A HOMING PROBLEM FOR DIFFUSION PROCESSES WITH CONTROL-DEPENDENT VARIANCE¹

By Mario Lefebvre

École Polytechnique de Montréal

Controlled one-dimensional diffusion processes, with infinitesimal variance (instead of the infinitesimal mean) depending on the control variable, are considered in an interval located on the positive half-line. The process is controlled until it reaches either end of the interval. The aim is to minimize the expected value of a cost criterion with quadratic control costs on the way and a final cost equal to zero (resp. a large constant) if the process exits the interval through its left (resp. right) end point. Explicit expressions are obtained both for the optimal value of the control variable and the value function when the infinitesimal parameters of the processes are proportional to a power of the state variable.

1. Introduction. Let x(t) be a one-dimensional controlled diffusion process defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dx(t) = a[x(t), t] dt + b[x(t), t]u(t) dt + \{v[x(t), t]\}^{1/2} dW(t),$$

where $v(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive and W(t) is a standard Brownian motion, and define

$$\tau(x) := \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : x(t) \in \{d_1, d_2\} \mid x(0) = x \in [d_1, d_2] \right\}$$

Using a result due to Whittle [(1982), page 289], we can show that if the uncontrolled process y(t) that corresponds to x(t) is certain to leave the interval $[d_1, d_2]$, then the value of the control u(t) that minimizes the expected value of the cost criterion

$$J(x) = \int_0^{\tau(x)} \frac{1}{2} q[x(t), t] u^2(t) dt + K(x(\tau), \tau),$$

where $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive and $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a general termination cost function, can be obtained from the mathematical expectation

$$E\left[e^{-K(y(\tau),\tau)/\alpha}\big|y(0)=x\right],$$

in which α is a positive parameter such that the relation

$$v = \alpha b^2/q$$

Received September 2001; revised May 2003.

¹Supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

AMS 2000 subject classifications. Primary 93E20; secondary 60J60.

Key words and phrases. Dynamic programming equation, stochastic differential equation, hitting place, Brownian motion.

holds. Actually, the mathematical expectation above is equal to $e^{-F(x)/\alpha}$, where F(x) is the value function defined by

(1)
$$F(x) = \inf_{u(t), 0 \le t \le \tau(x)} E[J(x)].$$

Whittle has termed this type of problem *LQG homing*. It can be generalized [see Lefebvre (1989, 1997)] in particular by using a risk-sensitive cost criterion instead of J(x) [see Kuhn (1985) and Whittle (1990), page 222].

Next, the author [Lefebvre (2001)] modified the problem set up by Whittle by considering the controlled process defined by

$$dx(t) = b[x(t)]u(t) dt + \{v[x(t)]|u(t)|\}^{1/2} dW(t)$$

and the cost criterion

$$J(x) = \int_0^{\tau(x)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q[x(t)] u^2(t) + \lambda \right\} dt,$$

where $q(\cdot)$ is positive, λ is a positive parameter and

$$\tau(x) := \inf \{ t \ge 0 : |x(t)| = d \mid x(0) = x \in [-d, d] \}.$$

He found, under some symmetry assumptions, that the control that minimizes the expected value of J(x) is given by

$$u^* = \left(\frac{2\lambda}{q(x)}\right)^{1/2}$$
 when $0 \le x \le d$.

He also gave a probabilistic interpretation to the value function F(x) and, finally, he computed explicitly this function in the most important cases, for instance, the cases when x(t) with $u(t) \equiv 1$ is a Wiener process or a geometric Brownian motion.

