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#### Abstract

We show that the minimal number of singular fibers in a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration over a closed surface of genus $h$ is equal to 5 if $h \geq 3,5$ or 6 if $h=2$ and 6 or 7 if $h=1$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $N(g, h)$ denote the minimal number of singular fibers in a relatively minimal genus- $g$ Lefschetz fibration (with at least one singular fiber) over a closed surface of genus $h$. Korkmaz and Ozbagci proved that (1) $N(g, h)=1$ iff $g \geq 3$ and $h \geq 2$, (2) $2 \leq N(g, 1)$ for all $g \geq 1$, (3) $N(1, h)=12$ for all $h \geq 0$ and (4) $5 \leq N(2, h) \leq 8$ for all $h \geq 0$ (see [8]). The proofs of (1) and (2) depend on a result of [14] which is the erratum to [13]. Moreover, the part (1) was proved by showing that a Dehn twist about a simple closed curve is written as a product of two commutator. Cadavid proved that $N(g, 0) \leq 2 g+4$ if $g$ is even and $N(g, 0) \leq 2 g+10$ if $g$ is odd (see [2]). This result was also discovered independently by Korkmaz (see [7]). Braungardt and Kotschick proved that $\frac{1}{5}(8 g-3) \leq N(g, 0)$ (see [1]). Korkmaz and Stipsicz showed that the fifth power of a Dehn twist about a separating curve is written as a product of 6 commutators (see [9]). Consequently, they proved that $N(2, h)=5$ for all $h \geq 6$.

We show the following results by proving that a product of Dehn twists about 5 separating curves is written as a product of 3 commutators.

THEOREM 1. For the number $N(2, h)$ the following holds.
(1) $N(2, h)=5$ for all $h \geq 3$,
(2) $N(2,2)=5$ or 6 ,
(3) $N(2,1)=6$ or 7 .

It is well known that $N(2,0)=7$ or 8 . Ozbagci proved that $7 \leq N(2,0)$ (see [11]), and Matsumoto constructed a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration with 8 singular fibers over $S^{2}$ (see [10]). Sato prove that if a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration over $S^{2}$ has 7 singular fibers, then the
total space is diffeomorphic to $S^{2} \times T^{2} \sharp 3 \overline{C \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ (see [12]). However, the author does not know whether $S^{2} \times T^{2} \sharp 3 \overline{C \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ admits a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration over $S^{2}$ with 7 singular fibers or not.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\Sigma_{h}$ be a closed, connected, oriented surface of genus $h \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ be the mapping class group of $\Sigma_{2}$, i.e., the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of $\Sigma_{2}$.
2.1. Lefschetz fibrations and the monodromy representation. We begin by recalling the definition and basic properties of Lefschetz fibrations. More details can be found in [5].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $X$ be a closed, connected, oriented smooth four manifold. A Lefschetz fibration is a map $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma_{h}$ such that $f$ is injective on the set of critical points $C=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and about each $x_{i}$ and $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ there are complex local coordinate charts agreeing with the orientations of $X$ and $\Sigma_{h}$ on which $f$ is of the form

$$
f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}
$$

Any fiber containing a critical point is called a singular fiber. Clearly all regular fibers are closed surfaces and are of the same diffeomorphism type. The genus of $f$ is defined to be the genus of a regular fiber. We will assume that the generic fiber is connected and our fibration is relatively minimal, i.e., no fiber contains a $(-1)$ sphere.

Hereafter, we assume that the genus of the fiber is equal to two and do not distinguish a diffeomorphism and its isotopy class. Moreover, we do not distinguish a curve and its isotopy class.

Each critical point of a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration corresponds to an embedded circle in a nearby regular fiber called a vanishing cycle, and the singular fiber is obtained by collapsing the vanishing cycle to a point. The boundary of a regular neighborhood of a singular fiber is a surface bundle over the circle. In fact, a singular fiber can be described by the monodromy of this surface bundle which turns out to be a right-handed Dehn twist along the corresponding vanishing cycle. Once we fix an identification of $\Sigma_{2}$ with the fiber over a base point of $\Sigma_{h}$, the topology of the Lefschetz fibration is determined by its monodromy representation $\Psi: \pi_{1}\left(\Sigma_{h}-\left\{f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2}$.

