

## CODIMENSION REDUCTION THEOREMS IN CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

---

GIULIANO ROMANI<sup>1</sup>

### Introduction

We give the proof of the analogue, in conformal geometry, of the local version of the Theorem of Erbacher [E], and of theorems related to it.

The theorems obtained can also be viewed as the extension of classical theorems on reduction of codimension of a submanifold of a space of constant curvature to the case of a submanifold of a space locally conformal to a space of constant curvature that is locally conformally flat.

We start from the investigation of the geometric meaning of the nullity of the Willmore conformal forms,  $\hat{w}_M$ , of a submanifold  $M$ . These conformal forms, introduced in [R], are invariant under conformal changes of the metric of the ambient space  $\bar{M}$ .

We prove:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be a locally conformally flat manifold. If  $M$  is a submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  conformally nicely curved in  $\bar{M}$ , then  $\hat{w}_M$  is zero if and only if  $M$  is locally contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \dim \Omega_x M$  ( $\Omega_x M$ ,  $(r + 1)$ -conformal osculating space).*

From the theorem, we deduce the local conformal version of the Erbacher Theorem (recently proved by Okomura [O] in the case of  $\bar{M}$  of constant curvature).

**COROLLARY III.** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be locally conformally flat and  $M$  a submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . If  $\hat{W}_x M$  has constant dimension and is parallel in the normal bundle of  $M$ , then  $M$  is locally contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \dim M + \dim \hat{W}_x M$  ( $\hat{W}_x M$  first Willmore space).*

Introducing the notion of *conformally parallel distribution along a submanifold*, the analogue in conformal geometry of the notion of parallel distribution along a submanifold in Riemannian geometry, we are able to prove the

---

Received March 25, 1992.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53A30; Secondary 53B25.

<sup>1</sup>Work supported by the M.U.R.S.T. 60% and 40%.

local conformal version of a well known theorem related with the theorem of Erbacher:

**COROLLARY I.** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be locally conformally flat. If  $\Delta$  is a distribution of  $\bar{M}$ , conformally parallel along a conformally nicely curved submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$ , then  $M$  is locally contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p \leq \dim \Delta$ .*

Corollary II is the following.

**COROLLARY II.** *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a locally conformally flat manifold  $\bar{M}$ . If  $\dim \check{W}_x^k(M) = \text{const.}$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, r$  and if  $\check{W}M \oplus \dots \oplus \check{W}M$  is parallel in the normal bundle of  $M$ , then  $M$  is locally contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \dim \Omega_x^{r+1}M$ ,  $x \in M$ .*

Obviously Corollary III is only Corollary II in the case  $r = 1$ , but we have pointed out Corollary III because it is the analog of the Theorem of Erbacher in its local version.

The results of [E] and [O] are global. Our results are local. Since all the spaces of constant curvature are locally conformally flat we have been able to obtain a unitary proof for the three different cases of positive, negative, zero curvature. These cases are treated separately in [E] and [O].

*Acknowledgement.* The definition of ‘‘Conformally parallel distribution,’’ more geometric than my original one, was proposed by the referee. Using it I was able to simplify the proof of Lemma 5 of Section III. I want to thank the referee for this and other suggestions.

### I. Preliminaries

Let  $\bar{M}$  be a Riemannian manifold endowed with the metric  $\bar{g}$ .

If  $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a function of  $\bar{M}$  the manifold  $\bar{M}$ , endowed with the metric  $\bar{g}^* = e^{2\sigma}\bar{g}$ , conformal with  $\bar{g}$ , will be denoted by  $\bar{M}^*$ . In a similar way the Levi-Civita connections of  $\bar{g}$  and  $\bar{g}^*$  will be denoted, respectively, by  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\bar{\nabla}^*$ .

It is well known that the two connections  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\bar{\nabla}^*$  are related by the equality

$$(1) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{X_x}^* Y = \bar{\nabla}_{X_x} Y + X_x(\sigma)Y_x + Y_x(\sigma)X_x - \bar{g}(X_x, Y_x)(\text{grad}_{\bar{g}}\sigma)_x$$

where,  $\text{grad}_{\bar{g}}\sigma$ , denote the gradient of  $\sigma$  taken with respect to the metric  $\bar{g}$ .

