

## Error bounds in normal approximation for the squared-length of total spin in the mean field classical $N$ -vector models\*

Lê Văn Thành<sup>†</sup>      Nguyen Ngoc Tu<sup>‡§</sup>

### Abstract

This paper gives the Kolmogorov and Wasserstein bounds in normal approximation for the squared-length of total spin in the mean field classical  $N$ -vector models. The Kolmogorov bound is new while the Wasserstein bound improves a result obtained recently by Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [Journal of Statistical Physics, **165** (2016), no. 6, 1114–1140]. The proof is based on Stein’s method for exchangeable pairs.

**Keywords:** Stein’s method; Kolmogorov distance; Wasserstein distance; mean-field model.

**AMS MSC 2010:** 60F05.

Submitted to ECP on July 5, 2018, final version accepted on February 16, 2019.

## 1 Introduction and main result

Let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer, and let  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  denote the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In this paper, we consider the mean-field classical  $N$ -vector spin models, where each spin  $\sigma_i$  is in  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , at a complete graph vertex  $i$  among  $n$  vertices ([5, Chapter 9]). The state space is  $\Omega_n = (\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^n$  with product measure  $P_n = \mu \times \cdots \times \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is the uniform probability measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . In the absence of an external field, each spin configuration  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$  in the state space  $\Omega_n$  has a Hamiltonian defined by

$$H_n(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \sigma_i, \sigma_j \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $\beta > 0$  be the inverse temperature. The Gibbs measure with Hamiltonian  $H_n$  is the probability measure  $P_{n,\beta}$  on  $\Omega_n$  with density function:

$$dP_{n,\beta}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\beta}} \exp(-\beta H_n(\sigma)) dP_n(\sigma),$$

---

\*This work was supported by National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED), grant no. 101.03-2015.11.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Vinh University, Nghe An 42118, Vietnam. E-mail: levt@vinhuni.edu.vn

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Applied Sciences, HCMC University of Technology and Education, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

<sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Science, Viet Nam National University Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

E-mail: tunn@hcmute.edu.vn

where  $Z_{n,\beta}$  is the partition function:  $Z_{n,\beta} = \int_{\Omega_n} \exp(-\beta H_n(\sigma)) dP_n(\sigma)$ . This model is also called the mean field  $O(N)$  model. It reduces to the  $XY$  model, the Heisenberg model and the Toy model when  $N = 2, 3, 4$ , respectively (see, e.g., [5, p. 412]).

Before proceeding, we introduce the following notations. Throughout this paper,  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable, and  $\Phi(z)$  is the probability distribution function of  $Z$ . For a real-valued function  $f$ , we write  $\|f\| = \sup_x |f(x)|$ . The symbol  $C$  denotes a positive constant which depends only on the inverse temperature  $\beta$ , and its value may be different for each appearance. For two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , the Wasserstein distance  $d_W$  and the Kolmogorov distance  $d_K$  between  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(Y)$  are as follows:

$$d_W(\mathcal{L}(X), \mathcal{L}(Y)) = \sup_{\|h'\| \leq 1} |Eh(X) - Eh(Y)|,$$

and

$$d_K(\mathcal{L}(X), \mathcal{L}(Y)) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(X \leq z) - P(Y \leq z)|.$$

In the Heisenberg model ( $N = 3$ ), Kirkpatrick and Meckes [6] established large deviation, normal approximation results for total spin  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$  in the non-critical phase ( $\beta \neq 3$ ), and a non-normal approximation result in the critical phase ( $\beta = 3$ ). The results in [6] are generalized by Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [7] to the mean field  $N$ -vector models with  $N \geq 2$ .

Let  $I_\nu$  denote the modified Bessel function of the first kind (see, e.g., [2, p. 713]) and

$$f(x) = \frac{I_{\frac{N}{2}}(x)}{I_{\frac{N}{2}-1}(x)}, \quad x > 0. \tag{1.1}$$

By Lemma A.2 in the Appendix, we have

$$\left(\frac{f(x)}{x}\right)' < 0 \text{ for all } x > 0. \tag{1.2}$$

We also have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{N} \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

In the case  $\beta > N$ , from (1.2) and (1.3), there is a unique strictly positive solution  $b$  to the equation

$$x - \beta f(x) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Based on their large deviations, Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [7] argued that in the case  $\beta > N$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$P\left(\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right| \geq x\right) \leq e^{-Cnx^2}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon$ , where  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$  is total spin. It means that  $|S_n|$  is close to  $bn/\beta$  with high probability. On the other hand, all points on the hypersphere of radius  $bn/\beta$  will have equal probability due to symmetry. Based on these facts, they considered the fluctuations of the squared-length of total spin:

$$W_n := \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\beta^2}{n^2 b^2} |S_n|^2 - 1 \right), \tag{1.5}$$

where  $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j$ . Let

$$B^2 = \frac{4\beta^2}{(1 - \beta f'(b))b^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{(N-1)f(b)}{b} - (f(b))^2 \right]. \tag{1.6}$$

Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [7] proved that when  $\beta > N$ , the bounded-Lipschitz distance between  $W_n/B$  and  $Z$  is bounded by  $C(\log n/n)^{1/4}$ . Their proof is based on Stein's method for exchangeable pairs (see Stein [10]). Recall that a random vector  $(W, W')$  is called an exchangeable pair if  $(W, W')$  and  $(W', W)$  have the same distribution. Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [7] construct an exchangeable pair as follows. Let  $W_n$  be as in (1.5) and let  $\sigma' = \{\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_n\}$ , where for each  $i$  fixed,  $\sigma'_i$  is an independent copy of  $\sigma_i$  given  $\{\sigma_j, j \neq i\}$ , i.e., given  $\{\sigma_j, j \neq i\}$ ,  $\sigma'_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  have the same distribution and  $\sigma'_i$  is conditionally independent of  $\sigma_i$  (see, e.g., [4, p. 964]). Let  $I$  be a random index independent of all others and uniformly distributed over  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and let

$$W'_n = \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\beta^2}{n^2 b^2} |S'_n|^2 - 1 \right), \tag{1.7}$$

where  $S'_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j - \sigma_I + \sigma'_I$ . Then  $(W_n, W'_n)$  is an exchangeable pair (see Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [7, p. 1124], Kirkpatrick and Meckes [6, p. 66]).

The bound  $C(\log n/n)^{1/4}$  obtained by Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [7] is not sharp. The aim of this paper is to give the Kolmogorov and Wasserstein distances between  $W_n/B$  and  $Z$  with optimal rate  $Cn^{-1/2}$ .

The main result is the following theorem. We recall that, throughout this paper,  $C$  is a positive constant which depends only on  $\beta$ , and its value may be different for each appearance.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\beta > N$  and  $f$  be as in (1.1). Let  $b$  be the unique strictly positive solution to the equation  $x - \beta f(x) = 0$  and  $B^2$  as in (1.6). For  $W_n$  as defined in (1.5), we have

$$\sup_{\|h'\| \leq 1} |Eh(W_n/B) - Eh(Z)| \leq Cn^{-1/2}, \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n/B \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq Cn^{-1/2}. \tag{1.9}$$

The Wasserstein bound in Theorem 1.1 will be a consequence of the following proposition, a version of Stein's method for exchangeable pairs. It is a special case of Theorem 2.4 of Eichelsbacher and Löwe [4] or Theorem 13.1 in [3].

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $(W, W')$  be an exchangeable pair and  $\Delta = W - W'$ . If  $E(\Delta|W) = \lambda(W + R)$  for some random variable  $R$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then

$$\sup_{\|h'\| \leq 1} |Eh(W) - Eh(Z)| \leq \sqrt{2/\pi} E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2|W) \right| + \frac{1}{2\lambda} E|\Delta|^3 + 2E|R|.$$

The Kolmogorov distance is more commonly used in probability and statistics, and is usually more difficult to handle than the Wasserstein distance. Recently, Shao and Zhang [9] proved a very general theorem. Their result is as follows.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $(W, W')$  be an exchangeable pair and  $\Delta = W - W'$ . Let  $\Delta^* := \Delta^*(W, W')$  be any random variable satisfying  $\Delta^*(W, W') = \Delta^*(W', W)$  and  $\Delta^* \geq |\Delta|$ . If  $E(\Delta|W) = \lambda(W + R)$  for some random variable  $R$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , then

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2|W) \right| + \frac{1}{\lambda} E|E(\Delta\Delta^*|W)| + E|R|.$$

Shao and Zhang [9] applied their bound in Proposition 1.3 to get optimal bound in many problems, including a bound of  $O(n^{-1/2})$  for the Kolmogorov distance in normal approximation of total spin in the Heisenberg model. We note that if  $|\Delta| \leq a$ , then the following result is an immediate corollary of Proposition 1.3. In this case, the bound is much simpler than that of Proposition 1.3.

