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## 1. Introduction and summary.

In the study of $C^{\infty}$-manifolds by means of mappings, the following is a primary and deep problem: To what extent can we simplify mappings between manifolds? We study this problem in the present paper for a certain class of stable mappings from closed simply connected 4-manifolds into the plane. It is the class such that the associated quotient mapping $q_{f}$ from $M$ onto the closed 2-disc $D^{2}$ of each member $f: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ has only tori and spheres as regular map-fibres. For example, $S^{4}, C^{2} P, C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}, S^{2} \times$ $S^{2}$ and their finite connected sums admit such stable mappings (see Examples in Appendix 1).

Let $f$ be a stable mapping from $M$ into $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. We call a point $p$ in $M$ a singular point of $f$ if $d f_{p}$ is not of maximum rank. The set of the singular points of $f$ is denoted by $S(f)$, which is a 1 -dimensional closed submanifold of $M$ consisting of fold points and a finite number of cusp points ([4]). For $x$ and $y$ in $M$, we define $x \sim y$ by the conditions that $f(x)=f(y)(=a)$ and that $x$ and $y$ are in the same connected component of $f^{-1}(a)$. The quotient space of $M$ by this equivalence relation is called the quotient space associated with $f$ and is denoted by $W_{f}$. The quotient mapping is denoted by $q_{f}$. Let $f: W_{f} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be the mapping which satisfies $f \circ q_{f}=f$. Then $q_{f}$ is a local homeomorphism when it is restricted to $S(f)$ and $f$ is a local homeomorphism outside $q_{f}(S(f))([5],[6])$. For points in $W_{f}$, the topological types of their neighborhoods in $W_{f}$ are listed in [6].

We call a stable mapping $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ simple, if (i) $f$ has at most one cusp, (ii) $W_{f}$ is homeomorphic to $D^{2}$, and (iii) $q_{f}$ is an embedding when it is restricted to $S(f) \backslash$ \{cusps \}. If $M$ is oriented, all the regular fibres of $q_{f}$ are oriented closed surfaces. Let the maximum genus of all the regular $q_{f}$-fibres be denoted by $q_{f}$. Let R be a connected component of $W_{f} \backslash q_{f}(S(f))$. We say R is a 0 -region if the regular fibre over a point in R is a sphere,

[^0]and a 1-region if it is a torus.
Now we state our theorems.
Theorem A. Let $f: M^{4} \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a simple mapping with $g_{f} \leq 1$ and $\pi_{1}(M)=1$. Then
\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\# S(f) \geq \frac{1}{2} b_{2}(M)+3 & \text { (if } \left.b_{2}(M) \text { is even and non-zero }\right), \\
\# S(f) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(b_{2}(M)+5\right) & \left(\text { if } b_{2}(M) \text { is odd }\right),
\end{array}
$$
\]

where $\# S(f)$ denotes the number of connected components of $S(f)$ and $b_{2}(M)$ the second Betti number of $M$.

Theorem B. Let $g: M^{4} \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a simple mapping with $g_{g} \leq 1$ and $\pi_{1}(M)=1$. Then, by a finite iteration of the $S$ - and C-operations, which are defined in section 6 , we can change the pair $(M, g)$ to $(N, f)$ such that $N$ is homeomorphic to $M$, and that $f: N \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is a simple mapping with $g_{f} \leq 1$ which satisfies the following conditions.
(1)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\# S(f)=1 & \left(\text { if } b_{2}(M)=0\right), \\
\# S(f) \leq \frac{3}{2} b_{2}(M)+1 & \left(\text { if } b_{2}(M) \text { is even and non-zero }\right), \\
\# S(f) \leq \frac{3}{2}\left(b_{2}(M)+1\right) & \left(\text { if } b_{2}(M) \text { is odd }\right)
\end{array}
$$

(2) The pair $(N, f)$ has a decomposition

$$
(N, f)=\left(N_{1}, f_{1}\right) \text { 勺 }\left(N_{2}, f_{2}\right) \text { ต } \cdots \text { ต }\left(N_{k}, f_{k}\right)
$$

such that $f_{i}: N_{i} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is a simple mapping with $g_{f_{i}} \leq 1$ and has at most one 1-region.
The notation $(M, f)=\left(M_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ घ $\left(M_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ means that $M=M_{1} \# M_{2}$ and that $q_{f}$ is right-left equivalent to $q_{f_{1} \# f_{2}}$, where the connected sum of simple mappings is defined precisely in section 6. If a pair ( $M, f$ ) has a decomposition as in the second condition in Theorem B, we say ( $M, f$ ) is configuration trivial.

Theorem C. Let $g: N \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a simple mapping with $g_{g} \leq 1$ and $\pi_{1}(N)=1$. Then for $M=N \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ and $N \# C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$, there exists a simple mapping $f: M \rightarrow R^{2}$ with $g_{f} \leq 1$ which satisfies the following conditions.
(1)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\# S(f)=4 & \left(\text { if } b_{2}(M)=2\right), \\
\# S(f) \leq \frac{3}{2} b_{2}(M)-1 & \left(\text { if } b_{2}(M) \text { is even and not equal to } 0,2\right),
\end{array}
$$

$$
\# S(f) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(3 b_{2}(M)-1\right) \quad\left(\text { if } b_{2}(M) \text { is odd }\right)
$$

(2) The pair $(M, f)$ is configuration trivial.

The basic tools used in this paper have been prepared in [3], [4], [5]. Local properties of the quotient spaces have been studied in [2], [6].

A remark on configuration triviality. If a pair $(M, f)$ is configuration trivial, then the location of $q_{f}(S(f))$ is very simple: The region adjacent to the boundary of $W_{f}$ is a 0 -region, since $f$ has the normal form $(u, x, y, z) \rightarrow\left(u, x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)$ near the points in $q_{f}^{-1}\left(\partial W_{f}\right) \cap S(f)$. Therefore if $(M, f)$ is configuration trivial, then the location of $q_{f}(S(f))$ in $W_{f}$ is simple as illustrated in Figure 1.1.


Figure 1.1

Throughout this paper, the symbol $\cong$ between two manifolds means that the manifolds are diffeomorphic.

The author would like to express his gratitude to the referee for correcting many errors in early versions of this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries.

A stable mapping $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is characterized by the following local and global conditions ([4]).

Local condition: For a given point $p \in S(f)$, there are local coordinate systems centred at $p$ and $f(p)$ such that in a neighbourhood of $p, f$ has the normal form $\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)$;
$\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right) \quad f:\left(u, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \mapsto(u, Q(z)), \quad$ where $Q(z)=\sum \varepsilon_{i} z_{i}^{2}, \quad\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|=1$, or
$\left(\mathrm{L}_{2}\right) \quad f:\left(u, x, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(u, Q(z)+a u x+b x^{3}\right)$, where $Q(z)=\sum \varepsilon_{i} z_{i}^{2}, \quad\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|=|a|=|b|=1$.

We call $p$ a fold point, or simply a fold if it is of type $\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)$, and a cusp point or a cusp if it is of type ( $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ ).