If  $M$  is a submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ , the second fundamental form of  $M$  will be denoted by  $\overset{0}{S}_M$  and the mean curvature vector at  $x \in M$  by  $H_x(M)$ . If  $M$  is considered as submanifold of  $\bar{M}^*$  we shall denote  $M$  by  $M^*$  its second fundamental form by  $\overset{0}{S}_{M^*}$  and the mean curvature vector at  $x \in M^*$  by  $H_x(M^*)$ .

We denote by  $\overset{k}{N}_x M$  ( $k = 0, 1 \dots$ ) the  $k$ -normal space of  $M$  at  $x$  (with the convention  $\overset{0}{N}_x M = T_x M$ ) and by  $\overset{k}{S}_M$  the  $k$ -fundamental form of  $M$  (for these notions and for related theorems see [S], volume IV, Chapter 7).

In [R] we have defined the  $k$ -Willmore space,  $\overset{k}{W}_x M$ , and the  $k$ -Willmore form,  $\overset{k}{W}_M$ , the analogue, in conformal geometry of submanifolds, of  $\overset{k}{N}_M$  and  $\overset{k}{S}_M$  respectively. Both are invariant under conformal changes of the metric  $\bar{g}$ .

The forms  $\overset{k}{W}_M$  are defined by

$$(2) \quad \overset{0}{W}_M(X_x Y_x) = \overset{0}{S}_M(X_x Y_x) - \bar{g}(X_x Y_x) H_x(M), \quad X_x, Y_x \in T_x M,$$

$$(3) \quad \overset{k}{W}_M(X_x, \overset{k}{W}_x) = P_{(T_x M \oplus \overset{k}{W}_x M \oplus \dots \oplus \overset{k}{W}_x M)} \perp (\bar{\nabla}_{X_x} \overset{k}{W}), \quad X_x \in T_x M,$$

The spaces  $\overset{k}{W}_x M$  are those generated by the forms  $\overset{k-1}{W}_M$  in  $x \in M$ .

Using the notion of  $r$ -conformal osculating space of  $M$  at  $x$ ,  $\overset{r}{\Omega}_x M = T_x M \oplus \overset{1}{W}_x M \oplus \dots \oplus \overset{r-1}{W}_x M$  ( $r = 1, \dots$ ), we can write

(4)

$$\overset{k}{W}_M(X_x, \overset{k}{W}_x) = P_{(\overset{k}{\Omega}_x M)} \perp (\bar{\nabla}_{X_x} \overset{k}{W}), \quad X_x \in T_x M, \quad \overset{k}{W} \in \Gamma(\overset{k}{W}M), \quad k > 0.$$

If for each  $k = 1, \dots$ , the dimension of  $\overset{k}{W}_x M$  is constant we say that  $M$  is *conformally nicely curved* in  $\bar{M}$ . Obviously that property is invariant under conformal changes of the metric in  $\bar{M}$ .

If  $M$  is conformally nicely curved we have proved [R] the so called *conformal Frenet equations of  $M$* . Here we recall the property

$$(5) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{X_x} \overset{k}{W} \in T_x M + \overset{k-1}{W}_x M \oplus \overset{k}{W}_x M \oplus \overset{k-1}{W}_x M, \quad X_x \in T_x M, \quad \overset{k}{W} \in \Gamma(\overset{k}{W}M)$$

(we assume  $\overset{0}{W}_x M = T_x M$ ).

6. *Remark.* If, for an  $x \in M$ ,  $H_x(M) = 0$  then for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $\overset{k}{N}_x M = \overset{k}{W}_x M$  and  $\overset{k}{S}_M = \overset{k}{W}_M$ .

7. *Remark.* By definition a point  $x \in M$  is umbilical if  $\overset{0}{W}_M$  is zero in  $x$ .

The conformal invariance of  $\overset{0}{W}_M$  implies that each totally umbilical submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$  is changed in a totally umbilical submanifold by any conformal change of the metric  $\bar{g}$ .

8. PROPOSITION [S.S]. *For each point  $z$  of any submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$  it is always possible to change the metric  $\bar{g}$ , around  $z$ , in a convenient one,  $\bar{g}^*$ , conformal to  $\bar{g}$ , in such a way that  $M$ , around  $z$ , is minimal with respect to  $\bar{g}^*$ .*

In particular from Remark 7 and Proposition 8 we can deduce:

9. PROPOSITION. *It is always possible, with a convenient conformal change of the metric  $\bar{g}$ , to change locally a totally umbilical submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$ , in a totally geodesic submanifold.*

Let  $l$  such that  $W^l M \neq 0$  and  $\dot{W}M = 0$  or, equivalent, such that  $W^{l-2} M \neq 0$  and  $W^{l-1} M = 0$ . The number  $s = \dim(T_x M \oplus \dot{W}_x M \oplus \dots \oplus W_x^{l-1} M) = \dim(\Omega_x M)$  is called the *conformal number of the immersion  $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$* .

