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For zero-balanced Gaussian hypergeometric functions $F(a, b ; a+b ; x), a, b>0$, we determine maximal regions of $a b$ plane where well-known Landen identities for the complete elliptic integral of the first kind turn on respective inequalities valid for each $x \in(0,1)$. Thereby an exhausting answer is given to the open problem from the work by Anderson et al., 1990.

## 1. Introduction

Among special functions, the hypergeometric function has perhaps the widest range of applications. For instance, several well-known classes of mathematical physics are particular or limiting cases of it. For real numbers $a, b$, and $c$ with $c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots$, the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b ; c ; x):={ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n)} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in(-1,1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, n):=a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots(a+n-1), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots$, and $(a, 0)=1$ for $a \neq 0$. For many rational triples ( $a, b, c$ ) the function (1.1) can be expressed in terms of elementary functions and long lists of such particular cases are given in [1].

It is clear that small changes of the parameters $a, b, c$ will have small influence on the value of $F(a, b ; c ; x)$. In this paper we will study to what extent some well-known properties of the complete elliptic integral of the first kind,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(x) \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; x^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-x^{2} \sin ^{2} t\right)^{-1 / 2} d t, \quad x \in(0,1) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be extended to $F(a, b ; a+b ; x)$ for $(a, b)$ close to $(1 / 2,1 / 2)$. Recall that $F(a, b ; c ; r)$ is called zero-balanced if $c=a+b$. In the zero-balanced case, there is a logarithmic singularity at $r=1$ and Gauss proved the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a, b ; a+b ; r) \sim-\frac{1}{B(a, b)} \log (1-r) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r$ tends to 1 , where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(z, w) \equiv \frac{\Gamma(z) \Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z+w)}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z>0, \operatorname{Re} w>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the classical beta function. Note that $\Gamma(1 / 2)=\sqrt{\pi}$ and $B(1 / 2,1 / 2)=\pi$, see [ 2 , Chapter 6].
Ramanujan found a much sharper asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(a, b) F(a, b ; a+b ; r)+\log (1-r)=R(a, b)+O((1-r) \log (1-r)) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r$ tends to 1 (see also [3].) Here and in the sequel,

$$
\begin{gather*}
R(a, b) \equiv-\Psi(a)-\Psi(b)-2 \gamma, \quad R\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\log 16  \tag{1.7}\\
\Psi(z) \equiv \frac{d}{d z}(\log \Gamma(z))=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\Gamma(z)}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z>0
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\gamma$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Ramanujan's formula (1.6) is a particular case of another well-known formula given in [2, 15.3.10].

We shall use in the sequel the following assertion which is a mixture of BiernackiKrzyz and related results on the ratio of formal power series [4, 5].

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that the power series $f(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \widehat{f}_{n} x^{n}$ and $g(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \widehat{g}_{n} x^{n}$ have the radius of convergence $r>0$ and $\widehat{g}_{n}>0$ for all $n \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. Denote also

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \widehat{h}_{n} x^{n} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) If the sequence $\left\{\widehat{f}_{n} / \widehat{g}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is monotone increasing then $h(x)$ is also monotone increasing on $(0, r)$.
(2) If the sequence $\left\{\widehat{f}_{n} / \widehat{g}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is monotone decreasing then $h(x)$ is also monotone decreasing on $(0, r)$.
(3) If the sequence $\left\{\widehat{f}_{n} / \widehat{g}_{n}\right\}$ is monotone increasing (decreasing) for $0<n \leq n_{0}$ and monotone decreasing (increasing) for $n>n_{0}$, then there exists $x_{0} \in(0, r)$ such that $h(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on ( $0, x_{0}$ ) and decreasing (increasing) on ( $x_{0}, r$ ).

Some of the most important properties of the elliptic integral $\mathcal{K}(r)$ are the Landen identities [6, p. 507]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nless\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)=(1+r) \not \not K(r), \quad \nless\left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)=\frac{1+r}{2} \not \mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)=\not \subset\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right), r \in(0,1)$. In [4, Page 79], the following problem was raised.
Open Problem 1. Find an analog of Landen's transformation formulas in (1.9) for $F(a, b ; a+$ $b ; r)$. In particular, if $k(r)=F\left(a, b ; a+b ; r^{2}\right)$ and $a, b \in(0,1)$, is it true that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right) \leq C k(r) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C$ and all $r \in(0,1)$ ?
Since $2 \sqrt{r} /(1+r)>r$ for $r \in(0,1), C$ must be greater than 1 .
Some other forms of Landen inequalities can be found in $[7,8]$.
In [4, pp. 20-21] and [9, Theorem 1.4] Gauss' asymptotic formula (1.4) was refined by finding the lower and upper bounds for

