorphic differential  $\theta_q = -*dg(p, q) + \sqrt{-1} \cdot dg(p, q)$  belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ .

Moreover for every t > 0, it holds that

$$\int_{\partial \{p \in R: g(p,q) > t\}} -*dg(p,q) = 2\pi$$

**Proof.** The first assertion follows from Proposition 1, for  $\theta_q$  belongs to  $A_1S_0(R)$ . Anyway, recall that for a sufficiently large a, the region  $D_a = \{p \in R : g(p, q) > a\}$  is a disk in R with an analytic boundary (cf. the proof of Proposition 1), and that on the surface  $R' = R - \overline{D_a}$  we have

$$a^{-1} \cdot dg(p, q) = *\sigma(c),$$

where c is a simple closed curve freely homotopic to the boundary of  $D_a$  on R'. Hence the assertions follows from Proposition 2. (Here the exceptional value 1 for a circular function  $u(p) = \exp \left[2\pi \sqrt{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot g(p, q)\right]$  for dg(p, q) on R' corresponds to t=0 and a, hence is never taken in R'.) q.e.d

Finally we consider HD-harmonic measures. Here we call a Dirichlet finite positive harmonic function h(p) on R an HD-harmonic measure if the greatest harmonic minorant of h(p) and 1-h(p) is identically zero. (Hence obviously  $0 \le h(p) \le 1$ .) Recall that  $h(p) = \int_{p_0}^{p} *\sigma(c) - a_0$  with suitable  $p_0 \in R$  and  $a_0 \in (0, 1)$  is an HD-harmonic measure for every dividing Jordan curve c on R.

**Proposition 3.** Let R be an arbitrary Riemann surface and h(p) an HDharmonic measure. Then the holomorphic differential  $-*dh + \sqrt{-1} \cdot dh$  associated with dh belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ . And for every t in (0, 1), it holds that

$$\int_{\{p \in R: h(p)=t\}} *dh = \|dh\|_{R}^{2}$$

where every curve in  $\{p \in R : h(p) = t\}$  is oriented so that \*dh is positive along it.

*Proof.* Because  $u(p) = \exp \left[2\pi \sqrt{-1} \cdot h(p)\right]$  is a circular function for dh, and the

set  $E_u$  is clearly {1}. Thus the assertion follows from Theorems 1 and 2. (Here the exceptional value 1 is never taken in R.) q.e.d.

## §3. The proofs of Theorems

Let R be an arbitrary Riemann surface,  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{hI}(R)$ ,  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  a circular function for  $\sigma$  and  $\theta_{\sigma}$  the holomorphic differential associated with  $\sigma$ . Also we set

 $E_0 = \{u_{\sigma}(p): p \text{ is a zero of } \theta_{\sigma}\}.$ 

Then obviously  $E_0$  is a countable set, and for every  $t \in S^1 - E_0$  the set  $u^{-1}(t)$  consists only of analytic curves (, hence coincident with  $L_t$  defined in §1). We note the following lemma essentially due to Accola (cf. [1]).

**Lemma 1.** For every  $t \in S^1 - E_0$ , the set  $L_t$  of level curves (with the relative topology induced from that of R) is locally connected.

*Proof.* Take  $p_0 \in L_t$  arbitrary, and set  $z(p) = \int_{p_0}^{p} \theta_{\sigma}$ , then because  $t \in S^1 - E_0$ , z(p) is local parameter near  $p_0$ . Now take a sufficiently small positive  $\varepsilon(<1)$  and set  $U_{\varepsilon} = \{p \in R : |z(p)| < \varepsilon\}$ . If  $q \in L_t \cap U_{\varepsilon}$ , let  $\beta$  be an arc connecting  $p_0$  and q in  $U_{\varepsilon}$ . Then  $u_{\sigma}(\beta)$  is an arc in  $S^1$  starting from and ending at t, which implies that  $\operatorname{Im} z(q) = \int_{\beta} \sigma$  is an integer. Hence we conclude that  $L_t \cap U_{\varepsilon}$  is the arc  $\{p \in R : \operatorname{Im} z(p) = 0, |\operatorname{Re} z(p)| < \varepsilon\}$ , which shows the assertion.

In particular,  $L_t$  consists of simple analytic curves not accumulating to any point in R and every non-compact component of  $L_t$  tends to the ideal boundary of R in both directions for every  $t \in S^1 - E_0$ . Here we recall the following crucial lemma due to Y. Kusunoki and S. Mori [6] (; also see [11] III2G).