The monodromy of a Lefschetz fibration $f: X \rightarrow \Sigma_{h}$ comprises a factorization of $1 \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ as

$$
1=\prod_{j=1}^{h}\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{v_{i}},
$$

where $v_{i}$ are the vanishing cycles of the singular fibers and $t_{v_{i}}$ is the right handed Dehn twist about $v_{i}$. In particular, a product $\prod_{j=1}^{h}\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right]$ of $h$ commutators in $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ gives an $\Sigma_{2}$-bundle
over the surface $\Sigma_{h}^{1}$ of genus $h$ with one boundary component. The mapping classes $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ specify the monodromy along the obvious free generating system $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{h}, \beta_{h}\right\rangle$ of $\pi_{1}\left(\Sigma_{h}^{1}\right)$.

An expression $\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{v_{i}} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ provides a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration $X \rightarrow D^{2}$ over the disk with fiber $\Sigma_{2}$. If $\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{v_{i}}=1 \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ then the fibration closes up to a fibration over the sphere $S^{2}$ and the closed-up manifold is uniquely determined by the word $\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{v_{i}}$. By combining the above two constructions, a word

$$
W=\prod_{j=1}^{h}\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{v_{i}}
$$

gives a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration over $\Sigma_{h}-D^{2}$ and if $W=1 \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ we get a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration $X \rightarrow \Sigma_{h}$.

Proposition 1 ([8]). For all $h \geq 0,5 \leq N(2, h)$.
Proof. It is well known that $\mathcal{M}_{2} /\left[\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{2}\right]$ is generated by the class of a Dehn twist about a nonseparating curve and is equal to $\mathbf{Z}_{10}$. Since every right handed Dehn twist about a nontrivial separating curve is the product of 12 right handed Dehn twists about nonseparating curves, its image under the map $\mathcal{M}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2} /\left[\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{2}\right] \cong \mathbf{Z}_{10}$ is 2 . Hence, if a product of right handed Dehn twists about $n$ nonseparating and $s$ separating curves is trivial (or equal to a product of commutators), then

$$
n+2 s \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 10)
$$

Therefore, there are at least 5 singular fibers in a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration over $\Sigma_{h}$.
2.2. Relations in the mapping class group. We recall the following basic facts.

LEMMA 1. Let c be a simple closed curve on $\Sigma_{2}$, let $\rho$ be a self-diffeomorphism of $\Sigma_{g}$. Then $\rho t_{c} \rho^{-1}=t_{\rho(c)}$.

Lemma 2. Let c and d be two simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{2}$.
(a) If $c$ is disjoint from $d$, then $t_{c} t_{d}=t_{d} t_{c}$.
(b) If $c$ intersects $d$ in one point transversely, then $t_{c} t_{d} t_{c}=t_{d} t_{c} t_{d}$.

The proof of the following lemma is based on an idea of Korkmaz [8].
Lemma 3. Let $a, b, c$, d denote nonseparating curves on $\Sigma_{2}$ such that a is disjoint from $b$, and $c$ is disjoint from $d$. Morever, we assume that $a$ is not isotopic to $b$, and $c$ is not isotopic to $d$. Then $t_{a}^{n} t_{b}^{-n} t_{c}^{n} t_{d}^{-n}(n \in \mathbf{Z})$ is a commutator.

Proof. Since $\Sigma_{2}-\{a \cup b\}$ and $\Sigma_{2}-\{d \cup c\}$ are connected surfaces, there exists an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\rho_{1}$ of $\Sigma_{2}$ such that $\rho_{1}(a)=d$ and $\rho_{1}(b)=c$. Then

$$
t_{a}^{n} t_{b}^{-n} t_{c}^{n} t_{d}^{-n}=t_{a}^{n} t_{b}^{-n} t_{\rho_{1}(b)}^{n} t_{\rho_{1}(a)}^{-n}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =t_{a}^{n} t_{b}^{-n} \rho_{1} t_{b}^{n} t_{a}^{-n} \rho_{1}^{-1} \\
& =\left[t_{a}^{n} t_{b}^{-n}, \rho_{1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4 ([9]). Let $a, b, c, d$ denote nonseparating curves on $\Sigma_{2}$ such that a intersects $d$ transversely at one point and $b$ intersects $c$ transversely at one point. Then $t_{a} t_{b} t_{c}^{-1} t_{d}^{-1}$ is a commutator.

