

# UNRESTRICTED NILPOTENT PRODUCTS

BY

SIEGFRIED MORAN

*Glasgow, Scotland, and Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N. J., U.S.A.*

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_i, \dots$  be a countable sequence of infinite cycles and  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  denote their unrestricted direct product. Then the following are well known theorems, due in main to Specker [22]:

**THEOREM OF SPECKER.** *Every countable subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is a free abelian group.*

**THEOREM OF SPECKER AND LOS.** *Let  $\psi$  be a homomorphism of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  into a free abelian group. Then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that*

$$\psi\left(\prod_{i=m+1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 1.$$

Our aim is to investigate the corresponding situation in the case of the nilpotent product of infinite cycles. In a similar way one can derive results for the unrestricted soluble product and for the unrestricted third Burnside product both of infinite cycles and of cycles of order three.

Before we can give an outline of our main results, we must first introduce the following

*Notation.* Let  $v$  denote a typical power product of a set of power products of the letters of some fixed alphabet and their formal inverses. These power products are called words. The values of the words obtained by substituting elements from a group  $G$  for the above letters of the alphabet, in all possible ways, generate a subgroup of  $G$ —the verbal subgroup  $V(G)$  of  $G$ . The verbal subgroups corresponding to the words

$$[[\dots[x_1, x_2], \dots], x_n],$$

$$d_n = [d_{n-1}(x_1, x_2, \cdot \cdot), d_{n-1}(y_1, y_2, \cdot \cdot)], \quad \text{where } d_1(x_1, x_2) = [x_1, x_2]$$

and  $x^n$

are of particular importance and their value in  $G$  is denoted by  ${}^nG$ ,  $G^{[n]}$  and  $G^n$  respectively. They are known as the  $n$ th member of the lower central series of  $G$ , the  $n$ th member of the derived series of  $G$  and the  $n$ th Burnside subgroup of  $G$  respectively. If  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes a free group, then  $\mathcal{F}/V(\mathcal{F})$  is known as a relatively free group (cf. [6]). In particular

$$\mathcal{F}/{}^{n+1}\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^{[n]} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^n$$

are known as a free  $n$ th nilpotent group, a free  $n$ th soluble group and a free  $n$ th Burnside group respectively.

In Moran [16, 17] we studied the verbal product or  $V$ -product

$$\prod_{\alpha \in M}^V G_\alpha = F/(V(F) \cap [G_\alpha]^F)$$

of the groups  $G_\alpha, \alpha \in M$ , where  $F$  denotes their free product and  $[G_\alpha]^F$  is the cartesian subgroup of  $F$ . In particular, we found that

$$\mathcal{F}/V(\mathcal{F}) \cong \prod_{\alpha \in M}^V A_\alpha,$$

where the cardinal of  $M$  is equal to the rank of the free group  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $A_\alpha, \alpha \in M$ , are cyclic groups of order  $k$ . The number  $k$  is the exponent of the variety  $V$  and is given by (cf. [6])

$$V(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}^k \cdot (V(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F}').$$

$k$  is taken to be infinite if  $V(\mathcal{F})$  is contained in the commutator subgroup  $\mathcal{F}'$ . Our interest will be confined to direct products, nilpotent products, soluble products, third Burnside products and free products. The exponent of the corresponding verbal subgroups in all these cases is infinite, except in the third Burnside subgroup where the exponent is three. We shall have cause to consider the third Burnside product both of infinite cycles and of cycles of order three. The latter is a free third Burnside group. It is easy to see that the former is also a relatively free group, namely, that associated with the verbal subgroup  $({}^2\mathcal{F})^3 \cdot {}^4\mathcal{F}$ . Thus in both cases it is possible to speak of free generators.

Following G. Higman [8], we have in [18] defined the unrestricted verbal product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{HV} A_i$$

as the projective limit of the verbal products

$$A_1 \leftarrow A_1 \vee A_2 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \vee A_i \leftarrow \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \vee A_i \leftarrow \dots$$

under the natural homomorphisms as shown by the arrows.

In the case of the unrestricted free product the situation has been fully investigated by G. Higman. In [9], he shows that every finitely generated subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , where as before  $A_i$  are all infinite cycles, is a free group, while in [8] he constructs a countable subgroup which is not free. Further in [8] he shows that every homomorphism of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  into a free group maps the unrestricted free product of all but a finite number of the factors  $A_i$  onto the unit element.

The unrestricted  $n$ th nilpotent product of a countable number of infinite cycles will, for the moment, be denoted by  $G$ . We show that every countable subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , for which  $H/z(H)$  is finitely<sup>(1)</sup> generated, can be mapped isomorphically into a free  $n$ th nilpotent group. It is not known to the author whether this is true for every countable subgroup of  $G$ . However, we are able to state the following three results concerning such subgroups. In [19]<sup>(2)</sup> Theorems 3.4 and 3.7, we gave a partial characterization of subgroups of free  $n$ th nilpotent groups. Every countable subgroup of  $G$  satisfies this characterization. Associated with every torsion-free nilpotent group  $A$ , Mal'cev has defined a torsion-free nilpotent group  $M(A)$  of the same nilpotency class as  $A$ , which has the following properties:

- (a)  $M(A)$  is a divisible group,
- (b) Some positive power of every element of  $M(A)$  is contained in  $A$ .

$M(A)$  is called the *Mal'cev completion* of  $A$ . For the existence and properties of Mal'cev completions we refer the reader to the elegant paper of Lazard [11]. We show that the Mal'cev completion of  $G$  can be mapped isomorphically into the Mal'cev completion of a free  $n$ th nilpotent group. This is deduced from the result, which is of independent interest, that the unrestricted free Lie algebra over a field is a free Lie algebra over the same field. Thirdly we note that if we proceed with a similar construction in the unrestricted second nilpotent product, as G. Higman [8] did to construct a countable subgroup which is not free, then the resulting countable subgroup is a free second nilpotent group.

Every homomorphism of an unrestricted nilpotent product of a countably infinite number of infinite cycles into a free nilpotent group maps the unrestricted nilpotent

<sup>(1)</sup>  $z(H)$  denotes the centre of  $H$ .

<sup>(2)</sup> The reader is assumed to have some acquaintance with the notation and results of this paper.

product of all but a finite number of the factors onto the unit element.<sup>(1)</sup> A similar result holds for a homomorphism of an unrestricted soluble product into a free soluble group. As far as the countable subgroups of an unrestricted soluble product of infinite cycles are concerned, we have only been able to prove that every countable abelian subgroup is free abelian.

The unrestricted third Burnside product of cycles of order three can be mapped isomorphically into a free third Burnside group. This follows from a Subgroup Theorem for free third Burnside groups, similar to that given for free nilpotent groups in Moran [19]. On the other hand, in the unrestricted third Burnside product  $B$  of infinite cycles, every countable subgroup can be mapped isomorphically into a third Burnside product of infinite cycles. This is also true for every abelian subgroup of  $B$ . For every abelian subgroup of  $B$  is a subgroup of the direct product of an infinite cycle with an elementary abelian group of exponent three. An investigation into the nature of the homomorphisms of  $B$  onto a nonabelian subgroup of a third Burnside product of infinite cycles, shows that  $B$  cannot be isomorphic to such a subgroup.

Finally we note that our results extend to the unrestricted products of an arbitrary number of factors. The only exception to this is a curious one which occurs even in the case of the unrestricted direct product. In the analogues of the Theorem of Specker and Łos, we must take the set of infinite cycles  $A_\alpha, \alpha \in M$ , to be such that the cardinal of  $M$  has measure zero. If the cardinal of  $M$  is not of measure zero, then, as shown by Łos in [24], the Theorem of Specker and Łos no longer holds for  $\prod_{\alpha \in M}^{HX} A_\alpha$ .

