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Let $E_{\beta}$ be the integral operator defined by $E_{\beta}(z)=\left[\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta-1}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(f_{1}(t) / t\right)^{\gamma_{1}} P_{1}^{\zeta_{1}(t)} \cdots\left(f_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{n}}\left(f_{n}(t) / t\right)^{\gamma_{n}} P_{n}^{\zeta_{n}(t)} d t\right]^{1 / \beta}$, where each of the functions $f_{i}$ and $P_{i}$ is, respectively, analytic functions and functions with positive real part defined in the open unit disk for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. The object of this paper is to obtain several univalence conditions for this integral operator. Our main results contain some interesting corollaries as special cases.

## 1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $A$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit $\operatorname{disk} U=\{z: z \in \mathscr{C}:|z|<$ $1\}: S=\{f \in A: f$ is univalent in $U\}$. Also, let $\mathscr{P}$ be the class of all functions which are analytic in $U$ and satisfy $P(0)=1$, $\mathfrak{R}\{P(z)\}>0$. Frasin and Darus [1] defined the family $B(\delta)$, $0 \leq \delta<1$, so that it consists of functions $f \in A$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}-1\right|<1-\delta \quad(z \in U) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we obtain new sufficient conditions for the univalence of the general integral operator $E_{\beta}(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\beta}(z)=\left[\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{i}}\left(\frac{f_{i}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{i}} P_{i}^{\zeta_{i}(t)} d t\right]^{1 / \beta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta \in \mathscr{C}, \alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \zeta_{i} \in \mathscr{C}, f_{i} \in A$, and $P_{i} \in \mathscr{P}$ for all $i=$ $1,2,3, \ldots n$.

Here and throughout in the sequel, every multivalued functions is taken with the principal branch.

Remark 1. Note that the integral operator $E_{\beta}$ generalizes the following operators introduced and studied by several authors as follows.
(i) For $\alpha_{i}=0$, where $i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(z)=\left[\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(\frac{f_{i}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{i}} P_{i}^{\zeta_{i}(t)} d t\right]^{1 / \beta} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by Frasin [2].
(ii) For $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{\beta}^{\zeta}\left(P_{i}\right)(z)=\left[\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n} P_{i}^{\zeta_{i}(t)} d t\right]^{1 / \beta}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by Frasin [3].
(iii) For $\zeta_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\mathscr{J}_{\beta}^{\alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)(z)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left[\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta-1} \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{i}}\left(\frac{f_{i}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{i}} d t\right]^{1 / \beta}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by Frasin [4].
(iv) For $\zeta_{i}=0, \beta=1$, and $i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}^{\alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{i}}\left(\frac{f_{i}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{i}} d t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by Frasin [5].
(v) For $\zeta_{i}=\alpha_{i}=0$ and $i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}^{\beta_{i}} & \left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)(z) \\
& =\left[\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta-1}\left(\frac{f_{1}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{f_{n}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{n}} d t\right]^{1 / \beta} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

introduced and studied by D. Breaz and N. Breaz [6].
(vi) For $\beta=1, \zeta_{i}=\alpha_{i}=0$, and $i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f_{1}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{f_{n}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{n}} d t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by D. Breaz and N. Breaz [6].
(vii) For $\beta=1, \zeta_{i}=\gamma_{i}=0$, and $i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(f_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{n}} d t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by Breaz et al. [7].
(viii) For $\beta=1, n=1, \gamma_{1}=\gamma$, and $\alpha_{i}=\zeta_{i}=0$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha, \gamma}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma}\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

studied in [8].
(ix) For $\beta=1, n=1, \gamma_{1}=\gamma$, and $\alpha_{i}=\zeta_{i}=0$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\gamma}(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma} d t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

studied in [9]. In particular, for $\gamma=1$, we obtain Alexander integral operator which was introduced in [10] as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{t} d t \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(x) For $\beta=1, n=1, \alpha_{i}=\alpha$, and $\gamma_{i}=\zeta_{i}=0$, we obtain the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

studied in [11].
In order to derive our main results, we have to recall here the following lemmas.

