

A PROBLEM IN TRIGONOMETRIC APPROXIMATION THEORY

BY
 HARRY DYM

This note is written to supplement the paper of Seghier [4]. The notation is accordingly chosen to conform to that paper. It is reviewed briefly for the sake of completeness: $e_c = e_c(x) = e^{icx}$ and $H_{(a,b)}$ denotes the closed linear span of the functions $e_t: a \leq t \leq b$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1, f(x) dx)$ where $f(x) = g(x)\bar{g}(x)$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$, and $g[\bar{g}]$ is an outer Hardy function of class $H^{2+} [H^{2-}]$; $P [Q]$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1, dx)$ onto $H^{2+} [H^{2-}]$ and M_c denotes the Hankel operator $M_c = Q(e_{2c}g/\bar{g})P$. The symbol $(\cdot, \cdot)_f$ denotes the standard inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1, dx) [L^2(\mathbb{R}^1, f(x) dx)]$.

THEOREM. *If f^{-1} is locally summable, then*

$$(1) \quad H_{(-\infty, a)} \cap H_{(-a, \infty)} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} H_{(-a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for every } a \geq 0.$$

If also $\|M_c\| < 1$ for some $c \geq 0$, then

$$(2) \quad H_{(-\infty, a)} \cap H_{(-a, \infty)} = H_{(-a, a)} \quad \text{for every } a > c.$$

Discussion. Identity (1) was first proved by Levinson-McKean [2] in the special case that f is even and $a = 0$. (2) was first proved by Seghier in an unpublished preliminary version of [4] under the auxiliary assumption that $\|M_0\| < 1$.

Proof of theorem. Identity (1) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 of Dym [1] and the identification of $\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} H_{(-a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon)}$ with the space of entire functions of exponential type $\leq a$ which are square summable on the line relative to the measure $f(x) dx$; see Pitt [3] for a proof of the latter.

Now suppose in addition that $\|M_c\| < 1$ for some $c \geq 0$ and fix $a > c$. Then, in view of (1) and the self-evident inclusion $H_{(-a, a)} \supset \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} H_{(-c-\varepsilon, c+\varepsilon)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(-a, a)}^\perp &\subset (H_{(-\infty, c)} \cap H_{(-c, \infty)})^\perp \\ &= \overline{H_{(-\infty, c)}^\perp + H_{(-c, \infty)}^\perp} \\ &= H_{(-\infty, c)}^\perp + H_{(-c, \infty)}^\perp \\ &= (\overline{e_c g})^{-1} H^{2+} + (e_c g)^{-1} H^{2-}. \end{aligned}$$

The passage from line 2 to line 3 uses the fact that the cosine of the angle between the indicated two spaces is equal to $\|M_c\|$ and that $\|M_c\| < 1$. The inclusion derived to this point implies that each function $\psi \in H_{(-a a)}^\perp$ can be expressed in the form

$$\psi = (e_c g)^{-1} \theta_1 + (\overline{e_c g})^{-1} \theta_2$$

for some choice of $\theta_1 \in H^{2-}$ and $\theta_2 \in H^{2+}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi, e_u)_f &= ((e_c g)^{-1} \theta_1 + (\overline{e_c g})^{-1} \theta_2, e_u)_f \\ &= (\theta_1, g e_{u+c}) + (\theta_2, \overline{g} e_{u-c}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $|u| \leq a$, and if either $-a \leq u \leq c$ or $-c \leq u \leq a$, then both terms in the next to the last line vanish separately. Therefore $(\theta_1 e_{a-c}, g e_t) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq a + c$. In fact the latter equality prevails for all $t \geq 0$ since $\theta_1 \in H^{2-}$ and $g \in H^{2+}$ and so, as g is an outer function, it serves to prove that $e_{a-c} \theta_1 \in H^{2-}$, and hence that

$$(e_c g)^{-1} \theta_1 \in (e_a g)^{-1} H^{2-} = H_{(-a \infty)}^\perp.$$

Much the same sort of argument serves to prove that $(\overline{e_c g})^{-1} \theta_2 \in H_{(-\infty a)}^\perp$. Thus

$$H_{(-a a)}^\perp \subset H_{(-\infty a)}^\perp + H_{(-a \infty)}^\perp \subset \overline{H_{(-\infty a)}^\perp + H_{(-a \infty)}^\perp} = (H_{(-\infty a)} \cap H_{(-a \infty)})^\perp$$

which is to say that

$$H_{(-a a)} \supset H_{(-\infty a)} \cap H_{(-a \infty)}.$$

Since the opposite inclusion is self-evident the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. H. DYM, *Trace formulas for a class of Toeplitz-like operators*, Israel J. Math., vol. 27 (1977), pp. 21-48.
2. N. LEVINSON AND H. P. MCKEAN, *Weighted trigonometrical approximation on R^1 with application to the germ field of a stationary Gaussian noise*, Acta Math., vol. 112 (1964), pp. 99-143.
3. L. D. PITT, *On problems of trigonometrical approximation from the theory of stationary Gaussian processes*, J. Multivariate Anal., vol. 2 (1972), pp. 145-161.
4. A. SEGHER, *Prediction d'un processus stationnaire du second ordre de covariance connue sur un intervalle fini*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 23 (1978), pp. 389-401 (this issue).