## Global conditions:

$\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)$ if $p \in S(f)$ is a cusp point, then $f^{-1}(f(p)) \cap S(f)=\{p\}$, and
$\left.\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right) \quad f\right|_{s(f) \backslash\{\text { cusps }\}}$ is an immersion with normal-crossings.
For a fold point $p$, we can choose local coordinates so that the index of $Q(z)$ is even, which we call the index of the fold point $p$. Thus the index of a fold point is either 0 or 2 . A fold point is called definite if its index is 0 , and indefinite if it is 2 .

For the quotient spaces associated with stable mappings, one should refer to [5] and [6]. We give only two remarks here.

Remark (1). For a stable mapping $f: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$, assume that the quotient space $W_{f}$ is a topological manifold possibly with boundary. Then one can give a $C^{\infty}$-structure to $W_{f}$ with respect to which $\bar{f}: W_{f} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is an immersion, since the mapping $\bar{f}$ is a local homeomorphism (see Fig. 2, [6]). With respect to this $C^{\infty}$-structure, $q_{f}$ is $C^{\infty}$.

Remark (2). For the same $f$ as in Remark (1), fix the $C^{\infty}$-structure of $W_{f}$ given above. Then for any generic immersion $h: W_{f} \rightarrow R^{2}$, the composed mapping $g=h \circ q_{f}$ is a stable mapping such that $W_{f}=W_{g}$ and $q_{f}=q_{g}$.

## 3. Basic tools.

Let $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be a simple mapping with $g_{f} \leq 1$, and let $S_{i}$ be a connected component of $S(f)$ consisting of indefinite folds. Then $q_{f}\left(S_{i}\right)$ separates $W_{f}$ into two regions. We say $S_{i}$ is positive (resp. negative) if the inside region of $q_{f}\left(S_{i}\right)$ is a 0 -region (resp. 1-region).

Notation. $\quad S_{ \pm}(f)=\left\{S_{i} \mid S_{i}\right.$ is a positive (resp. negative) connected component of $S(f)$ consisting of indefinite fold points $\}$.

DEFINITION (type of a simple mapping). Let $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be a simple mapping with a cusp and $g_{f} \leq 1$. Let $S$ be the connected component of $S(f)$ with the unique cusp. Then $q_{f}(S)$ separates $W_{f}$ into two regions. We say $f$ is of type $A$ (resp. type $B$ ) if the inside region of $q_{f}(S)$ is a 1 -region (resp. 0-region).

Lemma 3.1. For a simple mapping $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ with $g_{f} \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi(M) & =2\left(\# S_{+}(f)-\# S_{-}(f)\right)+2 & & \text { if } \chi(M) \text { is even , } \\
& =2\left(\# S_{+}(f)-\# S_{-}(f)\right)+1 & & \text { if } \chi(M) \text { is odd }(\text { type } A), \\
& =2\left(\# S_{+}(f)-\# S_{-}(f)\right)+5 & & \text { if } \chi(M) \text { is odd (type } B),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler number of $M$.
Proof. By Remark (2) in section 2, there exists a stable mapping $g: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ such that $W_{f}=W_{g}, q_{f}=q_{g}$, and that $\bar{g}: W_{g} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is an embedding. For such $g$, the lemma is immediately seen by Theorem 1 of [3]. We obtain the required equalities for $f$, since $\# S_{ \pm}(f)=\# S_{ \pm}(g)$.

Let $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be a simple mapping, $C$ a connected component of $q_{f}(S(f))$ which is adjacent to both a 0 -region and a 1 -region, and $a$ a point in the 1 -region. Let $J$ be an embedded closed arc in $W_{f}$ which connects $a$ and a point in the 0 -region, such that it meets $q_{f}(S(f))$ transversely at a single point in $C$. We see that the restriction of $q_{f}$ to $q_{f}^{-1}(J)$ is a Morse function onto $J$ with a single saddle critical point, in the same way as in Proposition 4, $\S 1.3$ of [5]. It turns out that $q_{f}^{-1}(J)$ is a solid torus with an open 3-disc removed.

Definition (meridian and longitude with respect to $(C, J)$ ). (Notations $a, C, J$ are as above.) An essential simple closed curve $m$ in $q_{f}^{-1}(a)$ is called a meridian of $q_{f}^{-1}(a)$ with respect to $(C, J)$ if it is the boundary of a closed 2-disc embedded in the solid torus $q_{f}^{-1}(J) \cup D^{3}$. A simple closed curve $l$ in $q_{f}^{-1}(a)$ is called a longitude of $q_{f}^{-1}(a)$ with respect to $(C, J)$ if $l$ and $m$ meet transversely at a single point.

Note that the isotopy class or the homology class of $m$ is unique up to sign.
More generally, we consider the following situation. Set $J=[-1,1]$ and $\tilde{J}=\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{3}$. Let $g: \tilde{J} \rightarrow J$ be a $C^{\infty}$-function such that $g^{-1}(-1)$ is the sphere component of $\partial \tilde{J}$, that $g^{-1}(1)$ is the torus component of $\partial \tilde{J}$, and that $g \mid \operatorname{Int} \tilde{J}$ is a Morse function with a single critical point of Morse-index 1. Then we can define a longitude and a meridian of $g^{-1}(1)$ as before.

Notation. Let $\varphi$ be a diffeomorphism on $\tilde{J}=\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{3}$ and $T$ the torus component of $\partial \tilde{J}$. We denote by $[\varphi]$ the isomorphism $\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{T}\right)_{*}$ on $H_{1}(T, Z)$ induced by $\varphi$.

Notation. For an integer $\alpha$, let $T_{\alpha}$ denote the matrix defined by

$$
T_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\alpha & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.2. ( $g, J, \tilde{J}$ are as above.) Let $(l, m)$ be a longitude and a meridian of $g^{-1}(1)$. If an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi$ on $\tilde{J}$ satisfies $g=g \circ \varphi$, then $[\varphi]$ has


Figure 3.1
a matrix representation of the form $[\varphi]= \pm T_{\alpha}, \alpha \in Z$ with respect to the basis $\langle[l],[m]\rangle$ of $H_{1}\left(g^{-1}(1), Z\right)$. Conversely, for $A= \pm T_{\alpha}$, there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi$ on $\widetilde{J}$ such that $[\varphi]=A$ and that $g=g \circ \varphi$.

Proof. Both assertions are obvious since $g$ has the level sets illustrated in Figure 3.1.
q.e.d.

## 4. Proof of Theorem A.

In the case $b_{2}(M)$ is even, $\# S(f)=\# S_{+}(f)+\# S_{-}(f)+1$. Using Lemma 3.1, one obtains

$$
\# S(f)=2 \# S_{-}(f)+\frac{1}{2} b_{2}(M)+1
$$

It is enough to show $\# S_{-}(f) \geq 1$. Suppose that $\# S_{-}(f)=0$, then $W_{f}$ has no 1-region, since the region adjacent to $\partial W_{f}$ is a 0 -region. This implies that $\# S(f)=1$, and hence that $b_{2}(M)=0$, which is excluded. Therefore $\# S_{-}(f) \geq 1$ for $M$ with $b_{2}(M)>0$.