Lemma 2 (see [12]). Let $\eta \in \mathscr{C}$ with $\mathfrak{R}(\eta)>0$. If $h \in A$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in U$, then the integral operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\beta}(z)=\left[\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta-1}\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right) d t\right]^{1 / \beta} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{S}$.
Lemma 3 (see [13]). Let $\beta \in \mathscr{C}$ with $\mathfrak{R}(\beta)>0, c \in \mathscr{C}$ with $|c| \leq 1, c \neq-1$. If $h \in A$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \beta}+\left(1+|z|^{2 \beta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\beta h^{\prime}(z)} \right\rvert\, \leq 1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in U$, then the integral operator $F_{\beta}(z)$ defined by (16) is in the class $\delta$.

Lemma 4 (see [14]). If $P(z) \in \mathscr{P}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z P^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\beta P^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{2|z|}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5 (see [9]). If $f(z) \in B(\delta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{(1-\delta)(1+|z|)}{1-|z|} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\delta=0$, so $f \in \delta$.
Lemma 6 (see [9]). If $f(z) \in B(\delta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\delta)(2+|z|)}{1-|z|} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we need the following general Schwarz lemma.
Lemma 7 (see [15]). Let the function $f$ be regular in the disk $U_{R}=\{z:|z|<R\}$, with $|M|<R$ for fixed $M$. If $f(z)$ has one zero with multiplicity order bigger than $m$ for $z=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{R^{m}}|z|^{m}, \quad\left(z \in U_{R}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=e^{i \theta}\left(\frac{M}{R^{m}}\right) z^{m} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is constant.
Lemma 8 (see [16]). If $f \in A$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{5}{4}\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Univalence Conditions for the Operator $E_{\beta}$

We first prove the following theorem.
Theorem 9. Let $f \in B\left(\delta_{i}\right), 0 \leq \delta_{i}<1, P_{i}(z) \in \mathscr{P}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\eta \in \mathscr{C}$ with $\Re(\eta)=a>0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(3\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+2\left|\gamma_{i}\right|\right)\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)+2\left|\zeta_{i}\right|\right]<\min \left\{a ; \frac{1}{2}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the integral operator $E_{\beta}$ defined by (3) is in the class $\mathcal{S}$.
Proof. Define the regular function $h(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left[\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{i}}\left(\frac{f_{i}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{i}} P_{i}^{\zeta_{i}(t)}\right] d t \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(z)=\prod_{i=0}^{n}\left[\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{i}}\left(\frac{f_{i}(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma_{i}} P_{i}^{\zeta_{i}(t)}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h(0)=1-h^{\prime}(0)=0$. Differentiating both sides of logarithmically, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_{i}\left(\frac{z P_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{P_{i}(z)}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\gamma_{i}\right|\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right|  \tag{28}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\zeta_{i}\right|\left|\frac{z P_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{P_{i}(z)}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f_{i} \in B\left(\delta_{i}\right), P_{i}(z) \in \mathscr{P}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$, from (28), (18), (19), and (20), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(\frac{2+|z|}{1-|z|}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\gamma_{i}\right|\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(\frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\zeta_{i}\right|\left(\frac{2|z|}{1-|z|^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(\frac{3}{1-|z|}\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\gamma_{i}\right|\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(\frac{2}{1-|z|}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\zeta_{i}\right|\left(\frac{2}{1-|z|}\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (29) by $\left(1-|z|^{2 \mathfrak{R}(\eta)}\right) / \Re(\eta)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1-|z|^{2 \mathfrak{R}(\eta)}}{(1-|z|) \Re(\eta)}  \tag{30}\\
& \quad \times \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(3\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+2\left|\gamma_{i}\right|\right)\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)+2\left|\zeta_{i}\right|\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for all $z \in U$.
Let us denote $|z|=x, x \in[0,1), \mathfrak{R}(\eta)=a>0$, and $\Phi(x)=\left(1-x^{2 a}\right) /(1-x)$. It is easy to prove that

$$
\Phi(x) \leq \begin{cases}1, & 0<a<1  \tag{31}\\ 2 a, & \frac{1}{2}<a<\infty\end{cases}
$$

From (31), (30), and the hypotheses (24), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1-|z|^{2 a}}{a}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \\
& \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(3\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+2\left|\gamma_{i}\right|\right)\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)+2\left|\zeta_{i}\right|\right], & 0<a<1, \\
2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(3\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+2\left|\gamma_{i}\right|\right)\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)+2\left|\zeta_{i}\right|\right], & \frac{1}{2}<a<\infty\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq 1 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in U$. Applying Lemma 2 for the function $h(z)$, we prove that $E_{\beta}(z) \in \mathcal{S}$.