Proof. Since $t_{d}(b)$ and $t_{d}(c)$ intersect transversely at one point, by the classification of surfaces there exists an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism $\rho_{2}$ of $\Sigma_{2}$ such that $\rho_{2}(a)=$ $t_{d}(c)$ and $\rho_{2}(d)=t_{d}(b)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{a} t_{b} t_{c}^{-1} t_{d}^{-1} & =t_{a} t_{d}^{-1}\left(t_{d} t_{b} t_{d}^{-1}\right)\left(t_{d} t_{c}^{-1} t_{d}^{-1}\right) \\
& =t_{a} t_{d}^{-1} t_{t_{d}(b)} t_{t_{d}(c)}^{-1} \\
& =t_{a} t_{d}^{-1} t_{\rho_{2}(d)} t_{\rho_{2}(a)}^{-1} \\
& =t_{a} t_{d}^{-1} \rho_{2} t_{d} t_{a}^{-1} \rho_{2}^{-1} \\
& =\left[t_{a} t_{d}^{-1}, \rho_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The following two relations in $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ are also well-known. The first one is the lantern relation. This relation was discovered by Dehn (see [3]) and was rediscovered by Johnson (see [6]). Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{5}, c$ and $x$ be simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{2}$ in Figure 2. $t_{a_{1}}, t_{a_{3}}, t_{a_{5}}, t_{c}$ and $t_{x}$ satisfy the lantern relation

$$
t_{a_{1}}^{2} t_{a_{5}}^{2}=t_{a_{3}} t_{c} t_{x}
$$



Figure 1. The curves $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{5}, c$ and $x$

The second relation is the chain relation. $t_{a_{1}}, t_{a_{2}}, t_{a_{3}}$ and $t_{a_{5}}$ satisfy the chain relation

$$
\left(t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}}\right)^{4}=t_{a_{5}}^{2}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 rests on the following lemmas.
LEMMA 5. $t_{c} t_{t_{x}(c)} \cdots t_{t_{x}^{i-1}(c)}=t_{a_{1}}^{2 i} t_{a_{5}}^{2 i} t_{a_{3}}^{-i} t_{x}^{-i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, 5)$.
Proof. Since by the lantern relation we have $t_{c} t_{x}=t_{a_{1}}^{2} t_{a_{5}}^{2} t_{a_{3}}^{-1}$,

$$
t_{c} t_{t_{x}(c)} \cdots t_{t_{x}^{i-1}(c)} t_{x}^{i}=t_{c}\left(t_{x} t_{c} t_{x}^{-1}\right) \cdots\left(t_{x}^{i-1} t_{c} t_{x}^{-i+1}\right) t_{x}^{i}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(t_{c} t_{x}\right)^{i} \\
& =t_{a_{1}}^{2 i} t_{a_{5}}^{2 i} t_{a_{3}}^{-i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $t_{c} t_{t_{x}(c)} \cdots t_{t_{x}^{i-1}(c)}=t_{a_{1}}^{2 i} t_{a_{5}}^{2 i} t_{a_{3}}^{-i} t_{x}^{-i}$.
LEMMA 6. $t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{3}}^{4}=t_{b}^{-1} t_{b^{\prime}}^{-1}[$,$] , where b$ is $\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{3}\left(a_{2}\right), b^{\prime}$ is $\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)$, and $[$, ] is a commutator.

Proof. By the chain relation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{a_{5}}^{2} & =t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} \\
& =t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}}\left\{\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right) t_{a_{2}}\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{-1}\right\}\left\{\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{2} t_{a_{2}}\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{-2}\right\}\left\{\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{3} t_{a_{2}}\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{-3}\right\}\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{3} t_{a_{1}} \\
& =t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{t_{a_{1}}} t_{a_{3}}\left(a_{2}\right) t_{\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{3}\left(a_{2}\right)}\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{3} t_{a_{a_{1}}} \\
& =t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{b^{\prime}} t_{b}\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{3} t_{a_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a_{1}, a_{3}$ and $a_{5}$ are disjoint from each other, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{a_{5}}^{2} & =t_{a_{2}} t_{t_{a_{1}}} t_{a_{3}}\left(a_{2}\right) t_{b^{\prime}} t_{b}\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{3} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} \\
& =t_{a_{2}} t_{t_{a_{1}}} t_{a_{3}}\left(a_{2}\right) t_{b^{\prime}} t_{b} t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{3}}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{3}}^{4} & =t_{b}^{-1} t_{b^{\prime}}^{-1} t_{t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\left(a_{2}\right)}^{-1} t_{a_{2}}^{-1} t_{a_{5}}^{2} \\
& =t_{b}^{-1} t_{b^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(t_{a_{5}} t_{t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\left(a_{2}\right)}^{-1} t_{a_{5}} t_{a_{2}}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By lemma 3 we see that $t_{a_{5}} t_{t_{1} t_{a_{3}}\left(a_{2}\right)}^{-1} t_{a_{5}} t_{a_{2}}^{-1}$ is written as a commutator. This completes the proof of Lemma 6 .

