

ON A SINGULAR INTEGRAL ESTIMATE FOR THE MAXIMUM MODULUS OF A CANONICAL PRODUCT

FARUK F. ABI-KHUZAM AND BASSAM SHAYYA

ABSTRACT. If f is a canonical product with only real negative zeros and non-integral order ρ , $n(t, 0)$ is the zero counting function, and $B(r, f) = \sup_{0 < \theta < \pi} |\log f(re^{i\theta})|$, then

$$r^{-q-1} B(r, f) \leq \pi \{M\varphi(r) + MH\varphi(r)\} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(t) dt}{t+r},$$

where $\varphi(t) = t^{-q-1}n(t, 0)$, H is the Hilbert transform operator and M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

1. Introduction

Let f be an entire function with zeros $\{z_n\}$, and let

$$M(r, f) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|, \quad n(r) = n(r, 0; f) = \sum_{|z_n| \leq r} 1.$$

The order of f is defined by

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r},$$

and a question of long standing is to find precise lower bounds for

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{\log M(r, f)}$$

in terms of ρ .

Polya [1] and Valiron [4], [5] proved, independently, that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{\log M(r, f)} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} |\sin \pi \rho|, & 0 \leq \rho \leq 1, \\ \frac{|\sin \pi \rho|}{A_0 \{1 + \log \rho\} |\sin \pi \rho| + \pi}, & 1 < \rho < \infty, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where A_0 is a positive absolute constant. The first inequality in (1) is sharp, the constant $\frac{1}{\pi} |\sin \pi \rho|$ being best possible and achieved when all the zeros of f are on one ray and $n(r)$ is regularly varying of order ρ . In connection with the second

Received November February 17, 1999; received in final form October 22, 1999.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D20, 42A50; Secondary 30D35.

inequality in (1), Shea and Wainger [2] proved the existence of a positive absolute constant A such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{\log M(r, f)} \geq A |\sin \pi \rho|, \quad 1 < \rho < \infty \quad (2)$$

for f of order ρ , all of whose zeros lie on a single ray $\arg z = \pi$. Although the value of A obtained in [2] is not best possible, the existence of such a constant is rather remarkable. The starting point for the Shea-Wainger proof is the well-known formula of Valiron

$$\log f(z) = (-1)^q z^{q+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t, 0) dt}{t^{q+1}(t+z)}, \quad q = [\rho], \quad |\arg z| < \pi \quad (3)$$

which is valid for canonical products f of non-integral order ρ , having all their zeros on the ray $\arg z = \pi$.

Writing

$$B(r, f) = \sup_{0 < \theta < \pi} |\log f(re^{i\theta})|, \quad \Phi(r) = \frac{B(r, f)}{r^{q+1}}, \quad \varphi(r) = \frac{n(r, 0)}{r^{q+1}},$$

employing Valiron's formula (3), and using some rather intricate singular integral estimates they obtain

$$\Phi(r) \leq 12M\varphi(r) + \pi H^*\varphi(r) + 10 \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{t+r} dt \quad (4)$$

where

$$M\varphi(r) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|t-r| < \varepsilon} \varphi(t) dt$$

is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and

$$H^*\varphi(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|t-r| > \varepsilon} \frac{\varphi(t) dt}{t-r} \right|$$

is the maximal Hilbert transform. From the inequality (4) and using the L_p -boundedness of these maximal operators, together with Tauberian arguments, they obtain, for suitable sequences $R_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, the inequality

$$\left\{ \int_{R_n}^\infty \left(\frac{B(r, f)}{r^{q+1}} \right)^p dr \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(A \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \int_{R_n}^\infty \left(\frac{n(r, 0)}{r^{q+1}} \right)^p dr \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (5)$$

where $p = (q+1-\rho)^{-1} + \varepsilon_n$. Now (2) follows immediately from (5).

This note arose in the course of examining the Shea-Wainger proof in [2] and attempting to simplify it. It turns out that the use of the maximal Hilbert transform of φ can be circumvented leading to a refinement of (4) in which the constants 12 and

10 are replaced by π and 1 respectively. Thus the value of the constant A in (2) is increased about 4 times.

