${\tt c^{1,1}} ext{-}{\tt REGULARITY}$ OF SOLUTIONS TO VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

Gerhard Huisken

INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$ be an open bounded set with smooth boundary and let u be a solution of a variational inequality of the form

(1)
$$u \in K_1$$
; $\langle Au+H(x,u,Du),v-u \rangle \geq 0$ $\forall v \in K_1$

where A is a quasilinear elliptic operator in divergence form

(2)
$$Au = -D_{i}(a^{i}(x,u,Du))^{-1}$$

and the convex set K_1 is given by

(3)
$$K_{1} = \{ v \in H^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \mid v \ge \psi, v \mid_{\partial \Omega} = \phi \}.$$

We also consider the case of Neumann boundary conditions, i.e. solutions of

(4)
$$u \in K_2$$
; $<, $v-u>> \ge 0$ $\forall v \in K_2$$

(5)
$$K_{2} = \{ v \in H^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \mid v \geq \psi \}$$

where

(6)
$$\langle\langle Au, \eta \rangle\rangle = \int_{\Omega} a^{i}(x, u, Du) \cdot D_{i} \eta dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} \beta \cdot \eta dH_{n-1}$$

for some function $\,\beta\,$ on $\,\partial\Omega$.

¹⁾ Here and in the following we sum over repeated indices.

In particular a capillary surface with contact angle $\,\beta$, lying above an obstacle $\,\psi\,$ is included in this setting.

The solution u of (1) or (4) will be of class $\operatorname{H}^{2,p}(\Omega)$ for any finite p, provided the data are sufficiently smooth. Moreover it is well-known that the second derivatives of u could at most be bounded.

In the interior, this borderline regularity result has been established first by Frehse [2,3] for linear elliptic operators. General quasilinear elliptic operators have been studied by Gerhardt [4] and independently Brézis-Kinderlehrer [1]. Jensen [7] obtained a first global result for linear operators and Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Here we want to survey recent results on nonlinear elliptic operators

A in the case of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions.

GLOBAL C^{1,1}-REGULARITY

THEOREM 1 Let $\partial\Omega$ be of class $C^{3,\alpha}$, $\varphi\in C^3(\bar\Omega)$, $\psi\in C^2(\bar\Omega)$, and assume that the a^i 's are of class C^2 in x and u and of class C^3 in the p-variable, and that H is of class C^1 in all its arguments. Then, any solution of the variational inequality (1) is in $H^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$.

In the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions, Gerhardt [5] proved

In the Neumann case we have [6]:

THEOREM 2 Let $\partial\Omega$, μ , ψ and the a^i 's be as above. Furthermore assume that $\beta\in c^2(\partial\Omega)$ satisfies

(7)
$$-a^{i}(x,\psi,D\psi) \circ \gamma_{i} \geq \beta \qquad \forall x \in \partial \Omega$$

where γ is the outer unit normal at $\partial\Omega$. Then any solution of the variational inequality (4) is in $H^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$.

In both theorems we can replace C^m by $C^{m-1,1}$.

We give a short outline of the proofs, which depend on a priori estimates for the solutions of approximating problems.

Let u_0 be a solution of (1) or (4), which is known to be in $H^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and therefore satisfies

$$1 + \left| \mathbf{u}_{0} \right|_{\infty} + \left| \mathbf{D} \mathbf{u}_{0} \right|_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{M}$$

for some $\,M>0$. Then we can change the operators A and H into operators $\stackrel{\sim}{A}$ and $\stackrel{\sim}{H}$ with suitable growth properties such that $\stackrel{\sim}{A}$ and $\stackrel{\sim}{H}$ coincide with A and H on all functions v satisfying