**Corollary 1.4.** If  $|\Delta| \leq a$ , then

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2|W) \right| + (E|W| + 1)a + E|R|. \quad (1.10)$$

*Proof.* In Proposition 1.3, let  $\Delta^* = a$ , then

$$E|E(\Delta\Delta^*|W)| = aE|E(\Delta|W)| \leq a\lambda(E|W| + E|R). \quad (1.11)$$

If  $E|R| \geq 1$ , then (1.10) is trivial. If  $E|R| < 1$ , then (1.10) follows immediately from (1.11) and Proposition 1.3.  $\square$

For  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$ , and for  $W_n$  and  $W'_n$  respectively defined in (1.5) and (1.7), we have

$$|\Delta| = |W_n - W'_n| = \frac{\beta^2}{n^{3/2}b^2} \left| |S_n|^2 - |S'_n|^2 \right| \leq \frac{4\beta^2}{n^{1/2}b^2},$$

since  $|S_n| + |S'_n| \leq 2n$  and  $|S_n| - |S'_n| \leq |\sigma_I - \sigma'_I| \leq 2$ . Therefore, we will apply Corollary 1.4 to obtain the Kolmogorov bound in Theorem 1.1.

## 2 Proof of the main result

The proof of Theorem 1.1 depends on Kirkpatrick and Nawaz's finding [7]. Applying Proposition 1.2 and Corollary 1.4, Theorem 1.1 follows from the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $\beta > N$ , and let  $f$  be as in (1.1),  $b$  the unique strictly positive solution to the equation  $x - \beta f(x) = 0$ . Let  $W_n$  and  $W'_n$  be as in (1.5) and (1.7), respectively. Then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $|W_n - W'_n| \leq 4\beta^2 b^{-2} n^{-1/2}$  and  $EW_n^2 \leq C$ ,
- (ii)  $E(W_n - W'_n|W_n) = \lambda(W_n + R)$ , where  $\lambda = \frac{1 - \beta f'(b)}{n}$  and  $R$  is a random variable satisfying  $E|R| \leq Cn^{-1/2}$ ,
- (iii)  $E \left| \frac{1}{2\lambda} E((W_n - W'_n)^2|W_n) - B^2 \right| \leq Cn^{-1/2}$ , where  $B^2$  is defined in (1.6).

**Remark 2.2.** Kirkpatrick and Nawaz's [7] used their large deviation result for total spin  $S_n$  to prove that  $EW_n^2 \leq C \log n$ . Intuitively, we see that this bound would be improved to  $EW_n^2 \leq C$  since  $W_n$  approximates a normal distribution. By a more careful estimate, we can prove that  $E(\beta|S_n|/n - b)^2 \leq C/n$  (see Lemma A.1). This will lead to desired bound  $EW_n^2 \leq C$ . Kirkpatrick and Nawaz's [7] also proved that

$$E \left| \frac{1}{2\lambda} E((W_n - W'_n)^2|W_n) - B^2 \right| \leq C \left( \frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{1/4}.$$

To get optimal bound of order  $n^{-1/2}$  for this term, we use a fine estimate of function  $f(x) = I_{\frac{N}{2}}(x)/I_{\frac{N}{2}-1}(x)$  (Lemma A.2) and a technique developed recently by Shao and Zhang [9, Proof of (5.51)].

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* (i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} |W_n - W'_n| &= \frac{\beta^2}{b^2 n^{3/2}} \left| |S_n|^2 - |S'_n|^2 \right| = \frac{\beta^2}{b^2 n^{3/2}} \left| \langle S_n + S'_n, S_n - S'_n \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2\beta^2 n |S_n - S'_n|}{b^2 n^{3/2}} = \frac{2\beta^2 |\sigma_I - \sigma'_I|}{b^2 n^{1/2}} \leq \frac{4\beta^2}{b^2 n^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the first half of (i) is completed. Now, apply Lemma A.1 given in the Appendix, we have

$$EW_n^2 = nE \left( \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{nb} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{nb} - 1 \right) \right)^2 \leq CnE \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{nb} - 1 \right)^2 \leq C.$$

(ii) Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [7, equation (9)] showed that

$$E(W_n - W'_n|W_n) = \frac{2}{n}W_n + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{2\beta}{n^{1/2}b^2} \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} \right) f \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} \right) + R_1, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $R_1$  is a random variable satisfying  $E|R_1| \leq Cn^{-3/2}$ . Set  $g(x) = xf(x), x > 0$ . By Taylor's expansion, we have for some positive random variable  $\xi$ :

$$g \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} \right) = g(b) + g'(b) \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b \right) + \frac{g''(\xi)}{2} \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b \right)^2. \quad (2.2)$$

