

ON PITMAN EFFICIENCY OF SOME TESTS OF SCALE FOR THE GAMMA DISTRIBUTION

BARRY R. JAMES
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

1. Summary

A comparison is made of several two sample rank tests for scale change in Gamma distributions. A test is studied, the exponential scores test, which offers greater Pitman efficiency than some standard tests (for example, the Wilcoxon) when the shape parameter γ is small.

2. Introduction

This paper considers a two sample testing problem which has arisen in connection with weather control (Neyman and Scott [6], section 5).

We let the random variable X represent the amount of rainfall during a day of nonzero precipitation under natural weather conditions, and let Y represent the rainfall in the same region during a day of rain in which the clouds have been seeded. It is assumed that X has a Gamma distribution and that the effect of seeding is multiplicative. The problem is to test for a positive seeding effect, using m observations on X and n observations on Y .

Formally, let $F_{\gamma,\delta}$ be the distribution on the positive real line with density

$$(2.1) \quad f_{\gamma,\delta}(x) = \frac{\delta^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma)} x^{\gamma-1} e^{-\delta x},$$

where $\gamma > 0$, $\delta > 0$. The assumptions imply that when $F_{\gamma,\delta}$ is the distribution of X , then $F_{\gamma,\delta\xi}$ is the distribution of Y , for some $\xi > 0$. The problem becomes one of using samples X_1, \dots, X_m and Y_1, \dots, Y_n to test the hypothesis

$$(2.2) \quad H: \xi \geq 1$$

against the alternative

$$(2.3) \quad K: \xi < 1.$$

Our primary goal is to determine a nonparametric test which will perform better than standard tests, such as the Wilcoxon, whose efficiency has been found to be unsatisfactory.

Prepared with the partial support of National Science Foundation, Grant No. GP-5059 and NSF Graduate Fellowship.

3. The L Test

The problem has been considered by Taha [9], who proposes using the test statistic

$$(3.1) \quad L = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2,$$

where the s_i are the ranks of the Y in the combined sample. Taha shows that this statistic is superior to the Wilcoxon statistic W (in the sense of asymptotic efficiency) for small values of γ , most notably in the interval $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

4. LMP rank test and the exponential scores

For fixed γ and δ , the locally most powerful rank test of H is independent of δ and is easily computed to be based on the "gamma scores" statistic S_γ ,

$$(4.1) \quad S_\gamma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[Z^{(s_i)}],$$

where $Z^{(1)} < \dots < Z^{(N)}$ are the order statistics of a sample of size $N = n + m$ from $F_{\gamma,1}$.

Capon [1] has shown this test to be asymptotically efficient, but, unfortunately, it is dependent on γ . However, it may be hoped that for small values of γ a reasonably good test may be based on S_1 , the exponential scores, which were apparently first proposed by Savage [8]. The value of S_1 may be computed by using

$$(4.2) \quad E[Z^{(s)}] = \frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{1}{n - s + 1},$$

and the test may be carried out with the help of the approximation

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{mS_1}{N - nS_1} \sim F_{2n,2m}$$

(for a more detailed discussion of this point, see Cox [3]).

5. Method for determining Pitman efficiencies

For sequences $\{S_N\}$, $\{T_N\}$ of test statistics, suppose that μ_N , ν_N , σ_N^2 , and τ_N^2 are functions of ξ such that, when ξ_N is the true parameter value,

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{S_N - \mu_N(\xi_N)}{\sigma_N(\xi_N)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{T_N - \nu_N(\xi_N)}{\tau_N(\xi_N)}$$

tend to $N(0, 1)$ for all sequences

$$(5.2) \quad \xi_N = 1 - kN^{-1/2},$$

where $k \geq 0$. Then, under suitable regularity conditions (see, for example, Noether [7]), the asymptotic Pitman efficiency of $\{S_N\}$ relative to $\{T_N\}$ is given by

$$(5.3) \quad e(S, T) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e_N(S)}{e_N(T)},$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad e_N(S) = \left[\frac{\mu'_N(1)}{\sigma_N(1)} \right]^2,$$

with $e_N(T)$ defined analogously. The quantity $e_N(S)$ is the so called "efficacy" of S_N .

For the results that follow, we may apply the above procedure by assuming that

$$(5.5) \quad \lambda_N = \frac{m}{n} \rightarrow \lambda,$$

where $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Since the distribution of any rank statistic depends only on γ and ξ and is independent of the scale parameter δ , it follows that the efficacy of a rank test depends only on γ . For ease of computation, we take $\delta = 1$ and denote the distribution functions of $F_{\gamma,1}$ and $F_{\gamma,\xi}$ by F and G_ξ , respectively.

