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#### Abstract

A classification of complete Kaehler submanifolds $M_{n}$ in $P_{n+p}(C)$ with scalar curvature $\rho>n^{2}$ is given, resolving a conjecture of K. Ogiue.


## 1. Introduction

Let $P_{n+p}(C)$ be an $(n+p)$-dimensional complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 1. There are a number of conjectures for Kaehler submanifolds in $P_{n+p}(C)$ suggested by K. Ogiue ([9]); some have been resolved under a suitable topological restriction (e.g. $M_{n}$ is complete) (cf. [1], [6], [8], [9], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [17] and [18]). In this direction, one of the open problems is as follows:

Conjecture (K. Ogiue). Let $M_{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete submanifold immersed in $P_{n+p}(C)$. If $\rho>n^{2}$, is $M$ totally geodesic in $P_{n+p}(C)$ ?

In the case where $M_{n}$ is a complete Kaehler submanifold immersed in $P_{n+p}(C)$ which has the Ricci curvature $S>\frac{n}{2}$, it was proved in [9] that such a submanifold $M_{n}$ is totally geodesic in $P_{n+p}(C)$. Recently, in the case of $M_{n}$ having $S \geq \frac{n}{2}$, Suh and Yang ([14]) proved that it is parallel, i.e., either totally geodesic or congruent to one of $Q_{n}$ or $P_{1}(C) \times P_{1}(C)$. Also, the case where the scalar curvature $\rho>n(n+1)-\frac{n+2}{3}$ was studied by Tanno [16], and he proved that $M$ is totally geodesic in $P_{n+p}(C)$. In [6] we prove that if $M_{n}$ is compact, then $\rho \geq n^{2}$ if and only if $M$ is either totally geodesic in $P_{n+p}(C)$ or $\rho=n^{2}$. In the latter case $M^{n}$ is an imbedded submanifold congruent to the standard imbedding of one of the following submanifolds: $P_{1}(C) \times P_{1}(C)$ and the complex quadric $Q_{n}, n \geq 3$. Hence, we obtain that $M_{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional compact Kaehler submanifold immersed in $P_{n+p}(C)$. If $\rho>n^{2}$, then $M$ is totally geodesic in $P_{n+p}(C)$, so that the above conjecture is resolved partially.

In the present paper we would like to consider the case where $M_{n}$ is complete and $\rho>$ $n^{2}$, so that the above conjecture is resolved. The main result is the following:

Theorem. Let $M_{n}$ be an n-dimensional complete Kaehler submanifold immersed in $P_{n+p}(C)$. If $\rho>n^{2}$ then $M$ is totally geodesic in $P_{n+p}(C)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M_{n}$ be a Kaehler submanifold of complex dimension $n$, immersed in the complex projective space $P_{n+p}(C)$ endowed with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 1 . We denote by $U M$ the unit tangent bundle over $M$ and by $U M_{x}$ its fibre over $x \in M$ and by $J$ and $\langle$,$\rangle the complex structure and the Fubini-Study metric. Let$ $\nabla$ and $h$ be the Riemannian connection and the second fundamental form of the immersion, respectively. $A$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are the Weingarten endomorphism and the normal connection. The first and the second covariant derivatives of the normal valued tensor $h$ are given by

$$
(\nabla h)(X, Y, Z)=\nabla_{X}^{\perp}(h(Y, Z))-h\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-h\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla^{2} h\right)(X, Y, Z, W)= & \nabla_{X}^{\perp}((\nabla h)(Y, Z, W))-(\nabla h)\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z, W\right) \\
& -(\nabla h)\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z, W\right)-(\nabla h)\left(Y, Z, \nabla_{X} W\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, for any vector fields $X, Y, Z$ and $W$ tangent to $M$.
Let $R$ and $R^{\perp}$ denote the curvature tensor associated with $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$, respectively. Then $h$ and $\nabla h$ are symmetric and for $\nabla^{2} h$ we have the Ricci-identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla^{2} h\right)(X, Y, Z, W)-\left(\nabla^{2} h\right)(Y, X, Z, W) \\
= & R^{\perp}(X, Y) h(Z, W)-h(R(X, Y) Z, W)-h(Z, R(X, Y) W) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also consider the relations

