

A pathwise interpretation of the Gorin-Shkolnikov identity

Yuu Hariya*

Abstract

In a recent paper by Gorin and Shkolnikov (2016), they have found, as a corollary to their result relevant to random matrix theory, that the area below a normalized Brownian excursion minus one half of the integral of the square of its total local time, is identical in law with a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $1/12$. In this paper, we give a pathwise interpretation to their identity; Jeulin's identity connecting normalized Brownian excursion and its local time plays an essential role in the exposition.

Keywords: normalized Brownian excursion; local time; Jeulin's identity.

AMS MSC 2010: 60J65; 60J55.

Submitted to ECP on March 22, 2016, final version accepted on July 18, 2016.

Supersedes arXiv:1603.04537v1.

1 Introduction

Let $r = \{r_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ be a normalized Brownian excursion, that is, it is identical in law with a standard 3-dimensional Bessel bridge, which has the duration $[0, 1]$, and starts from and ends at the origin; see e.g., [1, Section (2.2)] and references therein for the definition of normalized Brownian excursion and its equivalence in law with standard 3-dimensional Bessel bridge. We denote by $l = \{l_x\}_{x \geq 0}$ the total local time process of r ; namely, by the occupation time formula, two processes r and l are related in particular via

$$H(x) := \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{\{r_t \leq x\}} dt = \int_0^x l_y dy \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0, \text{ a.s.} \quad (1.1)$$

In a recent paper [3], Gorin and Shkolnikov have found the following remarkable identity in law as a corollary to one of their results:

Theorem 1.1 ([3], Corollary 2.15). *The random variable X defined by*

$$X := \int_0^1 r_t dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (l_x)^2 dx$$

is a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $1/12$.

*Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Japan. E-mail: hariya@math.tohoku.ac.jp

In [3, Proposition 2.14], they show that the expected value of the trace of a random operator indexed by $T > 0$, arising from random matrix theory, admits the representation

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi T^3}} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{T^{3/2}}{2} X \right) \right]$$

for any $T > 0$; in comparison of this expression with the existing literature asserting that the expected value is equal to $\sqrt{2/(\pi T^3)} \exp(T^3/96)$ for every $T > 0$, they obtain Theorem 1.1 by the analytic continuation and the uniqueness of characteristic functions.

In this paper, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 without relying on random matrix theory; Jeulin’s identity in law ([6, p. 264]):

$$\{r_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} l_{H^{-1}(t)} \right\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \tag{1.2}$$

with

$$H^{-1}(t) := \inf \{x \geq 0; H(x) \geq t\},$$

plays a central role in the proof. For the identity (1.2), we also refer to [1, Proposition 3.6 and Théorème (5.3)].

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 and provide some relevant results.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall from the representation of r by means of a stochastic differential equation (see, e.g., [7, Chapter XI, Exercise (3.11)]) that the process $W = \{W_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ defined by

$$W_t := r_t - \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{r_s} - \frac{r_s}{1-s} \right) ds \tag{2.1}$$

is a standard Brownian motion. We integrate both sides over $[0, 1]$; since

$$\int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{r_s} - \frac{r_s}{1-s} \right| ds < \infty \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{2.2}$$

(see Remark 2.1 (3) below), we may use Fubini’s theorem on the right-hand side to have the identity

$$\int_0^1 W_t dt = \int_0^1 r_t dt - \int_0^1 ds \left(\frac{1}{r_s} - \frac{r_s}{1-s} \right) \int_s^1 dt,$$

which entails

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 W_t dt = \int_0^1 r_t dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{r_t} dt. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that the left-hand side is a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $1/12$. As to the right-hand side, there holds the identity in law

$$\left(\int_0^1 r_t dt, \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{r_t} dt \right) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (l_x)^2 dx, \int_0^1 r_t dt \right). \tag{2.4}$$

Indeed, by Jeulin’s identity (1.2), the left-hand side of (2.4) is identical in law with