Letting $n=1, \delta_{1}=\delta, \alpha_{1}=\alpha, \gamma_{1}=\gamma$, and $\zeta_{1}=\zeta$ in Theorem 9 , we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 10. Let $f \in B(\delta), 0 \leq \delta<1, P(z) \in \mathscr{P}$, and all $\eta, \gamma, \zeta \in \mathscr{C}$ with $\mathfrak{R}(\eta)=a>0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
(3|\alpha|+2|\gamma|)(1-\delta)+2|\zeta|<\min \left\{a ; \frac{1}{2}\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then the integral operator $N_{\beta}^{\alpha, \gamma, \zeta}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}^{\alpha, \gamma \zeta \zeta}(z)=\left[\beta \int_{0}^{z} t^{\beta-1}\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)^{\gamma} P^{\zeta}(t) d t\right]^{1 / \beta} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in the class $\delta$.
If we set $\delta=0$ in Corollary 10, we have the following.
Corollary 11. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}, P(z) \in \mathscr{P}$ and all $\eta, \gamma, \zeta \in \mathscr{C}$ with $\Re(\eta)=a>0$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
3|\alpha|+2|\gamma|+2|\zeta|<\min \left\{a ; \frac{1}{2}\right\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the integral operator $N_{\beta}^{\alpha, \gamma, \zeta}$ defined by (34) is in the class $\delta$.

Next, we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 12. Let $\alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \zeta_{i} \in \mathscr{C}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ and each $f_{i} \in A$ satisfies condition (15) with $\Re\left(f_{i}(z) / z\right)>0$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[29\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+16\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right] \leq \begin{cases}4 \Re(\eta), & \text { if } \mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in(0,1),  \tag{36}\\ 4, & \text { if } \mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in[1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

and then, for any complex number $\sigma$ with $\mathfrak{R}(\sigma) \geq \mathfrak{R}(\eta)>0$, the integral operator $N_{\beta}$ defined by (4) is in the class $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}\left(f_{i}(z) / z\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{i}(z)}{z}=P_{i}(z) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{i} \in \mathscr{P}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Differentiating both sides of (37) logarithmically, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i} z}-1=\frac{z P_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{P_{i}(z)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the regular function $h(z)$ as in (25). Thus from (27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right)\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)-\sum_{n=i}^{0} \alpha_{i}  \tag{40}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right)\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 8, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq & \frac{5}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}\right| \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right|+\sum_{n=i}^{0}\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \\
\leq & \frac{5}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left[\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right|+1\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right|+\sum_{n=i}^{0}\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(\frac{5}{4}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right)\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right|\right] \\
& +\frac{9}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(\frac{5}{4}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right)\left(\left|\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}\right|+1\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{9}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right| . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (41) by $\left(1-|z|^{2 \mathfrak{R}(\eta)}\right) / \mathfrak{R}(\eta)$, from Lemma 5 with $\delta=0$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{5}{4}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right)\left(\frac{2}{1-|z|}\right)  \tag{42}\\
& \quad+\frac{9\left(1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|}{4 \Re(\eta)}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in(0,1)$. Define a function $\Phi:(0,1) \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=1-a^{2 a} \quad(0<a<1) . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Phi$ is an increasing function and consequently, for $|z|=$ $a ; z \in U$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}<1-|z|^{2} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus find from (42) and (44) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(5\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+4\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right)}{\Re(\eta)} \\
& +\frac{9 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|}{4 \Re(\eta)}  \tag{45}\\
= & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(29\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+19\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right)}{4 \Re(\eta)}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the hypotheses (36) for $\mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in(0,1)$, we readily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|z|^{2 \mathfrak{R}(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 1 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $\Re(\eta) \in[1, \infty)$, we define a function $\Psi:[1, \infty) \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x)=\frac{1-a^{2 x}}{x}, \quad(0<a<1) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that the function $\Psi$ is decreasing and consequently, for $|z|=a ; \quad z \in U$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)}<1-|z|^{2} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in U$. It follows from (40) and (42) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{29}{4}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+4\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using once again the hypotheses (36) when $\Re(\eta) \in[1, \infty)$, we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-|z|^{2 \Re(\eta)}}{\Re(\eta)}\left|\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq 1 . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally by applying Lemma 2, we conclude that the integral operator $N_{\beta}$ defined by (4) is in the class $\mathcal{S}$.

