

## SUBALGEBRAS OF $C(\Omega, M_n)$ AND THEIR MODULES

JEAN ROYDOR

**ABSTRACT.** We give an operator space characterization of subalgebras of  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ . We also describe injective subspaces of  $C(\Omega, M_n)$  and then give applications to sub-TROs of  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ . Finally, we prove an ‘ $n$ -minimal version’ of the Christensen-Effros-Sinclair representation theorem.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . An operator space  $X$  is called  *$n$ -minimal* if there exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  and a completely isometric map  $i : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$ . The readers are referred to [13] and [7] for details on operator space theory. Recall that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\Omega, M_n)$  can be identified  $*$ -isomorphically with  $C(\Omega) \otimes_{\min} M_n$  or  $M_n(C(\Omega))$  (see [12, Proposition 12.5] for details). Obviously, in the case  $n = 1$  we just deal with the well-known class of minimal operator spaces. Smith noticed that any linear map into  $M_n$  is completely bounded and its cb norm is achieved at the  $n$ th amplification, i.e.,  $\|u\|_{cb} = \|\text{id}_{M_n} \otimes u\|$  (see [12, Proposition 8.11]). Clearly, this property remains true for maps into  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ . In fact, Pisier showed that this property characterizes  $n$ -minimal operator spaces. More precisely, if  $X$  is an operator space such that any linear map  $u$  into  $X$  is necessarily completely bounded and  $\|u\|_{cb} = \|\text{id}_{M_n} \otimes u\|$ , then  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal (see [14, Theorem 18]).

We now recall a few facts about injectivity (see [7], [12] or [2] for details). A Banach space  $X$  is *injective* if for any Banach spaces  $Y \subset Z$  each contractive map  $u : Y \rightarrow X$  has a contractive extension  $\tilde{u} : Z \rightarrow X$ . It has been known since the 1950s that a Banach space is injective if and only if it is isometric to a  $C(K)$ -space with  $K$  a Stonean space, and dual injective Banach spaces are exactly  $L^\infty$ -spaces (see [6] for more details). More recently, injectivity has also been studied in the operator spaces category. Analogously, an operator space  $X$  is said to be *injective* if for any operator spaces  $Y \subset Z$  each completely contractive map  $u : Y \rightarrow X$  has a completely contractive extension  $\tilde{u} : Z \rightarrow X$ .

---

Received January 7, 2005; received in final form August 11, 2005.  
 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47L30, 47L25.

Note that a Banach space is injective if and only if it is injective as a minimal operator space.

Let  $X$  be an operator space.  $(Y, i)$  is an *injective envelope* of  $X$  if  $Y$  is an injective operator space,  $i : X \rightarrow Y$  is a complete isometry and for any injective operator space  $Z$  with  $i(X) \subset Z \subset Y$  we have  $Z = Y$ . Sometimes we may ignore the completely isometric embedding. In fact, any operator space admits a unique injective envelope (up to complete isometry) and we write  $I(X)$  the injective envelope of  $X$ . See [7, Chapter 6] for a proof of this construction.

Obviously, an  $\ell^\infty$ -direct sum of  $n$ -minimal operator spaces is again  $n$ -minimal. In the following proposition, we give some other easy properties of  $n$ -minimal operator spaces:

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -minimal operator space. Then we have:*

- (i) *Its bidual  $X^{**}$  and its injective envelope  $I(X)$  are  $n$ -minimal too.*
- (ii) *If, moreover,  $X$  is a dual operator space, then there is a set  $I$  and a  $w^*$ -continuous complete isometry  $i : X \rightarrow \ell_I^\infty(M_n)$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion of (i) follows from  $C(\Omega, M_n)^{**} = M_n(C(\Omega))^{**} = M_n(C(\Omega)^{**})$   $*$ -isomorphically. For the second, suppose  $X \subset C(\Omega, M_n)$  completely isometrically. By the description of injective Banach spaces,  $I(C(\Omega)) = C(\Omega')$  with  $\Omega'$  Stonean. Then  $X \subset C(\Omega', M_n)$  and the latter  $C^*$ -algebra is injective, so  $I(X) \subset C(\Omega', M_n)$  completely isometrically.

Suppose that  $W$  is an operator space predual of  $X$ . Then  $X = CB(W, \mathbb{C})$ , and if  $I = \bigcup_n \text{Ball}(M_n(W))$  we have a  $w^*$ -continuous complete isometry  $\psi : X \rightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in I}^\infty M_{n_w}$  (where  $n_w = m$  if  $w \in M_m(W)$ ) defined by  $\psi(x) = ([x(w_{ij})])_{w \in I}$ . Let  $x \in M_k(X) = CB(W, M_k)$ . As  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal, by [12, Proposition 8.11],  $\|x^*\|_{cb} = \|\text{id}_{M_n} \otimes x^*\|$ , where  $x^* : M_k^* \rightarrow X$  denotes the adjoint map. However, for any  $l$ ,  $\|\text{id}_{M_l} \otimes x\| = \|\text{id}_{M_l} \otimes x^*\|$ . Hence,  $\|x\|_{cb} = \|\text{id}_{M_n} \otimes x\|$  and so, in the definition of  $\psi$ , we can majorize the  $n_w$ 's by  $n$  and obtain a complete isometry.  $\square$

We mentioned above that an injective minimal operator space is a  $C^*$ -algebra. However, this property does not hold for  $n$ -minimal operator spaces (as soon as  $n \geq 2$ ). Generally, an injective operator space only admits a structure of a ternary ring of operators. We recall that a closed subspace  $X$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra is a *ternary ring of operators* (TRO in short) if  $XX^*X \subset X$ , where  $X^*$  denotes the adjoint space of  $X$ . A  $W^*$ -TRO is a  $w^*$ -closed subspace of a von Neumann algebra that is stable under the above “triple product”. TROs and  $W^*$ -TROs can be regarded as generalizations of  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras. For instance, the Kaplansky Density Theorem and the Sakai Theorem remain valid for TROs (see, e.g., [6]). A *triple morphism* between TROs is a linear map which preserves their “triple products”. This

category enjoys some “rigidity properties” similar to those of the category of  $C^*$ -algebras (see, e.g., [6] or [2, Section 8.3] for details).

So far we have seen that certain properties of the minimal case extend to the  $n$ -minimal situation. Therefore, the basic idea of this paper is to extend valid results in the commutative case to the more general  $n$ -minimal case.

A first commutative result that can be extended to the  $n$ -minimal case is a theorem on operator algebras due to Blecher. We recall that an *operator algebra* is a closed subalgebra of  $B(H)$ ; see [2] or [12] for some background and recent developments. An operator algebra is said to be *approximately unital* if it possesses a contractive approximate identity. In [1], Blecher showed that an approximately unital operator algebra which is minimal is in fact a uniform algebra (i.e., a subalgebra of a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra). Let  $A$  be an approximately unital operator algebra and assume that  $A$  is  $n$ -minimal. We obtain a completely isometric homomorphism from  $A$  into a certain space  $C(\Omega, M_n)$  (see Corollary 2.3). Of course, we can ask this type of question in various categories of operator spaces. More precisely, let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote a certain subcategory of the category of operator spaces with completely contractive maps. Let  $X$  be an object of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is  $n$ -minimal (as an operator space). Can we obtain a completely isometric morphism of  $\mathcal{C}$  from  $X$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra of the form  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ ? For example, in Proposition 1.1 we answer this question in the category of dual operator spaces and  $w^*$ -continuous completely contractive maps. We will also give a positive answer in the following categories:

- $C^*$ -algebras and  $*$ -homomorphisms (see Theorem 2.2);
- von Neumann algebras and  $w^*$ -continuous  $*$ -homomorphisms (see Remark 2.4);
- approximately unital operator algebras and completely contractive homomorphisms (see Corollary 2.3);
- operator systems and completely positive unital maps (see Corollary 3.3);
- TRO and triple morphisms (see Proposition 4.1);
- $W^*$ -TRO and  $w^*$ -continuous triple morphisms (see Corollary 4.5).