### § 1. Countable subgroups of unrestricted $n$ th nilpotent product of infinite cycles

Let  $G$  be the unrestricted  $n$ th nilpotent product of infinite cyclic groups  $A_i$  with generator  $a_i (i=1, 2, \dots)$ . By [18] Theorem 3.7,

$$z(G) = C(^n G) = C(^n \mathbf{F}) / C(^{n+1} \mathbf{F}),$$

where  $\mathbf{F}$  is the unrestricted free product of the infinite cycles. Hence the upper central series of  $G$  is given by

$$z_l(G) = C(^{n-l+1} G)$$

for  $l=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Now as a direct consequence of Hall's Basis Theorem (see e.g. [7]) for free nilpotent groups and the procedure of [18] Theorem 3.7, we have

<sup>(1)</sup> In fact our result states a little more than this. Cf. Theorem 4.4 and the example following Lemma 1.4.

LEMMA 1.1. Let  $G_k$  denote the free  $n$ -th nilpotent group of rank  $k$ . Then

$$C^l(G)/C^{l+1}(G) = IL^l(G_k)^{l+1}(G_k)$$

and hence

$$C^l(G)/C^{l+1}(G) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^X \{b_i(l) \cdot C^{l+1}(G)\},$$

where  $b_i(l)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are the basic commutators of weight  $l$  on the elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ .

COROLLARY 1.1.1. Every element of  $G$  can be represented uniquely as an ordered product of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (b_i(l))^{\alpha_{il}} \right),$$

where  $\alpha_{il}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots; l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) takes any integer value or zero.

Note 1.2. For each  $l$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_i(l))^{\alpha_{il}}$$

is convergent. For from [19] Theorem 1.1 it follows that this element belongs to the unrestricted  $l$ th nilpotent product

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{(l)} \{b_i(l)\},$$

where <sup>(1)</sup>

$$t = \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right].$$

Let  $\Phi^{(k)}$  denote the natural homomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G_k$  which is obtained by mapping

$$a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots$$

onto the unit element. We can now see, from the construction of the above infinite product, that the basic products of weight  $l$  can be so ordered that if

$$\Phi^{(k)}(b_i(l)) = b_i(l)$$

while

$$\Phi^{(k)}(b_j(l)) = 1$$

for some positive integer  $k$ , then  $b_i(l)$  appears before  $b_j(l)$  in the above infinite product. For convenience we often write  $x^{(k)}$  instead of  $\Phi^{(k)}(x)$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> If  $r$  is a positive integer, then  $[r]$  denotes the integral part of  $r$ .

The following fundamental lemma was proved for the unrestricted second nilpotent product in [18] Lemma 4.3.

**LEMMA 1.3.** *Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_p$  ( $p \geq 2$ ) be elements of  $G$ , which are linearly independent modulo  $z_{n-1}(G)$ . Then the subgroup  $H$  generated by these elements is a free  $n$ -th nilpotent group and these elements are free generators.*

*Proof.* This is by induction on  $n$ . It is true for  $n = 1$ , by Specker [22]<sup>(1)</sup>. Suppose that the result holds for  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  with  $m < n$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $H \cdot z(G)/z(G)$  is isomorphic to

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \{h_i \cdot z(G)\} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^p \{h_i\} \right) \cdot z(G)/z(G) \quad (1)$$

in  $G/z(G)$ . Also by Specker [22], there exist infinite cyclic subgroups  $D_i/z_{n-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) of  $G/z_{n-1}$  such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \cdot z_{n-1}/z_{n-1} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^N D_i/z_{n-1} \right) \times \left( \prod_{i>N} A_i \cdot z_{n-1}/z_{n-1} \right) \quad (2)$$

and 
$$H \cdot z_{n-1}/z_{n-1} \leq \prod_{i=1}^N D_i/z_{n-1} = D/z_{n-1}. \quad (3)$$

Because of (1), it is sufficient to show that

$$\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \dots, \bar{h}_s,$$

which denote the basic commutators of weight  $n$  in the elements  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_p$ , are linearly independent. Now suppose to the contrary that  $\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2, \dots, \bar{h}_s$  are linearly dependent, then there exist integers  $\varepsilon_i$  (not all zero) such that

$$\bar{h}_1^{\varepsilon_1} \bar{h}_2^{\varepsilon_2} \dots \bar{h}_s^{\varepsilon_s} = 1. \quad (4)$$

Apply  $\Phi^{(N)}$  to (4) giving that

$$(\bar{h}_1^{(N)})^{\varepsilon_1} (\bar{h}_2^{(N)})^{\varepsilon_2} \dots (\bar{h}_s^{(N)})^{\varepsilon_s} = 1, \quad (5)$$

where  $N$  is given by (2), which is a relation between the basic commutators of weight  $n$  in the elements  $h_1^{(N)}, h_2^{(N)}, \dots, h_p^{(N)}$ . Hence  $h_1^{(N)}, h_2^{(N)}, \dots, h_p^{(N)}$  are not linearly independent modulo  $z_{n-1}(G)$ . For otherwise, by the Theorem of Mal'cev [14], they would freely

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Cf. also Fuchs [1] Theorem 47.1.

generate a free  $n$ th nilpotent group and this contradicts (5). Hence there exist integers  $\alpha_i$  (not all zero) such that

$$(h_1^{(N)})^{\alpha_1} (h_2^{(N)})^{\alpha_2} \dots (h_p^{(N)})^{\alpha_p} \equiv 1 \text{ modulo } z_{n-1}(G). \quad (6)$$

We have shown that the element

$$h \cdot z_{n-1} = (h_1 \cdot z_{n-1})^{\alpha_1} (h_2 \cdot z_{n-1})^{\alpha_2} \dots (h_p \cdot z_{n-1})^{\alpha_p}$$

has the following properties:

- (a)  $h \cdot z_{n-1}$  is not the unit element, by assumption;
- (b)  $h \cdot z_{n-1}$  belongs to  $D/z_{n-1}$ , by (3);
- (c)  $h \cdot z_{n-1}$  belongs to  $\prod_{i>N}^{HX} (A_i \cdot z_{n-1}/z_{n-1})$ , by (6).

These facts, however, are inconsistent with the direct decomposition (2). Hence our assumption that

$$\bar{h}_1 \bar{h}_2, \dots, \bar{h}_s$$

are linearly dependent is false. Thus the required result follows from the induction hypothesis (1).

**COROLLARY 1.3.1.** *Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots$  be a countable sequence of elements of  $G$  which is linearly independent modulo  $z_{n-1}(G)$ . Then the subgroup generated by these elements is a free  $n$ -th nilpotent group and the elements  $h_i$  are its free generators.*

**LEMMA 1.4.** *Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots$  be a countable sequence of elements of  $C^l(G)$  which is linearly independent modulo  $C^{l+1}(G)$ . Then the subgroup generated by these elements is a free  $t$ -th nilpotent group and the elements  $h_i$  are its free generators, where*

$$t = \left[ \frac{n}{l} \right],$$

any fixed  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that in the representation of the elements of  $G$  in the form given by Corollary 1.1.1, the element  $h_i$  has the representation

$$h_i = h_i(l) \cdot h'_i,$$

where  $h_i(l)$  and  $h'_i$  belong to  $C^l(G)$  and  $C^{l+1}(G)$  respectively, and  $h'_i$  belongs to  $C^l(G)$  only if it is the unit element, for all  $i$ . Now using Hall's commutator collecting pro-

cess [5], it follows that the required result holds for the elements  $h_i$  if and only if it holds for the elements  $h_1(l), h_2(l), \dots$ . By [19] Theorem 1.1, the basic commutators of weight  $l$  on the elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ , namely,  $b_1(l), b_2(l), \dots$  are such that one can form the group

$$C(G_l) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \prod_{l=1}^{H(i)} \{b_i(l)\}$$

in  $G$ . Now the subgroup generated by the elements  $h_1(l), h_2(l), \dots$  is a subgroup of  $C(G_l)$ . As  $h_1(l), h_2(l), \dots$ , are linearly independent modulo  $C^{(l+1)}G$  they are also linearly independent modulo  $z_{l-1}(C(G_l))$ . Hence the required result follows from Corollary 1.3.1.