Set  $V = \frac{\beta|S_n|}{nb} + 1$ , we have  $1 \leq V \leq C$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b &= b \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{nb} - 1 \right) = \frac{bW_n}{\sqrt{n}V} = \frac{bW_n}{2\sqrt{n}} - \frac{bW_n}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{V} \right) \\ &= \frac{bW_n}{2\sqrt{n}} - \frac{bW_n}{2\sqrt{n}V} \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{nb} - 1 \right) = \frac{bW_n}{2\sqrt{n}} - \frac{bW_n^2}{2nV^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Combining (2.1)-(2.3) and noting that  $b = \beta f(b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_n - W'_n|W_n) &= \frac{2W_n}{n} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + R_1 - \frac{2\beta}{n^{1/2}b^2} \left( g(b) + g'(b) \left( \frac{bW_n}{2\sqrt{n}} - \frac{bW_n^2}{2nV^2} \right) + \frac{g''(\xi)}{2} \left( \frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{2W_n}{n} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + R_1 - \frac{2\beta}{n^{1/2}b^2} \left( \frac{b^2}{\beta} + \left( \frac{b}{\beta} + bf'(b) \right) \left( \frac{bW_n}{2\sqrt{n}} - \frac{bW_n^2}{2nV^2} \right) + \frac{g''(\xi)b^2W_n^2}{2nV^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1 - \beta f'(b)}{n} (W_n + R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R = \frac{n}{1 - \beta f'(b)} \left( R_1 + \frac{\beta W_n^2}{n^{3/2}V^2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta} + f'(b) - g''(\xi) \right) \right).$$

By Lemma A.2 (ii), we have  $|g''(\xi)| < 6$ . Since  $V \geq 1$ ,  $EW_n^2 \leq C$  and  $E|R_1| \leq Cn^{-3/2}$ , we conclude that  $E|R| \leq Cn^{-1/2}$ . The proof of (ii) is completed.

(iii) Denote  $Id$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and set  $\sigma^{(i)} = S_n - \sigma_i$ ,  $b_i = \beta|\sigma^{(i)}|/n$ ,  $r_i = \frac{\sigma^{(i)}}{|\sigma^{(i)}|}$ . From Kirkpatrick and Nawaz [7, Equations (11) and (12)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} E((W_n - W'_n)^2|\sigma) &= 2\lambda B^2 + \frac{4\beta^4}{n^4b^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{N-1}{\beta} \right) \left( |\sigma^{(i)}|^2 - \frac{(n-1)^2b^2}{\beta^2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{8\beta^3}{n^4b^3} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( |\sigma^{(i)}| \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle - \frac{n^2b^3}{\beta^3} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{4\beta^4}{n^4b^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{N-1}{\beta} \right) \frac{(n-1)^2b^2}{\beta^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{4\beta^4}{n^4b^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k \neq i} \sigma_j^T R'_i \sigma_k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R'_i = \left( \frac{f(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) Id - \left( \frac{Nf(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{N}{\beta} \right) P_i - \left( f(b_i) - \frac{b}{\beta} \right) (r_i \sigma_i^T + \sigma_i r_i^T),$$

and  $P_i$  is orthogonal projection onto  $r_i$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} E((W_n - W'_n)^2 | \sigma) - B^2 = \frac{2\beta^4}{n^3 b^4 (1 - \beta f'(b))} \left( R_2 - \frac{2b}{\beta} R_3 + R_4 + R_5 \right), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{N-1}{\beta} \right) \left( |\sigma^{(i)}|^2 - \frac{(n-1)^2 b^2}{\beta^2} \right), \\ R_3 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( |\sigma^{(i)}| \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle - \frac{n^2 b^3}{\beta^3} \right), \\ R_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{N-1}{\beta} \right) \frac{(n-1)^2 b^2}{\beta^2} \right), \\ R_5 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j, k \neq i} \sigma_j^T R'_i \sigma_k. \end{aligned}$$

For  $R_2$ , noting that  $|\sigma^{(i)} - S_n| \leq 1$ , then by Lemma A.1, we have

$$\left( E \left| \frac{\beta |\sigma^{(i)}|}{n} - b \right| \right)^2 \leq E \left| \frac{\beta |\sigma^{(i)}|}{n} - b \right|^2 \leq E \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - b \right|^2 + \frac{C}{n^2} \leq \frac{C}{n}. \quad (2.5)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E|R_2| &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n E \left| |\sigma^{(i)}|^2 - \frac{(n-1)^2 b^2}{\beta^2} \right| \\ &\leq C n^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( E \left| \frac{\beta^2 |\sigma^{(i)}|^2}{n^2} - b^2 \right| + \frac{(2n-1)b^2}{n^2} \right) \\ &\leq C n^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E \left| \frac{\beta |\sigma^{(i)}|}{n} - b \right| + C \right) \leq C n^{5/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