2. An inequality for the maximum modulus

An examination of the integral occurring in Valiron's formula (3) suggests that it assumes the boundary values $\int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{t+r} dt$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and $H\varphi(r)$ as $\theta \rightarrow \pi$, where H is the Hilbert transform operator. It is then natural to expect these two terms to occur when estimating the integral. This is made precise in the following:

THEOREM 1. *If f is a canonical product with only real negative zeros and non-integral order ρ , then*

$$\Phi(r) \leq \pi M\varphi(r) + \pi M(H\varphi)(r) + \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{t+r} dt \quad (6)$$

where H is the Hilbert transform.

Proof. Write

$$D_1 = (t-r)^2 + 2tr(1-\cos\theta), \quad D_2 = (t-r)^2 + 2r^2(1-\cos\theta)$$

and notice that $2D_1 \geq (1-\cos\theta)(t+r)^2$ and $D_2 \geq 2|t-r|r\sqrt{2(1-\cos\theta)}$ so that $\sqrt{D_1}D_2 \geq 2r(1-\cos\theta)|t-r|(t+r)$. Thus

$$\left| \frac{1}{D_1} - \frac{1}{D_2} \right| = \frac{2r(1-\cos\theta)|r-t|}{D_1D_2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_1}(t+r)}. \quad (7)$$

Starting from Valiron's formula (3), if we put $\varphi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{-q-1} \log f(re^{i(\pi-\theta)})| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \frac{t-re^{i\theta}}{D_1} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t)(t-re^{i\theta}) \left(\frac{1}{D_1} - \frac{1}{D_2} \right) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \frac{(t-r)}{D_2} dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \frac{(r-re^{i\theta})}{D_2} dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t+r} dt + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H\varphi(t) \frac{2r \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{D_2} dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \frac{2r \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{D_2} dt \right| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where in the last line we have used Lemma 1.5, page 219 of [3]. We now use the fact that the Poisson integral of φ is bounded by the maximal function $M\varphi$. We indicate a short proof of this:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) \frac{\varepsilon}{(t-r)^2 + \varepsilon^2} dt &= \int_0^{\infty} [\varphi(r-t) + \varphi(r+t)] \frac{\varepsilon}{t^2 + \varepsilon^2} dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} [\varphi(r-t) + \varphi(r+t)] \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t/\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{2xdx}{(1+x^2)^2} dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2xdx}{(1+x^2)^2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{\varepsilon x} [\varphi(r-t) + \varphi(r+t)] dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2x^2 dx}{(1+x^2)^2} 2M\varphi(r) \\ &= \pi M\varphi(r). \end{aligned}$$

If we put $\varepsilon = 2r \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$, then it follows from (8) that for $0 < \theta < \pi$,

$$|r^{-q-1} \log f(re^{i(\pi-\theta)})| \leq \pi M\varphi(r) + \pi M(H\varphi)(r) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t+r} dt$$

and (6) follows. \square

We remark that the exponent $p = 2$ is, perhaps, the most convenient to use in connection with the Hilbert transform since $\|H\varphi\|_2 = \|\varphi\|_2$. But this holds for $\varphi \in L_2(0, \infty)$ which, in the present context, requires that the order ρ be smaller than $q + 1/2$. If we recall that $\|M\varphi\|_p \leq 2(\frac{3p}{p-1})^{1/p} \|\varphi\|_p$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.7, page 58 of [3]) we obtain:

COROLLARY 1. *If f is as in Theorem 1, and its order satisfies $q < \rho < q + 1/2$ then*

$$\left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \{\Phi(r)\}^2 dr \right\}^{1/2} \leq \{4\sqrt{6} + 1\} \pi \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \{\varphi(r)\}^2 dr \right\}^{1/2}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Pólya, *Bemerkungen über unendlichen Folgeundganzen Functionen*, Math. Ann. **88** (1923), 169–183.
- [2] D. F. Shea and S. Wainger, *Growth problems for a class of entire functions via singular integral estimates*, Illinois. J. Math. **25** (1981), 41–50.
- [3] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.

- [4] G. Valiron, *Sur les fonctions entières d'ordre fini et ordre nul, et en particulier les fonctions à correspondance régulière*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse (3) **5** (1913), 117–257.
- [5] G. Valiron, *A propos d'un mémoire de M. Pólya*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **48** (1924), 9–12.

Department of Mathematics, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon
farukakh@aub.edu.lb
bshayya@aub.edu.lb