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r)=B(a, b) F(a, b ; a+b ; r)+\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \log (1-r), \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $a, b \in(0,1)$ or $a, b \in(1, \infty)$. Our second result gives a full solution to Open Problem 1.
We wish to point out that in [10, Theorem 1.2(1)] it was claimed that for $a, b \in(0,1)$, $c=a+b \leq 1$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(r)=(1+\sqrt{r}) F(a, b ; c ; r)-F\left(a, b ; c ; \frac{4 \sqrt{r}}{(1+\sqrt{r})^{2}}\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is increasing in $r \in(0,1)$. As pointed out by Baricz [11] the proof contains a gap and the correct proof will be given here.

We also found another area in $a b$ plane where the function $s(r)$ is monotone decreasing in $r \in(0,1)$.

## 2. Main Results

Our first result shows that Landen inequalities hold not only in the neighborhood of the point $a=b=1 / 2$ but also in some unbounded parts of $a b$ plane.


Figure 1: The domains $D_{j}, j=1,2,3$ visualized.

Theorem 2.1. For all $a, b>0$ with $a b \leq 1 / 4$ one has that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(a, b ; a+b ; \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right) \leq(1+r) F\left(a, b ; a+b ; r^{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for each $r \in(0,1)$. Also, for $a, b>0,1 / a+1 / b \leq 4$, the reversed inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(a, b ; a+b ; \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}\right) \geq(1+r) F\left(a, b ; a+b ; r^{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

takes place for each $r \in(0,1)$.
In the remaining region $a, b>0 \bigwedge a b>1 / 4 \bigwedge 1 / a+1 / b>4$ neither of the above inequalities holds for each $r \in(0,1)$.

The disjoint regions in $a b$ plane $D_{1}=\{(a, b) \mid a, b>0, a b \leq 1 / 4\}$ and $D_{2}=\{(a, b) \mid$ $a, b>0,1 / a+1 / b \leq 4\}$, where Landen inequalities hold, are shown on the Figure 1.

The only common point of the graphs in Figure 1 is $(1 / 2,1 / 2)$ where equality sign holds.

Two-sided bounds for the ratio of target functions are also possible.
Theorem 2.2. For each $r \in(0,1)$ and $(a, b) \in D_{1}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{(1+r) F\left(a, b ; a+b ; r^{2}\right)}{F\left(a, b ; a+b ; 4 r /(1+r)^{2}\right)} \leq \frac{B(a, b)}{\pi} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $(a, b) \in D_{2}$ the inequalities are reversed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B(a, b)}{\pi} \leq \frac{(1+r) F\left(a, b ; a+b ; r^{2}\right)}{F\left(a, b ; a+b ; 4 r /(1+r)^{2}\right)} \leq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bounds in both pairs of inequalities are sharp and equality is reached for $a=b=1 / 2$.
Some numerical estimations of the constant $C$ in Open Problem 1 follows.
Corollary 2.3. Let $k(\cdot)$ be defined as in Open Problem 1. Then, for each $r \in(0,1)$ and $(a, b) \in D_{1}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{B(a, b)} k(r)<k\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)<2 k(r) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the region $D_{2}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(r)<k\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{r}}{1+r}\right)<\frac{2 \pi}{B(a, b)} k(r) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two-sided bounds for the difference exist in a smaller region $D_{3} \subset D_{1}$ (see Figure 1), where $D_{3}=\{(a, b) \mid a, b>0, a+b \leq 1\}$ and in $D_{2}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $B=B(a, b)$ be the classical Beta function and $R=R(a, b)$ be defined by (1.7).
For $a, b>0, a+b \leq 1$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq(1+\sqrt{r}) F(a, b ; a+b ; r)-F\left(a, b ; a+b ; \frac{4 \sqrt{r}}{(1+\sqrt{r})^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{R-\log 16}{B} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a, b>0,1 / a+1 / b \leq 4$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq F\left(a, b ; a+b ; \frac{4 \sqrt{r}}{(1+\sqrt{r})^{2}}\right)-(1+\sqrt{r}) F(a, b ; a+b ; r) \leq \frac{\log 16-R}{B} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second Landen identity has the following counterpart for hypergeometric functions. The resulting inequalities might be called Landen inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions.

