A TIME DEPENDENT SIMPLE STOCHASTIC EPIDEMIC

GRACE L. YANG University of Maryland and CHIN LONG CHIANG University of California, Berkeley

1. Introduction

Since the pioneer work of A. M. McKendrick in 1926, many authors have contributed to the advancement of the stochastic theory of epidemics, including Bartlett [4], Bailey [1], D. G. Kendall [12], Neyman and Scott [13], Whittle [16], to name a few. Mathematical complexity involved in some of the epidemic models has aroused the interest of many others. For example, the general stochastic epidemic model where a population consists of susceptibles, infectives, and immunes (see [2], p. 39), has motivated Kendall to suggest an ingenious device. Other authors also have investigated various aspects of the problem. (See, for example, Daniels [8], Downton [9], Gani [11] and Siskind [15].) The model discussed in the present paper deals with a closed population without removal of infectives, a special case of which has been studied very extensively by Bailey [3]. Following Bailey, we label it "a time dependent simple stochastic epidemic."

In a simple stochastic epidemic model, a population consists of two groups of individuals: susceptibles and infectives; there are no removals, no deaths, no immunes, and no recoveries from infection. At the initial time t = 0, there are N susceptibles and 1 infective. For each time t, for t > 0, there are a number of infectives denoted by Y(t) and a number of uninfected susceptibles X(t), with Y(t) + X(t) = N + 1, the total population size remaining unchanged. Our primary purpose is to derive an explicit solution for the probability distribution of the random variable Y(t),

(1)
$$P_{1n}(0,t) = Pr\{Y(t) = n | Y(0) = 1\}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N+1.$$

For each interval $(\tau, t), 0 \leq \tau \leq t < \infty$, and for each *n*, we assume the existence of a nonnegative continuous function $\beta_n(\tau)$ such that

(2)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{n,m}(\tau,t)\Big|_{t=\tau} = \begin{cases} -\beta_n(\tau) & \text{for } m = n, \\ \beta_n(\tau) & \text{for } m = n+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Under the assumption of homogeneous mixing of the population, we let 147