In the present paper, we assume that the controlled stochastic process X(t) obeys the stochastic differential equation

(2)
$$dX(t) = f[X(t)]dt + \{v[X(t)]|u(t)|\}^{1/2} dW(t),$$

where f(x) and v(x) are positive functions for $x > d_1$, and we let

$$\tau(x) := \inf \left\{ t \ge 0 : X(t) \in \{d_1, d_2\} \mid X(0) = x \in [d_1, d_2] \right\}$$

with $d_1 \ge 0$. Therefore, it is the infinitesimal variance of the controlled process X(t) that is control-dependent, rather than its infinitesimal mean, as in Whittle [(1982), page 289]. The cost criterion is

(3)
$$J(x) = \int_0^{\tau(x)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q[X(t)] u^2(t) + \lambda \right\} dt + K \{ X[\tau(x)] \}.$$

In J(x), the parameter λ can now take any real value and $K(\cdot)$ is defined by

$$K\{X[\tau(x)]\} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } X[\tau(x)] = d_1, \\ K_0, & \text{if } X[\tau(x)] = d_2, \end{cases}$$

where K_0 is a (large enough) positive constant. Thus, if λ is positive, then the aim is to make the controlled process X(t) leave the interval (d_1, d_2) as soon as possible and through its left end point d_1 , whereas when λ is negative there is a reward given for survival in (d_1, d_2) . If $\lambda = 0$, time spent in the continuation region (d_1, d_2) is neither directly rewarded nor penalized; however, because the function $q(\cdot)$ is assumed to be strictly positive, the sooner X(t) exits (d_1, d_2) , the better. In all cases, the quadratic control costs must of course be taken into account.

In the next section, the optimal value of the control variable will be computed. The case when the functions f, v and q are proportional to $X^n(t)$, where $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, will be treated thoroughly. Particular examples, including the case when X(t) with $u(t) \equiv 1$ is a Wiener process, will be presented in Section 3. Finally, a few concluding remarks will be made in Section 4.

2. Computation of the optimal control. Let F(x) be the value function defined in (1). Assuming that it exists and is twice differentiable, we can easily show that it satisfies the dynamic programming equation

(4)
$$0 = \inf_{u} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}q(x)u^{2} + \lambda + f(x)F'(x) + \frac{1}{2}v(x)|u|F''(x) \right\}$$

for $d_1 < x < d_2$, where u := u(0). Moreover, the boundary conditions are

(5)
$$F(d_1) = 0$$
 and $F(d_2) = K_0$.

Now, since u(t) only appears in absolute value in the stochastic differential equation (2) and squared in the cost criterion (3), the sign of u(t) is actually irrelevant. Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that u is nonnegative and it then follows at once that the optimal control u^* is given by

(6)
$$u^* = -\frac{v(x)}{2q(x)}F''(x) \ (\ge 0).$$

REMARKS. 1. We will have to check below that F''(x) is indeed less than or equal to zero. Actually, we cannot have F''(x) = 0 and satisfy both boundary conditions in (5). So, F''(x) should in fact be strictly negative.

- 2. We have assumed above that the function f(x) is positive if $x > d_1$. Notice that if f(x) is negative for $x \in [d_1, d_2]$ and $\lambda = 0$, then the optimal control is trivially given by $u^* \equiv 0$. Indeed, we then obtain that F(x) = 0 (for $d_1 \le x < d_2$), which is clearly the smallest value that F(x) can take. However, when we choose a parameter λ different from zero, the case $f(x) \neq 0$ could be considered.
- 3. If the parameter $\lambda = 0$, then the function F(x) takes its values in the interval $[0, K_0]$.
- 4. In some cases, the origin is an inaccessible boundary for the uncontrolled process $X_1(t)$ obtained by setting $u(t) \equiv 1$; that is, the origin cannot be reached

in finite time. This is true, in particular, when $X_1(t)$ is a geometric Brownian motion defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_1(t) = X_1(t) dt + |X_1(t)| dW(t)$$

Therefore, it is natural to choose d_1 strictly positive in such a case. However, in other cases d_1 can be chosen equal to zero in a very legitimate way.

Next, substituting the optimal control u^* into the dynamic programming equation (4), we find that the value function F(x) satisfies the second-order nonlinear ordinary differential equation

(7)
$$0 = \lambda + f(x)F'(x) - \frac{v^2(x)}{8q(x)}[F''(x)]^2.$$

Summing up, we may state the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. If the value function F(x) exists and is twice differentiable, then the optimal control u^* is given by the formula (6). Moreover, the function F(x) can be obtained by solving the ordinary differential equation (7), subject to the boundary conditions (5).

REMARK. Note that for the problem set up above to make sense, we must have $\lambda + f(x)F'(x) \ge 0$ [see (7)].