Theorem 2.5. Let $F(x)=F(a, b ; a+b ; x)$.
For $(a, b) \in D_{1}$ and each $x \in(0,1)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}<\frac{F\left(((1-x) /(1+x))^{2}\right)}{(1+x) F\left(1-x^{2}\right)}<\frac{B(a, b)}{2 \pi} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a, b) \in D_{3}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+x) F\left(1-x^{2}\right) \leq 2 F\left(\left(\frac{1-x}{1+x}\right)^{2}\right) \leq(1+x)\left[F\left(1-x^{2}\right)+\frac{R-\log 16}{B}\right] \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $(a, b) \in D_{2}$, one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{B(a, b)}{2 \pi}<\frac{F\left(((1-x) /(1+x))^{2}\right)}{(1+x) F\left(1-x^{2}\right)}<\frac{1}{2}  \tag{2.11}\\
0 \leq(1+x) F\left(1-x^{2}\right)-2 F\left(\left(\frac{1-x}{1+x}\right)^{2}\right) \leq \frac{(1+x)(\log 16-R)}{B} .
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Proofs

Throughout this section we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=F(a, b ; a+b ; x), \quad G(x)=F(a, b ; a+b+1 ; x), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b,(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1 / 2)$ are fixed positive parameters and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}(x)=F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; x\right), \quad G_{0}(x)=F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 2 ; x\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the regions $D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}$ defined as above.
The basic results, which makes possible all proofs in the sequel, are contained in the following.

Lemma 3.1. (1) The function $f(r)=F(r) / F_{0}(r)$ is monotone decreasing in $r \in(0,1)$ on $D_{1}$ and monotone increasing on $D_{2}$.
(2) The function $g(r)=G(r) / G_{0}(r)$ is monotone decreasing on $D_{3}$ and monotone increasing on $D_{2}$.

It should be noted that a general result of this kind was given in [12, Theorem 2.31].

Proof. We shall use Lemma 1.1 in the proof.
Since $\widehat{F}_{n}=(a)_{n}(b)_{n} /(a+b)_{n}(1)_{n}, \widehat{F}_{0_{n}}=\left((1 / 2)_{n} /(1)_{n}\right)^{2}$, applying the lemma one can see that the monotonicity of $\left\{\widehat{F}_{n} /{\widehat{F_{0}}}\right\}$ depends on the sign of

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=T(a, b ; n)=n\left(a b-\frac{1}{4}\right)+a b-\frac{a+b}{4}=C_{1} n+C_{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(a, b) \neq(1 / 2,1 / 2)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{a b}}{\sqrt{a b}+1 / 2} C_{1}-\frac{(\sqrt{a}-\sqrt{b})^{2}}{4} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that
(1) if $C_{1} \leq 0$, that is, $(a, b) \in D_{1}$, then $C_{2}<0$; hence $T_{n}<0$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $f(r)$ is monotone decreasing in $r \in(0,1)$;
(2) if $C_{2} \geq 0$, that is, $(a, b) \in D_{2}$ then $C_{1}>0$, that is, $T_{n}>0, n=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $f(r)$ is monotone increasing in $r$.
In the second case we have $\widehat{G}_{n}=(a)_{n}(b)_{n} /(a+b+1)_{n}(1)_{n}, \widehat{G}_{0 n}=\left((1 / 2)_{n} /(1)_{n}\right)^{2} /(n+1)$ and, proceeding analogously, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}^{\prime}=n\left(a b+a+b-\frac{5}{4}\right)+2 a b-\frac{a+b}{4}-\frac{1}{4}=C_{3} n+C_{4} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) If $(a, b) \in D_{3}$, that is, $a, b>0, a+b \leq 1$, let $a+b=k>0$. Then $a b \leq k^{2} / 4$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{3} \leq \frac{k^{2}}{4}+k-\frac{5}{4}=\frac{(k-1)(k+5)}{4} ; \quad C_{4} \leq \frac{k^{2}}{2}-\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{4}=\frac{(k-1)(2 k+1)}{4} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0<k \leq 1$, it follows that both $C_{3}, C_{4}$ are nonpositive. Therefore $T_{n}^{\prime}<0, n=$ $0,1,2, \ldots$ because both constants cannot be zero simultaneously. By Lemma 1.1, we conclude that the function $g(r)$ is monotone decreasing in $r \in(0,1)$.
(4) If $(a, b) \in D_{2}$, that is, $a, b>0,1 / a+1 / b \leq 4$, then $4 a b \geq a+b \geq 2 \sqrt{a b}$, hence $a b \geq 1 / 4$. Also $a+b \geq 2 \sqrt{a b} \geq 2 \cdot(1 / 2)=1$. Therefore $C_{3} \geq 0$ and $C_{4}=(a b-1 / 4)+(4 a b-a-b) / 4 \geq 0$. As above, we conclude that $T_{n}^{\prime}>0$, $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $g(r)$ is monotone increasing in this case.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By the above lemma, for each $0<x<y<1$ we have $f(x)>f(y)$ on $D_{1}$ and $f(x)<f(y)$ on $D_{2}$.