**Lemma 2.** Let G be a subregion of R whose relative boundary components are simple analytic curves not accumulating to any point in R. And suppose that the closure of G in R\* is disjoint from the harmonic boundary. Then the double  $\hat{G}$  of G along the relative boundary of G is parabolic (, i.e.  $\hat{G} \in O_G$ ).

Now let  $E_{u_{\sigma}} = u_{\sigma}(\Delta)$  and  $\{I_{u}^{i}\}$  be as in §1, and fix a supplementary interval  $I_{u}^{i}$ . Then for every interval  $I = \{\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot\alpha): \alpha_{1} < \alpha < \alpha_{2}\}$  such that  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot\alpha_{j})$  are not contained in  $E_{0}(j=1,2)$  and  $\overline{I}$  is contained in  $I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i}$ , the closure of  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(I)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{*}$  is disjoint from  $\Delta$ , hence by Lemma 2 we see that the double  $\widehat{G}$  of G is parabolic for every component G of  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(I)$ . Also we can exteded  $\sigma$  restricted on such a G anti-symmetrically to an element, say  $\widehat{\sigma}$ , in  $\Gamma_{h}(\widehat{G})$ . (Namely, letting j(p) be the canonical anti-conformal involution fixing the relative boundary of G, we define  $\widehat{\theta} = \theta_{\sigma}$  on G and  $\widehat{\theta} = \overline{\theta_{\sigma} \circ j}$  on  $\widehat{G} - G$ , then  $\widehat{\sigma}$  is, by definition, Im  $\widehat{\theta}$ . See, for example, [3] Ch. V. 13.) Here it is clear from the construction that  $(1/2(\alpha_{2} - \alpha_{1})) \cdot \widehat{\sigma}$  has integral periods, hence Theorems 1 and 2 can be reduced to the following Lemma, which seems to be interesting in itself. **Lemma 3.** Let R be a parabolic Riemann surface,  $\theta \in \Gamma_{hI}(R)$  and  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  a circular function for  $\sigma$ . Then the holomorphic differential  $\theta_{\sigma}$  associated with  $\sigma$  belongs to  $CA_1(R)$  and for every  $t \in S^1$  it holds that

$$m_{\sigma}(t) = \|\sigma\|_{R}^{2}.$$

Lemma 3 was stated by Accola in [2], but for the sake of completeness we give a proof, which depnds on the following classical result.

**Lemma 4** (cf. [9]). Let R be a parabolic Riemann surface and  $\theta$  be a square integrable holomorphic differential on R. Then there is an exhaustion  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of R such that the relative boundary  $\partial \Omega_n$  of  $\Omega_n$  consists of a finite number of analytic simple closed curves for every n, and it holds that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\partial\Omega_n}|\theta|=0$$

Proof of Lemma 3. First suppose that  $\theta_{\sigma}$  does not belong to  $CA_1(R)$ , and X be the complement of the set of all compact regular trajectories of  $\theta_{\sigma}^2$ . (Note that X is a closed set, for sufficiently small neighbourhood of a compact regular trajectory is swept out by mutually freely homotopic compact regular trajectories.) Then there is a point  $p_0 \in R$  such that the area measure of  $X \cap U$  is positive for every parameter neighbourhood U of  $p_0$ . Here we may assume that  $p_0$  is not a zero of  $\theta_{\sigma}$ , so z(p) in Lemma 1 is a local parameter near  $p_0$  and X near  $p_0$  corresponds to a set of lines parallel to the real axis near z=0 on the z-plane. So  $u_{\sigma}(X \cap J)$  has positive linear measure for every open are J containing  $p_0$  along which  $*\sigma=0$ . Because critical trajectories are countable, we can find a measurable set, say F, in  $u_{\sigma}(X \cap J)$  such that F has positive linear measure and there is a non-compact regular trajectory  $\gamma_t$  intersecting with J for every  $t \in F$ . In the sequel we fix a relatively compact arc J and measurable set F in  $u_{\sigma}(X \cap J)$  as above.