Next, we will solve explicitly the nonlinear ordinary differential equation (7) in two particular cases.

CASE 1. Assume first that $f(x) \equiv f_0$, a positive constant, and that

(8)
$$\frac{v^2(x)}{8q(x)} := h(x) = h_0 x^n,$$

where h_0 is also a positive constant and $n \in \{-2, -1, \dots, 4\}$.

REMARK. The most important cases for applications are the ones when $f(x) = f_0 x^k$, $v(x) = v_0 x^j$ and $q(x) = q_0 x^l$ with $j, k, l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Actually, we could also include the case when $f(x) = f_0/x$. Indeed, X(t) with $u(t) \equiv 1$ could then be a Bessel process [if $v(x) \equiv 1$; see Karlin and Taylor (1981), pages 175 and 176, for instance].

When the formula (8) holds [and $f(x) \equiv f_0$], we may rewrite the ordinary

differential equation (7) as

(9)
$$h(x)[F''(x)]^2 = \lambda + f_0 F'(x).$$

Differentiating this differential equation, we obtain that

$$h'(x)[F''(x)]^2 + 2h(x)F''(x)F'''(x) = f_0F''(x).$$

Hence, because we must have F''(x) < 0 (see above), we may write that

(10)
$$2h(x)G'(x) + h'(x)G(x) = f_0,$$

where G(x) := F''(x). The general solution of (10) is given by

$$G(x) = h^{-1/2}(x) \bigg\{ f_0 \int \frac{1}{2h^{1/2}(x)} dx + c \bigg\},\$$

where *c* is a constant. It is now easy to obtain an explicit expression in the case when $h(x) = h_0 x^n$. We find that

(11)
$$G(x) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{h_0}} x^{-n/2} + \frac{f_0}{h_0} \frac{x^{1-n}}{2-n} \quad \text{if } n \neq 0$$

$$G(x) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{h_0}} \frac{1}{x} + \frac{f_0}{h_0} \frac{\ln x}{2x}$$
 if $n = 2$.

Integrating the function G(x) twice, we obtain that the value function F(x) is given by

$$\frac{2c}{\sqrt{h_0}} \frac{x^{2-(n/2)}}{(2-n)(2-n/2)} + \frac{f_0}{h_0} \frac{x^{3-n}}{(2-n)^2(3-n)} + c_1 x + c_0$$

if $n \neq 2, 3, 4$,
$$\frac{c}{\sqrt{h_0}} x(\ln x - 1) + \frac{f_0}{4h_0} x(\ln^2 x - 2\ln x + 2) + c_1 x + c_0$$

2

if n = 2.

$$-\frac{c}{\sqrt{h_0}} 4x^{1/2} + \frac{f_0}{h_0} \ln x + c_1 x + c_0 \qquad \text{if } n = 3,$$

$$-\frac{c}{\sqrt{h_0}} \ln x - \frac{f_0}{4h_0} \frac{1}{x} + c_1 x + c_0 \qquad \text{if } n = 4,$$

where c_1 and c_0 are constants.

Finally, the constants c, c_1 and c_0 are uniquely determined from the boundary conditions (5) and the equation (9). Actually, we find that $c^2 = \lambda + f_0 c_1$. An example will be presented in the next section.

CASE 2. If $\lambda = 0$ and

$$\frac{v^2(x)}{8q(x)f(x)} := g(x) = g_0 x^m,$$

where g_0 is a positive constant and $m \in \{-4, -3, \dots, 4\}$, we have

(13)
$$g(x)[F''(x)]^2 = F'(x).$$

Proceeding as above, we find that the function F(x) is given by (12), with $f_0 = 1$, n replaced by m and h_0 by g_0 , and that the constants c, c_1 and c_0 are now uniquely determined from the boundary conditions (5) and the ordinary differential equation (13). Here, we find that $c^2 = c_1$. As for Case 1, an example will be provided in the next section.

REMARKS.

- 1. As mentioned above, we must also check that the condition F''(x) < 0 is satisfied.
- 2. As will be seen in the examples presented in Section 3, there is also a restriction on the constant K_0 in the definition of the function $K(\cdot)$.