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 l_{H^{-1}(t)} dt, \int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{l_{H^{-1}(t)}} dt \right),$$

which is equal, by changing variables with $t = H(x)$, $x \geq 0$, to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty l_x H'(x) dx, \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - H(x)}{l_x} H'(x) dx \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (l_x)^2 dx, \int_0^\infty dx \int_0^1 dt \mathbf{1}_{\{r_t > x\}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (l_x)^2 dx, \int_0^1 r_t dt \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where the second line follows from the definition (1.1) of H and the third from Fubini's theorem. Therefore combining (2.3) and (2.4) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 W_t dt \stackrel{(d)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (l_x)^2 dx - \int_0^1 r_t dt$$

and concludes the proof. □

We give a remark on the proof. In what follows we denote

$$M(r) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} r_t.$$

Remark 2.1. (1) We see from (1.1) that a.s.,

$$\int_0^\infty l_y dy = \int_0^{M(r)} l_y dy = 1.$$

Therefore, to be more specific, the second integral in (2.5) should be written as

$$\int_0^{M(r)} \frac{1 - H(x)}{l_x} H'(x) dx.$$

(2) By the time-reversal $\{r_{1-t}\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \{r_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$, two-dimensional random variables in (2.4) are also identical in law with

$$\left(\int_0^1 r_t dt, \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{t}{r_t} dt \right).$$

These identities in law indicate in particular that the following four random variables have the same law:

$$\int_0^1 r_t dt, \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (l_x)^2 dx, \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1-t}{r_t} dt, \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{t}{r_t} dt.$$

As to the equivalence in law between the first two random variables, see [2, Theorem 2.1] for its generalization involving an independent uniform random variable on $(0, 1)$. The Laplace transform of the law of $\int_0^1 r_t dt$ is given in [4, Lemma 4.2] and [1, Proposition (5.5)] in terms of a series expansion.

(3) The a.s. finiteness (2.2) may be deduced from the proof of [5, Théorème (6,40)b)]. For the reader's convenience, we give a proof of (2.2) here, which will be done in a stronger statement that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{ds}{r_s} \right] < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{r_s}{1-s} ds \right] < \infty. \tag{2.6}$$

To this end, recall the identity in law:

$$\{(r_t)^2\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \left\{ (b_t^1)^2 + (b_t^2)^2 + (b_t^3)^2 \right\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $b^i = \{b_t^i\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are independent, standard Brownian bridges. Noting that $a^{-1} = \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda a^2} / \sqrt{\pi \lambda}) d\lambda$ for any $a > 0$, we have by Fubini's theorem and independence of b^i 's,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{r_s} \right] &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi \lambda}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ -\lambda (b_s^i)^2 \right\} \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\pi \lambda}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda s(1-s) + 1}} \right)^3 \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi s(1-s)}} \end{aligned}$$

for every $0 < s < 1$, where the last line may be seen by changing variables with $2\lambda s(1-s) = \tan^2 \theta$, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$. Therefore by Fubini's theorem,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{ds}{r_s} \right] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s(1-s)}} = \sqrt{2\pi}$$

(cf. [7, Chapter XI, Exercise (3.9)]). In particular, we have the former finiteness in (2.6). As to the latter, we argue along the same lines as in the proof of [5, Proposition (6,37)] to see, by Schwarz's inequality and (2.7), that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [r_s] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(b_s^1)^2 + (b_s^2)^2 + (b_s^3)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{3s(1-s)} \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq s \leq 1$, and hence by Fubini's theorem,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{r_s}{1-s} ds \right] \leq \int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{3s}{1-s}} ds,$$

which is finite as claimed.

Using the same reasoning as the proof of Theorem 1.1, we may extend Theorem 1.1 to

Proposition 2.2. *For every positive integer n , the random variable*

$$2 \int_{[0,1]^n} \min \{r_{t_1}, \dots, r_{t_n}\} dt_1 \dots dt_n - \frac{n+1}{2} \int_0^\infty (1-H(x))^{n-1} (l_x)^2 dx$$

has the Gaussian distribution with mean zero and variance $1/(2n+1)$.