$G'$  is not a closed subgroup in  $G$ , as the element

$$c = [a_1, a_2] \cdot [a_3, a_4] \dots [a_{2m-1}, a_{2m}] \dots$$

does not belong to  $G'$  (cf. [8]). This implies that it is impossible to find elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_q$  of  $G$ , which are not contained in  $C(G')$ , such that (for  $n > 1$ )

$$\{c\} < \prod_{i=1}^q \prod_{l=1}^{(n)} \{x_i\} < G.$$

This is in contrast to the case of the unrestricted direct product. However, in general we can state the following

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Let  $H$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $G$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that the natural endomorphism  $\Phi^{(N)}$  induces an isomorphism of  $H$  onto a subgroup of the free  $n$ -th nilpotent group  $\Phi^{(N)}(G)$  of rank  $N$ .*

*Proof.* As  $H$  is finitely generated,

$$(H \cap C^{(l)}G) \cdot C^{(l+1)}G / C^{(l+1)}G$$

is a finitely generated subgroup of  $C^{(l)}G / C^{(l+1)}G$ . Hence, by Lemma 1.1 and Specker [22], there exist positive integers  $N(l)$  and elements

$$d_1(l), d_2(l), \dots, d_{N(l)}(l)$$

of  $C^{(l)}G$  such that

$$(H \cap C^{(l)}G) \cdot C^{(l+1)}G / C^{(l+1)}G \leq \prod_{i=1}^{N(l)} \{d_i(l) \cdot C^{(l+1)}G\}$$

and 
$$C({}^lG)/C({}^{l+1}G) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N(l)} \{d_i(l) \cdot C({}^{l+1}G)\} \right) \times \left( \prod_{i>N(l)}^{HX} \{b_i(l) \cdot C({}^{l+1}G)\} \right) \quad (7)$$

for all  $l$ . Let  $N$  be the minimum positive integer such that

$$\Phi^{(N)}(b_i(l)) = b_i(l)$$

for all  $i \leq N(l)$  and all  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This exists by the ordering given in Note 1.2.

We now show that  $N$  will serve as the number given in the statement of our theorem. Apply the natural endomorphism  $\Phi^{(N)}$  to  $G$ . This induces an isomorphism of

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N(l)} \{d_i(l) \cdot C({}^{l+1}G)\} \text{ into } {}^lG_N/{}^{l+1}G_N \quad (8)$$

for all  $l$ , where  $G_N = \Phi^{(N)}(G)$ . For if

$$d_1(l)^{(N)}, d_2(l)^{(N)}, \dots, d_{N(l)}(l)^{(N)}$$

are linearly dependent modulo  ${}^{l+1}G_N$ , then

$$d_1(l)^{\alpha_1} d_2(l)^{\alpha_2} \dots d_{N(l)}^{\alpha_{N(l)}} \cdot C({}^{l+1}G)$$

belongs to

$$\prod_{i>N}^{HX} \{b_i(l) \cdot C({}^{l+1}G)\}$$

which contradicts the decomposition (7), where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{N(l)}$  are integers (not all zero). Now let

$$d = \prod_{l=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^{N(l)} d_i(l)^{\alpha_i}$$

be an element of  $H$  which is mapped by  $\Phi^{(N)}$  onto the unit element. It follows from repeated application of (8) for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , that  $d = 1$ . Hence  $\Phi^{(N)}$  induces a one-to-one mapping of  $H$  onto a subgroup of  $\Phi^{(N)}(G)$  and hence is an isomorphism on  $H$ . Thus  $\Phi^{(N)}$  is the required endomorphism.

**THEOREM 1.6.** *Let  $H$  be a countable subgroup of  $G$  such that  $H/z(H)$  is finitely generated. Then  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of a free  $n$ -th nilpotent group.*

*Proof.* Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_p$  be a finite set of elements of  $H$  whose images form a set of generators of  $H/z(H)$ . Further let  $H(1)$  be the subgroup of  $H$  generated by  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_p$  and  $H(1, n)$  be the subgroup of  $H$  generated by  $H(1)$  and the isolator  $(1)$  of  $H(1) \cap z(H)$

---

(1) Also known as servicing subgroup (cf. Kuroš [10] § 30).

in  $z(H)$ . Now  $H(1, n)$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $G$  and

$$H = H(1, n) \times A,$$

where  $A$  is a countable subgroup of  $z(H)$ . The required result will now follow from the previous theorem if we can show that  $A$  is free abelian. In fact, we state

**LEMMA 1.7.** *Every countable abelian subgroup of  $G$  is a free abelian group.*

*Proof.* This by induction on the class  $n$ . For  $n = 1$ , this is the content of Specker [22] Theorem 1. Suppose that the result holds for all unrestricted  $k$ th nilpotent products of infinite cycles, where  $k < n$ . Let  $A$  be a countable subgroup of  $G$ . Now by [18] Theorem 3.7,

$$G/z(G) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{(n-1)}(A_i \cdot z/z)$$

and  $A \cdot z/z$  is a countable subgroup of  $G/z$ . Hence, by the induction hypothesis,

$$A \cdot z/z \cong A/(A \cap z)$$

is a free abelian group. Further, by [18] Theorem 3.7,  $A \cap z$  is a countable subgroup of the unrestricted direct product of infinite cycles and hence, by Specker, is free abelian. Finally we have that the abelian group  $A$  is the extension of a free abelian group by a free abelian group and hence must itself be free abelian.

In general, we have the following information concerning the countable subgroups of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 1.8.** *Let  $H$  be a countable subgroup of the unrestricted  $n$ -th nilpotent product  $G$  of a countable number of infinite cycles. Then  $H$  has a set of subgroups  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n$  which generate  $H$ , where*

(i)  $H_l$  is a free nilpotent group of class  $\left[ \frac{n}{l} \right]$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ );

(ii)  $[H_i, H_j] \leq \{H_{i+j}, \dots, H_n\}$  if  $i + j \leq n$ , and

$$[H_i, H_j] = 1 \quad \text{if } i + j > n;$$

(iii)  $H_l \cdot \{H_{m+1}, \dots, H_n\} / \{H_{m+1}, \dots, H_n\}$

is a free nilpotent group of class  $\left[ \frac{m}{l} \right]$ , freely generated by the images of the free generators of  $H_l$ , for  $m = l, l + 1, \dots, n - 1$  and  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ;

(iv) *There exists a set of free generators of  $H_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) that has the following properties. A subset of these free generators can be taken to be the maximal set of original commutators of weight  $i$  in  $H$  and the images of the non-original commutators of weight  $l$  form a basis of the vector space*

$$\{[M(H_i), M(H_j)]; i+j=l\} \cdot \{M(H_{l+1}), \dots, M(H_n)\} / \{M(H_{l+1}), \dots, M(H_n)\}$$

for  $l=2, \dots, n$ .

*Remarks.* By [19] Theorem 3.4, every subgroup of a free  $n$ th nilpotent group has the above properties. On the other hand, if a group  $H$  satisfies the above properties and the torsion subgroup of

$$B_l \{B_{l+1}, \dots, B_n\} / (\{[B_i, B_j]; i+j=l\} \cdot \{B_{l+1}, \dots, B_n\})$$

has finite exponent for  $l=2, 3, \dots, n$ , then, by [19] Theorem 3.7,  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of a free  $n$ th nilpotent group. Our use of the phrase “the torsion subgroup has finite exponent” does not conform to the standard usage. Note that this is to mean that the abelian group is the extension of an abelian group of bounded order by a free abelian group (either or both of which may be trivial).  $M(H_i)$  denotes the Mal’cev completion of  $H_i$ . For the concepts of original and nonoriginal commutators see [19] Definition 3.2. However, we shall not explicitly use these concepts here except the fact that they give a basis for the above vector space.