For  $R_3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E|R_3| &= E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left( |S_n| \langle \sigma_i, S_n \rangle - \frac{n^2 b^3}{\beta^3} + |\sigma^{(i)}| \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle - |S_n| \langle \sigma_i, S_n \rangle \right) \right| \\ &\leq E \left| |S_n|^3 - \frac{n^3 b^3}{\beta^3} \right| + E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n |\sigma^{(i)}| \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle - |S_n| \langle \sigma_i, S_n \rangle \right| \\ &\leq C n^2 E \left| |S_n| - \frac{nb}{\beta} \right| + E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (|\sigma^{(i)}| - |S_n|) \langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle - |S_n| \langle \sigma_i, \sigma_i \rangle \right| \\ &\leq C n^3 E \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - b \right| + E \sum_{i=1}^n (|\langle \sigma_i, \sigma^{(i)} \rangle| + |S_n|) \\ &\leq C n^3 E \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - b \right| + C n^2 \leq C n^{5/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

To bound  $E|R_5|$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k \neq i} \sigma_j^T R'_i \sigma_k \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k \neq i} \left[ \left( \frac{f(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \langle \sigma_j, \sigma_k \rangle - \left( f(b_i) - \frac{b}{\beta} \right) \sigma_j^T (r_i \sigma_i^T + \sigma_i r_i^T) \sigma_k \right] \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j,k \neq i} \left( \frac{Nf(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{N}{\beta} \right) \sigma_j^T P_i \sigma_k \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \frac{f(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) |\sigma^{(i)}|^2 - 2 \left( f(b_i) - \frac{b}{\beta} \right) |\sigma^{(i)}| \langle \sigma^{(i)}, \sigma_i \rangle \right] \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{Nf(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{N}{\beta} \right) \sum_{j,k \neq i} \text{Trace}(\sigma_k \sigma_j^T r_i r_i^T) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \frac{f(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) |\sigma^{(i)}|^2 - 2 \left( f(b_i) - \frac{b}{\beta} \right) |\sigma^{(i)}| \langle \sigma^{(i)}, \sigma_i \rangle \right] \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{Nf(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{N}{\beta} \right) \langle \sigma^{(i)}, r_i \rangle^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - N) \left( \frac{f(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) |\sigma^{(i)}|^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( f(b_i) - \frac{b}{\beta} \right) |\sigma^{(i)}| \langle \sigma^{(i)}, \sigma_i \rangle \\
 &:= R_{51} - 2R_{52}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $1/\beta = f(b)/b$  and  $b_i = \beta|\sigma^{(i)}|/n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E|R_{51}| &= E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - N) \left( \frac{f(b_i)}{b_i} - \frac{f(b)}{b} \right) |\sigma^{(i)}|^2 \right| \\
 &\leq Cn^2 \sum_{i=1}^n E|b_i - b| \quad (\text{by Lemma A.2 (iii) and the fact that } |\sigma^{(i)}| \leq n) \\
 &\leq Cn^2 \sum_{i=1}^n E \left( \left| \frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b \right| + \frac{\beta}{n} (|\sigma^{(i)}| - |S_n|) \right) \\
 &\leq Cn^{5/2} \quad (\text{by (2.5) and the fact that } ||\sigma^{(i)}| - |S_n|| \leq 1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E|R_{52}| &= E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - N) (f(b_i) - f(b)) |\sigma^{(i)}| \langle \sigma^{(i)}, \sigma_i \rangle \right| \\
 &\leq Cn^2 \sum_{i=1}^n E|b_i - b| \quad (\text{by Lemma A.2 (i) and the fact that } |\sigma^{(i)}| \leq n) \\
 &\leq Cn^{5/2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$E|R_5| \leq Cn^{5/2}. \tag{2.10}$$

Bounding  $E|R_4|$  is the most difficult part. Here we follow a technique developed by Shao and Zhang [9, Proof of (5.51)]. Set

$$a = \left( 1 - \frac{N-1}{\beta} \right) \frac{(n-1)^2 b^2}{\beta^2}, \quad \sigma^{(1,2)} = S_n - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2, \quad V_1 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2, \quad V_2 = \langle \sigma_2, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2,$$

we have

$$\left| \langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1)} \rangle^2 - V_1 \right| \leq Cn, \quad \left| \langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(2)} \rangle^2 - V_2 \right| \leq Cn.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} ER_4^2 &= nE \left( \langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1)} \rangle^2 - a \right)^2 - n(n-1)E \left( \langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1)} \rangle^2 - a \right) \left( \langle \sigma_2, \sigma^{(2)} \rangle^2 - a \right) \\ &\leq Cn^5 + n(n-1) \left| E \left( \langle \sigma_1, \sigma^{(1)} \rangle^2 - V_1 + V_1 - a \right) \left( \langle \sigma_2, \sigma^{(2)} \rangle^2 - V_2 + V_2 - a \right) \right| \\ &\leq Cn^5 + n(n-1) |E(V_1 - a)(V_2 - a)| \\ &\leq Cn^5 + n(n-1) |E(V_1 - E(V_1 | (\sigma_j)_{j>2})) (V_2 - E(V_2 | (\sigma_j)_{j>2}))| \\ &\quad + n(n-1) |E(E(V_1 | (\sigma_j)_{j>2}) - a) (E(V_2 | (\sigma_j)_{j>2}) - a)| \\ &:= Cn^5 + n(n-1) (|R_{41}| + |R_{42}|). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Define a probability density function