In other words, in any of the above categories, the  $n$ -minimal operator space structure encodes the additional structure. Since the injective envelope of an  $n$ -minimal operator space is  $n$ -minimal too (see Proposition 1.1), passing to the injective envelope will be a useful technique to answer these questions. In any case, the description of  $n$ -minimal injective operator spaces (established in Theorem 3.5) will be of major importance.

The Christensen-Effros-Sinclair Theorem (CES-Theorem in short) is a second example of a theorem that can be treated in the  $n$ -minimal case. Let  $A$  be an operator algebra (or more generally a Banach algebra endowed with an operator space structure) and let  $X$  be an operator space which is a left  $A$ -module. Then, following [2, Chapter 3], we say that  $X$  is a left  $h$ -module over

$A$  if the action of  $A$  on  $X$  induces a completely contractive map from  $A \otimes_h X$  in  $X$  (where  $\otimes_h$  denotes the Haagerup tensor product). The CES-Theorem states that if  $X$  is a non-degenerate  $h$ -module over an approximately unital operator algebra  $A$  (i.e.,  $AX$  is dense in  $X$ ), then there exists a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$ , a complete isometry  $i : X \rightarrow C$  and a completely contractive homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow C$  such that  $i(a \cdot x) = \pi(a)i(x)$  for any  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ . We will prove that if  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal, then we can choose  $C$  to be  $n$ -minimal too. This leads to an ' $n$ -minimal version' of the CES-Theorem. The case  $n = 1$  has been treated (see [3]) in a Banach space framework; here we will use an operator space approach based on the multiplier algebra of an operator space.

## 2. Subalgebras of $C(\Omega, M_n)$

Recall that a  $C^*$ -algebra is *subhomogeneous of degree  $\leq n$*  if it is contained  $*$ -isomorphically in a  $C^*$ -algebra of the form  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Hence  $n$ -minimality can be seen as an operator space analog of subhomogeneity of degree  $\leq n$ . We also recall the well-known characterization of subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -algebras in terms of representations. Indeed, a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is subhomogeneous of degree  $\leq n$  if and only if every irreducible representation of  $A$  has dimension no greater than  $n$ . The "if part" is easily obtained by taking a separating family of irreducible representations. Conversely, if  $A$  is contained  $*$ -isomorphically in  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ , then every irreducible representation of  $A$  extends to one on  $C(\Omega, M_n)$  (because irreducible representations correspond to pure states). As any irreducible representation of  $C(\Omega, M_n)$  has dimension no greater than  $n$ , we obtain the result. (The author thanks Roger Smith for these explanations.)

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\Omega$  a compact Hausdorff space and  $t_k$  the transpose mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} t_k : C(\Omega, M_k) &\rightarrow C(\Omega, M_k), \\ [f_{ij}] &\mapsto [f_{ji}]. \end{aligned}$$

*Then for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\|\text{id}_{M_l} \otimes t_k\| = \inf(k, l)$ . Thus  $t_k$  is completely bounded and  $\|\text{id}_{M_k} \otimes t_k\| = \|t_k\|_{cb} = k$ .*

*Proof.* The equality  $\|t_k\|_{cb} = k$  is obtained by adapting the proof of [7, Proposition 2.2.7]. Hence in the case  $k \leq l$ , by [12, Proposition 8.11] we obtain  $\|\text{id}_{M_l} \otimes t_k\| = \inf(k, l)$ . Next, we prove  $\|\text{id}_{M_l} \otimes t_k\| \leq l$ . Let  $\pi$  be the cyclical permutation matrix

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_k \\ I_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_l(C(\Omega, M_k)).$$

Let  $D_l : M_l(C(\Omega, M_k)) \rightarrow M_l(C(\Omega, M_k))$  be the diagonal truncation of  $M_l$ , i.e.,  $D_l(\epsilon_{ij} \otimes y) = \delta_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} \otimes y$ , where  $\epsilon_{ij}$  ( $i, j \leq l$ ) denotes the matrix elements of  $M_l$  and  $y \in C(\Omega, M_k)$ . Let  $x = [x_{ij}]_{i,j \leq l} \in M_l(C(\Omega, M_k))$  and for simplicity of notation write  $t(x) = \text{id}_{M_l} \otimes t_k(x) \in M_l(C(\Omega, M_k))$ . Then  $t(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} D_l(t(x)\pi^i)\pi^{-i}$ , and so  $\|t(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \|D_l(t(x)\pi^i)\|$  (because  $\pi$  is unitary). To obtain the result, it suffices to majorize each term of the above sum by the norm of  $x$ . However, for any  $i$ ,  $D_l(t(x)\pi^i)$  is of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^l \epsilon_{jj} \otimes t_k(x_{p_j q_j})$  and we can majorize its norm

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^l \epsilon_{jj} \otimes t_k(x_{p_j q_j}) \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^l \epsilon_{jj} \otimes t_k(x_{p_j q_j} x_{p_j q_j}^*) \right\|^2 \\ &= \max_j \left\{ \left\| t_k(x_{p_j q_j} x_{p_j q_j}^*) \right\| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $x_{p_j q_j} x_{p_j q_j}^*$  is a selfadjoint element of  $C(\Omega, M_k)$ , so its norm is unchanged by  $t_k$  and  $\|t_k(x_{p_j q_j} x_{p_j q_j}^*)\| = \|x_{p_j q_j}\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$ . Finally, for any  $i$ ,  $\|D_l(t(x)\pi^i)\| \leq \|x\|$ , which gives the result.

Moreover, by adapting [7, Proposition 2.2.7], we get easily  $\|\text{id}_{M_l} \otimes t_k\| = l$ , if  $l \leq k$ .  $\square$

In the next theorem, we denote by  $A^{\text{op}}$  the opposite structure of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  (see, e.g., [13, Paragraph 2.10] or [2, Paragraph 1.2.25] for details). More generally, if  $X$  is an operator space,  $X^{\text{op}}$  is the same vector space but with the new matrix norm defined by

$$\|[x_{ij}]\|_{M_n(X^{\text{op}})} = \|[x_{ji}]\|_{M_n(X)} \quad \text{for any } [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X).$$

Hence the assumption (iii) in the following theorem is equivalent to

$$\|\text{id}_A \otimes t_k\| \leq n \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

where  $t_k$  denotes the transpose mapping from  $M_k$  to  $M_k$  discussed above.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A$  is subhomogeneous of degree  $\leq n$ .
- (ii)  $A$  is  $n$ -minimal.
- (iii)  $\|\text{id} : A \rightarrow A^{\text{op}}\|_{cb} \leq n$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from the first equality in the above lemma.