*Proof.*  $(H \cap C(lG)) \cdot C(l+1G) / C(l+1G)$  is a countable subgroup of  $C(lG) / C(l+1G)$  and hence, by Lemma 1.1 and the Theorem of Specker, is free abelian. Let  $h_{\alpha(l)}$  be a typical element of a set of elements of  $H \cap C(lG)$  whose images form a basis for the free abelian group

$$(H \cap C(lG)) \cdot C(l+1G) / C(l+1G).$$

Let  $H_l$  be the subgroup of  $H$  generated by the elements  $h_{\alpha(l)}$  ( $l=1, 2, \dots, n$ ), then, by Lemma 1.4,  $H_l$  satisfies condition (i). Obviously,

$$H \cap C(lG) = H_l \cdot (H \cap C(l+1G))$$

for  $l=1, 2, \dots, n$ . In particular,  $H$  is generated by the subgroups  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n$ .

$$(ii) \quad [H_i, H_j] \leq [C(lG), C(lG)] \cap H \leq C(l+1G) \cap H$$

which gives the required result.

$$(iii) \quad H_i \cdot \{H_{m+1}, \dots, H_n\} / \{H_{m+1}, \dots, H_n\} = H_i \cdot (H \cap C^{(m+1)G}) / (H \cap C^{(m+1)G}) \\ \cong H_i / (H_i \cap C^{(m+1)G}) \cong H_i \cdot C^{(m+1)G} / C^{(m+1)G},$$

by repeated use of the Isomorphism theorem. Hence the latter subgroup is the image of  $H_i$  under the natural homomorphism which maps

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{(n)} A_i \quad \text{onto} \quad \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{(m)} A_i.$$

For, by [18], the kernel of this homomorphism is

$$C^{(m+1)\mathbf{F}} / C^{(n+1)\mathbf{F}} = C^{(m+1)G},$$

where  $\mathbf{F}$  is the unrestricted free product of the infinite cycles  $A_i$ . Thus the required result follows on using Lemma 1.4.

(iv) From the above constructed subgroup  $H_i$ , we take a finite set of generators which generate a subgroup  $H_i^*$  of finite rank for each  $i$  and satisfy the above conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). By Theorem 1.5 and [19] Theorem 3.4, there exists a set of free generators of  $H_i^*$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) and a subset of the free generators of  $H_i$  which can be taken to be the original commutators of weight  $l$ , such that the non-original commutators of weight  $l$  form a basis for the vector space

$$\{[M(H_i^*), M(H_j^*); i+j=l] \text{ modulo } \{M(H_{i+1}^*), \dots, M(H_n^*)\}.$$

However, by [19] Lemma 3.6,

$$\{M(H_{i+1}^*), \dots, M(H_n^*)\} = M(\{H_1^*, H_2^*, \dots, H_n^*\}) \cap \{M(H_{i+1}), \dots, M(H_n)\}.$$

Hence it follows from the Isomorphism theorem that we can consider the above vector space modulo  $\{M(H_{i+1}), \dots, M(H_n)\}$ .

We now consider our given system as the union of an ascending sequence of systems whose generating free nilpotent subgroups all have finite ranks.  $B_i$  is the union of the corresponding  $B_i^*$ , for all  $i$ . This is, in fact, how our ascending sequence is constructed, namely, by considering successively the cases  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus, by the above procedure, we have a subset of a set of free generators of  $H_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) which can be taken to be the original commutators of weight less than  $n$ , such that every finite subset of the set of non-original commutators of weight  $l$  are linearly independent modulo  $\{M(H_{i+1}), \dots, M(H_n)\}$  over the rationals. Hence we have established property (iv).

## § 2. Unrestricted free Lie algebra over a field

Let  $L_k$  denote the free Lie algebra having the elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  as its set of free generators over the field  $\Omega$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots$ ). If  $m > k$ , then there exists a natural

homomorphism of  $L_m$  onto  $L_k$ . We now form the projective limit  $\mathbf{L}$  of these free Lie algebras under the above homomorphisms, and call  $\mathbf{L}$  the *unrestricted free Lie algebra* over  $\Omega$ .

We proceed as in the case of the unrestricted nilpotent product and give a convenient unique representation for the elements of  $\mathbf{L}$ . This is a direct consequence of Hall's Basis Theorem (see [4]) for a free Lie algebra. We first introduce the following

*Notation.*  $\sum$  and  $\sum^*$  will denote restricted and unrestricted sums of elements respectively.  $\Phi^{(k)}$  denotes the natural homomorphism of  $\mathbf{L}$  onto  $L_k$  which is obtained by mapping  $x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots$  onto the zero element of  $L_k$ . The image of an element  $x$  of  $\mathbf{L}$  under  $\Phi^{(k)}$  is denoted by  $x^{(k)}$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Every element of  $\mathbf{L}$  can be represented uniquely in the form*

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{il} \cdot b_i(l) \right),$$

where  $\alpha_{il} \in \Omega$  for all values of  $i$  and  $l$ .  $b_i(l)$  runs through all the basic monomials of weight  $l$  on the free generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  (for fixed  $l$ ). In the unrestricted infinite sum the basic monomials of weight  $l$  are so ordered that if

$$\Phi^{(k)}(b_i(l)) = b_i(l) \quad \text{while} \quad \Phi^{(k)}(b_j(l)) = 0,$$

for some positive integer  $k$ , then  $b_i(l)$  appears before  $b_j(l)$  in the unrestricted sum  $\sum^*$ .

*Notation.* All the elements of  $\mathbf{L}$  which involve only basic monomials of weight not less than  $l$  in the above representation form an ideal of  $\mathbf{L}$  which we will denote by  ${}_l\mathbf{L}$ .

We come now to the main result of this section which will show that  $\mathbf{L}$  is a free Lie algebra. We commence with

CONSTRUCTION 2.2. Firstly we notice that  ${}_i\mathbf{L}/{}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}$  is a vector space over  $\Omega$ , for all  $i$ , and hence it is possible to construct the following sets  $A_i$ . Let  $A_1 = C_1$  be a set of elements of  $\mathbf{L}$  that is linearly independent modulo  ${}_2\mathbf{L}$ . Suppose that the sets  $A_\nu$  and  $C_\nu$  have already been defined for all  $\nu < n$  (where  $n > 1$ ) and the elements of the sets  $A_\nu$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) have been ordered so that an element of  $A_\nu$  is greater than an element of  $A_{\nu'}$  if  $\nu > \nu'$ . We define  $C_n$  to be the set of all basic monomials on the elements of the sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$  which belong to  ${}_n\mathbf{L}$  but do not belong to  ${}_{n+1}\mathbf{L}$ . Finally  $A_n$  is a set<sup>(1)</sup> of elements of  ${}_n\mathbf{L}$  which is linearly independent modulo the subalgebra<sup>(2)</sup> generated by  ${}_{n+1}\mathbf{L}$  and the set  $C_n$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> In the language of our paper [19],  $A_n$  is a set of original monomials of weight  $n$  while  $C_n$  is a set of non-original monomials of weight  $n$ .

<sup>(2)</sup> This subalgebra is easily seen to be an ideal.

The following lemma is fundamental for our purposes:

**LEMMA 2.3.** *If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$  are finite sets, then  $C_n$  is a set of linearly independent elements of  ${}_n\mathbf{L}$  modulo  ${}_{n+1}\mathbf{L}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . The result is true, by construction, when  $n = 1$ . Suppose that the result is true for  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{n-1}$ . Now as these sets are finite, for every  $m$ , where  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ , there exist elements  $d_i(m)$  of  ${}_m\mathbf{L}$  and positive integers  $N(m)$  and  $q(m)$  such that

$${}_m\mathbf{L}/{}_{m+1}\mathbf{L} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{q(m)} \{d_i(m) + {}_{m+1}\mathbf{L}\} \right) + \left( \sum_{i > N(m)}^* \{b_i(m) + {}_{m+1}\mathbf{L}\} \right)$$

and 
$$(C_m \cup A_m) + {}_{m+1}\mathbf{L} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q(m)} (\{d_i(m) + {}_{m+1}\mathbf{L}\}). \quad (9)$$

In the above,  $\sum$  and  $\sum^*$  denote restricted and unrestricted direct sums respectively, while  $\sum_{i > N(m)}^*$  is to mean that those and only those basic monomials of weight  $m$  on  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  occur in the unrestricted direct sum which satisfy the condition

$$\Phi^{(N(m)+1)}(b_i(m)) = 0.$$

Suppose that contrary to our lemma, the elements of  $C_n$  are linearly dependent modulo  ${}_{n+1}\mathbf{L}$ , then there exist scalars  $\gamma_{n_i}$  (not all zero) such that

$$c = \gamma_{n_1} c_{n_1} + \dots + \gamma_{n_k} c_{n_k} \text{ belongs to } {}_{n+1}\mathbf{L}.$$