Letting $n=1, \delta_{1}=\delta, \alpha_{1}=\alpha, \gamma_{1}=\gamma$, and $\zeta_{1}=\zeta$ in Theorem 12, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 13. Let $\alpha, \gamma, \zeta \in \mathscr{C}$, and $f \in A$ satisfies condition (15). If

$$
29|\alpha|+16|\gamma+\zeta| \leq \begin{cases}4 \Re(\eta), & \text { if } \mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in(0,1)  \tag{51}\\ 4, & \text { if } \mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in[1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

then, for any complex number $\sigma$ with $\mathfrak{R}(\sigma) \geq \mathfrak{R}(\eta)>0$, the integral operator $N_{\beta}^{\alpha, \gamma, \zeta}$ defined by (4) is in the class $\mathcal{S}$.

Using Lemma 3, we derive the following theorem.
Theorem 14. Let $\alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \zeta_{i}, \beta \in \mathscr{C}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n, \mathfrak{R}(\beta)>0$, $c \in \mathscr{C}(|c| \leq 1)$ and each $f_{i} \in A$ satisfies condition (15). If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[29\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+16\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right] \leq \begin{cases}4 \beta(1-|c|), & \text { if } \mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in(0,1)  \tag{52}\\ 4(1-|c|), & \text { if } \mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in[1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

then, for any complex number $\sigma$ with $\Re(\sigma) \geq \Re(\eta)>0$, the integral operator $N_{\beta}$ defined by (4) is in the class $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. From (40), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \beta}+\left(1-|z|^{2 \beta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\beta h^{\prime}(z)} \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \beta}+\frac{1-|z|^{2} \beta}{\beta} \\
& \quad \times\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right)\left(\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)}-1\right)\right] \mid \\
& \leq|c|+\frac{\left|1-|z|^{2}\right|}{|\beta|} \\
& \quad \times\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{5}{4}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right)\left(\left.\frac{z f_{i}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{i}(z)} \right\rvert\,+1\right)+\frac{9}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\right] \\
& \leq \\
& \quad|c|+\frac{\left|1-|z|^{2}\right|}{|\beta|}  \tag{53}\\
& \quad \times\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{5}{4}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right)\left(\frac{2}{1-|z|}\right)+\frac{9}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $\beta \in(0,1)$. Define a function $\Phi:(0,1) \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x)=1-a^{2 x} \quad(0<a<1) . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Phi$ is an increasing function and consequently for $|z|=$ $a ; z \in U$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|z|^{2 \beta}<1-|z|^{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus find from (53) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \beta}+\left(1-|z|^{2 \beta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\beta h^{\prime}(z)} \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(29\left|\alpha_{i}\right|+16\left|\gamma_{i}+\zeta_{i}\right|\right)}{4|\beta|} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the hypotheses (52) for $\beta \in(0,1)$, we readily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \beta}+\left(1-|z|^{2 \beta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\beta h^{\prime}(z)} \right\rvert\, \leq 1 . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $\beta \in[1, \infty)$, we define a function $\Psi:[1, \infty) \rightarrow R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x)=\frac{1-a^{2 x}}{x}, \quad(0<a<1) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that the function $\Psi$ is decreasing and consequently for $|z|=a ; z \in U$, and using once again the hypotheses (36) when $\mathfrak{R}(\eta) \in[1, \infty)$, we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|c| z\right|^{2 \beta}+\left(1-|z|^{2 \beta}\right) \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\beta h^{\prime}(z)} \right\rvert\, \leq 1 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by applying Lemma 3, we conclude that $N_{\beta} \in \mathcal{S}$.
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