In the case $b_{2}(M)$ is odd, $\# S(f)=\# S_{+}(f)+\# S_{-}(f)+2$, and using Lemma 3.1 one obtains

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\# S(f)=2 \# S_{-}(f)+\frac{1}{2} b_{2}(M)+\frac{5}{2} & \text { if } f \text { is of type A, and } \\
\# S(f)=2 \# S_{-}(f)+\frac{1}{2} b_{2}(M)+\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } f \text { is of type B }
\end{array}
$$

If $f$ is of type $A$, then the required inequality is obvious (\# $S_{-}(f)$ can be 0 . In fact $C^{2} P$ has such a simple mapping. See Figure 9.1). If $f$ is of type B, then an element of $S_{-}(f)$ must be located outside the connected component of $q_{f}(S(f))$ which contains the image of the cusp. Therefore $\# S_{-}(f) \geq 1$. The same required inequality follows immediately. q.e.d.

## 5. Transversal trees.

For a simple mapping $f$ with $g_{f} \leq 1$, we define a graph $\Lambda_{f}$ embedded in $W_{f}$ as follows:
(1) Take a point $p_{i}(i \geq 1)$ in each connected component $R_{i}$ of $W_{f} \backslash q_{f}(S(f))$ and a point $p_{0}$ in $\partial W_{f}$, which are the vertices of $\Lambda_{f}$.
(2) If $R_{i}$ and $R_{j}$ are separated by a connected component, say $C_{i j}(i>j \geq 1)$, of $q_{f}(S(f)) \backslash \partial W_{f}$ (such $C_{i j}$ is unique for each (i,j), if it exists), then connect $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ by a path $\sigma_{i j}$ so that $\sigma_{i j}$ meets $q_{f}(S(f))$ transversely at a single point in $C_{i j}$.
(3) Let $p_{1}$ be the vertex chosen from the 0 -region adjacent to $\partial W_{f}$. Then connect $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ by a path $\sigma_{10}$ so that $\sigma_{10}$ is normal to $\partial W_{f}$ and $\sigma_{10} \cap q_{f}(S(f))=\left\{p_{0}\right\} ; \sigma_{i j}$
$(i>j \geq 1)$ and $\sigma_{10}$ are the edges of $\Lambda_{f}$.
Note that $\Lambda_{f}$ is a tree. Suppose that $\Lambda_{f}$ has a cycle $\gamma$ and let $C$ be a connected component of $q_{f}(S(f))$ which meets $\gamma$. Let $D$ be the closed 2-disc in $W_{f}$ which bounds $C$. Since $\gamma$ meets $C$ transversely at a single point, say $p, \gamma \backslash p$ is divided into two open sets $\gamma \cap \operatorname{Int} D$ and $\gamma \cap\left(W_{f} \backslash D\right)$, both of which are non-empty. This is a contradiction.

Definition (transversal tree). For a simple mapping $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$, we call the tree $\Lambda_{f}$ in $W_{f}$ thus obtained a transversal tree of $f$. We give $\Lambda_{f}$ the orientation towards the inside: Let $\sigma$ be an edge of $\Lambda_{f}$ and $C$ the connected component of $q_{f}(S(f))$ which meets $\sigma$. We give $\sigma$ the orientation, from the vertex of $\sigma$ lying outside of $C$ towards the vertex lying inside of $C$. To $\sigma_{10}$ we give the orientation from $p_{0}$ towards $p_{1}$.

We say a vertex $p$ of a graph is of degree $k$ if the number of edges which contain $p$ as a boundary point is $k$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $f: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be a simple mapping with $g_{f} \leq 1$ and $\pi_{1}(M)=1$. Then the degree one vertices of a transversal tree $\Lambda_{f}$ are in 0 -regions except for $p_{0}$.

The proof will be given at the end of this section.
Definition (elementary tree of $\Lambda_{f}$ ). Let $\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}\right\}$ be the vertices of $\Lambda_{f}$ contained in the 0 -regions and of degree greater than one. We call the closure of each connected component of $\Lambda_{f} \backslash\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}\right\}$ an elementary tree of $\Lambda_{f}$.

To each elementary tree $\Lambda_{i}$, we give an orientation which is induced from $\Lambda_{f}$. We say a degree one vertex $p$ of $\Lambda_{i}$ is an initial (resp. a terminal) point of $\Lambda_{i}$ if $p$ is the initial (resp. the terminal) point of the unique edge of $\Lambda_{i}$ which contains $p$ as a boundary point. We call the unique elementary tree which meets $\partial W_{f}$ the initial tree.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. If a connected component of $W_{f} \backslash q_{f}(S(f))$ contains a vertex of $\Lambda_{f}$ of degree one, then it is diffeomorphic to the open disc. Therefore we have only to show that a region $\mathbf{R}$ is a 0 -region if it is diffeomorphic to the open disc. Suppose that such R is a 1 -region and set $C=\partial \overline{\mathrm{R}}$.

Case 1 where $C$ does not contain $q_{f}(\{c u s p\})$, the image of the unique cusp. One can show that there is a tubular neighbourhood $N(C)$ of $C$ such that $q_{f}^{-1}(J) \rightarrow$ $q_{f}^{-1}(N(C)) \rightarrow C$ is a local trivial fibration where $J$ is a fibre of the canonical projection $N(C) \rightarrow C$, by using the same argument as in Proposition, §1.6 of [5]. The fibre $q_{f}^{-1}(J)$ is diffeomorphic to $\left(S^{1} \times D^{2}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Int} D^{3}$ (see section 3). Let $C^{\prime}$ be the outside boundary of $N(C)$ and $D_{c}^{\prime}$ the closed neighbourhood of R enclosed by $C^{\prime}$. Set $E=W_{f} \backslash$ Int $D_{c}^{\prime}$. Let $i: q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow q_{f}^{-1}\left(D_{c}^{\prime}\right)$ and $j: q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow q_{f}^{-1}(E)$ be the inclusions.

We show that $\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}(E), Z\right) \geq 1$. One can take a free generator $s^{\prime}$ of $H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right), Z\right)$, since $q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{2} \times S^{1}$. Then $j_{*}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ is of infinite order in $H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}(E), Z\right)$, since $\left(q_{f} \mid q_{f}^{-1}(E)\right)_{*} \circ j_{*}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ is a free generator of $H_{1}(E, Z) \cong Z$.

Next we show that $\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(D_{c}^{\prime}\right), Z\right)=1$, by using a Mayer-Vietoris exact
sequence. Let $C^{\prime \prime}$ be the inside boundary of $N(C)$ and $D_{c}^{\prime \prime}$ the closure of $D_{c}^{\prime} \backslash N(C)$. We have the following exact sequence;

$$
H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right), Z\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(D_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right), Z\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}(N(C)), Z\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(D_{c}^{\prime}\right), Z\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $q_{f} \mid q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right): q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}$ is a trivial $T^{2}$ fibration, one can see that the fibration $q_{f}^{-1}(N(C)) \rightarrow C$ is trivial. It is shown that $H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right), Z\right), H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(D_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right), Z\right)$ and $H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}(N(C)), Z\right)$ are torsion free and of rank 3,2 and 2 respectively, by the Künneth formula in the last case. Let $(l, m)$ be a longitude and a meridian with respect to $(C, J)$, and set $s=S(f) \cap q_{f}^{-1}(C)$. Let $s^{\prime \prime}$ be a cross-section of $q_{f}$ over $C^{\prime \prime}$. We may assume that the above three homology groups are generated by $l, m$, and $s^{\prime \prime}$, by $l$ and $m$, and by $l$ and $s$, respectively. Therefore it is easy to see that the first homomorphism of the exact sequence is injective. Hence we have $H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(D_{c}^{\prime}\right), Z\right) \cong Z\langle l\rangle$.