Now let  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be as in Lemma 4 with  $\theta = \theta_{\sigma}$ , namely,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\partial\Omega_n}|\theta_{\sigma}|=0.$$

Also we may assume that J is contained in  $\Omega_1$ . Then since  $\gamma_t$  tends to the ideal boundary of R for every  $t \in F$  (as noted after Lemma 1), hence should intersect with every  $\partial \Omega_n$  for every  $t \in F$ , we see that  $u_{\sigma}(\partial \Omega_n)$  contains F for every n. So it should holds that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_n} |\theta_{\sigma}| \geq \int_{\partial\Omega_n} |\sigma| \geq a > 0$$

for every *n*, where  $2\pi a$  is the linear measure of *F*. Thus it should holds that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\partial \Omega_n} |\theta_{\sigma}| \ge a > 0$ , which is a contradiction, and we conclude that  $\theta_{\sigma}$  belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ . Next note that  $\sigma$  can not be exact, hence  $u_{\sigma}(R) = S^1$ . Now we take any open arc  $I = \{\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \alpha): \alpha_1 < \alpha < \alpha_2\}$  and apply the Green-Stokes' formula to  $\theta_{\sigma}$  on the union  $\Omega_n \cap u_{\sigma}^{-1}(I)$  of regions. Here because the relative boundary, say  $\Gamma_n$ ,  $\Omega_n \cap u_{\sigma}^{-1}(I)$  is piecewise analytic and  $\theta_{\sigma}$  is holomorphic also on  $\Gamma_n$ , we can apply the Green-Stokes' formula. And we have that

$$0 = \int_{\Gamma_n} \theta_{\sigma} = \int_{u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t_1) \cap \Omega_n} -*\sigma - \int_{u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t_2) \cap \Omega_n} -*\sigma + \int_{\partial \Omega_n \cap u_{\sigma}^{-1}(I)} \theta_{\sigma},$$

where  $t_j = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} \cdot \alpha_j)$  for each j = 1, 2. Thus it holds that

$$\left| \int_{L_{t_1} \cap \Omega_n} *\sigma \right| \le \left| \int_{L_{t_2} \cap \Omega_n} *\sigma \right| + \int_{\partial \Omega_n} |\theta_\sigma|$$
$$\le m_\sigma(t_2) + \int_{\partial \Omega_n} |\theta_\sigma|.$$

Letting *n* tends to  $+\infty$ , we conclude from Lemma 4 that  $m_{\sigma}(t_1) \leq m_{\sigma}(t_2)$ . And by considering  $S^1 - \overline{I}$  instead of *I*, we have the converse inequality. Hence we have that  $m_{\sigma}(t_1) = m_{\sigma}(t_2)$ . Since *I* is arbitrary,  $m_{\sigma}(t)$  is a constant, say *m*, on  $S^1$ . Finally note that

$$\|\sigma\|_{R}^{2} = \iint_{R} (-*\sigma) \wedge \sigma = \int_{S^{1}} \int_{L_{t}} (-*\sigma) \wedge \left(\frac{dt}{2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot t}\right)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} m_{\sigma} (\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot s)) ds = m.$$

Thus we conclude that  $m_{\sigma}(t) = m = \|\sigma\|_{R}^{2}$  for every  $t \in S^{1}$ .

Finally we modify the first assertion of Lemma 3 as follows.

**Lemma 5.** Let R,  $\sigma$ ,  $u_{\sigma}(p)$  and  $\theta_{\sigma}$  be as in Lemma 3. And set

 $E_N = \{u_{\sigma}(p) \in S^1: p \text{ is on a non-compact regular trajectory of } \theta_{\sigma}^2\}.$ 

Then the linear measure of  $E_N$  is zero.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be as in Lemma 4 with  $\theta = \theta_{\sigma}$ , and set

 $E_N^n = \{u_\sigma(p) \in S^1: p \text{ is in } \Omega_n \text{ and on a non-compact regular trajectory of } \theta_\sigma^2\}.$ 

Then it is clear that  $E_N^n \subset E_N^m \subset E_N$  for every *n* and *m* with  $n \le m$ , and that  $E_N$  is the union of all  $E_N^n$ .

Now suppose that the linear measure of  $E_N$  is positive, then there is an  $n_0$  such that the linear measure of  $E_N^{n_0}$  is greater than a positive constant  $a_0$ . Note that the linear measure of  $E_N^n$  is also greater than  $a_0$  for every  $n \ge n_0$ , and similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3, we can show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\partial\Omega_n}|\theta_{\sigma}|\geq\frac{1}{2\pi}a_0$$

which is a contradiction.

q. e. d.

q. e. d.

Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. Let R,  $\sigma$ ,  $u_{\sigma}(p)$ ,  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  and  $\theta_{\sigma}$  be as in Theorem 1, and  $\{I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i}\}$  be the set of all supplementary intervals for  $u_{\sigma}$ . Also let  $E_{N}$  be as in Lemma 5, namely,

 $E_N = \{u_{\sigma}(p) \in S^1: p \text{ is on a non-compact regular trajectory of } \theta_{\sigma}^2\}$ , then we see from Lemma 5 that  $E_N \cap I_{u_{\sigma}}^i$  is a set of zero linear measure for every *i*. In other words,  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(t)$  consists only of compact regular trajectories of  $\theta_{\sigma}^2$  for almost every *t* in  $S^1 - E_{u_{\sigma}} (= \bigcup_i I_{u_{\sigma}}^i)$ , which is the first assertion of Theorem 1. If the linear measure of  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  is zero, then the linear measure of  $E_N$  itself is zero. On the other hand, we can show similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3 that, if  $\theta_{\sigma}$  does not belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ , then the linear measure of  $E_N$  should be positive. Thus we conclude that  $\theta_{\sigma}$  belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ .

Next Lemma 3 implies that if  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are contained in the same interval, say  $I_{u_{\sigma}}^i$ , then it holds that  $m_{\sigma}(t_1) = m_{\sigma}(t_2)$ , which we denote by  $m_i$  (as in Theorem 2), and that for every  $I_{u_{\sigma}}^i$  it holds that

$$\|\sigma\|_{u_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(I_{u_{\mathfrak{q}}}^{i})}^{2}=a(I_{u_{\mathfrak{q}}}^{i})\cdot m_{i}$$

where  $a(I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i})$  is as in Theorem 2 and  $||\sigma||_{u_{\sigma}^{-1}(I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i})}$  is the Dirichlet norm of  $\sigma$  on the open set  $u_{\sigma}^{-1}(I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i})$  in R. And if the linear measure of  $E_{u_{\sigma}}$  is zero, then we can easily seen that the Dirichlet norm of  $\sigma$  on the set  $R - \bigcup_{i} u_{\sigma}^{-1}(I_{u_{\sigma}}^{i})$   $(=u_{\sigma}^{-1}(E_{u_{\sigma}}))$  is zero, hence we have that

$$\|\sigma\|_R^2 = \sum a(I_{u\sigma}^i) \cdot m_i.$$

Thus we have shown Theorem 2.

## §4. Examples

First we construct the following

**Example 1.** There is an HB-harmonic measure u(p) on a surface R (, i.e. the greatest harmonic minorant of u(p) and 1-u(p) is the constant zero,) such that du does not belong to  $\Gamma_h(R)$ , but the holomorphic differential  $\theta = -*du + \sqrt{-1} \cdot du$  associated with du belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ .

Construction. Let  $R = \{|z| < 1\} - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , where  $I_n = [r_{2n-1}, r_{2n}]$  with an increasing sequence  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive numbers converging to 1, and u(p) the uniquely determined harmonic function on R whose boundary value is 1 on  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  and 0 on  $\{|z|=1\} - \{1\}$ . Then we can see that all zeros of the holomorphic differential  $\theta$  associated with du are contained in the positive real axis and there is exactly one simple zero, say  $s_n$ , of  $\theta$  in  $(r_{2n}, r_{2n+1})$  for every n.

Now by choosing  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  sufficiently scarcely (, for example, choose  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  inductively so that  $(r_{n-1}-r_n)/(1-r_n\cdot r_{n+1})$  converges to 1 as *n* tends to  $+\infty$ ), we can assume that  $du \in \Gamma_h(R)$  and  $u(s_n)$  converges to zero as *n* tends to  $+\infty$ . Then  $u^{-1}(c)$  consists only of compact connected components for every *c* in (0, 1), which implies that  $\theta$  belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ .

q. e. d.

**Remark.** Similarly as above we can construct an unbounded Dirichlet finite harmonic function u(p) on a surface R such that the holomorphic differential associated with du belongs to  $CA_1(R)$ .