Putting $x=x(r)=r^{2}, y=y(r)=4 r /(1+r)^{2}$, we get on $D_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F\left(r^{2}\right)}{F_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)}>\frac{F(y)}{F_{0}(y)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, by Landen's identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(y)<\frac{F_{0}(y)}{F_{0}\left(r^{2}\right)} F\left(r^{2}\right)=(1+r) F\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second inequality is obtained analogously.

It is easily seen by (3.3) that in the remaining region the sequence $\left\{\widehat{F}_{n} / \widehat{F}_{0 n}\right\}$ decreases and then increases. By Lemma 1.1, part 3, this means that the function $f(r)$, for some $r_{0} \in$ $(0,1)$, decreases in $\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ and increases in $\left(r_{0}, 1\right)$. Therefore, putting $0<x(r)<y(r)<r_{0}$ and $r_{0}<x(r)<y(r)<1$, one concludes that neither of the given inequalities holds for each $r \in(0,1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Since $f(r)$ is monotone decreasing on $D_{1}$, applying Gauss formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{F(r)}{F_{0}(r)}>\frac{F(r)}{F_{0}(r)}>\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \frac{F(r)}{F_{0}(r)}=\frac{B(1 / 2,1 / 2)}{B(a, b)}=\frac{\pi}{B(a, b)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F(y(r))}{F(x(r))}<\frac{B(a, b)}{\pi} \frac{F_{0}(y(r))}{F_{0}(x(r))}=(1+r) \frac{B(a, b)}{\pi} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Landen identity.
The inequality valid on $D_{2}$ can be proved similarly.
Proof of Theorem 2.4. Both assertions of this theorem are a consequence of the following.
Lemma 3.2. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(r)=(1+\sqrt{r}) F(a, b ; a+b ; r)-F\left(a, b ; a+b ; \frac{4 \sqrt{r}}{(1+\sqrt{r})^{2}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is monotone increasing in $r \in(0,1)$ on $D_{3}$ and monotone decreasing on $D_{2}$.
Proof. Let $z=4 \sqrt{r} /(1+\sqrt{r})^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-z=\frac{(1-\sqrt{r})^{2}}{(1+\sqrt{r})^{2}} ; \quad \frac{d z}{d r}=\frac{2(1-\sqrt{r})}{\sqrt{r}(1+\sqrt{r})^{3}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{1}(r): & 2 \sqrt{r}(1-\sqrt{r}) s^{\prime}(r)=(1-\sqrt{r}) F(a, b ; a+b ; r)+2 \sqrt{r}(1-r) F^{\prime}(a, b ; a+b ; r) \\
& -\frac{4}{1+\sqrt{r}}(1-z) F^{\prime}(a, b ; a+b ; z) \\
= & (1-\sqrt{r}) F(a, b ; a+b ; r)+2 \frac{a b}{a+b} \sqrt{r} F(a, b ; a+b+1 ; r)  \tag{3.13}\\
& -\frac{4 a b}{(a+b)(1+\sqrt{r})} F(a, b ; a+b+1 ; z) \\
= & (1-\sqrt{r}) F(r)+2 \frac{a b}{a+b} \sqrt{r} G(r)-\frac{4 a b}{(a+b)(1+\sqrt{r})} G(z) .
\end{align*}
$$

We used here the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-x) F^{\prime}(a, b ; a+b ; x)=\frac{a b}{a+b} F(a, b ; a+b+1 ; x) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, differentiating the first Landen identity we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{r}} G_{0}(z)=(1-\sqrt{r}) F_{0}(r)+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{r} G_{0}(r) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g(r)$ is monotone decreasing on $D_{3}$ and $0<r<z<1$, we get $g(r)>g(z)$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)<\frac{G_{0}(z)}{G_{0}(r)} G(r) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (3.15), yields