On the other hand, we have the following Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence;

$$
H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right), Z\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}\left(D_{c}^{\prime}\right), Z\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(q_{f}^{-1}(E), Z\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}(M, Z)=0
$$

Since $j_{*}$ is an injection, the first homomorphism is an isomorphism. This is a contradiction. In fact the left side of the isomorphism has rank 1 , and the right side has rank greater than or equal to 2 .

Case 2 where $C$ contains $q_{f}(\{\operatorname{cusp}\})$. Let $g: M \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a simple mapping such that $W_{f}=W_{g}, q_{f}=q_{g}$, (thus $g_{g} \leq 1$ ) and that $\bar{g}$ is an embedding (see Remark (2) in section 2). We will prove the claim for $g$. As $R$ is supposed to be a 1-region, $g$ must be of type A. Let $\gamma: \boldsymbol{R}^{2} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ be a linear function such that $\gamma \circ g$ is a Morse function (for the existence of such $\gamma$, see 1.3 of [3]). After we compose $g$ with some isotopy in $\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ if necessary,
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we can take closed neighbourhoods $U$ and $V$ of $\bar{g}(R)$ which satisfy the following conditions, by [3] (see Figure 5.1):
a) $\bar{g}(\overline{\mathbf{R}}) \subset V \subset U$,
b) there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: I \times J \rightarrow U$,
c) $\varphi(u \times J)=g(M) \cap \gamma^{-1}(u)$ for $u \in I$,
d) $V \cap g(S(g))=\bar{g}(C)$,
e) set $V \cap \gamma^{-1}(u)=J_{u}^{\prime}$, then $(\gamma \circ g)^{-1}(u) \backslash g^{-1}\left(J_{u}^{\prime}\right), u \in I$, are all diffeomorphic, where $I, J \cong[-1,1]$.
In fact, one can choose $I \subset(\gamma \circ g)(M)$ so that $I$ contains exactly one critical value of $\gamma \circ g$. We may assume that this critical value is 0 and that the value of the cusp is $1 / 2$. Then by the argument of [3] we see that $(\gamma \circ g)^{-1}(-\infty, 1 / 4]$ can be obtained from $(\gamma \circ g)^{-1}(-\infty,-1 / 2]$ by a 1 -handle attaching. From d), one has $g^{-1}\left(J_{-1 / 2}^{\prime}\right) \cong S^{2} \times J$, hence by e), one has $g^{-1}\left(J_{1 / 4}^{\prime}\right) \cong S^{2} \times S^{1} \backslash 2 \operatorname{Int} D^{3}$. On the other hand, $g^{-1}\left(J_{1 / 4}^{\prime}\right) \cong$ $g^{-1}\left(J_{3 / 4}^{\prime}\right) \cong S^{2} \times J$, where the former diffeomorphism follows from the fact that $[1 / 4,3 / 4]$ contains no critical values of $\gamma \circ g$ and the latter follows from d). This is a contradiction.
q.e.d.

## 6. $S$ - and $C$-operations.

Let $f: M^{4} \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a simple mapping and suppose $\pi_{1}(M)=1$. We construct a $C^{\infty}$-stable mapping $\tilde{f}$ from $M \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ onto $S^{2}$ as follows.

Construction of $f$ : Let $c$ be the unique connected component of $S(f)$ such that $q_{f}(c)=\partial W_{f}$. Take a collar neighbourhood $v(c)$ of $\partial W_{f}$ so that $v(c) \cap q_{f}(S(f))=c$. Then $q_{f}^{-1}(v(c))$ is a tubular neighbourhood of $c$ in $M$ and the restriction of $q_{f}$ to its boundary is right-left equivalent to the projection $S^{2} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$. One can glue $M \backslash q_{f}^{-1}(v(c))$ and $S^{2} \times D^{2}$ and at the same time $\overline{W_{f} \backslash v(c)}$ and $D^{2}$ along their boundaries by diffeomorphisms $\varphi$ and $\psi$ which satisfy the commutative diagram (Figure 6.1), where $p: S^{2} \times D^{2} \rightarrow D^{2}$ is the projection.


Figure 6.1
By this gluing, we also glue $q_{f}$ and $q_{p}$ and obtain a smooth mapping $\tilde{f}$ from $\overline{M \backslash q_{f}^{-1}(v(c))} \cup_{\varphi} D^{2} \times S^{2}$ onto $\overline{W_{f} \backslash v(c)} \cup_{\psi} D^{2}$.

We can choose the attaching diffeomorphism $\varphi$ so that the source manifold is diffeomorphic to $M \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ ([9]). Thus we have constructed a smooth mapping
$\tilde{f}: M \# S^{2} \times S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$, which is obviously stable. Note that the right-left equivalence classes of $\tilde{f}$ 's are not unique according to the various isotopy types of $\varphi$. We say that $f$ is a mapping onto $S^{2}$ associated with $f$.

Definition ( $S$-equivalence). Let $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ and $g: N^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be simple mappings with $\pi_{1}(M)=\pi_{1}(N)=1$. The pairs $(M, f)$ and $(N, g)$ are said to be $S$-equivalent if $\tilde{f}: M \# S^{2} \times S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ and $\tilde{g}: N \# S^{2} \times S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ are right-left equivalent, where $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ are mappings onto $S^{2}$ associated with $f$ and $g$ respectively.

Let $M_{i}$ be an oriented manifold and $f_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ a simple mapping for $i=1,2$. We can construct a smooth stable mapping $f \# g$ from $M_{1} \# M_{2}$ into $R^{2}$ in the following way.

Let $a_{i}$ be a point in $\partial W_{f_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$. Take a tubular neighbourhood $U_{i}$ of $a_{i}$ in $W_{f_{i}}$ so that $U_{i} \cap q_{f_{i}}\left(S\left(f_{i}\right) \subset \partial W_{f_{i}}\right.$ for $i=1,2$ (see Figure 6.2). Let $\lambda_{i}$ be the closure of $\partial U_{i} \backslash \partial W_{f_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$. We may assume that $\lambda_{i}$ is transverse to $\partial W_{f_{i}}$. Then we see that $q_{f}^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is diffeomorphic to $D^{4}$ and $q_{f}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\partial q_{f}^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right), i=1,2$, by applying Levine's argument in [3]. We give an orientation to each $W_{f_{i}}$ so that $\bar{f}_{i}$ is an orientation preserving immersion, and to each $\lambda_{i}$ as a subset of the boundary of $\overline{W_{f_{i}} \backslash U_{i}}$.


