ON THE INTEGRABILITY OF THE SUPREMUM OF ERGODIC RATIOS

By Yves Derriennic

The Ohio State University¹

We study the integrability of the supremum of ergodic ratios defined by means of a measure-preserving conservative ergodic transformation of a σ -finite measure space. Our result implies Ornstein's recent result for the supremum of ergodic averages.

D. Ornstein (1971) has proved that, given an invertible measure-preserving ergodic transformation S of a finite measure space, the supremum of ergodic averages $\sup_{n\geq 1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ S^i$ is integrable if and only if $f \log^+ f$ is integrable. (h^+ is the positive part of h [2].) The purpose of this note is the investigation of the similar property for ergodic ratios. Our result implies Ornstein's theorem although our argument is simpler.

Let T be a measure-preserving, conservative and ergodic transformation of a σ -finite measure space; the measure will be denoted by m. (T is not assumed to be invertible.) Given $g, f \in L_1(m), g > 0$ a.e. and $f \ge 0$ a.e. let

$$s(f, g) = \sup_{n \ge 1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i / \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g \circ T^i \right).$$

THEOREM. If $\int f \log^+(f/g) \, dm < \infty$ then $\int g \, s(f, g) \, dm < \infty$. Conversely if $\int g[s(f, g) + s(f, g) \circ T] \, dm < \infty$ then $\int f \log^+(f/g) \, dm < \infty$.

The first assertion of the theorem, that follows from Hopf's maximal ergodic lemma, belongs to the common unwritten knowledge. (When the measure is finite, g=1 a.e. see [1] page 678.) Thus we shall be concerned only about the proof of the second. The key step is the following "reverse maximal inequality."

LEMMA. Given a positive number a, let $A = \{s(f, g) > a\}$. If $m(A^c) > 0$ then $\int_A f dm \le a \int_{A \cup T^{-1}A} g dm$.

PROOF. We denote also by T the positive contraction of $L_1(m)$ defined by $f \to f \circ T$. Since T is conservative and ergodic we have

$$1_{A} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{A} T^{*})^{n} 1_{A}^{c}$$

where T^* is the conjugate of T, defined on $L_{\infty}(m)$, 1_A the characteristic function

Received July 14, 1972.

¹ Author's present address is Départment de Mathématiques, Université de Rennes, Avenue du Général Leclerc, 35-Rennes France.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 2870; Secondary 6060.

Key words and phrases. Measure-preserving conservative ergodic transformation, reverse maximal inequality.

of the set A and I_A the operator of multiplication by 1_A . Therefore

$$\int_{A} f \, dm = \int_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_{A} T^{*})^{n} 1_{A^{c}} \, dm
= \int_{A^{c}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (TI_{A})^{n} f \, dm
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A^{c} \cap [\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} T^{-i} A]} f \circ T^{n} \, dm .$$

Since the sets $A^c \cap \left[\bigcap_{i=1}^k T^{-i}A\right] \cap T^{-(k+1)}A^c$, for $k = n, n+1, \dots$, form a partition of $A^c \cap \left[\bigcap_{i=1}^n T^{-i}A\right]$ we get

$$\int_A f \, dm = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A^c [\bigcap_{i=1}^n T^{-i}A] \cap T^{-(n+1)}A^c} (\sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^i) \, dm$$
.

According to the definition of A, $x \in A^c$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^n f \circ T^i(x) \leq a(\sum_{i=0}^n g \circ T^i(x))$ for every n. Hence get

$$\int_{A} f \, dm \leq a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A^{c} \cap [\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} T^{-i} A] \cap T^{-(n+1)} A^{c}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} g \circ T^{i} \right) dm
= a \left[\int_{A} g \, dm + \int_{A^{c} \cap T^{-1} A} g \, dm \right]
= a \int_{A \cup T^{-1} A} g \, dm .$$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let $a_0 = \inf\{a > 0; m\{s(f, g) \le a\} > 0\}$. Since $\{f > ag\} \subset \{s(f, g) > a\}$ and $\{s(f, g) > a\} \cup T^{-1}\{s(f, g) > a\} \subset \{s(f, g) + s(f, g) \circ T > a\}$ the lemma implies, for $a > a_0$,

$$\int_{\{f>ag\}} f \, dm \leq a \int_{\{s(f,g)+s(f,g)\circ T>a\}} g \, dm.$$

Then the theorem follows from the simple calculation:

$$\int f \log^{+} (f/a_{0}g) dm = \int_{\{f>a_{0}g\}} f(x) (\int_{a_{0}}^{(f/g)(x)} a^{-1} da) dm(x)
= \int_{a_{0}}^{\infty} a^{-1} (\int_{\{f>a_{0}\}} f dm) da
\leq \int_{a_{0}}^{\infty} (\int_{\{s(f,g)+s(f,g)\circ T>a\}} g dm) da
\leq \int g[s(f,g) + s(f,g) \circ T] dm.$$

COROLLARY. If there is a constant K such that $g \leq K(g \circ T)$ a.e. then $\int gs(f,g) dm < \infty$ if and only if $\int f \log^+(f/g) dm < \infty$. In particular if the total measure is finite, $\int s(f,1) dm < \infty$ if and only if $\int f \log^+(f) dm < \infty$.

PROOF. This is a direct consequence of the theorem for $s(f, g) \circ T \le (1 + K)s(f, g)$ a.e.

Now we shall give an example showing that the conditions introduced in the theorem are not trivial; especially that the equivalence between $\int g \, s(f,g) \, dm < \infty$ and $\int f \log^+(f/g) \, dm < \infty$ may fail.

EXAMPLE. The measure space is the interval [0, 2[endowed with the Lebesgue measure. T is defined as follows:

$$T(x) = x + 1$$
 if $0 \le x < 1$
 $T(x) = S(x - 1)$ if $1 \le x < 2$

where S is any measure-preserving ergodic transformation of [0, 1]. Assume f=1 a.e. and g(x)=1 if $1 \le x < 2$. It is easy to check that either s(1,g)(x) < 3 or s(1,g)(x)=1/g(x). Therefore $\int g s(1,g) dm < \infty$ even if

 $\int \log^+(1/g) dm = \infty$. On the other hand, $\int \log^+(1/g) dm < \infty$ does not imply that $\int g[s(1, g) \circ T] dm \ge \int_{[0,1]} (1/g) dm$ is finite.

REMARK. D. Ornstein mentions also that $\sup_{n\geq 1} n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i$ is not integrable when the total measure is infinite. His proof needs the additional assumption that T is conservative. But this result is a direct consequence of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem. Indeed, $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f \circ T^i = 0$ a.e. but not in $L_1(m)$, therefore this supremum cannot be integrable.

REFERENCES

- [1] DUNFORD, N. and SCHWARTZ, J. T. (1958). Linear Operators. Interscience, New York.
- [2] Ornstein, D. (1971). A remark on the Birkhoff ergodic theorem. Illinois J. Math. 15 77-79.

Laboratorie de Probabilités Université de Rennes Avenue du Général Leclerc B. P. 25A 35031 Rennes Cedex France