$$p_{12}(x, y) = \frac{1}{Z_{12}^2} \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{n} \langle x + y, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle \right), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \tag{2.12}$$

where  $Z_{12}^2$  is the normalizing constant. Let  $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \sim p_{12}(x, y)$  given  $(\sigma_j)_{j>2}$ , and for  $i = 1, 2$

$$\tilde{V}_i = E \left( \langle \xi_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 | (\sigma_j)_{j>2} \right). \tag{2.13}$$

Similar to Shao and Zhang [9, pages 97, 98], we can show that

$$R_{41} = E \left( \langle \xi_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - \tilde{V}_1 \right) \left( \langle \xi_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - \tilde{V}_2 \right) + H_1, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$R_{42} = E \left( \tilde{V}_1 - a \right) \left( \tilde{V}_2 - a \right) + H_2, \tag{2.15}$$

where  $|H_1| \leq Cn^3$  and  $|H_2| \leq Cn^3$ . Let

$$b_{12} = \frac{\beta |\sigma^{(1,2)}|}{n}. \tag{2.16}$$

By Lemma A.3 and the definition of  $a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{V}_1 - a \right| &= \left| \left( 1 - \frac{(N-1)f(b_{12})}{b_{12}} \right) |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 - \left( 1 - \frac{N-1}{\beta} \right) \frac{(n-1)^2 b^2}{\beta^2} \right| \\ &= \left| \left( 1 - \frac{N-1}{\beta} \right) \left( |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 - \frac{(n-1)^2 b^2}{\beta^2} \right) + (N-1) \left( \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{f(b_{12})}{b_{12}} \right) |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \right| \\ &\leq Cn^2 \left( \left| \frac{\beta^2 |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2}{n^2} - \frac{(n-1)^2 b^2}{n^2} \right| + \left| \frac{f(b)}{b} - \frac{f(b_{12})}{b_{12}} \right| \right) \\ &\leq Cn^2 \left( \left| \frac{\beta^2 |S_n|^2}{n^2} - b^2 \right| + |b_{12} - b| \right) + Cn \\ &\leq Cn^2 \left( \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - b \right| + \left| \frac{\beta |\sigma^{(1,2)}|}{n} - b \right| \right) + Cn \leq Cn^2 \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - b \right| + Cn. \end{aligned}$$

Using similar estimate for  $|\tilde{V}_2 - a|$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \left( \tilde{V}_1 - a \right) \left( \tilde{V}_2 - a \right) \right| &\leq C \left( n^4 E \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - b \right|^2 + n^3 E \left| \frac{\beta |S_n|}{n} - b \right| + n^2 \right) \\ &\leq Cn^3 \text{ (by Lemma A.1).} \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Note that given  $(\sigma_j)_{j>2}$ ,  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are conditionally independent. It implies that

$$E\left(\langle \xi_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - \tilde{V}_1\right)\left(\langle \xi_i, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 - \tilde{V}_2\right) = 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Combining (2.11)-(2.18), we have  $ER_4^2 \leq Cn^5$ , and so

$$E|R_4| \leq Cn^{5/2}. \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.4), (2.6), (2.7), (2.10) and (2.19), we have

$$E\left|\frac{1}{2\lambda}E((W_n - W'_n)^2|W_n) - B^2\right| \leq Cn^{-1/2}.$$

The proposition is proved. □

## A Appendix

In this Section, we will prove the technical results that used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma A.1.** We have

$$E\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right|^2 \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

*Proof.* By the large deviation for  $S_n/n$  [7, Proposition 2] and the argument in [7, p. 1126], one can prove that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$P\left(\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right| \geq x\right) \leq e^{-Cnx^2}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right| \leq C$ , it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right|^2 &\leq 2 \int_0^\varepsilon xP\left(\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right| > x\right) dx \\ &\quad + E\left(\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right|^2 I\left(\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right| > \varepsilon\right)\right) \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\varepsilon xe^{-Cnx^2} dx + CP\left(\left|\frac{\beta|S_n|}{n} - b\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} + Ce^{-Cn\varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{C}{n}. \end{aligned} \tag{□}$$

**Lemma A.2.** Let  $x > 0$  and  $f(x) = \frac{I_{N/2}(x)}{I_{N/2-1}(x)}$ . Then the following statements hold:

- (i)  $0 < f'(x) < \frac{1}{N-1} \leq 1$ .
- (ii)  $|(xf(x))''| < 6$ .
- (iii)  $-5 \leq \frac{-5}{N-1} < \left(\frac{f(x)}{x}\right)' < 0$ .