Figure 6.2
Let $g_{i}: q_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \rightarrow \lambda_{i}$ be the restrictions of $q_{f_{i}}$ to $q_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right), i=1,2$. They are Morse functions by the definition of definite fold points and are right-left equivalent; in fact both are the simplest Morse functions on $S^{3}$. Let $\varphi: q_{f_{1}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \rightarrow q_{f_{2}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ and $\psi: \lambda_{1} \rightarrow \lambda_{2}$ be diffeomorphisms satisfying $\psi \circ g_{1}=g_{2} \circ \varphi$. We take $\psi$ as orientation reversing. We may assume that $\varphi$ is orientation reversing with respect to the orientations of $q_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ as the boundaries of $\overline{M_{i} \backslash q_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)}, i=1,2$. In fact if $\varphi$ is orientation preserving, let $k: q_{f_{2}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \rightarrow q_{f_{2}}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ be an orientation reversing diffeomorphism which satisfies $g_{2}=g_{2} \circ k$ and which reverses the orientation of each level set of $g_{2}$. Then the diffeomorphism $k \circ \varphi$ is orientation reversing and $\psi \circ g_{1}=g_{2} \circ k \circ \varphi$.

Let $M_{i}^{\prime}$ be the closure of $M_{i} \backslash q_{f_{i}}^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ and $W_{i}^{\prime}$ the closure of $W_{f_{i}} \backslash U_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. We can glue the triads $\left(M_{1}^{\prime},\left.q_{f_{1}}\right|_{M_{1}^{\prime}}, W_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}^{\prime},\left.q_{f_{2}}\right|_{M_{2}^{\prime}}, W_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ by $\varphi$ and $\psi$, and obtain ( $M_{1} \# M_{2}, \tilde{q}, W_{f_{1}}$ घ $W_{f_{2}}$ ), where $\tilde{q}$ is a $C^{0}$-mapping satisfying $\tilde{q}=q_{f_{i}}$ on $M_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2$. After a slight perturbation, $\tilde{q}$ becomes $C^{\infty}$. We denote the $C^{\infty}$-mapping by $q_{f_{1}} \# q_{f_{2}}$. Now change the immersion $\bar{f}_{2}$ left equivalently so that $f_{2}\left(M_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ attaches to $f_{1}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Then we can glue $\bar{f}_{1}$ and $\bar{f}_{2}$ and obtain an immersion $h$ from $W_{f_{1}} \ddagger W_{f_{2}}$ into $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. After a slight
perturbation of $h$, we obtain a $C^{\infty}$-stable mapping $h \circ q_{f_{1}} \# q_{f_{2}}$ from $M_{1} \# M_{2}$ into $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. Let $f_{1} \# f_{2}$ denote the mapping thus obtained.

Note that $W_{f_{1} \# f_{2}}=W_{f_{1}}$ घ $W_{f_{2}}$ and that $q_{f_{1} \# f_{2}}$ is right-left equivalent to $q_{f_{1}} \# q_{f_{2}}$. The mapping $q_{f_{1}} \# q_{f_{2}}$, (thus also $q_{f_{1} \# f_{2}}$ ) is determined uniquely by $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ up to right-left equivalence.

Notation. For a stable mapping $f: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ and simple mappings $f_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$, $i=1,2$, we shall write $(M, f)=\left(M_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ ध $\left(M_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ if $q_{f}$ is right-left equivalent to $q_{f_{1}} \# q_{f_{2}}$.

Remark. It is easily checked that $\left(M_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ घ $\left(M_{2}, f_{2}\right)=\left(M_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ 比 $\left(M_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ and
 mean that the manifolds of both sides are diffeomorphic and the quotient mappings of the stable mappings of the both sides are right-left equivalent.

Definition ( $S$-operation). Let $f: M^{4} \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a simple mapping with $\pi_{1}\left(M^{4}\right)=1$. Assume that $(M, f)$ has the following decomposition:

$$
(M, f)=\left(M_{1}, f_{1}\right) \text { ท }\left(M_{2}, f_{2}\right) \text { घ } \cdots \text { ท }\left(M_{k}, f_{k}\right) .
$$

Then, an $S$-operation is to replace an $\left(M_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ with a pair which is $S$-equivalent to $\left(M_{i}, f_{i}\right)$.
Remark. (1) If ( $M, f$ ) and ( $N, g$ ) are $S$-equivalent, then $M$ and $N$ are homeomorphic, by [1].
(2) If $(M, f)$ is changed to ( $N, g$ ) by finitely iterated $S$-operations, then $M \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $N \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$.

Definition ( $C$-operation). Let $f: M^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be a simple mapping with $g_{f} \leq 1$ and $\pi_{1}\left(M^{4}\right)=1$. Assume that $(M, f)$ has a decomposition $(M, f)=\left(M_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ 解 $\left(M_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ such that (i) $f_{2}$ has no cusp, (ii) $W_{f_{2}}$ has a unique 1-region which is diffeomorphic to an open annulus, and (iii) $q_{f_{2}}\left(S\left(f_{2}\right)\right)$ consists of three simple closed curves, one of which is the boundary of $W_{f_{2}}$ and the others bound the 1-region. Then, a C-operation is to replace $(M, f)$ with ( $M_{1}, f_{1}$ ).

Note that if $(M, f)$ is replaced with $\left(M_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ by a $C$-operation, then $M_{1}$ is diffeomorphic to $M$, by the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. (Notations are as above). $M_{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{4}$.
Proof. Note that $M_{2}$ is a homotopy 4 -sphere. In fact, $M_{2}$ is simply connected because so is $M$, and the Euler characteristic of $M_{2}$ is 2 by [3].

Let $a$ be a point chosen from the 0 -region of $W_{f_{2}}$ enclosed by the inside boundary of the unique 1 -region, $D$ a 2 -disc in the 0 -region which contains $a$ in its interior, and $C$ one of the two connected components of $q_{f_{2}}\left(S\left(f_{2}\right)\right)$ which bound the 1 -region. There exists a trivial fibration $\pi$ from $W_{f_{2}} \backslash \operatorname{Int} D$ onto $C$, and the composition

$$
\pi \circ q_{f_{2}}: \quad q_{f_{2}}^{-1}\left(W_{f_{2}} \backslash \operatorname{Int} D\right) \longrightarrow W_{f_{2}} \backslash \operatorname{Int} D \longrightarrow C
$$

is a locally trivial fibration, which follows from the same argument as in Proposition, $\S 1.6$ of [5]. Fibres of this fibration are punctured lens spaces whose boundaries are $q_{f_{2}}^{-1}(r), r \in \partial D$. We see this easily by the definition of fold points (see the paragraph following Lemma 3.1). Since $q_{f_{2}}^{-1}(D)$, which is diffeomorphic to $S^{2} \times D^{2}$, is a tubular neighbourhood of $q_{f_{2}}^{-1}(a)$, it follows that the exterior of the $2-\mathrm{knot} q_{f_{2}}^{-1}(a)$ is a punctured lens space bundle over $C$. Note that the boundary of each fibre is isotopic to $q_{f_{2}}^{-1}(a)$. It turns out that $q_{f_{2}}^{-1}(a)$ is a fibred 2 -knot in a homology 4 -sphere, fibred by punctured lens spaces. Such a homology sphere must be diffeomorphic to $S^{4}$ : In fact $q_{f_{2}}^{-1}(a)$ is Zeeman's 2-twist-spun knot of a two bridge knot in $S^{3}[7,8,10]$.
q.e.d.