Suppose (iii) holds. Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  be an irreducible representation and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $M_k \subset B(H)$ ; from the first paragraph of this section, we see that we must prove that  $k \leq n$ . By the above lemma (with a singleton as  $\Omega$ ), there is  $x \in M_k(M_k) \subset M_k(B(H))$  satisfying

$$k = \|\text{id}_{M_k} \otimes t_k(x)\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\| \leq 1.$$

The representation  $\pi_k = \text{id}_{M_k} \otimes \pi$  is also irreducible, so  $\pi_k(M_k(A))' = \mathbb{C}I_{H^k}$ . Thus, by von Neumann's Double Commutant Theorem,

$$\overline{M_k(\pi(A))}^{so} = M_k(B(H)).$$

By the Kaplansky Density Theorem, there exists a net  $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subset M_k(\pi(A))$  converging to  $x$  in the  $\sigma$ -strong operator topology and such that  $\|x_\lambda\| \leq 1$ . Therefore  $\text{id}_{B(H)} \otimes t_k(x_\lambda)$  tends to  $\text{id}_{M_k} \otimes t_k(x)$  in the  $w^*$ -topology, and by the semicontinuity of the norm in the  $w^*$ -topology we have

$$k = \|\text{id}_{M_k} \otimes t_k(x)\| \leq \limsup_{\lambda} \|\text{id}_{B(H)} \otimes t_k(x_\lambda)\|.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For any  $\lambda$ , there exists  $y_\lambda \in M_k(A)$  such that  $x_\lambda = \pi_k(y_\lambda)$  and  $\|y_\lambda\| \leq 1 + \epsilon$ . By assumption,

$$\|\text{id}_A \otimes t_k\| \leq n.$$

Moreover,  $(\text{id}_{B(H)} \otimes t_k) \circ \pi_k = \pi_k \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes t_k)$ . Combining these arguments we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k = \|\text{id}_{M_k} \otimes t_k(x)\| &\leq \limsup_{\lambda} \|\text{id}_{B(H)} \otimes t_k(\pi_k(y_\lambda))\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{\lambda} \|\pi_k(\text{id}_A \otimes t_k(y_\lambda))\| \\ &\leq \|\text{id}_A \otimes t_k\|(1 + \epsilon) \\ &\leq n(1 + \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $k \leq n$ . □

Now we extend the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) of the above theorem, which concerns  $C^*$ -algebras, to the larger category of operator algebras and completely contractive homomorphisms.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be an approximately unital operator algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  and a completely isometric homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$ .*
- (ii)  *$A$  is  $n$ -minimal.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. Suppose (ii). We know that the injective envelope  $I(A)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and there is a completely isometric homomorphism from  $A$  into  $I(A)$  (see [2, Corollary 4.2.8]). Since  $A$  is  $n$ -minimal,  $I(A)$  is  $n$ -minimal too, by Proposition 1.1. Applying Theorem 2.2 to  $I(A)$ , we obtain the result. □

**REMARK 2.4.** Using the well-known description of subhomogeneous  $W^*$ -algebras, we easily obtain the result that, if  $M$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $M$  is  $n$ -minimal, then

$$M = \oplus_{i \in I}^\infty L^\infty(\Omega_i, M_{n_i})$$

via a normal  $*$ -isomorphism. Here  $\Omega_i$  is a measure space and  $n_i \leq n$ , for any  $i \in I$ . This result will be extended to the category of  $W^*$ -TROs (see Corollary 4.5).

### 3. Injective $n$ -minimal operator spaces

Before describing injective  $n$ -minimal operator spaces, we treat the more “rigid” case of injective  $n$ -minimal  $C^*$ -algebras as an easy consequence of [16].

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -minimal  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$A$  is injective.*
- (ii) *There exists a finite family of Stonean compact Hausdorff spaces  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  such that  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(\Omega_i, M_{n_i})$   $*$ -isomorphically with  $n_i \leq n$ , for any  $i \in I$ .*

*Proof.* As  $A$  is injective,  $A$  is monotone complete (see [7, Theorem 6.1.3]). Thus  $A$  is an  $AW^*$ -algebra. Moreover, by [16, Proposition 6.6],  $A$  either contains  $M_\infty = \bigoplus_k^\infty M_k$  or is of the desired form. The first alternative is impossible because  $A$  is  $n$ -minimal. This proves the “only if” part. The converse is clear, since each  $\Omega_i$  is Stonean.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.2.** This theorem enables us to give a short proof of the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorem 2.2. If  $A$  is an  $n$ -minimal  $C^*$ -algebra, its injective envelope  $I(A)$  is  $n$ -minimal too (by Proposition 1.1).  $I(A)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and contains  $A$   $*$ -isomorphically (see [7, Theorem 6.2.4]). Applying the above proposition to  $I(A)$ , we obtain that

$$I(A) = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(\Omega_i, M_{n_i}) \quad * \text{-isomorphically}$$

with  $n_i \leq n$ , for any  $i \in I$ . Now it is not difficult to construct a  $*$ -isomorphism from  $A$  into  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ , where  $\Omega$  denotes the (finite) disjoint union of the  $\Omega_i$ ’s.

We recall that an operator space  $X$  is *unital* if there exists  $e \in X$  and a complete isometry from  $X$  into a certain  $B(H)$  which sends  $e$  on  $I_H$ . By the following result, an  $n$ -minimal operator space can be embedded into a  $C^*$ -algebra of the form  $C(\Omega, M_n)$  via a unital complete order isomorphism.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a unital operator space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  and a completely isometric unital map  $\pi : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$ .*
- (ii)  *$X$  is  $n$ -minimal.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. Suppose (ii). We know that the injective envelope  $I(X)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and there is a unital complete isometry from  $X$

into  $I(X)$  (see [2, Corollary 4.2.8]). As  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal,  $I(X)$  is  $n$ -minimal too (by Proposition 1.1). By the above theorem

$$I(X) = \oplus_{i \in I}^{\infty} C(\Omega_i, M_{n_i}) \text{ }^*\text{-isomorphically.}$$

Next, we show that for any  $i$  there exists a unital complete isometry  $\varphi_i : M_{n_i} \rightarrow M_n$ . By iteration, we only need to prove that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  there exists a unital complete isometry from  $M_k$  into  $M_{k+1}$ . The map

$$\begin{aligned} i_k : M_k &\rightarrow M_{k+1}, \\ x &\mapsto x \oplus \text{tr}_k(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{tr}_k$  denotes the normalized trace on  $M_k$ , is a unital complete order isomorphism and thus a unital complete isometry. We can define a unital complete isometry

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \oplus_{i \in I}^{\infty} C(\Omega_i, M_{n_i}) &\rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n), \\ (f_i \otimes x_i)_i &\mapsto \sum_i \tilde{f}_i \otimes \varphi_i(x_i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega$  denotes the disjoint union of  $\Omega_i$ 's and  $\tilde{f}_i$  the continuous extension by 0 of  $f_i$  on  $\Omega$ . Finally, we have

$$X \subset I(X) \subset C(\Omega, M_n)$$

via unital complete isometries.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.4.** This last corollary cannot be extended to the category of operator algebras and completely contractive homomorphisms. In fact, if  $\pi : M_p \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_q)$  is a unital completely contractive homomorphism, then  $\pi$  is positive, so it is a  $*$ -homomorphism. Therefore (after composing with an evaluation) we obtain a unital  $*$ -homomorphism from  $M_p$  in  $M_q$ , and thus  $p$  divides  $q$  (see [12, Exercise 4.11]).