Proof. For each fixed n , we multiply both sides of (2.1) by $(1-t)^{n-1}$ and integrate them over $[0, 1]$. Then using Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} W_t dt = \frac{n+1}{n} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} r_t dt - \frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^n}{r_t} dt. \tag{2.8}$$

Since the left-hand side may be expressed as $(1/n) \int_0^1 (1-t)^n dW_t$, we see that it is a centered Gaussian random variable with variance

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{2n} dt = \frac{1}{n^2(2n+1)}.$$

On the other hand, for the right-hand side of (2.8), we have

$$\left(\int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} r_t dt, \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^n}{r_t} dt \right) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (1-H(x))^{n-1} (l_x)^2 dx, 2 \int_{[0,1]^n} \min\{r_{t_1}, \dots, r_{t_n}\} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right). \tag{2.9}$$

Indeed, Jeulin’s identity (1.2) entails that the left-hand side of (2.9) has the same law as

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-1} l_{H^{-1}(t)} dt, 2 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^n}{l_{H^{-1}(t)}} dt \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (1-H(x))^{n-1} (l_x)^2 dx, 2 \int_0^{M(r)} (1-H(x))^n dx \right).$$

By (1.1), we may rewrite the integral in the second coordinate of the last expression as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{M(r)} dx \left(\int_0^1 dt \mathbf{1}_{\{r_t > x\}} \right)^n &= \int_0^{M(r)} dx \int_{[0,1]^n} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{r_{t_i} > x\}} \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^n} \min\{r_{t_1}, \dots, r_{t_n}\} dt_1 \cdots dt_n, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Fubini’s theorem for the second equality. Therefore we obtain (2.9). Combining (2.8) and (2.9) leads to the conclusion. \square

We end this paper with a comment on a relevant fact which is deduced from the proof of Proposition 2.2 and which, as far as we know, has not ever been pointed out.

Remark 2.3. It is known (see, e.g., [1, Equation (5d)]) that

$$M(r) \stackrel{(d)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{r_t};$$

indeed, Jeulin’s identity (1.2) entails that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{r_t} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_0^{M(r)} \frac{1}{l_x} \times l_x dx = M(r).$$

Combining this fact with a part of the proof of Proposition 2.2, one sees that the sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of random variables given by

$$X_0 = M(r) \quad \text{and} \quad X_n = \int_{[0,1]^n} \min\{r_{t_1}, \dots, r_{t_n}\} dt_1 \cdots dt_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

is identical in law with

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-t)^n}{r_t} dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

as well as with

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{t^n}{r_t} dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

by the time-reversal. As an application, one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^2} |r_{t_1} - r_{t_2}| dt_1 dt_2 &= 2(X_1 - X_2) \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \int_0^1 \frac{t(1-t)}{r_t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Ph. Biane, M. Yor, Valeurs principales associées aux temps locaux browniens, *Bull. Sci. Math. 2e Série* **111** (1987), 23–101. MR-886959
- [2] M. Csörgő, Z. Shi, M. Yor, Some asymptotic properties of the local time of the uniform empirical process, *Bernoulli* **5** (1999), 1035–1058. MR-1735784
- [3] V. Gorin, M. Shkolnikov, Stochastic Airy semigroup through tridiagonal matrices, arXiv:1601.06800
- [4] P. Groeneboom, Brownian motion with a parabolic drift and Airy functions, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **81** (1989), 79–109. MR-981568
- [5] T. Jeulin, Semi-martingales et grossissement d’une filtration, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **833**, Springer, Berlin, 1980. MR-604176
- [6] T. Jeulin, Application de la théorie du grossissement à l’étude des temps locaux browniens, in *Grossissements de filtrations: exemples et applications*, Séminaire de Calcul Stochastique 1982/83 Université Paris VI, 197–304, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1118**, Springer, Berlin, 1985. MR-884713
- [7] D. Revuz, M. Yor, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, 3rd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1999. MR-1725357

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for constructive suggestions, which helped to improve the paper.