## 7. Proof of Theorem B.

We shall prove the theorem in two steps. In the first step, performing $S$-operations, we change the pair $(M, g)$ to a configuration trivial pair $\left(N, f^{\prime}\right)=\left(N_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ ต $\cdots$ ท $\left(N_{s}, f_{s}\right)$. In the second step, performing $C$-operations, we change ( $N, f^{\prime}$ ) to a configuration trivial pair ( $N, f$ ) which satisfies the inequality (1).

First step, simplifying configurations. Let $\Lambda_{g}$ be a transversal tree of $g$ and $p_{1}$ the terminal point of the initial elementary tree $\Lambda_{0}$. Suppose that the degree of $p_{1}$ equals one. It turns out that $\Lambda_{g}$ consists of only the initial elementary tree, and hence that $(M, g)$ is already a configuration trivial pair. Therefore we may assume that the set $S$ of the vertices in the 0 -regions with degree greater than one is non-empty. Divide $\Lambda_{g}$ into elementary trees $\Lambda_{i}$ 's at the points in $S$.

To show that the pair $(M, g)$ can be changed to a configuration trivial pair, we have only to show it in the case where the degree of $p_{1}$ is two: If the degree of $p_{1}$ is greater than two, then there is a natural decomposition
such that for the closures $\Lambda_{11} ; \Lambda_{12}, \cdots, \Lambda_{1 m}$ of the connected components of $\Lambda_{f} \backslash \Lambda_{0}$, each $\Lambda_{1 i} \cup \Lambda_{0}$ is naturally identified with a transversal tree of $g_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, m$. If each ( $L_{i}, g_{i}$ ) can be changed to a configuration trivial pair ( $L_{i}^{\prime}, g_{i}^{\prime}$ ); then $(M, g)$ can be changed
 Therefore this case is reduced to the degree two case.

Assuming that the degree of $p_{1}$ is two, let $p_{2}$ be one of the terminal points of the elementary tree of $\Lambda_{g}$ whose initial point is $p_{1}$. In the case that $p_{2} \in S$, to simplify the configuration of $q_{g}(S(g))$ at $p_{2}$, we shall perform an $S$-operation centred at $p_{2}$ to the pair $(M, g)$, that is, an $S$-operation which replaces a tubular neighbourhood of $q_{f}^{-1}\left(p_{2}\right)$ with $S^{1} \times D^{3}$ and a collar neighbourhood of $\partial W_{g}$ with $D^{2} \times S^{2}$. The resulting pair ( $L, h$ ) satisfies the following conditions: Let $D_{2}$ be a small 2-disc centred at $p_{2}$ in the 0 -region of $W_{g}$, and let $\Lambda_{g, i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, be the connected components of the closure of $\Lambda_{g} \backslash\left(\Lambda_{0} \cup D_{2}\right)$ and let $p_{2 i}=\Lambda_{g, i} \cap \partial D_{2}$. Then there is a decomposition

$$
(L, h)=\left(L_{1}, h_{1}\right) \text { 夕 }\left(L_{2}, h_{2}\right) \text { 曰 } \cdots \text { 夕 }\left(L_{n}, h_{n}\right)
$$

which satisfies the following properties．In each $W_{h_{i}}$ take a point $p_{0 i} \in \partial W_{h_{i}}$ and an arc $\Lambda_{0 i}$ whose initial point is $p_{0 i}$ and whose terminal point is $p_{2 i}$ so that it passes through no other critical values $q_{h_{i}}\left(S\left(h_{i}\right)\right.$ ）．Let $\Lambda_{g, i}^{\prime}$ be a tree in $W_{h_{i}}$ which naturally corresponds to $\Lambda_{g, i}$ in $W_{g}$ ．Then $\Lambda_{g, i}^{\prime} \cup \Lambda_{0, i}$ is a transversal tree of $h_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$ ，if we forget the orientations．

Let $\Lambda_{h_{i}}$ denote the tree $\Lambda_{g, i}^{\prime} \cup \Lambda_{0 i}$ with the new orientation as a transversal tree of $h_{i}$ ．An example of the changes induced on $\Lambda_{g}$ during the $S$－operation centred at $p_{2}$ is illustrated in Figure 7．1．


Figure 7.1
Note that each $p_{i}$ in $S$ except for $p_{2}$ has a unique corresponding point in some $W_{h_{i}}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$ ．For convenience，we do not distinguish the original points from their corresponding points．Then，each $\Lambda_{h_{i}}$ is divided into elementary trees at $p_{2 i}$ and at points in $S \backslash\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$ ．

If $\Lambda_{h_{i}}$ contains some $p_{j}$ in $S$ in its interior，then perform the $S$－operation centred at $p_{j}$ to $\left(L_{i}, h_{i}\right)$ and replace it with an $S$－equivalent pair $\left(L_{i}^{\prime}, h_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ ．

In this way，by applying the procedure for all $p_{j}$＇s in $S$ except for $p_{1}$ ，we can
 Note that each elementary tree $\Lambda_{i}$ of $\Lambda_{g}$ except for the initial one is a transversal tree of some $f_{j}$ ，after added a suitable initial tree and given a suitable orientation．

Second step，cancelling and enumerating connected components of $S(f)$ ．Let $(N, f)$ be a configuration trivial pair obtained from（ $N, f^{\prime}$ ）by iterating $C$－operations so that the closure of no 1－region of $W_{f}$ is diffeomorphic to the annulus．In the following $b_{2}(M)$ is denoted simply by $b_{2}$ ．

Case 1 where $\chi(M)$ is even．For each 1－region R of $W_{f}$ ，the boundary of $\overline{\mathrm{R}}$ consists
of one element of $q_{f}\left(S_{-}(f)\right)$ and at least two elements of $q_{f}\left(S_{+}(f)\right)$, by Lemma 5.1. This means $\# S_{+}(f) \geq 2 \# S_{-}(f)$. From this and Lemma 3.1, one obtains $2 \# S_{-}(f) \leq b_{2}$. This implies the required inequality, as in the proof of Theorem $A$.

Case 2 where $f$ is of type $A$. Let $C$ be the connected component of $q_{f}(S(f))$ which contains the image of the unique cusp. Let $\mathrm{R}_{\boldsymbol{C}}$ be the 1-region of $W_{f}$ which is adjacent to $C$. Note that $\partial \overline{\mathbf{R}_{C}}$ consists of $C$ and $k$ elements of $q_{f}\left(S_{+}(f)\right)$ where $k \geq 1$, by Lemma 5.1. As in case $1, \# S_{+}(f)-k \geq 2 \# S_{-}(f)$, and one obtains $2 \# S_{-}(f) \leq 1+b_{2}-2 k \leq b_{2}-1$. This implies the required inequality.