$$
h(J X, Y)=J h(X, Y) \text { and } A_{J \xi}=J A_{\xi}=-A_{\xi} J
$$

where $\xi$ is a normal vector to $M$.
If $S$ and $\rho$ are the Ricci tensor of $M$ and the scalar curvature of $M$, respectively, and $M$ is a Kaehler submanifold in $P_{n+p}(C)$, then from the Gauss equation we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S(v, w) & =\frac{n+1}{2}\langle v, w\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{2 n}\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, w\right\rangle,  \tag{1}\\
\rho & =n(n+1)-|h|^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $v \in U M_{x}, x \in M$. If $e_{2}, \ldots, e_{2 n}$ are orthonormal vectors in $U M_{x}$ orthogonal to $v$, then we can consider $\left\{e_{2}, \ldots, e_{2 n}\right\}$ as an orthonormal basis of $T_{v}\left(U M_{x}\right)$. We remark that $\left\{v=e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{2 n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{x} M$. We denote the Laplacian of $U M_{x} \cong S^{2 n-1}$ by $\Delta$.

Define a function $f_{1}$ on $U M_{x}, x \in M$, by

$$
f_{1}(v)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n}\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)} e_{j}, A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}\right\rangle
$$

Noting that $\nabla_{e_{k}} v=-e_{k}, \nabla_{e_{k}} e_{\ell}=\delta_{k \ell} v, k, \ell=2, \ldots, 2 n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta f_{1}\right)(v) & =\sum_{k=2}^{2 n}\left(\nabla f_{1}\right)\left(v, e_{k}, e_{k}\right) \\
& =-2 \sum_{k=2}^{2 n} \nabla_{e_{k}}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n}\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)} e_{j}, A_{h\left(e_{k}, v\right)} e_{i}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =-2 \sum_{k=2}^{2 n} f_{1}(v)+2 \sum_{k=2}^{2 n} f_{1}\left(e_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the minimality of $M$, we can obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\Delta f_{1}\right)(v) & =-2(2 n-1) f_{1}(v)+2 \sum_{k=2}^{2 n}\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)} e_{j}, A_{h\left(e_{k}, e_{k}\right)} e_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{3}\\
& =-4 n f_{1}(v)
\end{align*}
$$