We recall a crucial construction of the injective envelope of an operator space  $X$ , which will be useful in this paper (see [2, Paragraph 4.4.2] for more details on this construction). Assume that  $X \subset B(H)$ . We consider its Paulsen system

$$S(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C} & X \\ X^* & \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix} \subset M_2(B(H)),$$

where  $X^*$  denotes the adjoint space of  $X$ . The injective envelope of  $S(X)$  is the range of a completely contractive projection  $\varphi : M_2(B(H)) \rightarrow M_2(B(H))$  which leaves  $S(X)$  invariant. By [7, Theorem 6.1.3],  $I(S(X))$  admits a  $C^*$ -algebraic structure, but it is not necessarily a sub- $C^*$ -algebra of  $M_2(B(H))$ . However,

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 - p$$



(which are invariant by  $\varphi$ ) are still orthogonal projections (i.e., selfadjoint idempotents) of the new  $C^*$ -algebra  $I(S(X))$ . Since they satisfy  $p + q = 1$  and  $pq = 0$ , we can decompose  $I(S(X))$  in  $2 \times 2$  matrices, as follows:

$$I(S(X)) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11}(X) & I_{12}(X) \\ I_{21}(X) & I_{22}(X) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_{11}(X) = pI(S(X))p$  and  $I_{22}(X) = qI(S(X))q$  are injective  $C^*$ -algebras,  $I_{12}(X) = pI(S(X))q$  is in fact the injective envelope of  $X$  and  $I_{21}(X) = qI(S(X))p$  coincides with  $I_{12}(X)^*$ . Therefore we obtain the Hamana-Ruan Theorem, i.e., an injective operator space is an “off-diagonal” corner of an injective  $C^*$ -algebra (see [7, Theorem 6.1.6]). This theorem links the study of injective operator spaces to injective  $C^*$ -algebras (and, by the way, it proves that an injective operator space is a TRO).

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -minimal operator space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$X$  is injective.*
- (ii) *There exists a finite family of Stonean compact Hausdorff spaces  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  such that  $X = \oplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(\Omega_i, M_{r_i, k_i})$  completely isometrically with  $r_i, k_i \leq n$ , for any  $i \in I$ .*

*Proof.* (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious. Let  $X$  be an injective  $n$ -minimal operator space. By the above discussion there exists an injective  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and a projection  $p \in A$  such that

$$X = pA(1 - p) \quad \text{completely isometrically.}$$

In fact,  $A$  is the injective envelope of  $S(X)$ , the Paulsen system of  $X$  (see above). As  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal,  $S(X)$  is  $2n$ -minimal, and so is  $A$  (by Proposition 1.1). By Proposition 3.1,

$$A = \oplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(\Omega_i, M_{m_i}) \quad \text{*-isomorphically,}$$

where  $m_i \leq 2n$ . For simplicity of notation, assume for the moment that the cardinality of  $I$  is equal to 1, and so

$$X = pC(\Omega, M_m)(1 - p) \quad \text{completely isometrically,}$$

for some projection  $p \in C(\Omega, M_m)$ . By [5, Corollary 3.3] or [8, Theorem 3.2], there is a unitary  $u$  of  $C(\Omega, M_m)$  such that for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $upu^*(\omega)$  is of the form  $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . So we may assume that for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $p(\omega)$  is a diagonal matrix of the form given above. For any  $k \leq m$  we define

$$\Omega_k = \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{rg}(p(\omega)) = k\},$$

which is a closed subset of  $\Omega$  (because the rank and the trace of a projection coincide), and the family  $(\Omega_k)_{k \leq m}$  forms a partition of  $\Omega$ . Hence any  $\Omega_k$

is open (and closed) in  $\Omega$ , so  $\Omega_k$  is still Stonean. We have the completely isometric identifications

$$X = pC(\Omega, M_m)(1-p) = \bigoplus_{k \leq m}^{\infty} C(\Omega_k, M_{k,m-k}) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq k \leq m-1}^{\infty} C(\Omega_k, M_{k,m-k}).$$

Moreover, for any  $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ , we have the completely isometric embeddings

$$M_{k,m-k} \subset C(\Omega_k, M_{k,m-k}) \subset X.$$

As  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal, this forces  $k \leq n$  and  $m-k \leq n$ , for otherwise at least the row Hilbert space  $R_{n+1}$  or the column Hilbert space  $C_{n+1}$  would be  $n$ -minimal. Thus  $X$  has the announced form. In general,  $I$  is a finite set and

$$X = p \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\infty} C(\Omega_i, M_{m_i})(1-p) = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\infty} p_i C(\Omega_i, M_{m_i})(1-p_i),$$

where  $p_i$  is a projection in  $C(\Omega_i, M_{m_i})$  and  $p = \bigoplus_i p_i$ . Applying the above argument to each term  $p_i C(\Omega_i, M_{m_i})(1-p_i)$ , we obtain the result.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -minimal dual operator space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is injective.
- (ii) *There exists a finite family of measure spaces  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  such that  $X = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^{\infty} L^{\infty}(\Omega_i, M_{r_i, k_i})$  via a completely isometric  $w^*$ -homeomorphism with  $r_i, k_i \leq n$ , for any  $i \in I$ .*

*Proof.* By the above theorem,  $X = \bigoplus_i^{\infty} C(K_i, M_{r_i, k_i})$  completely isometrically, where  $K_i$  is Stonean. Since  $X$  is a dual operator space, this forces  $C(K_i)$  to be a dual commutative  $C^*$ -algebra, i.e.,  $C(K_i) = L^{\infty}(\Omega_i)$  (via a normal  $*$ -isomorphism) for some measure space  $\Omega_i$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Application to $n$ -minimal TROs

In this section, we will use the description of injective  $n$ -minimal operator spaces to obtain results on  $n$ -minimal TROs. First, we will show that the  $n$ -minimal operator structure of a TRO determines its whole triple structure. See, e.g., [6] or [2, Section 8.3] for details on TROs.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a TRO. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  and an injective triple morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$ .*
- (ii)  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from the fact that an injective triple morphism is necessarily completely isometric (see, e.g., [6, Proposition 2.2] or [2, Lemma 8.3.2]).