6. Computation of the efficacies

6.1. *Wilcoxon.* See Taha [9] for the result

$$(6.1) \quad e_N(W) \sim 12\lambda(1 - \lambda) N \left[\int_0^\infty x f^2(x) dx \right]^2.$$

6.2. *Gamma scores.* Asymptotic normality of S_γ follows from theorems 1 and 2 of Chernoff and Savage [2], with

$$(6.2) \quad \mu_N(\xi) = \int F^{-1} \left(\frac{m}{N} F + \frac{n}{N} G_\xi \right) dG_\xi$$

and

$$(6.3) \quad \sigma_N^2(\xi) = \text{Var}(S_\gamma | \xi).$$

Differentiation of (6.2) yields

$$(6.4) \quad \mu'_N(1) = \frac{m}{N} \gamma.$$

It can be shown that under the hypothesis that X and Y come from the same continuous distribution,

$$(6.5) \quad \text{Var}(S_\gamma) \sim \frac{m}{nN} \gamma.$$

Therefore,

$$(6.6) \quad e_N(S_\gamma) \sim \lambda(1 - \lambda) N \gamma.$$

6.3. *Exponential scores.* The procedure here is similar to that of 6.2, but we use

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_N(\xi) &= \int F_{1,1}^{-1} \left(\frac{m}{N} F + \frac{n}{N} G_\xi \right) dG_\xi \\ &= - \int \log \left[1 - \left(\frac{m}{N} F + \frac{n}{N} G_\xi \right) \right] dG_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiation yields

$$(6.8) \quad \mu'_N(1) = \frac{m}{N} \int_0^\infty \frac{x f^2(x)}{1 - F(x)} dx,$$

which, when combined with (6.5) for $\gamma = 1$, gives

$$(6.9) \quad e_N(S_1) \sim \lambda(1 - \lambda) N \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{x f^2(x)}{1 - F(x)} dx \right]^2.$$

6.4. *L test.* Taha [9] has obtained

$$(6.10) \quad e_N(L) \sim 45\lambda(1 - \lambda) N \left[\int_0^\infty x f^2(x) F(x) dx \right]^2.$$

7. Efficiency results

The results of several cloud seeding experiments (again, see [6], section 5) suggest that the parameter γ regularly lies in the interval (0.45, 0.75). In line with this, efficiencies have been computed for the value $\gamma = 0.50$ and $\gamma = 0.65$, as well as for the case in which the underlying distribution is exponential, $\gamma = 1$. In addition, the behavior of the efficiencies as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ follows from the asymptotic relation

$$(7.1) \quad -\gamma \log \gamma = O \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{x f^2(x)}{1 - F(x)} dx \right],$$

which can be established by bounding the integrand appropriately.

TABLE I

EFFICIENCIES

The value 0.82 for $e(L, S_1)$ with $\gamma = 0.65$ was obtained by interpolating in the graph of Taha [9].

γ	$e(W, S_1)$	$e(L, S_1)$	$e(S_\gamma, S_1)$
1.00	0.75	0.87	1.00
0.65	0.67	0.82	1.01
0.50	0.63	0.75	1.03
Limit at zero	0	0	∞

The efficiency results imply that in the interval of relevance to the cloud seeding experiment, the exponential scores test is asymptotically almost as good as the LMP rank test S_γ , and apparently offers an improvement over the L test. The performance of the Wilcoxon test is poor. Presumably equally poor are the performances of other nonparametric tests commonly used in weather

control problems, namely the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and median tests ([6], figure 6), but their behavior will not be investigated here.

The author wishes to thank Professor E. L. Lehmann for his indispensable advice in the preparation of this paper, and Mrs. Jeanne Lovasich, who performed part of the numerical calculations.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. CAPON, "Asymptotic efficiency of certain locally most powerful rank tests," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, Vol. 32 (1961), pp. 88-100.
- [2] H. CHERNOFF and I. R. SAVAGE, "Asymptotic normality and efficiency of certain non-parametric test statistics," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, Vol. 29 (1958), pp. 972-994.
- [3] D. R. COX, "Some applications of exponential ordered scores," *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B*, Vol. 26 (1964), pp. 103-110.
- [4] B. EPSTEIN and C. K. TSAO, "Some tests based on ordered observations from two exponential populations," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, Vol. 24 (1953), pp. 458-466.
- [5] J. L. HODGES, JR. and E. L. LEHMANN, "Comparison of the normal scores and Wilcoxon tests," *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, Berkeley and Los Angeles, University of California Press, 1961, pp. 307-317.
- [6] J. NEYMAN and E. L. SCOTT, "On the use of $C(\alpha)$ optimal tests of composite hypotheses," *Bull. Inst. Internat. Statist.*, Vol. 41 (1967).
- [7] G. E. NOETHER, "On a theorem of Pitman," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, Vol. 26 (1955), pp. 64-68.
- [8] I. R. SAVAGE, "Contributions to the theory of rank-order statistics: the two-sample case," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, Vol. 27 (1956), pp. 590-616.
- [9] M. A. H. TAHA, "Rank test for scale parameter for asymmetrical one-sided distributions," *Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris*, Vol. 13 (1964), pp. 169-179.