Let  $N$  denote the maximum of  $N(1), N(2), \dots, N(n-1)$ . Apply the homomorphism  $\Phi^{(N)}$  to  $c$ . Hence

$$c^{(N)} = \gamma_{n_1} c_{n_1}^{(N)} + \dots + \gamma_{n_k} c_{n_k}^{(N)} \text{ belongs to } {}^{n+1}L_N.$$

This implies that for some  $l (\leq n-1)$ ,

$$C_l^{(N)} \cup A_l^{(N)},$$

where the superscripts have their obvious meaning, must be a set of linearly dependent elements of  ${}_l\mathbf{L}$  modulo  ${}_{l+1}\mathbf{L}$ . For, otherwise, by the Theorem of Širšov [21] (cf. [19] Theorem 2.3), the elements of the set

$$A_1^{(N)} \cup A_2^{(N)} \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}^{(N)}$$

freely generate a free Lie subalgebra of  $L_N$  and this contradicts the fact that  $c^{(N)}$  belongs to  ${}^{n+1}L_N$ . Hence there exist scalars  $\varepsilon_{il}, \varepsilon'_{il}$  (not all zero) such that

$$\varepsilon_{i1} a_{i1}^{(N)} + \dots + \varepsilon_{ik} a_{ik}^{(N)} + \varepsilon'_{i1} c_{i1}^{(N)} + \dots + \varepsilon'_{ik} c_{ik}^{(N)}$$

belongs to  ${}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}$ , where  $a_{il} \in A_l$  and  $c_{il} \in C_l$ . Thus the element

$$\bar{a}_i = \varepsilon_{i1} a_{i1} + \dots + \varepsilon_{ik} a_{ik} + \varepsilon'_{i1} c_{i1} + \dots + \varepsilon'_{ik} c_{ik}$$

has the following properties:

- (a)  $\bar{a}_i$  does not belong to  ${}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}$ , by the induction hypothesis and Construction 2.2;
- (b)  $\bar{a}_i$  belongs to  $\sum_{l>N}^* (\{b_l(l) + {}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}\})$  modulo  ${}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}$ ;
- (c)  $\bar{a}_i$  belongs to  $\sum_{l=1}^{q(l)} (\{d_l(l) + {}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}\})$  modulo  ${}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}$ .

The above three properties of the element  $\bar{a}_i + {}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}$  contradict the direct decomposition (9) for  ${}_i\mathbf{L}/{}_{i+1}\mathbf{L}$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.**  $\mathbf{L}$  is a free Lie algebra over  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots$  be maximal sets satisfying the conditions of Construction 2.2. The elements of  $C_n$  are linearly independent modulo  ${}_{n+1}\mathbf{L}$ . For, by Lemma 2.3, every finite subset of  $C_n$  is linearly independent modulo  ${}_{n+1}\mathbf{L}$ . Hence the elements of the set

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

are a set of free generators for  $\mathbf{L}$ .

Notice that the above set of free generators for  $\mathbf{L}$  does not coincide with the set  $A_1$ . For the element

$$(x_1, x_2) + (x_3, x_4) + \dots + (x_{2m-1}, x_{2m}) + \dots$$

is an element of  ${}_2\mathbf{L}$  which is not contained in  $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L})$ .

We can now see that the same situation holds for the *unrestricted free  $n$ -th nilpotent Lie algebra*  $\mathcal{L}$  over a field  $\Omega$ . The latter is defined as the projective limit of the free  $n$ -th nilpotent Lie algebras  $\mathcal{L}_k$  on the free generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) under the natural homomorphisms defined as above. Reducing  $\mathbf{L}$  modulo  ${}_{n+1}\mathbf{L}$ , we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 2.4.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *The unrestricted free  $n$ -th nilpotent Lie algebra over a field  $\Omega$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of a free  $n$ -th nilpotent Lie algebra over  $\Omega$ .*

### § 3. The Mal'cev completion of the unrestricted $n$ th nilpotent product of a countable number of infinite cycles

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $G^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $\alpha \in M$ , be an inverse system of torsion-free nilpotent groups under some set of homomorphisms, such that their projective limit is a nilpotent group. Then*

$$M(IL(G^{(\alpha)})) \leq IL(M(G^{(\alpha)})).$$

*Proof.* Firstly we need to show that the groups  $M(G^{(\alpha)})$  form an inverse system of groups under the appropriate homomorphisms. Suppose  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}: G^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow G^{(\beta)}$  is the given homomorphism of  $G^{(\alpha)}$  into  $G^{(\beta)}$ , where  $\alpha > \beta$ . By Lazard [11] Theorem 4.10, there exists a unique homomorphism  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}^*: M(G^{(\alpha)}) \rightarrow M(G^{(\beta)})$ , which extends the homomorphism  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$ . If  $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$ , then  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}^* \pi_{\beta\gamma}^*$  is a homomorphism of  $M(G^{(\alpha)})$  into  $M(G^{(\gamma)})$ , which extends  $\pi_{\alpha\gamma}$ . As such a homomorphism is unique, it follows that

$$\pi_{\alpha\beta}^* \pi_{\beta\gamma}^* = \pi_{\alpha\gamma}^*.$$

Let  $g = \langle g^{(\alpha)} \rangle$  be an element of  $IL(G^{(\alpha)})$  and  $n$  be any positive integer. Then  $\langle (g^{(\alpha)})^{1/n} \rangle$  is an element of  $IL(M(G^{(\alpha)}))$ , by the construction<sup>(1)</sup> of  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}^*$  from  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$ . Hence

$$x^n = g$$

has a solution  $x = \langle (g^{(\alpha)})^{1/n} \rangle$  belonging to  $IL(M(G^{(\alpha)}))$ ,

which is unique as  $IL(M(G^{(\alpha)}))$  is torsion-free. This shows that the Mal'cev completion of  $IL(G^{(\alpha)})$  is contained in the inverse limit of the groups  $M(G^{(\alpha)})$ .

It is easy to see that, in general, it is not possible to turn the above inequality into an equality even in the case when all the groups are abelian.

THEOREM 3.2. *The Mal'cev completion of "the unrestricted  $n$ -th nilpotent product  $G$  of a countable number of infinite cycles" is isomorphic to the Mal'cev completion of "a subgroup of a free  $n$ -th nilpotent group".*

*Proof.* Let  $G_k$  denote the free  $n$ th nilpotent group of rank  $k$  and

$$\pi_{k+1}: G_{k+1} \rightarrow G_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

denote the natural homomorphism of  $G_{k+1}$  onto  $G_k$ . By Lazard [11] Theorem 4.10, there exists a unique homomorphism  $\pi_{k+1}^*$  of  $M(G_{k+1})$  onto  $M(G_k)$ , which extends  $\pi_{k+1}$ . By a similar argument as that given in the previous lemma, we have that

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Cf. construction of  $\pi^*$  from  $\pi$  in Lazard [11].

$$\pi_{k+1}^* : M(G_{k+1}) \rightarrow M(G_k) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

is an inverse system of groups and homomorphisms. By Lazard [11] § 4 especially Theorem 4.15 and the remark afterwards,  $\pi_{k+1}^*$  is the natural homomorphism of the free  $n$ th nilpotent Lie algebra  $M(G_{k+1})$  of rank  $k+1$  over the rationals onto the free  $n$ th nilpotent free Lie algebra  $M(G_k)$  of rank  $k$  over the rationals. Now

$$M(IL_\pi(G_k)) \leq IL_{\pi^*}(M(G_k)).$$

by Lemma 3.1, and  $IL_{\pi^*}(M(G_k))$  is the unrestricted free  $n$ th nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  over the rationals. By Theorem 2.5,  $\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra  $K$  of a free  $n$ th nilpotent Lie algebra  $H$  over the rationals. By Lazard [11] § 4,  $H$  can also be considered as the Mal'cev completion of a free  $n$ th nilpotent group. Further, by Lazard [11] § 4,  $K$  is a divisible subgroup of the Mal'cev completion of a free  $n$ th nilpotent group  $P$ .

$$K = M(P \cap K)$$

and  $P \cap K$  is a subgroup of  $P$ . For

$$K \geq M(P \cap K) \text{ as } K \geq P \cap K,$$

by Lazard [11] Theorem 4.10.