Suppose (ii). By [2, Remark 4.4.5 (1)], the injective envelope of  $X$  admits a TRO structure and  $X$  can be viewed as a sub-TRO of  $I(X)$ . By Theorem

3.5 we can describe this injective envelope as a direct sum,

$$I(X) = \oplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(\Omega_i, M_{r_i, k_i}) \quad \text{completely isometrically.}$$

But the right hand side of this equality admits a canonical TRO structure and it is known (see, e.g., [2, Corollary 4.4.6]) that a surjective complete isometry between TROs is automatically a triple morphism. In addition, for any  $i$ , the embedding  $\varphi_i : M_{r_i, k_i} \rightarrow M_n$  into the “up-left” corner of  $M_n$  is an injective triple morphism. As at the end of the proof of Corollary 3.3, we finally obtain

$$X \subset I(X) = \oplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(\Omega_i, M_{r_i, k_i}) \subset C(\Omega, M_n)$$

as TROs.  $\square$

For details on the theory of  $C^*$ -modules the reader is referred to [11] or [2, Chapter 8] for an operator space approach. We recall the construction of the *linking  $C^*$ -algebra* of a  $C^*$ -module. If  $X$  is a left  $C^*$ -module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , then its conjugate vector space  $\overline{X}$  is a right  $C^*$ -module over  $A$  with the action  $\overline{x} \cdot a = \overline{a^*x}$  and inner product  $\langle \overline{x}, \overline{y} \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ , for any  $a \in A, x, y \in X$ . We denote by  ${}_A\mathbb{K}(X)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of “compact” adjointable maps of  $X$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ \overline{X} & {}_A\mathbb{K}(X) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a  $C^*$ -algebra too, called the *linking  $C^*$ -algebra of  $X$* . If  $X$  is an equivalence bimodule (see [2, Paragraph 8.1.2]) over two  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , we define

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ \overline{X} & B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}^1(X) = \begin{pmatrix} A^1 & X \\ \overline{X} & B^1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A^1$  and  $B^1$  denote the unitizations of  $A$  and  $B$ , which are also  $C^*$ -algebras (see [2, Paragraph 8.1.17] for details on linking  $C^*$ -algebras). We notice that  $X$  is an “off-diagonal” corner of a  $C^*$ -algebra, i.e.,  $X = p\mathcal{L}^1(X)(1-p)$  for some projection  $p \in \mathcal{L}^1(X)$ . Hence a  $C^*$ -module admits a TRO structure. The converse will be proved later, so we have a correspondence between  $C^*$ -modules, equivalence bimodules and TROs (see [2, Paragraph 8.1.19, 8.3.1]). The following corollary is a reformulation of the above proposition in the language of  $C^*$ -modules; it can be compared with Theorem 5.4.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a full left  $C^*$ -module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , a complete isometry  $i : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  and a  $*$ -isomorphism  $\sigma : A \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  such that for any  $a \in A, x, y \in X$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} i(a \cdot x) &= \sigma(a)i(x), \\ \sigma(\langle x, y \rangle) &= i(x)i(y)^*. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii)  *$X$  is  $n$ -minimal and  $A$  is subhomogeneous of degree  $\leq n$ .*

(iii)  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal.

*Proof.* Only (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) needs a proof. Since  $X$  is a  $C^*$ -module, it is also a TRO (see above). By Proposition 4.1, there exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  and an injective triple morphism  $i : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$ . By [2, Corollary 8.3.5], we can construct a corner preserving  $*$ -isomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow M_2(C(\Omega, M_n))$  such that  $i = \pi_{12}$ . Choosing  $\sigma = \pi_{11}$ , we obtain the desired relations.  $\square$

An equivalence bimodule version of the above corollary can be stated. In the above result we transfer  $n$ -minimality from  $X$  to  $A$ . We can also treat the “converse reverse” question: Let  $X$  be an equivalence bimodule over two  $n$ -minimal  $C^*$ -algebras. We will prove that  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal. We first translate this proposition into the language of TROs. Let  $X$  be a TRO contained in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  via an injective triple morphism. As in the notation of the second section of [15], we define  $C(X)$  (resp.  $D(X)$ ) as the norm closure of  $\text{span}\{xy^*, x, y \in X\}$  (resp.  $\text{span}\{x^*y, x, y \in X\}$ ). As  $X$  is a sub-TRO of  $B$ ,  $C(X)$  and  $D(X)$  are sub- $C^*$ -algebras of  $B$  and

$$A(X) = \begin{pmatrix} C(X) & X \\ X^* & D(X) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a sub- $C^*$ -algebras of  $M_2(B)$ . Hence a TRO can be regarded as an “off-diagonal” corner of a  $C^*$ -algebra. This establishes the correspondence between  $C^*$ -modules, equivalence bimodules and TROs.  $A(X)$  is also called the *linking  $C^*$ -algebra of  $X$* . Analogously, in the  $W^*$ -TROs category, let  $X$  be a  $W^*$ -TRO contained in a  $W^*$ -algebra  $B$  via a  $w^*$ -continuous injective triple morphism. We define  $M(X)$  (resp.  $N(X)$ ) as the  $w^*$ -closure of  $\text{span}\{xy^*, x, y \in X\}$  (resp.  $\text{span}\{x^*y, x, y \in X\}$ ). As  $X$  is a sub- $W^*$ -TRO of  $B$ ,  $M(X)$  and  $N(X)$  are sub- $W^*$ -algebras of  $B$  and

$$R(X) = \begin{pmatrix} M(X) & X \\ X^* & N(X) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a sub- $W^*$ -algebras of  $M_2(B)$ , called the *linking von Neumann algebra of  $X$* . In fact, the linking algebras do not depend on the embedding of  $X$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra.

Obviously, if  $X$  is an equivalence bimodule over two  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $C(X)$  and  $D(X)$  play the roles of  $A$  and  $B$  in the correspondence between equivalence bimodules and TROs. Hence in the language of TROs we obtain (in the dual case):

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $W^*$ -TRO such that  $M(X)$  and  $N(X)$  are  $n$ -minimal von Neumann algebras. Then  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal and*

$$X = \bigoplus_i^\infty L^\infty(\Omega_i) \overline{\otimes} M_{r_i, k_i},$$

where  $\Omega_i$  is a measure space,  $r_i, k_i \leq n$ , for any  $i$ .

*Proof.* We write  $R(X)$  for the linking von Neumann algebra of  $X$ . By [9, Theorem 6.5.2], there exist  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$ , three central projections of  $R(X)$ , such that

$$R(X) = p_1 R(X) \oplus^\infty p_2 R(X) \oplus^\infty p_3 R(X)$$

and such that, for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $p_i R(X)$  is a von Neumann algebra of type  $i$  or  $p_i = 0$ . Since  $M(X)$  is  $n$ -minimal,  $M(X)$  is of type  $I$ . However,  $M(X) = p R(X) p$  for some projection  $p$  in  $R(X)$  and for any  $i$ ,

$$p_i M(X) = p p_i p M(X) p p_i p.$$

As the type is unchanged by compression (see [9, Exercise 6.9.16]),  $p_i M(X)$  is of type  $I$  or  $p_i M(X) = 0$ . On the other hand, for any  $i$ ,

$$p_i M(X) = p_i p R(X) = p p_i R(X) p_i p,$$

so  $p_i M(X)$  has the same type as  $p_i R(X)$  or  $p_i M(X) = 0$ . Thus  $p_i M(X) = 0$  for  $i = 2, 3$ , i.e.,  $p_i p = 0$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . Analogously, using our assumption on  $N(X)$ , we have  $p_i(1 - p) = 0$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . Hence  $p_i = 0$  for  $i = 2, 3$ , i.e.,  $R(X)$  is of type  $I$ . By [15, Theorem 4.1],

$$X = \bigoplus_k^\infty L^\infty(\Omega_k) \overline{\otimes} M_{I_k, J_k},$$

where  $\Omega_k$  is a measure space,  $I_k, J_k$  are sets and  $M_{I_k, J_k} = B(\ell_{I_k}^2, \ell_{J_k}^2)$ . Since  $M(X)$  (resp.  $N(X)$ ) is  $n$ -minimal, this forces the cardinality of  $I_k$  (resp.  $J_k$ ) to be no greater than  $n$ , for any  $k$ . So  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal and has the desired form.  $\square$

REMARK 4.4. In the following two results, we will use the fact that the multiplier algebra of an  $n$ -minimal  $C^*$ -algebra is also  $n$ -minimal. This follows from Proposition 1.1.