*Proof.* As was showed in [7, p. 1134], we have

$$f'(x) = 1 - \frac{N-1}{x}f(x) - f^2(x). \tag{A.1}$$

It implies

$$\frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{1 - f'(x) - f^2(x)}{N - 1}, \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$f^2(x) = 1 - \frac{N - 1}{x} f(x) - f'(x). \tag{A.3}$$

Amos [1, p. 243] proved that

$$0 < f'(x) < \frac{f(x)}{x}. \tag{A.4}$$

Combining (A.2)-(A.4), we have

$$0 < f'(x) < \frac{f(x)}{x} < \frac{1}{N - 1}, \text{ and } f^2(x) < 1. \tag{A.5}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |(xf(x))''| &= |2f'(x) + xf''(x)| \\ &= \left| 2f'(x) + x \left( -f'(x) \left( \frac{N - 1}{x} + 2f(x) \right) + \frac{N - 1}{x^2} f(x) \right) \right| \\ &\leq 2 + (N - 1)f'(x) + 2xf(x)f'(x) + \frac{(N - 1)f(x)}{x} \\ &\leq 4 + 2f^2(x) \text{ (by the first half of (A.5))} \\ &\leq 6 \text{ (by the second half of (A.5)).} \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (i) and (ii) is completed. For (iii), we have

$$\left( \frac{f(x)}{x} \right)' = \frac{1}{x} \left( f'(x) - \frac{f(x)}{x} \right). \tag{A.6}$$

Combining the first half of (A.5) and (A.6), we have  $\left( \frac{f(x)}{x} \right)' < 0$ . It follows from (A.1), (A.5) and (A.6) that

$$\left( \frac{f(x)}{x} \right)' = \frac{1}{x} \left( 1 - \frac{Nf(x)}{x} \right) - \frac{f^2(x)}{x} > \frac{1}{x} \left( 1 - \frac{Nf(x)}{x} \right) - \frac{1}{N - 1}. \tag{A.7}$$

Apply Theorem 2 (a) of Näsell [8], we can show that

$$\frac{1}{x} \left( 1 - \frac{Nf(x)}{x} \right) > \frac{-4}{N - 1}. \tag{A.8}$$

Combining (A.7) and (A.8), we have  $\left( \frac{f(x)}{x} \right)' > \frac{-5}{N - 1}$ . The proof of (iii) is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma A.3.** With the notation in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\tilde{V}_i = |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{(N - 1)f(b_{12})}{b_{12}} \right), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A_N = 2\pi^{N/2}/\Gamma(N/2)$  the Lebesgue measure of  $S^{N-1}$ . It follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{12}^2 &= \int_{S^{N-1}} \int_{S^{N-1}} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{n}\langle x+y, \sigma^{(1,2)}\rangle\right) d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ &= \left(\int_{S^{N-1}} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{n}\langle x, \sigma^{(1,2)}\rangle\right) d\mu(x)\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{A_{N-1}}{A_N} \int_0^\pi e^{b_{12} \cos \varphi_{N-2}} \sin^{N-2} \varphi_{N-2} d\varphi_{N-2}\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{A_{N-1}}{A_N} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(N/2 - 1/2)}{(b_{12}/2)^{N/2-1}} I_{N/2-1}(b_{12})\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used formula

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)} \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^\nu \int_0^\pi \exp(z \cos \theta) \sin^{2\nu} \theta d\theta$$