Finally we recall the two theorems that we generalize to the case of conformal geometry.

10. THEOREM (Erbacher [E]). *Let  $\bar{M}$  be a manifold of constant curvature. If  $M$  is a submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  and the first normal space of  $M$ ,  $N_x M$ , is of constant dimension, and is parallel in the normal bundle of  $M$ , then  $M$  is contained in a totally geodesic submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \dim M + \dim N_x M$ .*

11. THEOREM [S]. *Let  $\bar{M}$  be a manifold of constant curvature. If  $\Delta$  is a distribution of  $\bar{M}$  defined along  $M$ , containing the tangent space of  $M$  and parallel along  $M$ , then  $M$  is contained in a totally geodesic submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \dim \Delta$ .*

Theorem 11 is stated also in [E] in a quite different version related with the higher normal spaces of  $M$  in  $\bar{M}$ .

## II. Inverse part of the theorem

INVERSE PART OF THE THEOREM. *If  $\dot{W}_M = 0$  then  $M$  is locally contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \dim \Omega_x^{r+1} M$ .*

Before doing the proof we prove some lemmas and propositions.

For any fixed point of  $z \in M$ , Proposition 8 of Section I asserts that we can choose a metric  $\bar{g}^* = e^{2\sigma}\bar{g}$  in  $\bar{M}$  and a neighborhood  $U_z$  of  $z$  in  $M$  such that

$$(1) \quad H_x(M^*) = 0, \quad x \in U_z.$$

Denote  $\dot{W}_x M \oplus \cdots \oplus \dot{W}_x M$  by  $W_x^{(r)}M$ .

Let  $\dot{M}^*$  be a submanifold of  $\bar{M}^*$  which is a tubular neighborhood of  $U_z$  in the set of the points

$$y = \exp_x^* w_x, \quad x \in U_z,$$

where  $\exp_x^*$  is the exponential of  $\bar{M}^*$  at  $x \in M$  and  $w_x$  has to be chosen in some neighborhood of the origin of  $W_x^{(r)}M$ . It is clear that for  $x \in U_z$ ,

$$T_x \dot{M}^* = T_x M \oplus W_x^{(r)}M = T_x M \oplus \dot{W}_x M \oplus \cdots \oplus \dot{W}_x M = \Omega_x^{r+1} M$$

2. LEMMA.  $\overset{0}{W}_{\dot{M}^*} = 0, x \in U_z$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.* For each  $x \in U_z, W_x^{(r)}M \perp T_x M$ ; moreover each vector of  $W_x^{(r)}M$  can be extended in a tangent vector field of  $\dot{M}^*$ , parallel in  $\bar{M}^*$ , along its direction. Consequently, denoting with  $X_\alpha^*$  an orthonormal basis in  $T_x M^*$  and with  $w_\beta^*$  an orthonormal basis in  $W_x^{(r)}M^*$ , for  $x \in U_z$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_x(\dot{M}^*) &= \frac{1}{\dim \dot{M}^*} \left[ \sum_\alpha \overset{0}{S}_{\dot{M}^*}(X_\alpha^*, X_\alpha^*) + \sum_\beta \overset{0}{S}_{\dot{M}^*}(w_\beta^*, w_\beta^*) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim \dot{M}^*} \sum_\alpha \overset{0}{S}_{\dot{M}^*}(X_\alpha^*, X_\alpha^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim \dot{M}^*} \sum_\alpha P_{\perp_x \dot{M}^*}(\bar{\nabla}_{X_\alpha^*} X_\alpha^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim \dot{M}^*} P_{\perp_x \dot{M}^*} \left( \sum_\alpha \overset{M^*}{\nabla}_{X_\alpha^*} X_\alpha^* + \sum_\alpha \overset{0}{S}_{M^*}(X_\alpha^*, X_\alpha^*) \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

( $\overset{M^*}{\nabla}$  connection on  $M^*$  induced by the connection  $\bar{\nabla}^*$ ). In particular

$$(3) \quad \overset{0}{W}_{\dot{M}^*} = \overset{0}{S}_{\dot{M}^*} \quad \text{for } x \in U_z$$

and we can compute  $\overset{0}{W}_{\dot{M}^*}$  at the points  $x \in U_z$ , computing  $\overset{0}{S}_{\dot{M}^*}$ .