On the other hand, if  $k$  is an arbitrary element of  $K$ , then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $k^n$  belongs to  $P$ . Hence, by Lazard [11] Theorem 4.9,

$$K \leq M(P \cap K).$$

Thus we have that  $IL_{\pi^*}(M(G_k))$  is isomorphic to  $M(P \cap K)$ . Similarly we obtain the required result for the subalgebra  $M(IL_\pi(G_k))$ .

Because of the results of § 1, the following corollary to the above theorem is of interest.

**COROLLARY 3.2.1.** *The Mal'cev completion of "a countable subgroup of  $G$ " is isomorphic to the Mal'cev completion of "a countable subgroup of a free  $n$ -th nilpotent group".*

#### § 4. Homomorphisms of unrestricted $n$ th nilpotent product of infinite cycles into a free nilpotent group

We show that, as in the case of the unrestricted direct product, the only way of obtaining a subgroup of a free nilpotent group from the unrestricted nilpotent product of infinite cycles, by means of a homomorphism, is to map the unrestricted

nilpotent product of most of the cycles onto the unit element. Throughout this section  $A_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)$  denote infinite cycles.

LEMMA 4.1. *If  $\psi$  is a homomorphism of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  into a free nilpotent group such that*

$$\psi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 1,$$

then

$$\psi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . By the Theorem of Specker and Łos (cf. [1], Theorems 47.2–47.4), the result is true for  $n = 1$ . Actually we also need to use [19] Theorem 1.5, which states that every abelian subgroup of a free nilpotent group is free abelian. Suppose that the result is true for all unrestricted  $m$ th nilpotent products, where  $m < n$ . From

$$\psi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 1$$

follows that <sup>(1)</sup>

$$\psi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \{b_i(l)\}\right) = 1$$

for  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $b_i(l)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are the basic commutators of weight  $l$  on the elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ , by [19] Theorem 3.1. By Corollary 1.1.1 and [19] Theorem 3.1,

$$G = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \left\{ \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \{b_i(l)\} \right); l = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}$$

and

$$C([G, G]) = \left\{ \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \{b_i(l)\} \right); l = 2, 3, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Hence, by the induction hypothesis,  $\psi(C([G, G])) = 1$ . Thus  $\psi$  defines a homomorphism of  $G/C(G')$  into  $A$ , where  $A$  is an abelian subgroup of a free nilpotent group. However, by [19] Theorem 1.5,  $A$  is free abelian. By [18] Corollary 1.6.1,

$$G/C(G') \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i.$$

Hence  $\psi$  maps  $G$  onto the unit element, by the Theorem of Specker and Łos.

<sup>(1)</sup>  $t = \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ l \end{matrix} \right]$ .

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $\psi$  be a homomorphism of  $G = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{(n)}A_i$  into a free abelian group. Then there exists some positive integer  $m$  such that*

$$g^{(m)} = 1 \text{ implies that } \psi(g) = 1$$

for all elements  $g$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . The result is true for  $n=1$ , by the Theorem of Specker and Łos. Suppose that the result is true for all unrestricted  $m$ th nilpotent products, where  $m < n$ .  $\psi$  defines a homomorphism of  $G/C(G')$  into a free abelian group  $A$ . For  $G'$  belongs to the kernel of  $\psi$  and this, by the argument given in the previous proof, shows that  $C(G')$  also belongs to the kernel of  $\psi$ . Now, by the Theorem of Specker and Łos, there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$g^{(m)} \text{ belongs to } C(G') \text{ implies that } \psi(g) = 1,$$

which gives the required result. One has to use the fact that

$$G/C(G') \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^X A_i.$$

Before proving the main theorem of this section, we state the following simple consequence of [19] Theorem 1.6.

LEMMA 4.3. *If  $B$  is a nonabelian subgroup of a free  $n$ -th nilpotent group  $A$ , then*

$$z(B) = z_s(A) \cap B,$$

where  $s$  is some positive integer less than  $(\frac{1}{2}n + 1)$ .

THEOREM 4.4. *Let  $\psi$  be a homomorphism of  $G = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{(n)}A_i$  into a free nilpotent group. Then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that*

$$g^{(m)} = 1 \text{ implies that } \psi(g) = 1$$

for all elements  $g$  of  $C$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . Let  $B$  denote the image of  $G$  under the homomorphism  $\psi$ . If  $B$  is abelian, then the required result follows from [19] Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 4.2. Hence we may assume that  $B$  is nonabelian. Then, by Lemma 4.3, there exists a positive integer  $s$  such that

$$z(B) = z_s(A) \cap B,$$

where  $A$  is the free nilpotent group of which  $B$  is a subgroup. Thus

$$z(G)\psi \leq z(G\psi) = z(B) = z_s(A) \cap B$$

and  $\psi$  induces a homomorphism of  $G/z(G)$  onto

$$B/z(B) = B/(z_s(A) \cap B) \cong B \cdot z_s(A)/z_s(A).$$

By [18] Theorems 2.2 and 3.7,

$$G/z(G) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} H^{(n-1)} A_i.$$

By a result of Witt [23],  $B \cdot z_s(A)/z_s(A)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the free nilpotent group  $A/z_s(A)$ . Hence, by the induction hypothesis, there exists a positive integer  $p$  such that

$$g^{(p)} = 1 \text{ implies that } \psi(g) \text{ belongs to } z_s(A).$$

In particular,

$$\psi\left(\prod_{i=p+1}^{\infty} H^{(n)} A_i\right) \leq z_s(A),$$

where  $z_s(A)$  is free abelian. By Lemma 4.2, there exists a positive integer  $m$  ( $\geq p+1$ ) which has the following property:

$$g^{(m)} = 1 \text{ implies that } \psi(g) = 1$$

for all elements  $g$  of  $G$ .

### § 5. Unrestricted soluble products of infinite cycles

Let  $G$  denote a free soluble group of derived length  $n$ , namely,  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^{[n]}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a free group. Then the last member  $G^{[n-1]}$  of the derived series of  $G$  has been characterized, by Mal'cev [15] Theorem 1, as the maximal normal abelian subgroup of  $G$ . It can also be considered to be the set of all left Engel elements or alternatively as the maximal normal locally nilpotent subgroup<sup>(1)</sup> of  $G$ . For our purposes, it is convenient to consider the set  $R(X)$  of all left Engel elements of the group  $X$ .  $g$  is said to be a left Engel element of the group  $X$  if for each  $x$  of  $X$  there exists a positive integer  $h$  such that

$$[x, \underbrace{g, \dots, g}_h] = 1.$$

---

<sup>(1)</sup> These two subgroups, in fact, coincide in a soluble group. Cf. for instance Gruenberg [3].

Note that  $R(X)$  is a normal subgroup of the soluble group  $X$  and

$$R(X)\Phi \leq R(X\Phi)$$

for all homomorphisms  $\Phi$  of the soluble group  $X$ .

As in free nilpotent groups we have the following result.

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Every abelian subgroup of a free soluble group is free abelian.*

*Proof.* This proceeds by induction on the derived length  $n$  of the free soluble group  $G$ . The result is true for  $n = 1$ . Suppose that the result is true for all free soluble groups of derived length less than  $n$ . If  $A$  is an abelian subgroup of  $G$ , then

$$A \cdot G^{[n-1]} / G^{[n-1]} \cong A / (A \cap G^{[n-1]})$$

is an abelian subgroup of  $G/G^{[n-1]}$  and hence, by the induction hypothesis, is free abelian. Thus  $A$  as an abelian group that is an extension of a free abelian group by a free abelian group is itself free abelian.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *The radical of the unrestricted  $n$ -th soluble product of a countable number of infinite cycles is*

$$C(\mathbb{F}^{[n-1]}) / C(\mathbb{F}^{[n]}),$$

which is the unrestricted direct product of a countable number of infinite cycles<sup>(1)</sup>.