For more details on this, see [7], [11]. Similarly, define $f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}, f_{6}, f_{7}, f_{8}, f_{9}, f_{10}$ and $f_{11}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{2}(v)=\sum\left\langle A_{h(v, v)} v, A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}\right\rangle \\
& f_{3}(v)=\sum\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)} e_{j}, A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} v\right\rangle \\
& f_{4}(v)=\sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, A_{h\left(v, e_{j}\right)} e_{j}\right\rangle \\
& f_{5}(v)=\sum\left\langle A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}, A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}\right\rangle \\
& f_{6}(v)=\sum\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{j}, v\right)} e_{i}, A_{h\left(e_{j}, v\right)} e_{i}\right\rangle, \\
& f_{7}(v)=|h(v, v)|^{2} \\
& f_{8}(v)=\sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, v\right\rangle|h(v, v)|^{2}, \\
& f_{9}(v)=\left(\sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, v\right\rangle\right)^{2}, \\
& f_{10}(v)=\sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, v\right\rangle, \\
& f_{11}(v)=|h|^{2}|h(v, v)|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta f_{2}\right)(v)=-4(2 n+2) f_{2}(v)+4 f_{3}(v)+4 f_{4}(v)+2 f_{1}(v)  \tag{4}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{3}\right)(v)=-4 n f_{3}(v)+2 \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)} e_{j}, A_{h\left(e_{k}, e_{i}\right)} e_{k}\right\rangle  \tag{5}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{4}\right)(v)=-4 n f_{4}(v)+2 \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)} e_{j}, A_{h\left(e_{k}, e_{i}\right)} e_{k}\right\rangle  \tag{6}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{5}\right)(v)=-4(2 n+2) f_{5}(v)+8 \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{j}, v\right)} e_{i}, A_{h\left(e_{j}, v\right)} e_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{7}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{6}\right)(v)=-4 n f_{6}(v)+2 \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right)} e_{i}, A_{h\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right)} e_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{8}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{7}\right)(v)=-4(2 n+2) f_{7}(v)+8 \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, v\right\rangle  \tag{9}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{8}\right)(v)=-6(2 n+4) f_{8}(v)+16 f_{2}(v)+2 f_{11}(v)+8 f_{9}(v)  \tag{10}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{9}\right)(v)=-4(2 n+2) f_{9}(v)+8 f_{4}(v)+4|h|^{2} \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, v\right\rangle  \tag{11}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{10}\right)(v)=-4 n f_{10}(v)+2|h|^{2},  \tag{12}\\
& \left(\Delta f_{11}\right)(v)=-4(2 n+2) f_{11}(v)+8|h|^{2} \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, v\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \sum\left(\nabla^{2} f_{7}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, v\right) & =\sum\left\langle\left(\nabla^{2} h\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, v, v\right), h(v, v)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle(\nabla h)\left(e_{i}, v, v\right),(\nabla h)\left(e_{i}, v, v\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

we have the following (see [2], [3], [4], [5] and [7]):
Lemma. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional complex Kaehler submanifold of $P_{n+p}(C)$. Then for $v \in U M_{x}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum\left(\nabla^{2} f_{7}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, v\right)= & \sum\left|(\nabla h)\left(e_{i}, v, v\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{n+2}{2}|h(v, v)|^{2}  \tag{14}\\
& +2 \sum\left\langle A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}, A_{\left.h\left(e_{i}, v\right) v\right\rangle}\right. \\
& -2 \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, A_{h(v, v)} v\right\rangle \\
& -\sum\left\langle A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}, A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

The following generalized maximum principle due to Omori [10] and Yau [19] will be used in order to prove our theorem.

Generalized Maximum Principle ([10] and [19]). Let $M^{n}$ be a complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below and $f \in C^{2}(M)$ a function bounded from above on $M^{n}$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$,
there exists a point $p \in M^{n}$ such that

$$
f(p) \geq \sup f-\varepsilon, \quad\|\operatorname{grad} f\|<\varepsilon, \quad \Delta f(p)<\varepsilon .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem

From (2) we have

$$
\rho=n(n+1)-|h|^{2} .
$$

Thus we have only to prove the Theorem under the assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h|^{2}<n . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the following equation holds for $v \in U M_{x}, x \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left\langle A_{h(J v, J v)} e_{i}, A_{h\left(e_{i}, J v\right)} J v\right\rangle=-\sum\left\langle A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}, A_{h\left(e_{i}, v\right)} v\right\rangle . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (14) and (16) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4} \sum\left(\nabla^{2} f_{7}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, v\right)+\frac{1}{4} \sum\left(\nabla^{2} f_{7}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, J v\right)  \tag{17}\\
&= \sum\left|(\nabla h)\left(e_{i}, v, v\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{n+2}{2}|h(v, v)|^{2} \\
&-2 \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(v, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}, A_{h(v, v)} v\right\rangle-\sum\left\langle A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}, A_{h(v, v)} e_{i}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{v=e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that the matrix $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 p} A_{\xi_{\alpha}}^{2}$ is diagonalized, where $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{2 p}\right\}$ is any orthonormal normal basis and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2 p$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(v)=f_{8}(v) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(v)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac { 1 } { 6 n ( 2 n + 2 ) } \left(2 f_{2}(v)+\frac{2}{n} f_{3}(v)-\frac{2}{n} f_{4}(v)+\frac{1}{n} f_{1}(v)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-(2 n+2) f_{8}(v)-2 f_{9}(v)+f_{11}(v)\right) \\
& +\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4(2 n+2)} f_{7}(v)+\frac{2}{4 n(2 n+2)} f_{10}(v)\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4(2 n+2)} f_{5}(v)-\frac{2}{4 n(2 n+2)} f_{6}(v)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12) , (13) ,(17) and (18) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{4} \sum\left(\nabla^{2} f_{7}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, v\right)+\frac{1}{4} \sum\left(\nabla^{2} f_{7}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, J v\right)  \tag{19}\\
+(\Delta g)(v)+(\Delta g)(J v)
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \sum\left|(\nabla h)\left(e_{i}, v, v\right)\right|^{2}+\frac{n+2}{2}|h(v, v)|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{n} f_{11}(v)-f_{5}(v) \\
& -\frac{n}{2}|h(v, v)|^{2}+\frac{n}{2 n(2 n+2)}|h|^{2} \\
& +f_{5}(v)-\frac{2}{2 n(2 n+2)} \sum\left\langle A_{h\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right)} e_{i}, A_{h\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right)} e_{i}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum\left|(\nabla h)\left(e_{i}, v, v\right)\right|^{2}+|h(v, v)|^{2}-\frac{1}{n} f_{11}(v) \\
& +\frac{n}{2 n(2 n+2)}|h|^{2}-\frac{2}{2 n(2 n+2)} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2 p}\left(\operatorname{trace} A_{\xi_{\alpha}} A_{\xi_{\beta}}\right)^{2} \\
\geq & \sum\left|(\nabla h)\left(e_{i}, v, v\right)\right|^{2}+|h(v, v)|^{2}-\frac{1}{n} f_{11}(v) \\
& +\frac{n}{2 n(2 n+2)}|h|^{2}-\frac{1}{2 n(2 n+2)}|h|^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $\sum\left(\operatorname{trace} A_{\xi_{\alpha}} A_{\xi_{\beta}}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}|h|^{4}$ (see [9], p. 88) for any orthonormal basis $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{2 p}\right\}, 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2 p$, as above.

On the other hand, from the assumption of (15) we see that the Ricci curvature is bounded from below. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 g(v)= & \frac{1}{6 n(2 n+2)}\left(2 f_{2}(v)+\frac{2}{n} f_{3}(v)-\frac{2}{n} f_{4}(v)+\frac{1}{n} f_{1}(v)\right. \\
& \left.-(2 n+2) f_{8}(v)-2 f_{9}(v)+f_{11}(v)\right) \\
& +\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4(2 n+2)} f_{7}(v)+\frac{2}{4 n(2 n+2)} f_{10}(v)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4(2 n+2)} f_{5}(v)-\frac{2}{4 n(2 n+2)} f_{6}(v) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{6 n(2 n+2)}\left(2 f_{8}(v)+\frac{2}{n} f_{3}(v)+\frac{1}{n} f_{1}(v)+f_{11}(v)\right) \\
& +\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4(2 n+2)} f_{7}(v)+\frac{2}{4 n(2 n+2)} f_{10}(v)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the scalar curvature $\rho\rangle n^{2}$ with the above equation, we know that there exist real numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that

$$
R_{i i}>c_{1}, \quad g(v) \leq c_{2}
$$

where $R_{i i}$ is the Ricci curvature of $M_{n}$. Since we can also regard $\sum\left(\nabla^{2} f_{7}\right)\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, v\right)$ as the Laplacian of $f_{7}(v)$, we obtain that $M_{n}$ is totally geodesic. This proves the Theorem (see [8], pp. 662-663).
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