3. Examples. (a) First, we consider the particular case when $f(x) \equiv f_0$, $v(x) \equiv v_0$ and $q(x) \equiv q_0$, where f_0 , v_0 and q_0 are all positive constants. Then, the controlled process X(t) with $u(t) \equiv 1$ is a Wiener process with infinitesimal parameters f_0 and v_0 . We have

$$h(x) = h_0 = \frac{v_0^2}{8q_0},$$

so that n = 0 in (8). It follows that [see (12)]

$$F(x) = \frac{2f_0q_0}{3v_0^2}x^3 + \frac{c\sqrt{2q_0}}{v_0}x^2 + c_1x + c_0.$$

We find that the ordinary differential equation (9) is satisfied if and only if we take $c^2 = \lambda + f_0 c_1$, as noticed above. It follows that

$$F(x) = \frac{2f_0q_0}{3v_0^2}x^3 + \frac{c\sqrt{2q_0}}{v_0}x^2 + \frac{c^2 - \lambda}{f_0}x + c_0.$$

Next, the boundary condition $F(d_1) = 0$ implies that

$$F(x) = \frac{2f_0q_0}{3v_0^2}(x^3 - d_1^3) + \frac{c\sqrt{2q_0}}{v_0}(x^2 - d_1^2) + \frac{c^2 - \lambda}{f_0}(x - d_1),$$

and finally, $F(d_2) = K_0$ yields that

$$K_0 = \frac{c^2(d_2 - d_1)}{f_0} + \frac{c\sqrt{2q_0}(d_2^2 - d_1^2)}{v_0} + \frac{2f_0q_0(d_2^3 - d_1^3)}{3v_0^2} - \frac{\lambda(d_2 - d_1)}{f_0}.$$

Hence, we have

$$c = \frac{f_0}{2(d_2 - d_1)}$$

$$\times \left[-\frac{\sqrt{2q_0}(d_2^2 - d_1^2)}{v_0} \right]$$

$$\pm \left\{ \frac{2q_0(d_2^2 - d_1^2)^2}{v_0^2} - 4\frac{d_2 - d_1}{f_0} \left(\frac{2f_0q_0(d_2^3 - d_1^3)}{3v_0^2} - \frac{\lambda(d_2 - d_1)}{f_0} - K_0 \right) \right\}^{1/2} \right].$$

To simplify further, we take $f_0 = v_0 = 1$ and $q_0 = 1/2$. We get that

(14)

$$c = -\frac{(d_2 + d_1)}{2} \\
\pm \frac{\{(d_2^2 - d_1^2)^2 - 4(d_2 - d_1)(1/3(d_2^3 - d_1^3) - \lambda(d_2 - d_1) - K_0)\}^{1/2}}{2(d_2 - d_1)}.$$

We then deduce that the constant K_0 must satisfy the inequality

(15)
$$K_0 \ge \lambda (d_2 - d_1) + \frac{1}{12} (d_2 - d_1)^3.$$

Notice that the larger the parameter λ is, the larger K_0 must be. Conversely, if λ is (negative and) small enough, any $K_0 > 0$ is admissible.

To determine whether we must choose the "+" or "-" sign in (14), we will use the fact that we must have F''(x) < 0; that is, with $f_0 = v_0 = 1$ and $q_0 = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$F''(x) \equiv G(x) = 2x + 2c < 0$$
 for $d_1 \le x \le d_2$

This implies that the constant c must be smaller than or equal to $-d_2$. Using (14), we obtain that

(16)
$$\pm \frac{\{(d_2^2 - d_1^2)^2 - 4(d_2 - d_1)(1/3(d_2^3 - d_1^3) - \lambda(d_2 - d_1) - K_0)\}^{1/2}}{2(d_2 - d_1)} < \frac{d_1 - d_2}{2} \ (< 0).$$

Thus, we must choose the "-" sign. Then, we find that (16) implies that

(17)
$$(d_2^2 - d_1^2)^2 - 4(d_2 - d_1)(\frac{1}{3}(d_2^3 - d_1^3) - \lambda(d_2 - d_1) - K_0) > (d_2 - d_1)^4 \iff K_0 > \lambda(d_2 - d_1) + \frac{1}{3}(d_2 - d_1)^3.$$

Since this last condition is more restrictive than the one in (15), this is a condition that must be fulfilled.