Lemma 7. $t_{a_{1}}^{5} t_{a_{3}}^{5}$ is written as a product of two commutators.
Proof. From Lemma 6 we have

$$
t_{a_{1}}^{5} t_{a_{3}}^{5}=t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{b}^{-1} t_{b^{\prime}}^{-1}[,],
$$

where $b$ is $\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{3}\left(a_{2}\right), b^{\prime}$ is $\left(t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\right)^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)$, and $[$,$] is a commutator. By lemma 4$ we see that $t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{b}^{-1} t_{b^{\prime}}^{-1}$ is written as a commutator. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.

## 3. Proofs

THEOREM 2. $t_{c} t_{t_{x}(c)} t_{t_{x}^{2}(c)} t_{t_{x}^{3}(c)} t_{t_{x}^{4}(c)}$ is written as a product of three commutators.

Proof. By Lemma 5 we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
t_{c} t_{t_{x}(c)} t_{x}^{2}(c) t_{x}^{3}(c) & t_{x}^{4}(c)
\end{array}\right)=t_{a_{1}}^{10} t_{a_{5}}^{10} t_{a_{3}}^{-5} t_{x}^{-5} .
$$

By Lemma 3 we see that $t_{a_{1}}^{5} t_{a_{3}}^{-5} t_{a_{5}}^{5} t_{x}^{-5}$ is written as a commutator. Let $\rho$ denote the rotation by $2 \pi / 3$ about the axis indicated in Figure 2 . Since $\rho\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{5}$ and $\rho\left(a_{3}\right)=a_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{a_{1}}^{5} t_{a_{5}}^{5} & =\rho t_{a_{3}}^{5} t_{a_{1}}^{5} \rho^{-1} \\
& =\rho t_{a_{1}}^{5} t_{a_{3}}^{5} \rho^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 7 we see that $t_{a_{1}}^{5} t_{a_{5}}^{5}=\rho t_{a_{1}}^{5} t_{a_{3}}^{5} \rho^{-1}$ is a product of two commutators. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.


Figure 2. The rotation by $2 \pi / 3$

Corollary 1. $\quad N(2, h)=5$ for $h \geq 3$.
Proof. Theorem 2 gives a genus- 2 Lefschetz fibration with 5 singular fibers over $\Sigma_{3}$. By taking fiber sum with the trivial Lefschetz fibration, it is clear to see that for $h \geq 3$ $N(2, h)=5$.

THEOREM 3. $N(2,2)=5$ or 6 .
Proof. By Proposition 1 it is clear that $5 \leq N(2,2)$. Hence, we show $N(2,2) \leq 6$. From the proof of Proposition 1 it suffices to show that there exists a product of Dehn twists about 2 nonseparating and 4 separating curves such that it is written as a product of two commutators.

By Lemma 5 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{c} t_{t_{x}(c)} t_{x}^{2}(c) t_{x}^{3}(c) & =t_{a_{1}}^{8} t_{a_{5}}^{8} t_{a_{3}}^{-4} t_{x}^{-4} \\
& =\left(t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{5}}^{4}\right)\left(t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{3}}^{-4} t_{a_{5}}^{4} t_{x}^{-4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3 we see that $t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{3}}^{-4} t_{a_{5}}^{4} t_{x}^{-4}$ is written as a commutator. Since $t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{5}}^{4}=\rho t_{a_{3}}^{4} t_{a_{1}}^{4} \rho^{-1}=$ $\rho t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{3}}^{4} \rho^{-1}$, by Lemma 6 we have $t_{a_{1}}^{4} t_{a_{5}}^{4}=t_{\rho(b)}^{-1} t_{\rho\left(b^{\prime}\right)}^{-1}[,]^{\rho}$, where $[,]^{\rho}=\rho[,] \rho^{-1}$. Therefore, we see that $t_{\rho\left(b^{\prime}\right)} t_{\rho(b)} t_{c} t_{t_{x}(c)} t_{t_{x}^{2}(c)} t_{t_{x}^{3}(c)}$ is a product of two commutators. This completes the proof of theorem 3.