As observed before, for  $w_x \in W_x^{(r)}M, \overset{0}{S}_{\dot{M}^*}(w_x, w_x) = 0$ . Then since  $\overset{0}{S}_{\dot{M}^*}$  is bilinear and symmetric for  $w_x, w'_x \in W_x^{(r)}M$ ,

$$(4) \quad \overset{0}{S}_{\dot{M}^*}(w_x, w'_x) = 0.$$

For  $X_x \in T_x M$  and  $w \in \Gamma(W^{(r)}M)$  from conformal Frenet equations of  $M^*$  in  $\bar{M}^*$ , (5) of Section I, and by hypothesis  $\dot{w}_M = 0$ ,

$$\bar{\nabla}_{X_x}^* w \in T_x M \oplus W_x^{(r)} M = T_x \dot{M}^*$$

and then

$$(5) \quad \overset{0}{s}_{\dot{M}^*}(X_x, w_x) = P_{\perp_x \dot{M}^*}(\bar{\nabla}_{X_x}^* w) = 0$$

If  $X_x, Y_x \in T_x M$  from (1) and Remark 6 of Section I,

$$\overset{1}{N}_x M^* = \overset{1}{W}_x M^* = \overset{1}{W}_x M \subset T_x \dot{M}^*$$

and then

$$(6) \quad \overset{0}{s}_{\dot{M}^*}(X_x, Y_x) = P_{\perp_x \dot{M}^*}(\bar{\nabla}_{X_x}^* Y) = P_{\perp_x \dot{M}^*} \left( \overset{M^*}{\nabla}_{X_x} Y + \overset{0}{s}_{M^*}(X_x, Y_x) \right) = 0$$

and Lemma 2 follows from (3), (4), (5), (6).  $\square$

Since  $\bar{M}$  is locally conformally flat, it is locally conformal with the Euclidean space  $E^{\bar{m}}$ ,  $\bar{m} = \dim \bar{M}$ , with the canonic metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

We shall denote with  $\dot{M}^e$  and  $M^e$ , respectively, the submanifolds  $\dot{M}^*$  and  $M^*$  as submanifolds of  $\bar{M}$  endowed with the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of  $E^{\bar{m}}$ . Lemma 2 and conformal invariance of the form  $\overset{0}{w}$  allow us to assert the next result.

7. PROPOSITION. *The points of  $U_z$  are umbilical points of  $\dot{M}^e$ :*

$$\overset{0}{s}_{\dot{M}^e}(\dot{X}_x, \dot{Y}_x) = \langle \dot{X}_x, \dot{Y}_x \rangle H_x(\dot{M}^e), \quad x \in U_z. \quad \square$$

For  $m = \dim M \geq 2$ , the proof of Lemma 25 of Volume IV of Spivak [S], works to prove the next result if we denote by  $D$  the standard connection of  $E^{\bar{m}}$ :

8. PROPOSITION.  *$|H_x(\dot{M}^e)|$  is constant on  $M$  and for  $x \in U_z, X_x \in T_x \dot{M}$ ,*

$$D_{\dot{X}_x} H(\dot{M}^e) = -|H_x(\dot{M}^e)|^2 \dot{X}_x \quad \square$$

(If  $\dim M = 1$  our theorems are obviously true because  $M$  is always totally umbilical.)

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 8 we have that  $H_x(\dot{M}^e)$  is always zero, or is always different from zero along  $U_z$  and then:

9. LEMMA. *The distribution along  $U_z$ ,*

$$\Delta_x = T_x \dot{M}^e \oplus \{H_x(\dot{M}^e)\} (= \dot{\Omega}_x M \oplus \{H_x(\dot{M}^e)\}), \quad x \in U_z,$$

is of constant dimension.

Moreover we have

10. PROPOSITION. *The distribution along  $U_z$ ,*

$$\Delta_x = T_x \dot{M}^e \oplus \{H_x(\dot{M}^e)\} (= \dot{\Omega}_x M \oplus \{H_x(\dot{M}^e)\}), \quad x \in U_z,$$

is parallel in  $\mathbf{E}^m$ . In particular  $M$  is contained in a totally geodesic submanifold of  $\mathbf{E}^m$  with dimension  $q = \dim \Delta_x$ .