(9)
$$1 + |v|_{m} + |Dv|_{m} \leq 2M.$$

In particular we have

$$(10) \qquad \tilde{A}u_0 + \tilde{H}u_0 = Au_0 + Hu_0$$

and the approximating boundary value problems

(11)
$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{A}u_{\mu} + \widetilde{H}u_{\mu} + \gamma u_{\mu} + \mu\theta (u_{\mu} - \psi) &= \gamma u_{0} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_{\mu} |_{\partial\Omega} &= \phi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ (\text{resp. } -\widetilde{a}^{i}(x, u_{\mu}, Du_{\mu}) \cdot \gamma_{i} &= \beta) \end{aligned}$$

have a smooth solution $\,u_{\mu}^{}\,$ for any $\,\mu\,>\,0$, $\,$ if $\,\gamma\,$ is large enough. Here we use the penalization

(12)
$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \ge 0 \\ -t^2 & t \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Our aim is to bound the $\operatorname{H}^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ -norm of u_{μ} independently of μ . Then we can easily conclude that in the limit $\mu \to \infty$ a subsequence of the u_{μ} will converge to u_0 , which therefore lies in $\operatorname{H}^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$.

In order to establish the a priori estimate, we have to control the penalization term in (11). Using the maximum principle one can show

LEMMA Let
$$u_{\mu}$$
 be a solution of (11). Then $u_{\mu} - \psi \ge -c \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$(13) \mu |\theta(u-\psi)| \leq c^2$$

where

$$c^2 = \sup_{\Omega} |A\psi + H\psi|$$
 , $c > 0$.

We remark that in the case of Neumann boundary conditions the assumption (7) is absolutely essential for the validity of the Lemma.

It was an idea of Jensen [7], to estimate the quantity

$$W = \lambda \cdot a^{k} \mathcal{D}_{k} \mathcal{D}_{\ell} u \pm \mathcal{D}_{r} \mathcal{D}_{s} u$$

from below, first for $1 \le r, s \le n-1$, then for $1 \le r \le n-1$, s = n . Here we have

(15)
$$a^{k\ell} = \frac{\partial a^{k-}}{\partial x^{\ell}}$$

and $\lambda>0$ is large. This yields an estimate for D_rD_su since $a^{k\ell}D_kD_\ell u$ is bounded. The remaining derivative D_nD_nu can then be estimated in view of the ellipticity of A.

It turns out that in the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions ([5]) the tangential derivatives $D_{\mathbf{r}}D_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{u}$ are easy to handle in view of the boundary condition, whereas the normal derivative $D_{\mathbf{n}}D_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{u}$ causes some

difficulties. Vice versa, in the Neumann case ([6]) the hard part of the proof is to obtain an estimate on the tangential derivatives, whereas the normal derivative can then be easily estimated by differentiating the boundary condition.

Finally, using the Stampacchia-iteration approach it is possible to obtain the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{\mu}\|_{2,\infty,\Omega} \leq c_{\varepsilon} \cdot (1 + \|\mathbf{u}_{\mu}\|_{2,\infty,\Omega})^{\varepsilon}$$

for any $~0<\epsilon<1$, where $~C_{\epsilon}~$ does not depend on $~\mu$. This yields an estimate for $~\|u_{ll}\|_{2.\infty}$.

We remark that this method unfortunately does not yield a local estimate near the boundary.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brézis, H., Kinderlehrer, D. The smoothness of solutions to nonlinear variational inequalities, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 (1974), 831-844.
- [2] Frehse, J. On the regularity of the solution of a second order variational inequality, Bull. Un. Mat. Ital. $\underline{6}$ (1972), 312-315.
- [3] Frehse, J. On the regularity of solutions of linear elliptic variational inequalities (unpublished).
- [4] Gerhardt, C. Regularity of solutions of nonlinear variational inequalities, Archive Rational Mech. Analysis, 52 (1973), 389-393.
- [5] Gerhardt, C. Global C^{1,1}-regularity for solutions to quasi-linear variational inequalities, to appear.
- [6] Huisken, G. Capillary surfaces over obstacles, CMA Report 07, (1983), Australian National University.

[7] Jensen, R. Boundary regularity for variational inequalities. Indiana
Univ. Math. J. <u>29</u> (1980), 495-504.

Centre for Mathematical Analysis Australian National University Canberra ACT 2601 AUSTRALIA