792

Summing up, when we choose $f(x) \equiv 1$, $v(x) \equiv 1$ and $q(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2}$, the optimal control is given by

$$u^* = -2(x+c),$$

where the constant c is defined in (14), in which the "-" sign is chosen and the constant K_0 satisfies the condition (17). Furthermore, the value function is

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{3}(x^3 - d_1^3) + c(x^2 - d_1^2) + (c^2 - \lambda)(x - d_1) \quad \text{for } d_1 \le x \le d_2.$$

(b) Next, we consider the controlled stochastic process X(t) defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = X(t) dt + \{X^{2}(t)|u(t)|\}^{1/2} dW(t)$$

and we look for the control u^* that minimizes the expected value of the cost criterion

$$J(x) = \int_0^{\tau(x)} \frac{1}{2} X(t) u(t) dt + K \{ X[\tau(x)] \}.$$

That is, we take f[X(t)] = X(t), $v[X(t)] = X^2(t)$ and q[X(t)] = X(t). Moreover, we set $\lambda = 0$. In the case when $u(t) \equiv 1$, X(t) is a geometric Brownian motion. Notice that

$$\frac{v^2(x)}{8q(x)f(x)} = \frac{x^2}{8} \equiv g(x).$$

It follows that the value function is given by [see (12) with $n \ (=m) = 2$, $f_0 = 1$ and $h_0 \ (=g_0) = \frac{1}{8}$]

$$F(x) = 2\sqrt{2}cx(\ln x - 1) + 2x(\ln^2 x - 2\ln x + 2) + c_1x + c_0$$

and

$$G(x) \equiv F''(x) = 2\sqrt{2}c\frac{1}{x} + 4\frac{\ln x}{x}.$$

Furthermore, the optimal control u^* is

(18)
$$u^* = -\sqrt{2}c - 2\ln x.$$

Next, (13) yields that

$$c^{2} + 2\ln^{2} x + 2\sqrt{2}c\ln x = 2\sqrt{2}c\ln x + 2\ln^{2} x + c_{1} \implies c^{2} = c_{1},$$

which is in fact true for all problems in Case 2. Hence, we have

$$F(x) = 2\sqrt{2}cx(\ln x - 1) + 2x\ln x(\ln x - 2) + 4x + c^2x + c_0.$$

The boundary conditions $F(d_1) = 0$ and $F(d_2) = K_0$ imply that

(19)

$$F(x) = 2\sqrt{2}c[(x\ln x - d_1\ln d_1) - (x - d_1)] + 2(x\ln^2 x - d_1\ln^2 d_1) - 4(x\ln x - d_1\ln d_1) + 4(x - d_1) + c^2(x - d_1),$$

where the constant c is such that

$$K_0 = c^2 (d_2 - d_1) + 2\sqrt{2}c[(d_2 \ln d_2 - d_1 \ln d_1) - (d_2 - d_1)] + 2d_2 \ln^2 d_2 - 2d_1 \ln^2 d_1 - 4d_2 \ln d_2 + 4d_1 \ln d_1 + 4(d_2 - d_1).$$

For simplicity, let $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = d$. Then, we have

$$0 = (d-1)c^{2} + 2\sqrt{2}c[d\ln d - (d-1)] + 2d\ln^{2} d - 4d\ln d + 4(d-1) - K_{0},$$

so that

(20)
$$c = \frac{-2\sqrt{2}(d\ln d - d + 1) \pm [8d\ln^2 d - 8(d - 1)^2 + 4(d - 1)K_0]^{1/2}}{2(d - 1)}.$$

Thus, a first restriction on the constant K_0 is that

$$K_0 \ge 2(d-1) - 2\frac{d}{d-1}\ln^2 d$$
,

where d > 1.