*Proof of Proposition 10.* Immediate from Proposition 7, Proposition 8, and Theorem 11 of Section I.  $\square$

And now we are able to prove the inverse part of the theorem.

If  $H_x(\dot{M}^e)$  is identically zero for  $x \in U_z$  we deduce from Proposition 10 that  $U_z$  is contained in a totally geodesic submanifold of  $\mathbf{E}^m$  of dimension  $p = \dim \dot{M}^e = \dim \Omega_x^{r+1}$  and then  $U_z$  is contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of the same dimension and the inverse part of the theorem is proved in that case.

If  $H_x(\dot{M}^e) \neq 0$  we consider a curve  $x(t)$  of  $U_z$  and we denote with  $X_{x(t)}$  its tangent vector at the point  $x(t)$ . Using Proposition 8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( x(t) + \frac{1}{|H_{x(t)}(\dot{M}^e)|^2} H_{x(t)}(\dot{M}^e) \right) &= X_{x(t)} + \frac{1}{|H_{x(t)}(\dot{M}^e)|^2} D_{X_{x(t)}} H_{x(t)}(\dot{M}^e) \\ &= X_{x(t)} - \frac{|H_{x(t)}(\dot{M}^e)|^2}{|H_{x(t)}(\dot{M}^e)|^2} X_{x(t)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the point

$$y = x + \frac{H_x(\overset{r}{M}^e)}{|H_x(\overset{r}{M}^e)|^2}$$

does not depend on the choice of  $x$  on  $U_z$ ; moreover, from Prop. 8,

$$|y\vec{x}| = \frac{|H_x(\overset{r}{M}^e)|}{|H_x(\overset{r}{M}^e)|^2} = \frac{1}{|H_x(\overset{r}{M}^e)|} = \text{const. on } U_z$$

and then  $U_z$  is contained in a sphere of  $\mathbf{E}^{\bar{m}}$ . But Proposition 10 says that it is also contained in an affine space of  $\mathbf{E}^{\bar{m}}$  of dimension  $q = \dim \overset{r+1}{M} + 1 = \dim \Omega_x \overset{r+1}{M} + 1$ , and then in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = q - 1 = \Omega_x \overset{r+1}{M}$ , so the inverse part is proved also in that case. □

### III. Direct part of the Theorem

**DIRECT PART OF THE THEOREM.** *If  $M$  is contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \Omega_x \overset{r+1}{M}$  then  $\check{w}_M = 0$ .*

We start proving some lemmas and propositions.

1. **DEFINITION.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a distribution along the submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$ .  $\Delta$  is called conformally parallel if*

- (i)  $T_x M \oplus \check{W}_x M \subset \Delta_x, \quad x \in M,$
- (ii) *the normal part of  $\Delta$  is parallel in the normal bundle.*

From (1) of Section I we can deduce that Definition 1 is conformally invariant.

The following lemma give a relation between parallel and conformally parallel distributions.

2. **LEMMA.** *If  $\Delta$  is a parallel distribution along a submanifold  $\tilde{M}$  of  $\bar{M}$  such that for each  $x \in \tilde{M}$ ,*

$$T_x \tilde{M} \subset \Delta_x,$$

*then  $\Delta$  is also conformally parallel.*

*Proof.* For  $X_x \in T_x \tilde{M}$  and  $Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$  we have  $\bar{\nabla}_{X_x}^{\tilde{M}} Y \in T_x \tilde{M} \subset \Delta_x$ . From the parallelism of  $\Delta_x$  we also have  $\bar{\nabla}_{X_x} Y \in \Delta_x$ . Then

$${}^0_{S\tilde{M}}(X_x Y_x) = \bar{\nabla}_{X_x} Y - \bar{\nabla}_{X_x}^{\tilde{M}} Y \in \Delta_x$$

and  ${}^1_{N_x} \tilde{M} \subset \Delta_x$ . But  ${}^1_{W_x} \tilde{M} \subset {}^1_{N_x} \tilde{M}$  and condition (i) follows.