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{1}(r) & >(1-\sqrt{r}) F(r)+2 \frac{a b}{a+b} \sqrt{r} G(r)-\frac{4 a b}{(a+b)(1+\sqrt{r})} \frac{G_{0}(z)}{G_{0}(r)} G(r) \\
& =(1-\sqrt{r}) F(r)+2 \frac{a b}{a+b} \sqrt{r} G(r)-\frac{4 a b}{(a+b)}\left((1-\sqrt{r}) \frac{F_{0}(r)}{G_{0}(r)}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{r}\right) G(r)  \tag{3.17}\\
& =(1-\sqrt{r})\left(F(r)-\frac{4 a b}{(a+b)} \frac{F_{0}(r)}{G_{0}(r)} G(r)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.14) again, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 a b}{(a+b)} \frac{G(r)}{G_{0}(r)}=\frac{F^{\prime}(r)}{F_{0}^{\prime}(r)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{r} s^{\prime}(r)>F(r)-\frac{F^{\prime}(r)}{F_{0}^{\prime}(r)} F_{0}(r)=\frac{F^{2}(r)}{F_{0}^{\prime}(r)}\left(\frac{F_{0}(r)}{F(r)}\right)^{\prime} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last expression is positive on $D_{3}$ because $D_{3} \subset D_{1}$ and, by Lemma 3.1, the function $f(r)=$ $F(r) / F_{0}(r)$ is monotone decreasing on $D_{1}$.

Therefore we proved that the function $s(r)$ is monotone increasing in $r \in(0,1)$ on $D_{3}$.
Remark 3.3. Due to the remark in Section 1, this proof gives an affirmative answer to the 12-years-old hypothesis risen in [10].

Since $g(r)$ is increasing on $D_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)>\frac{G_{0}(z)}{G_{0}(r)} G(r) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, proceeding as before, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{r} s^{\prime}(r)<\frac{F^{2}(r)}{F_{0}^{\prime}(r)}\left(\frac{F_{0}(r)}{F(r)}\right)^{\prime}<0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $f(r)=F(r) / F_{0}(r)$ is monotone increasing on $D_{2}$.
Therefore $s(r)$ is monotone decreasing in $r \in(0,1)$ on $D_{2}$ and the proof of Lemma 3.2 is done.

By Lemma 3.2 we obtain $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} S(r)<s(r)<\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} S(r)$ on $D_{3}$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} S(r)<$ $s(r)<\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} S(r)$ on $D_{2}$.

Evidently, $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} S(r)=0$.
Applying Ramanujan formula (1.6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} s(r) & =\frac{\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}(R-2 \log (1-r)+\log (1-z)+o(1))}{B} \\
& =\frac{\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}}(R-2 \log (1-\sqrt{r})(1+\sqrt{r})+2 \log ((1-\sqrt{r}) /(1+\sqrt{r}))+o(1))}{B} \\
& =\frac{(R-\log 16)}{B} . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The assertion of Theorem 2.4 follows.
Proof of Theorem 2.5. Changing variable $(1-r) /(1+r)=x \in(0,1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{1-x}{1+x} ; \quad 1+r=\frac{2}{1+x} ; \quad \frac{4 r}{(1+r)^{2}}=1-x^{2} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting this in Theorems 2.2 and 2.4, we obtain the assertions of Theorem 2.5.
Remark 3.4. As the referee notes, the results from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 can be generalized for $F(a, b, c ; r)$. This is left to the readers.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the referee for his/her constructive comments. The research of M. Vuorinen was supported by the Academy of Finland (Project 2600066611).

## References

[1] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, Integrals and Series. Vol. 3: More Special Functions, Gordon \& Breach, New York, NY, USA, 1988.
[2] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Eds., Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1965.
[3] R. Askey, "Ramanujan and hypergeometric and basic hypergeometric series," Russian Mathematical Surveys, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 37-86, 1990.
[4] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy, and M. K. Vuorinen, Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley \& Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1997.
[5] F. Belzunce, E.-M. Ortega, and J. M. Ruiz, "On non-monotonic ageing properties from the Laplace transform, with actuarial applications," Insurance: Mathematics \& Economics, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 2007.
[6] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 4th edition, 1958.
[7] A. Baricz, "Landen-type inequality for Bessel functions," Computational Methods and Function Theory, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 373-379, 2005.
[8] A. Baricz, Generalized Bessel Functions of the First Kind, vol. 1994 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2010.
[9] G. D. Anderson, R. W. Barnard, K. C. Richards, M. K. Vamanamurthy, and M. Vuorinen, "Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions," Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 347, no. 5, pp. 1713-1723, 1995.
[10] S.-L. Qiu and M. Vuorinen, "Landen inequalities for hypergeometric functions," Nagoya Mathematical Journal, vol. 154, pp. 31-56, 1999.
[11] A. Baricz, Email to the second author, June 2005.
[12] S. Ponnusamy and M. Vuorinen, "Asymptotic expansions and inequalities for hypergeometric functions," Mathematika, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 278-301, 1997.

