## SECTION 4

## Packing and Covering in Euclidean Spaces

The maximal inequality from Theorem 3.6 will be useful only if we have suitable bounds for the packing numbers of the set $\mathcal{F}$. This section presents a method for finding such bounds, based on a geometric property that transforms calculation of packing numbers into a combinatorial exercise.

The combinatorial approach generalizes the concept of a Vapnik-Červonenkis class of sets. It identifies certain subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ that behave somewhat like compact sets of lower dimension; the bounds on the packing numbers grow geometrically, at a rate determined by the lower dimension. For comparison's sake, let us first establish the bound for genuinely lower dimensional sets.
(4.1) Lemma. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a subset of a $V$ dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ has finite diameter $R$, then

$$
D(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}) \leq\left(\frac{3 R}{\epsilon}\right)^{V} \quad \text { for } 0<\epsilon \leq R
$$

Proof. Because Euclidean distances are invariant under rotation, we may identify $\mathcal{F}$ with a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{V}$ for the purposes of calculating the packing number $D(\epsilon, \mathcal{F})$. Let $\mathbf{f}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_{m}$ be points in $\mathcal{F}$ with $\left|\mathbf{f}_{i}-\mathbf{f}_{j}\right|>\epsilon$ for $i \neq j$. Let $B_{i}$ be the ( $V$-dimensional) ball of radius $\epsilon / 2$ and center $\mathbf{f}_{i}$. These m balls are disjoint; they occupy a total volume of $m(\epsilon / 2)^{V} \Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ denotes the volume of a unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{V}$. Each $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ lies within a distance $R$ of $\mathbf{f}_{1}$; each $B_{i}$ lies inside a ball of radius $3 / 2 R$ and center $\mathbf{f}_{1}$, a ball of volume $(3 / 2 R)^{V} \Gamma$. It follows that $m \leq(3 R / \epsilon)^{V}$.

A set of dimension $V$ looks thin in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Even if projected down onto a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ it will still look thin, if the subspace has dimension greater than $V$. One way to capture this idea, and thereby create a more general notion of a set being thin, is to think of how much of the space around any particular point can be occupied by