Take  $X_x \in T_x \tilde{M}$  and  $Y \in \Gamma(\Delta \cap \perp \tilde{M})$ . The parallelism of  $\Delta$  implies  $\bar{\nabla}_{X_x} Y \in \Delta_x$  and then  $P_{\perp \tilde{M}}(\bar{\nabla}_{X_x} Y) \in \Delta_x \cap \perp_x \tilde{M}$ , which is condition (ii).  $\square$

3. *Remark.* Lemma 2 implies that the tangent space to a totally geodesic submanifold  $\tilde{M}$  is a conformally parallel distribution along  $\tilde{M}$  and along each submanifold  $M$  of  $\tilde{M}$ . Using Proposition 9 of Section I we can extend Remark 3 to the following lemma.

4. **LEMMA.** *The tangent space to a totally umbilical submanifold  $\tilde{M}$  is a conformally parallel distribution along  $\tilde{M}$  and along each submanifold  $M$  of  $\tilde{M}$ .*  $\square$

We recall that we have denoted by  $l$  the integer such that  ${}^{l-2}_{w_M} \neq 0$  and  ${}^{l-1}_{w_M} = 0$ , and by  $s = \dim \Omega_x M$  the conformal number of immersion  $M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ .

By induction from Definition 1, using (4) and (5) of Section I we deduce:

5. **LEMMA.** *If  $\Delta$  is a conformally parallel distribution along  $M$  then for each  $x \in M$  and  $i = 0, 1, \dots, l$ ,  ${}^i_{W_x} M \subset \Delta_x$ ; in particular  $\Omega_x M \subset \Delta_x$  and  $\dim \Delta_x \geq s$ .*  $\square$

Suppose  $M$  contained in a totally umbilical submanifold  $\tilde{M}$  of  $\bar{M}$ . By Lemma 4,  $T\tilde{M}$  is conformally parallel along  $M$ . By Lemma 5,  $\Omega M \subset T\tilde{M}$ . If  $\dim \tilde{M} = \dim {}^{r+1}_{\Omega} M$  we have  ${}^{r-1}_{\Omega} M = {}^1_{\Omega} M = T\tilde{M}$ ; in particular  ${}^r_{w_M} = 0$  and the direct part of the theorem is proved.

#### IV. Corollaries

From Lemma 5 of Section III and the inverse part of the theorem, we have:

1. **COROLLARY I.** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be locally conformally flat. If  $\Delta$  is a distribution of  $\bar{M}$ , conformally parallel along a conformally nicely curved submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$ , then  $M$  is locally contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p \leq \dim \Delta$ .*  $\square$

Suppose that, for a given submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we have  $\check{W}_x M = c(i) = \text{const}$ . Moreover suppose  $\check{W}M \oplus \dots \oplus \check{W}M$  is parallel in the normal bundle of  $M$ .

By property (5) of Section I it follows that  $\check{W}_x M = 0$  for  $x \in M$ . In particular  $M$  is conformally nicely curved in  $\bar{M}$  and  $\check{w}_M = 0$ . Using the inverse part of the theorem we obtain:

2. COROLLARY II. *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a manifold  $\bar{M}$  locally conformally flat. If  $\dim \check{W}_x(M) = \text{const}$ . for  $k = 1, \dots, r$  and if  $\check{W}M \oplus \dots \oplus \check{W}M$  is parallel in the normal bundle of  $M$ , then  $M$  is locally contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \dim \Omega_x^{r+1} M$ ,  $x \in M$ .  $\square$*

As observed in the introduction, for  $r = 1$ , Corollary II gives:

COROLLARY III. *Let  $\bar{M}$  be locally conformally flat and  $M$  a submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . If  $\check{W}_x M$  has constant dimension and is parallel in the normal bundle of  $M$ , then  $M$  is contained in a totally umbilical submanifold of  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $p = \dim M + \dim \check{W}_x M$ .  $\square$*

#### REFERENCES

- [E] J. ERBACHER, *Reduction of the codimension of an isometric immersion*, J. Differential Geometry, 5 (1971), 333–340
- [O] M. OKUMURA, *Conformal first normal space of a submanifold*, preprint.
- [R] G. ROMANI, *Conformally invariant forms for submanifold*. Seminario Matematico dell'Università e del Politecnico di Torino, to appear.
- [S] M. SPIVAK, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*, vol. IV, Chapter 7, Publish or Perish. Houston, Texas, 1979.
- [S.S] Schouten and STRUIK, *Un théorème sur la transformation conforme dans la géométrie différentielle à  $n$  dimensions*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Paris, 176 (1923), 1597–1600

UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA "LA SAPIENZA"  
ROME