The following corollary on  $W^*$ -TROs extends Remark 2.4.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let  $X$  be a  $W^*$ -TRO. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal.
- (ii) *There exists a measure space  $\Omega$  and a  $w^*$ -continuous injective triple morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega, M_n)$ .*
- (iii) *There exists a finite family of measure spaces  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  such that  $X = \bigoplus_{i \in I}^\infty L^\infty(\Omega_i, M_{r_i, k_i})$  with  $r_i, k_i \leq n$ , for any  $i \in I$ .*

*Proof.* Only (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) needs a proof. Suppose (i). By Proposition 4.1 we can regard  $X$  as a sub-TRO of  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ . Hence, by construction,  $C(X)$  and  $D(X)$  are  $n$ -minimal  $C^*$ -algebras. By [10],  $M(X)$  (resp.  $N(X)$ ) is the multiplier algebra of  $C(X)$  (resp.  $D(X)$ ), so  $M(X)$  and  $N(X)$  are  $n$ -minimal  $W^*$ -algebras (by Remark 4.4). The result follows from the above proposition.  $\square$

Finally, we can generalize the implications (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) of [2, Proposition 8.6.5] on minimal TROs to the  $n$ -minimal case.

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a TRO. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is  $n$ -minimal.
- (ii)  $X^{**}$  is an injective  $n$ -minimal operator space (see Corollary 3.6).
- (iii)  $C(X)$  and  $D(X)$  are  $n$ -minimal  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Proof.* (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are obvious. Suppose (iii). By [10, Proposition 2.4] the multiplier algebra of  $C(X^{**})$  is  $C(X)^{**}$ , and this  $C^*$ -algebra is  $n$ -minimal by our assumption on  $C(X)$  and Remark 4.4. Moreover, by [15],  $M(X^{**})$  is also the multiplier algebra of  $C(X^{**})$ , so  $M(X^{**})$  is  $n$ -minimal too. The same argument works for  $N(X^{**})$  and we can apply Proposition 4.3 to  $X^{**}$ .  $\square$

## 5. An $n$ -minimal version of the CES-theorem

To prove the “ $n$ -minimal” version the CES-Theorem we need the notion of *left multiplier algebra* of an operator space  $X$ . A left multiplier of an operator space  $X$  is a map  $u : X \rightarrow X$  such that there exists a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  containing  $X$  via a complete isometry  $i$  and  $a \in A$  satisfying  $i(u(x)) = ai(x)$  for any  $x \in X$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_l(X)$  denote the set of left multipliers of  $X$ . The *multiplier norm* of  $u$  is the infimum of  $\|a\|$  over all possible  $A, i, a$  as above. In fact, Blecher and Paulsen proved that any left multiplier can be represented in the embedding of  $X$  into the  $C^*$ -algebra (discussed in Section 3)

$$I(S(X)) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11}(X) & I(X) \\ I(X)^* & I_{22}(X) \end{pmatrix}.$$

More precisely, for any left multiplier  $u$  of norm no greater than 1 there exists a unique  $a \in I_{11}(X)$  of norm no greater than 1 such that  $u(x) = ax$  for any  $x \in X$  (see [2, Theorem 4.5.2]). This result enables us to consider  $\mathcal{M}_l(X)$  as an operator subalgebra of  $I_{11}(X)$  (see the proof of [2, Proposition 4.5.5] and [2, Paragraph 4.5.3] for more details) and

$$\mathcal{M}_l(X) = \{a \in I_{11}(X), aX \subset X\}$$

as operator algebras. The product used in this formula is that on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $I(S(X))$ . The operator algebra  $\mathcal{M}_l(X)$  is called the *multiplier algebra* of  $X$ . We let  $\mathcal{A}_l(X) = \Delta(\mathcal{M}_l(X))$  denote the diagonal (see [2, Paragraph 2.1.2]) of  $\mathcal{M}_l(X)$ . This  $C^*$ -algebra is called the *left adjointable multiplier algebra* of  $X$  and we have

$$\mathcal{A}_l(X) = \{a \in I_{11}(X), aX \subset X \text{ and } a^*X \subset X\}$$

\*-isomorphically. In fact, if  $X$  is originally a  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}_l(X)$  is just its multiplier algebra, and we recover Remark 4.4.

Analogously, the *right multiplier algebra* of  $X$  is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_r(X) = \{b \in I_{22}, Xb \subset X\},$$

and its diagonal  $\mathcal{A}_r(X) = \{b \in I_{22}, Xb \subset X \text{ and } Xb^* \subset X\}$  is the *right adjointable multiplier algebra* of  $X$ .

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $X$  be an operator space and  $I(X)$  its injective envelope. Then there exists a completely contractive unital homomorphism  $\theta : \mathcal{M}_l(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_l(I(X))$  such that  $\theta(u)|_X = u$ , for any  $u \in \mathcal{M}_l(X)$ . Thus,  $\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_l(X)} : \mathcal{A}_l(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_l(I(X))$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism. Moreover, the same results hold for right multipliers.*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{M}_l(X)$ . Then  $u$  can be represented by an element  $a$  in  $\{a \in I_{11}(X), aX \subset X\}$ . Using the multiplication inside  $I(S(X))$ ,  $aI(X) \subset I(X)$ , so  $a$  can be regarded as an element of  $\mathcal{M}_l(I(X))$ , which we write as  $\theta(u)$ . Therefore,  $\theta$  is an injective unital completely contractive homomorphism. The rest of the proof follows from [2, Paragraph 2.1.2].  $\square$

In the following lemma, we use the  $C^*$ -envelope of a unital operator space; see [2, Theorem 4.3.1] for details. We write  $R_n$  (resp.  $C_n$ ) for the row (resp. column) Hilbert space of dimension  $n$ . If  $X$  is an operator space, we let  $C_n(X)$  be the minimal tensor product of  $C_n$  and  $X$ , or equivalently,

$$C_n(X) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ x_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, x_i \in X \right\} \subset M_n(X).$$

The definition of  $R_n(X)$  is similar using a row instead of a column. Adapting the proof of the first example of the third section of [17], we obtain:

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $A$  be an injective  $C^*$ -algebra and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then:*

(1)  $\mathcal{M}_l(R_k(A)) = A$  *\*-isomorphically and the action is given by*

$$a \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_k) = (ax_1, \dots, ax_k), \quad \text{for any } a, x_i \in A.$$

(2)  $\mathcal{M}_r(C_k(A)) = A$  *\*-isomorphically and the action is given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} \cdot a = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 a \\ \vdots \\ x_k a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for any } a, x_i \in A.$$

*Proof.* We only prove (1); the proof of (2) is similar. Since  $R_n = B(\ell_n^2, \mathbb{C})$ , the Paulsen system  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $R_n(A)$  is

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha 1_A & x \\ y^* & \beta I_n \otimes 1_A \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, x, y \in R_n(A) \right\} \subset M_{n+1}(A).$$

Clearly the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(\mathcal{S})$  generated by  $\mathcal{S}$  (inside  $M_{n+1}(A)$ ) coincides with  $M_{n+1}(A)$ .