Case 3 where $f$ is of type B. Let $C$ and $\mathrm{R}_{C}$ be the same as in case 2. Note that $\partial \overline{\mathrm{R}_{C}}$ consists of $C$ and $l$ elements of $q_{f}\left(S_{+}(f)\right)$ and an element of $q_{f}\left(S_{-}(f)\right)$ where $l$ is a non-negative integer. As in the previous cases, $\# S_{+}(f)-l \geq 2\left(\# S_{-}(f)-1\right)$. One obtains $2 \# S_{-}(f) \leq b_{2}+1-2 l \leq b_{2}+1$, which implies the required inequality.
q.e.d.

## 8. Proof of Theorem C.

To prove the theorem for the case $M \cong N \# C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$, we need a result analogous to Theorem B. Let $S^{\prime}$-equivalence be the relation defined by performing a connected sum with $C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$ instead of $S^{2} \times S^{2}$, in the definition of $S$-equivalence. Then an $S^{\prime}$-operation is defined similarly as an $S$-operation. It is easily checked that Theorem B is valid if we replace " $S$-operation" with " $S$ '-operation", and delete the phrase " $N$ is homeomorphic to $M$ ". We call this result Theorem B'.

Case 1 where $b_{2}(N) \geq 1$. Let $g: N \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a simple mapping with $g_{g} \leq 1$. Let $(L, h)$ be a configuration trivial pair obtained from $(N, g)$ by applying Theorem B or Theorem $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$, according to the cases $M \cong N \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ or $N \# C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$. For convenience, we assume that $\bar{K}: W_{h} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is an embedding (see Remark (2) in section 2). We will construct the required pair $(M, f)$ from ( $L, h$ ) as follows.

Let $C$ be one of the connected components of $q_{h}(S(h)) \backslash \partial W_{h}$ which bound the 0 -region that is adjacent to $\partial W_{h}$. Such $C$ exists; in fact, since $b_{2}(N) \geq 1$, we have \#S(h) $\geq 2$ by Theorem A. Let $a$ be a point in $\partial W_{h}$. Take a tubular neighbourhood $U$ of $a$ in $W_{h}$ so that $U \cap q_{h}(S(h))=(U \cap C) \cup\left(U \cap \partial W_{h}\right)$ and that $\partial U$ meets $C$ transversely at two points. Let $\lambda$ be the closure of $\partial U \backslash \partial W_{h}$. We may assume that $\lambda$ is transverse to $\partial W_{h}$. By applying the arguments in [3], we see that $h^{-1}(U)$ is obtained from $D^{4}$ by a 1 -handle attaching, thus diffeomorphic to $D^{3} \times S^{1}$, and that $h^{-1}(\lambda)=\partial h^{-1}(U)$. We denote the closure of $L \backslash h^{-1}(U)$ by $\check{L}$.

Let $f_{2}: S^{2} \times D^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a $C^{\infty}$ mapping with two cusps constructed in Appendix 1 (see Figure 9.3). Then the two mappings $h \mid \partial \check{L}$ and $f_{2} \mid \partial\left(S^{2} \times D^{2}\right)$ are right-left equivalent, which we show in Appendix 1. After changing $h$ and $f_{2}$ both left equivalently, one can glue the two pairs ( $\check{L}, h$ ) and ( $S^{2} \times D^{2}, f_{2}$ ) along their boundaries and obtains a pair ( $L^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ ) such that $f^{\prime}: L^{\prime} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is stable. Let $f$ be the simple mapping obtained from $f^{\prime}$ by eliminating the two cusps (see the last step in the construction of a simple mapping on $C^{2} P$ in Appendix 1). It is easily checked that $g_{f} \leq 1, \# S(f)=\# S(h)+1$, and
that ( $L^{\prime}, f$ ) is configuration trivial. Therefore the proof is completed if one can choose the diffeomorphism of the gluing so that $L^{\prime}$ is diffeomorphic to $M$, which we prove in Appendix 1.

Case 2 where $b_{2}(N)=0$. We show that for such $N, N \# S^{2} \times S^{2} \cong S^{2} \times S^{2}$ and $N \# C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P} \cong C^{2} P \# \overline{\boldsymbol{C}^{2} \boldsymbol{P}}$. Then it is easily checked that the mappings given in Examples in Appendix 1 are the required ones (see Figure 9.1).

Applying Theorem B to $(N, g)$, one obtains a pair ( $\left.N^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right)$ with \# $S\left(f^{\prime}\right)=1$. Then $N^{\prime}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{4}$ (see Proposition 2.6 and Remark 2.7 in [6]). Therefore $S^{2} \times S^{2} \cong N^{\prime} \# S^{2} \times S^{2} \cong N \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ by Remark (2) in section 6. Next, let ( $N^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime}$ ) be the pair obtained from $(N, g)$ by applying Theorem $\mathbf{B}^{\prime}$. In the same way, we see that $N^{\prime \prime}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{4}$ and $C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P} \cong N^{\prime \prime} \# C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P} \cong N \# C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$.
q.e.d.

## Appendix 1.

In this section we give examples of simply connected 4-manifolds which admit simple mapping $f$ 's with $g_{f} \leq 1$ and we complete the proof of Theorem C using the same arguments as the construction of the examples.

Examples. (1) For $M=S^{4}, C^{2} P, S^{2} \times S^{2}$ and $C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$, we can construct a simple mapping $f: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ with $g_{f} \leq 1$. The location of $q_{f}(S(f))$ in $W_{f}$, which is a 2-disc, is illustrated in Figure 9.1.
(2) Let $M$ be a manifold obtained by performing finite connected sums of the manifolds above. Then there exists a simple mapping $f: M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ with $g_{f} \leq 1$.


The integers in the figures indicate the genus of the fibre over a point in the region.
Figure 9.1

Proof of (1). Construction in the case of $S^{4}$. Let $i: S^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{5}$ be an embedding which maps $S^{4}$ onto the unit sphere. Let $\pi: \boldsymbol{R}^{5} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be the projection given by $\pi(v, w, x, y, z)=(v, w)$. Then $\pi \circ i: S^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ is the required simple mapping.

For the other manifolds, we construct the required mappings by the following steps. First we construct a mapping from $B_{k}$, the total space of a $D^{2}$ bundle over $S^{2}$ with Euler number $k$, into $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. Next we construct a mapping from the manifold $M$ into
$R^{2}$ using the decompositions $M=B_{1} \cup_{\varphi} D^{4}, B_{0} \cup_{\phi} B_{0}$ or $B_{0} \cup_{\phi^{\prime}} B_{0}$ according to the cases where $M=C^{2} P, S^{2} \times S^{2}$ or $C^{2} P \# \bar{C}^{2} P$.

