Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2013, Article ID 262798, 1 page http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/262798

Erratum

Erratum to "Existence of Solutions for Generalized Vector Quasi-Equilibrium Problems by Scalarization Method with Applications"

De-ning Qu^{1,2} and Cao-zong Cheng¹

Correspondence should be addressed to De-ning Qu; qudening@126.com

Received 14 June 2013; Accepted 20 August 2013

Copyright © 2013 D.-n. Qu and C.-z. Cheng. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We found a mistake in Example 15 in the published paper after its publication. The corrected version is as follows.

Example 15. Let $X = Y = Z = \mathbb{R}$, $E = \mathbb{R}_+$, and $F = [-10, 10] \subset Z$, and let e(x) = 1, for all $x \in E$, and $C(x) = \mathbb{R}_+$, for all $x \in E$.

(1) Define

$$G(u) = \begin{cases} [u, u+1], & u \in (0, 10], \\ \{0\}, & u \in [-10, 0]. \end{cases}$$
 (17)

Evidently, G is not usc on F. After simply calculating,

$$\xi_G(x, u) = \begin{cases} u + 1, & x \in E, \ 0 < u \le 10, \\ 0, & x \in E, \ -10 \le u \le 0. \end{cases}$$
 (18)

 ξ_G is not use on $E \times F$ due to the fact that $\{(x, u) \in E \times F : \xi(x, u) \ge 1\} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times (0, 10]$ is not closed.

(2) Consider the following mapping:

$$G(u) = \begin{cases} [u, u+1], & u \in [0, 10], \\ \{0\}, & u \in [-10, 0). \end{cases}$$
(19)

Obviously, *G* is not lsc on *F*. Also, ξ_G fails to be lsc on $E \times F$, where

$$\xi_G(x,u) = \begin{cases} u+1, & x \in E, \ 0 \le u \le 10, \\ 0, & x \in E, \ -10 \le u < 0. \end{cases}$$
 (20)

Furthermore, the following misprints should be noted.

With regard to the conceptions of D-closeness and D-bounded, in page 1, the correct text should be: A is called D-closed [11] if A + clD is closed and D-bounded [11] if for each neighborhood U of zero in Y, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $A \subset \lambda U + D$.

In page 2, left, line 5, the correct text should be: "Incidentally, every TVS such that any singleton is closed is Hausdorff (see [12])."

In page 2, right, line -18, the correct text should be: "From now on, unless otherwise specified, let X, Y and Z be Hausdorff real TVSs and ..."

¹ College of Applied Science, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China

² College of Mathematics, Jilin Normal University, Siping, Jilin 136000, China