Next, we show that the  $C^*$ -envelope  $C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $M_{n+1}(A)$ . By the universal property of  $C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$ , there is a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C^*(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C^*(\mathcal{S}) & \\ \uparrow & \searrow \pi & \\ \mathcal{S} & \hookrightarrow & C_e^*(\mathcal{S}) \end{array}$$

We let

$$p = \pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1_A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad q = \pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \otimes 1_A \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Then  $p$  and  $q$  are projections of  $C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$  satisfying  $p + q = 1$  and  $pq = 0$ . Thus we can decompose  $C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$  in “ $2 \times 2$ ” matrix corners. Hence  $\pi$  is corner preserving and there exist  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4$  such that for any  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in M_n(A)$ ,  $x, y \in R_n(A)$ ,

$$\pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ y^* & b \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1(a) & \pi_2(x) \\ \pi_3(y)^* & \pi_4(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The (1,2) corners of  $\mathcal{S}$  and of  $C^*(\mathcal{S})$  coincide, so  $\pi_2$  is injective (because  $\pi$  extends to  $C^*(\mathcal{S})$  the inclusion  $\mathcal{S} \subset C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$ ). Similarly,  $\pi_3$  is injective. On the other hand, for any  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in R_n(A)$ ,

$$\pi_2(ax) = \pi_1(a)\pi_2(x).$$

Thus, choosing a “good”  $x$ , this shows that  $\pi_1$  is injective too. Analogously, using

$$\pi_2(xb) = \pi_2(x)\pi_4(b), \quad \text{for any } b \in M_n(A), \ x \in R_n(A),$$

the above argument yields the injectivity of  $\pi_4$ .

Finally,  $\pi$  is injective and so  $C_e^*(\mathcal{S}) = M_{n+1}(A)$ . By the assumption on  $A$ ,  $M_{n+1}(A)$  is an injective  $C^*$ -algebra. Therefore

$$I(\mathcal{S}) = M_{n+1}(A) \quad \text{\textit{*}-isomorphically}$$

and

$$I_{11}(R_n(A)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} I(\mathcal{S}) \begin{pmatrix} 1_A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A.$$

This proves (1). □

REMARK 5.3. We acknowledge that after this paper was submitted, D. Blecher pointed out to the author a more general result: Let  $X$  be an operator space. Then for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}_l(M_{p,q}(X)) = M_p(\mathcal{M}_l(X)).$$



We outline the proof. As in [2, Paragraph 4.4.11], we can define the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}(X) = I(X)I(X)^*$ . Using [2, Corollary 4.6.12], we note that

$$\mathcal{C}(M_{p,q}(X)) = M_p(\mathcal{C}(X)).$$

Moreover, from [4], the multiplier algebra of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  coincides with  $I_{11}(X)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}(X)) = I_{11}(X).$$

Using these two facts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_l(M_{p,q}(X)) &= \{a \in I_{11}(M_{p,q}(X)), aM_{p,q}(X) \subset M_{p,q}(X)\} \\ &= \{a \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}(M_{p,q}(X))), aM_{p,q}(X) \subset M_{p,q}(X)\} \\ &= \{a \in \mathcal{M}(M_p(\mathcal{C}(X))), aM_{p,q}(X) \subset M_{p,q}(X)\} \\ &= \{a \in M_p(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}(X))), a_{ij}X \subset X, \forall i, j\} \\ &= \{a \in M_p(I_{11}(X)), a_{ij}X \subset X, \forall i, j\} \\ &= M_p(\mathcal{M}_l(X)). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem enables us to represent completely contractively a module action on an  $n$ -minimal operator space into a  $C^*$ -algebra of the form  $C(\Omega, M_n)$ . This constitutes the main result of this section and it generalizes the implication (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) of [3, Theorem 2.2].

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra endowed with an operator space structure (resp. a  $C^*$ -algebra). Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -minimal operator space which is also a left Banach  $A$ -module. Assume that there is a net  $(e_t)_t \subset \text{Ball}(A)$  satisfying  $e_t \cdot x \rightarrow x$ , for any  $x \in X$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is a left  $h$ -module over  $A$ .
- (ii) *There exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , a complete isometry  $i : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  and a completely contractive homomorphism (resp.  $*$ -homomorphism)  $\pi : A \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  such that*

$$i(a \cdot x) = \pi(a)i(x), \quad \text{for any } a \in A, x \in X.$$

*Proof.* Suppose (i). We first treat the Banach algebra case. By Blecher's oplication Theorem (see [2, Theorem 4.6.2]), there is a completely contractive homomorphism  $\eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_l(X)$  such that  $\eta(a)(x) = a \cdot x$ , for any  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ . Using the homomorphism  $\theta$  obtained in Lemma 5.1, we obtain a completely contractive homomorphism  $\sigma = \theta \circ \eta : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_l(I(X))$  satisfying

$$\sigma(a)(x) = a \cdot x, \quad \text{for any } a \in A, x \in X.$$

Moreover,  $I(X)$  is an injective  $n$ -minimal operator space, so

$$I(X) = \oplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(\Omega_i, M_{r_i, k_i}) \quad \text{completely isometrically,}$$

where the  $\Omega_i$ 's are Stonean and  $r_i, k_i \leq n$ , for any  $i \in I$ . We have the completely isometric unital isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_l(I(X)) &= \bigoplus_i^\infty \mathcal{M}_l(C(\Omega_i, M_{r_i, k_i})) \\ &= \bigoplus_i^\infty \mathcal{M}_l(C_{r_i} \otimes_{\min} R_{k_i} \otimes_{\min} C(\Omega_i)) \\ &= \bigoplus_i^\infty M_{r_i}(\mathcal{M}_l(R_{k_i} \otimes_{\min} C(\Omega_i))) \\ &= \bigoplus_i^\infty M_{r_i}(C(\Omega_i)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.2}). \end{aligned}$$

Via these identifications, the action of  $\mathcal{M}_l(I(X))$  on  $I(X)$  is the one inherited from the obvious left action of  $M_{r_i}$  on  $M_{r_i, k_i}$ . More precisely, for any  $u = (f_i \otimes y_i)_i \in \mathcal{M}_l(I(X))$  and  $x = (g_i \otimes x_i)_i \in I(X)$ ,

$$u(x) = (f_i g_i \otimes y_i x_i)_i.$$

For each  $i$ , let  $\varphi_i : M_{r_i} \rightarrow M_n$  (resp.  $\phi_i : M_{r_i, k_i} \rightarrow M_n$ ) be the embedding of  $M_{r_i}$  (resp.  $M_{r_i, k_i}$ ) in the “upper left corner” of  $M_n$ . Hence, as at the end of the proof of Corollary 3.3, we have now a  $*$ -isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathcal{M}_l(I(X)) &\rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n) \\ (f_i \otimes y_i)_i &\mapsto \sum_i \tilde{f}_i \otimes \varphi_i(y_i) \end{aligned}$$

and a complete isometry

$$\begin{aligned} j : I(X) &\rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n) \\ (g_i \otimes x_i)_i &\mapsto \sum_i \tilde{g}_i \otimes \phi_i(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

which verify

$$j(u(x)) = \psi(u)j(x) \quad \text{for any } u \in \mathcal{M}_l(I(X)), x \in I(X).$$

Finally,  $\Omega, i = j|_X$  and  $\pi = \psi \circ \sigma$  satisfy the desired relations. If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, we obtain the result using the fact that a contractive homomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras is necessarily a  $*$ -homomorphism.  $\square$

REMARK 5.5.