Finally, we know that we must also have

$$F''(x) = 2\sqrt{2}c\frac{1}{x} + 4\frac{\ln x}{x} < 0 \qquad \forall x \in [1, d],$$

which implies that

 $c < -\sqrt{2}\ln d.$

We then deduce from (20) that we must again choose the "-" sign and that the constant K_0 must satisfy the inequality

$$K_0 > 4(d-1) - 4\ln d - 2\ln^2 d.$$

Since

$$4(d-1) - 4\ln d - 2\ln^2 d > 2(d-1) - 2\frac{d}{d-1}\ln^2 d \qquad \forall d > 1,$$

we must impose the constraint

(21)
$$K_0 > 4(d-1-\ln d - \frac{1}{2}\ln^2 d).$$

In summary, when we choose f[X(t)] = X(t), $v[X(t)] = X^2(t)$, q[X(t)] = X(t), $\lambda = 0$, $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = d$ (> 1), the optimal control is given by (18) with the constant *c* defined in (20), in which the "-" sign is chosen and the constant K_0 is such that (21) holds. Moreover, the value function is [see (19) with $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = d$]

$$F(x) = 2x \ln x \left[\ln x + \sqrt{2}c - 2 \right] + (x - 1) \left[c^2 - 2\sqrt{2}c + 4 \right]$$

794

4. Concluding remarks. In this article, the problem of optimally controlling a certain class of one-dimensional diffusion processes was set up and solved exactly. Contrary to the classic formulation, the diffusion processes in question had control-dependent infinitesimal variances rather than infinitesimal means. The objective, when the parameter λ in the cost criterion J(x) defined in (3) is nonnegative, was to incite the controlled process to exit, as soon as possible, the interval $[d_1, d_2]$ at d_1 . When λ is negative, a reward is given for survival in the interval (d_1, d_2) .

In Section 3, two particular cases were treated extensively. The first example involved a controlled Wiener process, whereas in the second example a geometric Brownian motion was optimally controlled. Many other important cases could be considered. For instance, particular Bessel processes could have been used. It would also be interesting to take $f[X(t)] = -\alpha X(t)$, with α a positive constant, $v[X(t)] \equiv v_0 > 0$ and $\lambda < 0$. Then, the controlled process X(t) with $u(t) \equiv 1$ is an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. Since f[X(t)] is negative when X(t) is in the interval $[d_1, d_2]$, we have J(x) = 0 if $\lambda = 0$ and if the optimizer chooses $u(t) \equiv 0$. However, if λ is negative and small enough (i.e., large enough in absolute value), the optimizer can receive a reward overall if the controlled process remains between d_1 and d_2 for a long enough time. Therefore, the optimal control u^* will not always be identical to zero if λ is small and K_0 is not too large. Actually, the situation is similar even when f[X(t)] > 0 in the interval $[d_1, d_2]$. Indeed, if K_0 is not large, the optimizer is better off to let X(t) hit d_2 before d_1 rather than to use a lot of control to make X(t) hit d_1 first; hence the constraints that we must impose on the constant K_0 , as we have seen in the examples presented in Section 3.

Finally, possible extensions of the work presented in this article are the following: first, a two-dimensional version of the optimal control problem could be considered. Also, we could use a risk-sensitive cost criterion rather than J(x).

REFERENCES

- KARLIN, S. and TAYLOR, H. (1981). A Second Course in Stochastic Processes. Academic Press, New York.
- KUHN, J. (1985). The risk-sensitive homing problem. J. Appl. Probab. 22 167-172.
- LEFEBVRE, M. (1989). An extension of a stochastic control theorem due to Whittle. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* **34** 567–568.
- LEFEBVRE, M. (1997). Reducing a nonlinear dynamic programming equation to a Kolmogorov equation. *Optimization* **42** 125–137.

LEFEBVRE, M. (2001). A different class of homing problems. Systems Control Lett. 42 347-352.

WHITTLE, P. (1982). Optimization Over Time 1. Wiley, Chichester.

WHITTLE, P. (1990). Risk-Sensitive Optimal Control. Wiley, Chichester.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET DE GÉNIE INDUSTRIEL ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE DE MONTRÉAL C.P. 6079, SUCCURSALE CENTRE-VILLE MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC CANADA H3C 3A7 E-MAIL: mlefebvre@polymtl.ca