*Proof.* According to the above mentioned result of Mal'cev,

$$R(F_k / F_k^{[n]}) = F_k^{[n-1]} / F_k^{[n]},$$

which is a free abelian group of countably infinite rank, for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . As previously  $F_k$  denotes the free group of rank  $k$ . The above system is an inverse system of groups and homomorphisms (induced by the natural homomorphisms of the groups  $F_k / F_k^{[n]}$ ). It is easy to see from the definition of left Engel elements, that

$$R(IL(F_k / F_k^{[n]})) = IL(R(F_k / F_k^{[n]})) = IL(F_k^{[n-1]} / F_k^{[n]}) = C(\mathbb{F}^{[n-1]}) / C(\mathbb{F}^{[n]})$$

by a similar argument to that given in [18] Theorem 3.7. The inverse limit is, in fact, the unrestricted direct product of the infinite cycles.

Now using the fundamental Lemma 1 of A. W. Mostowski [20] one can establish the following result by means of an induction argument on the derived length of a free soluble group.

<sup>(1)</sup>  $\mathbb{F}$  denotes the unrestricted free product of the cycles.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let  $G$  be a free  $n$ -th soluble group. A set of elements of  $G^{[m]}$  which is linearly independent modulo  $G^{[m+1]}$  freely generates a free soluble group of derived length  $(n - m)$ .*

The following subgroup theorem for free soluble groups is sufficient for our purposes<sup>(1)</sup>.

THEOREM 5.4. *Every subgroup  $H$  of a free  $n$ -th soluble group is generated by a set of subgroups*

$$H_0, H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m, \dots, H_{n-1}$$

*which are free soluble groups of derived length*

$$n, n-1, n-2, \dots, n-m, \dots, 1$$

*respectively. Moreover*

$$[H_i, H_j] \leq \{H_{k+1}, \dots, H_{n-1}\},$$

*where  $k$  is the minimum of  $i$  and  $j$ , if  $k+1 \leq n-1$ . While  $[H_i, H_j] = 1$  if  $i$  and  $j$  both exceed  $n-1$ . Further*

$$H_i \cdot \{H_m, H_{m+1}, \dots, H_{n-1}\} / \{H_m, H_{m+1}, \dots, H_{n-1}\}$$

*is a free  $(m-i)$ -th soluble group with the images of the free generators of  $H_i$  as a set of free generators, for  $i=0, 1, \dots, m-1$  and  $m=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to make the following observation. If we take a set of elements of  $H \cap G^{[m]}$  whose images form a basis for the free abelian group

$$(H \cap G^{[m]}) \cdot G^{[m+1]} / G^{[m+1]},$$

then, by Lemma 5.3, they freely generate a free  $(n-m)$ th soluble group  $H_m$  in  $G$ . It is easy to see that the subgroups  $H_0, H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{n-1}$  satisfy the above conditions. Of course, it is possible that some of these subgroups may have rank zero, that is, are trivial subgroups.

It is not difficult to verify that there exists a unique representation for every element of a free soluble group with free generators  $a_i$  in terms of the obvious complex commutators of the form<sup>(2)</sup>

$$a_1^{\alpha_1} a_2^{\alpha_2} \dots [a_i^{\beta_i}, a_j^{\beta_j}]^{\gamma_{ij}} \dots [[a_i^{\lambda_i}, a_j^{\lambda_j}]^{\mu_{ij}}, [a_k^{\lambda_k}, a_l^{\lambda_l}]^{\nu_{kl}}]^{\rho_{ijkl}} \dots$$

<sup>(1)</sup> It is not difficult to verify that every countable subgroup of the unrestricted  $n$ th soluble product of infinite cycles is a group of this type. This follows from a result corresponding to Lemma 1.4.

<sup>(2)</sup> The powers occurring in the form are integers and only a finite number of them are nonzero.

Using this representation instead of representation by basic commutators and the radical instead of the centre, we can establish as above the following results for unrestricted soluble products.

**THEOREM 5.5.** *Every countable abelian subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is a free abelian group.*

**THEOREM 5.6.** *If  $\psi$  is a homomorphism of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  into a free soluble group so that*

$$\psi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 1,$$

then

$$\psi\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = 1.$$

**THEOREM 5.7.** *Let  $\psi$  be a homomorphism of  $G = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  into a free soluble group. Then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that*

$$g^{(m)} = 1 \text{ implies that } \psi(g) = 1$$

for all elements  $g$  of  $G$ .

In order to prove the above theorem we need the following simple result corresponding to Lemma 4.3.

**LEMMA 5.8.** *If  $B$  is a nonabelian subgroup of a free  $n$ -th soluble group  $A$ , then*

$$R(B) = R(A) \cap B.$$

## § 6. Unrestricted third Burnside product

The results in this section will only be briefly outlined as their proof proceeds in a similar way to that previously given for unrestricted nilpotent products. We will consider side by side the cases of the unrestricted third Burnside product of (a) infinite cycles (b) cycles of order three.

Every element of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  can be represented uniquely as an ordered product of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^3 \left( \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} (b_i(l))^{\alpha_{il}} \right),$$

where  $b_i(l)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ) are the basic commutators of weight  $l$  on the elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ . If the factors  $A_i$  are infinite cycles, then  $\alpha_{i1}$  takes any integer value or zero. If the factors  $A_i$  are cycles of order three, then  $\alpha_{i1}$  takes values 0, 1, 2. For  $l=2, 3$ , the values of  $\alpha_{il}$  range over 0, 1, 2.

See Levi [12] for the unique representation of elements of a free third Burnside group and [17] Theorem 6.6 gives the corresponding representation for the third Burnside product of infinite cycles.

The upper central series of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{H^3}A_i$  is given by

$$z = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{HX}\{b_i(3)\}$$

$$z_2 = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{HX}\{b_i(2)\} \right) \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{HX}\{b_i(3)\} \right)$$

and

$$z_3 = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{H^3}A_i.$$

$z$  and  $z_2$  are elementary abelian groups of exponent 3. Every finitely generated subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{H^3}A_i$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^3A_i$ .

Every abelian subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} {}^{H^3}A_i$  is a subgroup of the direct product of an elementary abelian group of exponent 3 and an infinite cyclic group.

In order to distinguish more precisely between the cases when  $A_i$  are cycles of order three and when  $A_i$  are infinite cycles, we must now consider a subgroup theorem for the third Burnside product in these two cases. It is obviously sufficient to consider nonabelian subgroups.

However, we first prove the following

**LEMMA 6.1.** *Let  $A$  be a free third Burnside group. Then any set of elements of  $A$ , which is linearly independent modulo  $A'$ , freely generates a free third Burnside subgroup of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* As  $A/A'$  is elementary abelian of exponent 3, the given set of linearly independent elements can be expanded to a set  $S$  of elements of  $A$  whose images form a basis for  $A/A'$ . By Mal'cev [13] Theorem 5 a,  $S$  is a set of free generators for  $A$ , which gives the required result.

We also have the corresponding result for the third Burnside product of infinite cycles

**LEMMA 6.2.** *Let  $A$  be the third Burnside product of infinite cycles. Then any set of elements of  $A$ , which is linearly independent modulo  $A'$ , freely generates a third Burnside product of infinite cycles. <sup>(1)</sup>*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Cf. Introduction for the fact that this is a relatively free group.

*Proof.* Let  $A_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in M$ , be infinite cyclic groups and  $A = \prod_{\alpha \in M}^3 A_\alpha$ .

Then 
$$A \cong (\mathcal{F}/{}^4\mathcal{F})/({}^2\mathcal{F}/{}^4\mathcal{F})^3,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the free group on the generators of the cyclic groups  $A_\alpha$ . Now the required result follows from the corresponding theorem of Mal'cev [14] for free nilpotent groups.