(1) By the above result, a  $C^*$ -algebra which acts “suitably” on an  $n$ -minimal operator space is necessarily an extension of a subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra of degree  $\leq n$ .

(2) Suppose that  $A$  is unital and its action too (i.e.,  $1 \cdot x = x$  for any  $x$  in  $X$ ). In the above result, we cannot expect to obtain a unital completely contractive homomorphism  $\pi$ , because when  $A$  is an operator algebra and  $A = X$ , the assumption (i) is verified (see the BRS Theorem [2, Theorem 2.3.2]). Hence this particular case leads back to the Remark 3.4.

The following theorem can be considered as an “ $n$ -minimal version” of the CES-Theorem (see [2, Theorem 3.3.1]). It is the bimodule version of Theorem 5.4, and its proof is “symmetrically” the same using the two lemmas above.

**THEOREM 5.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two Banach algebras endowed with an operator space structure (resp. two  $C^*$ -algebras). Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -minimal operator space which is also a Banach  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule. Assume that there is a net  $(e_t)_t \subset \text{Ball}(A)$  (resp.  $(f_s)_s \subset \text{Ball}(B)$ ) satisfying  $e_t \cdot x \rightarrow x$  (resp.  $x \cdot f_s \rightarrow x$ ), for any  $x \in X$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is an  $h$ -bimodule over  $A$  and  $B$ .
- (ii) *There exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , a complete isometry  $i : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  and two completely contractive homomorphisms (resp.  $*$ -homomorphisms)  $\pi : A \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  and  $\theta : B \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  such that*

$$i(a \cdot x \cdot b) = \pi(a)i(x)\theta(b), \quad \text{for any } a \in A, b \in B, x \in X.$$

The following result states that if  $A$  and  $B$  are originally  $n$ -minimal operator algebras, then  $\pi$  and  $\theta$  can be chosen completely isometric. This corollary generalizes [3, Corollary 2.10].

**COROLLARY 5.7.** *Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $X$  be three  $n$ -minimal operator spaces such that  $A$  and  $B$  are approximately unital operator algebras and  $X$  is a Banach  $A$ - $B$ -bimodule. Assume that there is a net  $(e_t)_t \subset \text{Ball}(A)$  (resp.  $(f_s)_s \subset \text{Ball}(B)$ ) satisfying  $e_t \cdot x \rightarrow x$  (resp.  $x \cdot f_s \rightarrow x$ ), for any  $x \in X$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is a left  $h$ -module over  $A$ .
- (ii) *There exists a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$ , a complete isometry  $i : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  and completely isometric homomorphisms  $\pi : A \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  and  $\theta : B \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  such that*

$$i(a \cdot x \cdot b) = \pi(a)i(x)\theta(b), \quad \text{for any } a \in A, b \in B, x \in X.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.6 there exists a compact Hausdorff space  $K_0$ , a complete isometry  $j : X \rightarrow C(K_0, M_n)$  and completely contractive homomorphisms  $\pi_0 : A \rightarrow C(K_0, M_n)$  and  $\theta_0 : B \rightarrow C(K_0, M_n)$  satisfying

$$j(a \cdot x \cdot b) = \pi_0(a)j(x)\theta_0(b),$$

for any  $a \in A, b \in B, x \in X$ . Moreover, by Corollary 2.3, there exists a compact Hausdorff space  $K_A$  (resp.  $K_B$ ) and a completely isometric homomorphism  $\pi_A : A \rightarrow C(K_A, M_n)$  (resp.  $\theta_B : B \rightarrow C(K_B, M_n)$ ). Let

$$C = C(K_A, M_n) \oplus^\infty C(K_0, M_n) \oplus^\infty C(K_B, M_n) = C(\Omega, M_n),$$

where  $\Omega$  is the disjoint union of  $K_A, K_B$  and  $K_0$ . Let  $i : X \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  defined by  $i(x) = 0 \oplus j(x) \oplus 0$ , for any  $x \in X$ . Thus  $i$  is a complete isometry. Let  $\pi : A \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$  (resp.  $\theta : B \rightarrow C(\Omega, M_n)$ ) be defined by  $\pi(a) = \pi_A(a) \oplus \pi_0(a) \oplus 0$ , for any  $a \in A$  (resp.  $\theta(b) = 0 \oplus \theta_0(b) \oplus \theta_B(b)$ , for any  $b \in B$ ). Hence,  $\pi$  and  $\theta$  are completely isometric homomorphisms. Finally,  $\Omega, \pi, \theta$  and  $i$  satisfy the desired relation.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. P. Blecher, *Commutativity in operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), 709–715. MR 1009985 (90k:46128)
- [2] D. P. Blecher and C. Le Merdy, *Operator algebras and their modules—an operator space approach*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 30, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004. MR 2111973 (2006a:46070)
- [3] ———, *On function and operator modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 833–844. MR 1802002 (2001k:47100)
- [4] D. P. Blecher and V. I. Paulsen, *Multipliers of operator spaces, and the injective envelope*, Pacific J. Math. **200** (2001), 1–17. MR 1863404 (2002k:46150)
- [5] D. Deckard and C. Pearcy, *On matrices over the ring of continuous complex valued functions on a Stonian space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 322–328. MR 0147926 (26 #5438)
- [6] E. G. Effros, N. Ozawa, and Z.-J. Ruan, *On injectivity and nuclearity for operator spaces*, Duke Math. J. **110** (2001), 489–521. MR 1869114 (2002k:46151)
- [7] E. G. Effros and Z.-J. Ruan, *Operator spaces*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 23, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. MR 1793753 (2002a:46082)
- [8] K. Grove and G.K. Pedersen, *Diagonalizing matrices over  $C(X)$* , J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984), 65–89. MR 0763777 (86m:46068)
- [9] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 100, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986. MR 859186 (88d:46106)
- [10] M. Kaur and Z.-J. Ruan, *Local properties of ternary rings of operators and their linking  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **195** (2002), 262–305. MR 1940357 (2004c:46116)
- [11] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR 1325694 (96k:46100)
- [12] V. Paulsen, *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 78, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. MR 1976867 (2004c:46118)
- [13] G. Pisier, *Introduction to operator space theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 294, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. MR 2006539 (2004k:46097)
- [14] ———, *Exact operator spaces*, Astérisque (1995), 159–186. MR 1372532 (97a:46023)
- [15] Z.-J. Ruan, *Type decomposition and the rectangular AFD property for  $W^*$ -TRO's*, Canad. J. Math. **56** (2004), 843–870. MR 2074690 (2006b:46081)
- [16] R.R. Smith and D.P. Williams, *The decomposition property for  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **16** (1986), 51–74. MR 0847332 (87i:46138)
- [17] C. Zhang, *Representation of operator spaces*, J. Operator Theory **33** (1995), 327–351. MR 1354984 (96h:46092)

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 25030 BESANÇON  
CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* jean.roydor@math.univ-fcomte.fr