In order to prove $6 \leq N(2,1)$, we will need the following result.
THEOREM 4 ([4]). If a genus- $g \geq 2$ Lefschetz fibration over $\Sigma_{h}(h \geq 1)$ have $s$ separating and $n$ nonseparating singular fibers, then

$$
s \leq 6(3 g-1)(h-1)+5 n .
$$

Theorem 5. $\quad N(2,1)=6$ or 7 .
Proof. First, we show $6 \leq N(2,1)$. Suppose that $N(2,1)=5$. Thus, from the proof of Proposition 1 there exists a genus-2 Lefschetz fibration with 5 separating singular fibers and no nonseparating singular fibers over $T^{2}$. However, this contradicts to Theorem 4.

We show $N(2,1) \leq 7$. From the proof of Proposition 1 it suffices to show that there exists a product of Dehn twists about 4 nonseparating and 3 separating curves such that it is written as a commutator. By the chain relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{a_{5}}^{2} & =t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} \\
& =t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} \\
& =t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} \\
& =t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{3}} t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}} \\
& =t_{a_{3}}^{6}\left(t_{a_{3}}^{-4} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}}^{4}\right)\left(t_{a_{1}}-a_{a_{3}}^{-2} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{3}}^{2} t_{a_{1}}^{-1}\right)\left(t_{a_{1}}^{2} t_{a_{2}} t_{a_{1}}^{2}\right) t_{a_{1}}^{3} \\
& \left.=t_{a_{3}}^{6} t_{t_{a_{3}}^{-4}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}^{-2}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{a_{a_{1}}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)}\right)_{a_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t_{t_{a_{3}}^{-4}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{t_{a_{1}}} t_{a_{3}}^{-2}\left(a_{2}\right) t_{a_{a_{1}}}{\left(a_{2}\right)}=t_{a_{3}}^{-6} t_{a_{1}}^{-3} t_{a_{5}}^{2}$, we have

$$
t_{t_{a_{3}}^{-4}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{t_{a_{1}} t_{a_{3}}\left(a_{2}\right)}^{-2} t_{t_{a_{1}}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{a_{5}}=t_{a_{3}}^{-6} t_{a_{1}}^{-3} t_{a_{5}}^{3} .
$$

Therefore, by $\rho\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{5}, \rho\left(a_{3}\right)=a_{1}$ and $\rho\left(a_{5}\right)=a_{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\rho t_{a_{3}}^{-4}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{\rho t_{a_{1}}} t_{a_{3}}^{-2}\left(a_{2}\right) \\
& t_{\rho t_{a_{1}}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{\rho\left(a_{5}\right)}=\rho t_{t_{a_{3}}^{-4}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{a_{a_{1}}} t_{a_{3}}^{-2}\left(a_{2}\right) \\
&=\rho t_{a_{a_{1}}\left(a_{2}\right)}^{-6} t_{a_{1}}^{-3} t_{a_{5}}^{3} \rho^{-1} \\
&=t_{a_{1}}^{-6} t_{a_{5}}^{-3} t_{a_{3}}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a_{5}$ and $x$ are nonseparating curves, there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ such that $\phi\left(a_{5}\right)=x$. By Lemma 5 we have

$$
\left(t_{\rho t_{a_{3}}^{-4}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{\rho t_{a_{1}}} t_{a_{3}\left(a_{2}\right)}^{-2} t_{\rho t_{a_{1}}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)} t_{\rho\left(a_{5}\right)}\right)\left(t_{c} t_{t_{x}(c)} t_{t_{x}^{2}(c)}\right)=\left(t_{a_{1}}^{-6} t_{a_{5}}^{-3} t_{a_{3}}^{3}\right)\left(t_{a_{1}}^{6} t_{a_{5}}^{6} t_{a_{3}}^{-3} t_{x}^{-3}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =t_{a_{5}}^{3} t_{x}^{-3} \\
& =t_{a_{5}}^{3} \phi t_{a_{5}}^{-3} \phi^{-1} \\
& =\left[t_{a_{5}}^{3}, \phi\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5
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