**THEOREM 6.3.** *The following conditions are necessary and sufficient for a non-abelian group  $B$  to be isomorphic to a subgroup of a free third Burnside group.*

$B$  is generated by subgroups  $B_1, B_2$ , and  $B_3$  where

(i)  $B_1$  is a free third Burnside group, while  $B_2$  and  $B_3$  are elementary abelian groups of exponent 3;

(ii) If  $i + j \leq 3$ , then  $[B_i, B_j] \leq \{B_{i+j}, B_3\}$ , while  $[B_i, B_j] = 1$  if  $i + j > 3$ ;

(iii)  $B_2 \cap B_3 = 1$ ;

(iv) Let  $d_\beta$  be a typical element of a set of elements of  $B_2$ , whose images form a basis for

$$B_2 \cdot B_3 / (B_3 \cdot [B_1, B_1]).$$

Then  $[B_1, B_2]$  is freely generated by the basic commutators of weight three on the free generators of  $B_1$  and all commutators of the form  $[b_1, d_\beta]$ , where  $b_1$  and  $d_\beta$  traverse all the free generators of  $B_1$  and all the above constructed elements of  $B_2$  respectively.

*Proof.* First a few remarks about the necessity of the above conditions. Let  $B$  be a subgroup of a free third Burnside group  $G$ . Then, as in the Subgroup Theorem for free nilpotent groups, the subgroups  $B_1, B_2$  and  $B_3$  are constructed from sets of elements of  $B$  whose images form a basis for

$$B \cdot G'/G', (B \cap G') \cdot {}^3G/{}^3G \quad \text{and} \quad B \cap {}^3G$$

respectively. Conditions (i) to (iii) follow from the construction of the subgroups and Lemma 6.1. Condition (iv) is a consequence of the fact that

$$B_2 = (\prod^X \{b_{2j}\}) \times (\prod_\beta^X \{d_{\beta j}\}),$$

where  $b_2$  runs over the basic commutators of weight two on the free generators of  $B_1$ . Now in order to prove the sufficiency of our conditions, we proceed as in the Subgroup Theorem for free nilpotent groups and consider the group

$$B/B_3 = (B_1 \cdot B_3/B_3) \times (\prod_\beta^X (\{d_\beta\} \cdot B_3/B_3)).$$

From (ii) it follows that  $B_1 \cdot B_3 / B_3$  is the second nilpotent product of the subgroups generated by the images of the free generators of  $B_1$ . Take a free third Burnside group  $G$  with a sufficient number of free generators.  $B/B_3$  can obviously be mapped isomorphically into  $G/z(G)$ . It remains to show that, as in the case of free nilpotent groups, this isomorphism can be extended to give an isomorphism of  $B$  into  $G$ . This causes no difficulties.

We state, without any further comment, the corresponding result for the third Burnside product of infinite cycles.

**THEOREM 6.4.** *The following conditions are necessary and sufficient for a non-abelian group  $B$  to be isomorphic to a subgroup of the third Burnside product of infinite cycles.*

$B$  is generated by subgroups  $B_1, B_2$  and  $B_3$  where

- (i)  $B_1$  is the third Burnside product of infinite cycles, while  $B_2$  and  $B_3$  are elementary abelian groups of exponent 3;
- (ii) If  $i + j \leq 3$ , then  $[B_i, B_j] \leq \{B_{i+j}, B_3\}$ , while  $[B_i, B_j] = 1$  if  $i + j > 3$ ;
- (iii)  $B_2 \cap B_3 = 1$ ;
- (iv) Same as (iv) of Theorem 6.3 with the above given different interpretation of  $B_1$ .

As in the case of the unrestricted nilpotent product we are able to prove the following result for the unrestricted Burnside product.

**LEMMA 6.5.** *Every finite set of elements of  $G = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  (both when all the groups  $A_i$  are infinite cycles and when they are all cycles of order 3) which is linearly independent modulo  $C(G')$  freely generates a third Burnside product of cycles.*

This enables us, with the help of the above Subgroup Theorems, to deduce the following main results.

**THEOREM 6.6.** *The unrestricted third Burnside product of a countable number of cycles of order three is isomorphic to a subgroup of a free third Burnside group.*

**THEOREM 6.7.** *Every countable subgroup of the unrestricted third Burnside product of infinite cycles is isomorphic to a subgroup of a third Burnside product of infinite cycles.*

The other main problem is solved by

**THEOREM 6.8.** *Let  $\psi$  be a homomorphism of the unrestricted third Burnside product*

$G$  of a countably infinite number of infinite cycles onto a nonabelian subgroup of a third Burnside product  $A$  of infinite cycles. Then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$g^{(m)} = 1 \text{ implies that } \psi(g) \text{ belongs to } z_2(A)$$

for all  $g$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to point out the following facts. If  $B$  is the image of  $G$  under  $\psi$ , then

$$z_2(G)\psi \leq z_2(G\psi) = z_2(B) = z_2(A) \cap B.$$

Hence  $\psi$  induces a homomorphism of

$$G/z_2(G) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^{HX},$$

where  $A_i$  are infinite cycles, onto

$$B/(z_2(A) \cap B) \cong B \cdot z_2(A)/z_2(A).$$

**COROLLARY 6.8.1.** *The unrestricted third Burnside product of a countably infinite number of infinite cycles is not isomorphic to a subgroup of a third Burnside product of infinite cycles.*

*Generalizations.* There is no difficulty in extending our above given results to the unrestricted products of an "arbitrary" number of cycles. However, we make the following relevant remarks. In the case of the above subgroup theorems, we use [18] Theorem 2.2 which gives a representation for unrestricted verbal products in terms of a factor group of the unrestricted free product of the same factors. For an arbitrary verbal product we have been able to prove this result only when the product has a countable number of factors. However, for unrestricted nilpotent soluble, and Burnside products of the cycles considered above, we have a unique representation which enables us to extend [18] Theorem 2.2 to an arbitrary number of factors. A similar situation holds for the unrestricted free  $n$ th nilpotent Lie algebra. In the theorems similar to the Theorem of Specker and Łos, we must, as mentioned in the introduction, confine our attention to a set of cycles such that the set has measure zero.

### References

- [1]. L. FUCHS, *Abelian groups*. Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest 1958.
- [2]. K. W. GRUENBERG, Residual properties of infinite soluble groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 7 (1957), 29–63.
- [3]. ——— The Engel elements of a soluble group. *Illinois J. Math.*, 3 (1959), 151–168.

- [4]. M. HALL, A basis for free Lie rings and higher commutators in free groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1 (1950), 575–581.
- [5]. P. HALL, A contribution to the theory of groups of prime power order. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 36 (1934), 29–95.
- [6]. — The splitting properties of relatively free groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 4 (1954), 343–356.
- [7]. — Some word-problems. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 33 (1958), 482–496.
- [8]. G. HIGMAN, Unrestricted free products and varieties of topological groups. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 6 (1952), 73–81.
- [9]. — On a problem of Takahasi. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 28 (1953), 250–252.
- [10]. A. G. KUROŠ, *Theory of Groups*. Second edition. New York 1955.
- [11]. M. LAZARD, Sur les groupes nilpotents et les anneaux de Lie. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (3), 71 (1954), 101–190.
- [12]. F. W. LEVI, Groups in which the commutator operation satisfies algebraic conditions. *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, 6 (1942), 87–97.
- [13]. A. I. MAL'CEV, On algebras with identical defining relations. *Mat. Sb.*, 26 (1950), 19–33.
- [14]. — Two remarks on nilpotent groups. *Mat. Sb.*, 37 (79) (1955), 567–572.
- [15]. — On free soluble groups. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 130, No. 3 (1960), 495–498.
- [16]. S. MORAN, Associative operations on groups I. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 6 (1956), 581–596.
- [17]. — Associative operations on groups II. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 8 (1958), 548–568.
- [18]. — Unrestricted verbal products. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 36 (1961), 1–23.
- [19]. — A subgroup theorem for free nilpotent groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103 (1962), 495–515.
- [20]. A. W. MOSTOWSKI, Nilpotent free groups. *Fund. Math.*, 49 (1961), 259–269.
- [21]. A. I. ŠIRŠOV, Subalgebras of free Lie algebras. *Mat. Sb.*, 33 (75) (1953), 441–452.
- [22]. E. SPECKER, Additive Gruppen von Folgen ganzer Zahlen. *Portugal. Math.*, 9 (1950), 131–168.
- [23]. E. WITT, Treue Darstellung Liescher Ringe. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 177 (1937), 152–160.
- [24]. *Infinitistic Methods*. Proceedings of the Symposium on Foundations of Mathematics, Warsaw 1959. Pergamon Press 1961.

*Received November 14, 1961*