Also recall that the typical example of (unbounded and Dirichlet infinite) harmonic function u(p) such that the differential associated with du belongs to  $CA_1(R)$  is the Green's function or the so-called Evans-Selberg potential on a surface  $\overline{R}$  considered as a harmonic function on  $R = \overline{R} - \{p_0\}$ , where  $p_0$  is the only singularity of the function.

Next we show by an example that, even for a (non-dividing) simple closed curve c, every set  $L_t$  ( $t \in S^1$ ) of level curves of the conjugate of the reproducing differential  $\sigma(c)$  may be non-compact.

**Example 2.** Let  $R_0 = \{|z| < +\infty\} - (-\infty, -2] \cup [-1/2, 1/2] \cup [2, +\infty)$ , and for every *n* set  $I_n = [r_{2n-1}, r_{2n}]$  and  $J_n = [-1/r_{2n-1}, -1/r_{2n}]$ , where  $1 < r_1$  and  $r_n$ converging increasingly to 3/2. Next set  $R = R_0 - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_n \cup J_n) - \{3/2, -2/3\}$  and let u(z) be the uniquely determined harmonic function whose boundary value is 1 on  $[-1/2, 1/2] \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n)$  and 0 on  $(-\infty, -2] \cup [2, +\infty) \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n)$ . Here by taking  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  so that  $r_{2n-1} - r_{2n}$  converges sufficiently rapidly to zero as *n* tends to  $+\infty$ , we may assume that  $du \in \Gamma_h(R)$  and  $u(s_n)$  converges to a value, say *d*, less than 1/2, where  $s_n$  is the unique simple zero of the holomorphic differential  $\theta$  associated with du on  $(r_{2n}, r_{2n+1})$  for every *n*. Also note that  $u(-1/z) \equiv 1 - u(z)$ . Hence  $-1/s_n$ is the unique simple zero of  $\theta$  on  $(-1/r_{2n}, -1/r_{2n+1})$  and  $u(-1/s_n)$  converges to 1 - d (> 1/2). In particular, we can see that  $u^{-1}(t)$  is non-compact and consists of infinitely many (compact) connected components for every  $t \in S^1$ . On the other hand it is clear that  $du = *\sigma(c)$  with the simple closed curve *c* on *R* which separates  $[-1/2, 1/2] \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n) \cup \{3/2\}$  from  $(-\infty, -2] \cup [2, +\infty) \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n) \cup \{-2/3\}$ .

Finally let  $\hat{R}$  be the double of R along all non-degenerate boundary components of R and  $\hat{\sigma}$  be the anti-symmetric extention of du on R. Then it is clear that  $\hat{\sigma} = 2 \cdot *\sigma(\hat{c})$ , where  $\hat{c}$  is the non-dividing simple closed curve on R corresponding to c, and that the set  $L_t$  of level curves of  $*\sigma(\hat{c})$  is non-compact and consists of infinitely many compact connected components for every  $t \in S^1$ .

> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS KYOTO UNIVERSITY

#### References

- R. D. M. Accola, Differentials and extremal length on Riemann surfaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 46 (1960), 540-543.
- [2] R. D. M. Accola, On semi-parabolic Riemann surfaces, Trans. A. M. S., 108 (1963), 437-448.
- [3] L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario, Riemann surfaces, Princeton Univ. Press (1960), 382pp.

- [4] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea, Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen, Springer (1963), 244pp.
- [5] J. A. Jenkins, Univalent functions and conformal mapping, Springer (1958), 169pp.
- Y. Kusunoki and S. Mori, On harmonic boundary of an open Riemann surfaces I, Japanese J. Math., 29 (1959), 52-56.
- Y. Kusunoki, Riemann surfaces and conformal mappings, (Japanese), Asakura (1973), 408pp.
- [8] A. Marden, The weakly reproducing differentials on open Riemann surfaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I., 359 (1965), 32pp.
- [9] R. Nevanlinna, Quadratische integrierbar Differentiale auf Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I., 1 (1941), 31pp.
- [10] M. S. Pallmann, On level curves of Green's functions, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep., 29 (1977), 179–185.
- [11] L. Sario and M. Nakai, Classification theory of Riemann surfaces, Springer (1970), 446pp.
- [12] K. Strebel, On quadratic differentials with closed trajectories on open Riemann surfaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn A. I., 2 (1976), 533-551.
- [13] M. Taniguchi, Abelian differentials whose squares have closed trajectories on compact Riemann surfaces, Japanese J. Math., 4 (1978), 417–443.