(see, e.g., Exercise 11.5.4 in [2]) in the last equation. For  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_i &= \frac{1}{Z_{12}} \int_{S^{N-1}} \langle \theta, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle^2 \exp\left[\frac{\beta}{n}\langle \theta, \sigma^{(1,2)} \rangle\right] d\mu(\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_{12}} \int_{S^{N-1}} |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \left\langle \theta, \frac{\sigma^{(1,2)}}{|\sigma^{(1,2)}|} \right\rangle^2 \exp\left(\frac{\beta|\sigma^{(1,2)}|}{n} \left\langle \theta, \frac{\sigma^{(1,2)}}{|\sigma^{(1,2)}|} \right\rangle\right) d\mu(\theta) \\ &= |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \frac{A_{N-1}}{A_N Z_{12}} \int_0^\pi \cos^2 \varphi_{N-2} \sin^{N-2} \varphi_{N-2} e^{b_{12} \cos \varphi_{N-2}} d\varphi_{N-2} \\ &= |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \frac{A_{N-1}}{A_N Z_{12}} \int_0^\pi e^{b_{12} \cos \varphi_{N-2}} \sin^{N-2} \varphi_{N-2} d\varphi_{N-2} \\ &\quad - \int_0^\pi e^{b_{12} \cos \varphi_{N-2}} \sin^N \varphi_{N-2} d\varphi_{N-2} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{A_{N-1}}{A_N Z_{12}} \int_0^\pi e^{b_{12} \cos \varphi_{N-2}} \sin^N \varphi_{N-2} d\varphi_{N-2}\right) |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{A_{N-1}}{A_N Z_{12}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(N/2 + 1/2)}{(b_{12}/2)^{N/2}} I_{N/2}(b_{12})\right) |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2 \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{(N-1)f(b_{12})}{b_{12}}\right) |\sigma^{(1,2)}|^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we would like to note again that Proposition 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 2.4 of Eichelsbacher and Löwe [4] or Theorem 13.1 in [3], but the constants in the bound may be different from those of Theorem 2.4 in [4] or Theorem 13.1 in [3]. Since the proof is short and simple, we will present here.

*Proof of the Proposition 1.2.* Let  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|h'\| \leq 1$  and  $E|h(Z)| < \infty$ , and let  $f := f_h$  be the unique solution to the Stein's equation  $f'(w) - wf(w) = h(w) - Eh(Z)$ . Since  $(W, W')$  is an exchangeable pair and  $E(W - W'|W) = \lambda(W + R)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E(W - W')(f(W) + f(W')) \\ &= E(W - W')(f(W') - f(W)) + 2Ef(W)(W - W') \\ &= E(W - W')(f(W') - f(W)) + 2\lambda Ef(W)E(W - W'|W) \\ &= E\Delta(f(W') - f(W)) + 2\lambda EWf(W) + 2\lambda Ef(W)R. \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |Eh(W) - Eh(Z)| \\
 &= |E(f'(W) - Wf(W))| \\
 &= \left| E \left( f'(W) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} E\Delta(f(W')) - f(W) + Ef(W)R \right) \right| \\
 &= \left| E \left( f'(W) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2|W) \right) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \Delta(f(W')) - f(W) + \Delta f'(W) + f(W)R \right) \right| \\
 &\leq \|f'\|E \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda} E(\Delta^2|W) \right| + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \|f''\|E|\Delta|^3 + \|f\|E|R|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

By Lemma 2.4 in [3] we have

$$\|f\| \leq 2, \|f'\| \leq \sqrt{2/\pi}, \|f''\| \leq 2. \tag{A.10}$$

The conclusion of the proposition follows from (A.9) and (A.10).  $\square$

## References

- [1] Amos, D. E.: Computation of modified bessel functions and their ratios. *Math. Comput.* **28** (1974), no. 125, 239–251. MR-0333287
- [2] Arfken, G. B. and Weber, H. J.: Mathematical methods for Physicists. *Elsevier Academic Press*, 6th edition, 2005. xii+1182 pp.
- [3] Chen, L. H. Y., Goldstein, L. and Shao, Q. M.: Normal approximation by Stein’s method. Probability and its Applications (New York). *Springer, Heidelberg*, 2011. xii+405 pp. MR-2732624
- [4] Eichelsbacher, P. and Löwe, M.: Stein’s method for dependent random variables occurring in statistical mechanics. *Electron. J. Probab.* **15** (2010), no. 30, 962–988. MR-2659754
- [5] Friedli, S. and Velenik, Y.: Statistical mechanics of lattice systems. A concrete mathematical introduction. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 2018. xix+622 pp. MR-3752129
- [6] Kirkpatrick, K. and Meckes, E.: Asymptotics of the mean-field Heisenberg model. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **152** (2013), 54–92. MR-3067076
- [7] Kirkpatrick, K. and Nawaz, T.: Asymptotics of mean-field  $O(N)$  model. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **165** (2016), no. 6, 1114–1140. MR-3575640
- [8] Näsell, I.: Rational bounds for ratios of modified Bessel functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **9** (1978), no. 1, 1–11. MR-0466662
- [9] Shao, Q. M. and Zhang, Z. S.: Berry-Esseen bounds of normal and nonnormal approximation for unbounded exchangeable pairs. *Ann. Probab.* **47** (2019), no. 1, 61–108. MR-3909966
- [10] Stein, C.: Approximate computation of expectations. Institute of Mathematical Statistics Lecture Notes Monograph Series, 7. *Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA*. 1986. iv+164 pp. MR-0882007

**Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to the Associate Editor and an anonymous referee whose comments have been very useful to make our development clearer and more comprehensive.