Construction of a mapping $f_{2}$ from $B_{k}$ into $R^{2}$. Let $f_{1}$ be a $C^{\infty}$-mapping from $D^{2} \times D^{2}$ onto a sector in $R^{2}$ which satisfies the following conditions (see Appendix 2 for the construction).
(i) $f_{1}\left(D^{2} \times D^{2}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1, x, y \geq 0\right\}$.
(ii) $f_{1}^{-1}(0,0)=\partial D^{2} \times \partial D^{2}, f_{1}^{-1}(0 \times[0,1])=\partial D^{2} \times D^{2}$ and $f_{1}^{-1}([0,1] \times 0)=D^{2} \times \partial D^{2}$.
(iii) Set $\partial_{0} f_{1}=f_{1} \mid\left(\partial D^{2} \times D^{2}\right): \partial D^{2} \times D^{2} \rightarrow 0 \times[0,1]$, and $\partial_{1} f_{1}=f_{1} \mid\left(D^{2} \times \partial D^{2}\right)$ : $D^{2} \times \partial D^{2} \rightarrow[0,1] \times 0$. Then $f_{1} \mid \operatorname{Int}\left(D^{2} \times D^{2}\right), \partial_{0} f_{1}$ and $\partial_{1} f_{1}$ are stable mappings.
(iv) $f_{1}$ has exactly one cusp point in the interior of $D^{2} \times D^{2}$.
(v) Each regular fibre of $f_{1}$ is connected and is either a sphere or a torus.
(vi) Let $C\left(f_{1}\right)$ be the union of the critical values of $f_{1} \mid \operatorname{Int}\left(D^{2} \times D^{2}\right), \partial_{0} f_{1}$ and $\partial_{1} f_{1}$. Then it consists of two connected components whose locations are illustrated in Figure 9.2.


Figure 9.2

Let $\varphi_{k}$ be a diffeomorphism on $\partial D^{2} \times D^{2}$ defined by $\varphi_{k}(z, w)=\left(\bar{z}, z^{k} \cdot w\right)$, where $D^{2}$ is regarded as the unit disc in $C$. Let $l_{y}$ be the reflexion on $R^{2}$ given by $l_{y}(x, y)=(-x, y)$. We glue the two pairs ( $D^{2} \times D^{2}, f_{1}$ ) and ( $D^{2} \times D^{2}, l_{y} \circ f_{1}$ ) via $\varphi_{k}$ and obtain a manifold $B_{k}$ and a $C^{0}$-mapping $f_{2}^{\prime}$. To show that the gluing is possible, we show that $f_{1}=l_{y} \circ f_{1} \circ \varphi_{k}$ on $\partial D^{2} \times D^{2}$, as follows. Note that $\left(l_{y} \circ f_{1}\right) \mid \partial D^{2} \times D^{2}: \partial D^{2} \times D^{2} \rightarrow 0 \times[0,1]$ coincides with $\partial_{0} f_{1}$. It is a Morse function which has two critical points of index 0 and of index 1. We can perturb $\varphi_{k}$ slightly without changing its isotopy type so that it preserves all $\partial_{0} f_{1}$-fibres, since $\varphi_{k}$ preserves the meridian discs of $\partial D^{2} \times D^{2}$ (see Figure 3.1). Therefore one can glue the two pairs $\left(D^{2} \times D^{2}, f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(D^{2} \times D^{2}, l_{y} \circ f_{1}\right)$ via $\varphi_{k}$.

By the construction, the resulting manifold is diffeomorphic to $B_{k}$. Note that $\partial B_{k}$ is a lens space, since it is obtained by gluing two solid tori. We see that it is diffeomorphic to $L(k, 1)$, by calculation.

After we perturb $f_{2}^{\prime}$ slightly, we obtain a $C^{\infty}$-mapping $f_{2}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ such that both
$f_{2} \mid \operatorname{Int} B_{k}$ and $\partial f_{2}=f_{2} \mid \partial B_{k}: \partial B_{k} \rightarrow[-1,1] \times 0$ are stable mappings. Note that $f_{2}$ has two cusps in the interior of $B_{k}$. Let $C\left(f_{2}\right)$ be the union of the critical values of $f_{2} \mid \operatorname{Int} B_{k}$ and $\partial f_{2}$. The location of $C\left(f_{2}\right)$ is illustrated in Figure 9.3.


Figure 9.3
$A$ construction in the case of $C^{2} P$. Let $h: D^{4} \rightarrow R^{2}$ be a $C^{\infty}$-mapping satisfying the following conditions (see Appendix 2 for a construction).
(i) $h\left(D^{4}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1, x \geq 0\right\}$.
(ii) $h^{-1}(0 \times[-1,1])=\partial D^{4}$.
(iii)' Set $\partial h=h \mid \partial D^{4}: \partial D^{4} \rightarrow 0 \times[-1,1]$. Then both $h \mid \operatorname{Int} D^{4}$ and $\partial h$ are stable mappings.
(iv) $\quad h$ has exactly one cusp point in the interior of $D^{4}$.
(v) Each regular fibre of $h$ is connected and is either a sphere or a torus.
(vi)' Let $C(h)$ be the union of the critical values of $h \mid \operatorname{Int} D^{4}$ and $\partial h$. Then it consists of two connected components whose locations are illustrated in Figure 9.4.


Figure 9.4

Let $l_{r}$ be a slight perturbation of the $-\pi / 2$-rotation on $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ centred at the origin such that $l_{r}$ maps the critical values of $\partial h$ to those of $\partial f_{2}$ and that $f_{2}\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $l_{r} \circ h\left(D^{4}\right)$ form a disc. We will glue the pairs $\left(B_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(D^{4}, l_{r} \circ h\right)$ as before. We must show that there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi: \partial B_{1} \rightarrow \partial D^{4}$ such that $f_{2}=l_{r} \circ h \circ \varphi$ on $\partial B_{1}$.

Let $C$ be the connected component of $C\left(f_{2}\right)$ which contains the image of the cusps. Let $C_{0}$ be the connected component of $C \backslash f_{2}(\{$ cusps $\})$ which meets the negative part of the $x$-axis, and $C_{1}$ the one which meets the positive part of the $x$-axis. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the connected component of $C(h)$ which contains the image of the cusp. Let $C_{0}^{\prime}$ be the connected component of $C^{\prime} \backslash h(\{\operatorname{cusp}\})$ which meets the negative part of the $y$-axis, and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ the other. Take longitudes and meridians $\left(l_{0}, m_{0}\right)$ and $\left(l_{1}, m_{1}\right)$ of $f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)$ with respect to ( $C_{0},[-1,0] \times 0$ ) and ( $\left.C_{1},[0,1] \times 0\right)$ respectively. Take longitudes and meridians $\left(l_{0}^{\prime}, m_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(l_{1}^{\prime}, m_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ of $h^{-1}(0,0)$ with respect to $\left(C_{0}^{\prime}, 0 \times[-1,0]\right)$ and ( $\left.C_{1}^{\prime}, 0 \times[0,1]\right)$ respectively.

We fix orientations of $B_{1}$ and $D^{4}$, and give orientations to the longitudes and meridians as follows. Set $\partial_{0}=f_{2}^{-1}([-1,0] \times 0)$ and set $\partial_{1}=f_{2}^{-1}([0,1] \times 0)$. We give orientations to $\partial_{0}$ and $\partial_{1}$ so that each of them coincides with the orientation of $\partial B_{1}$ in the interiors. Then give orientations to $l_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ so that, lined in this order, they coincide with the orientation of $f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)$ as the boundary of $\partial_{i}$ for $i=0,1$. (This says nothing on the choice of the orientation of $m_{i}$. We fix one.) In the same way, we orient $l_{i}^{\prime}$ and $m_{i}^{\prime}$ by using the orientation of $D^{4}$.

Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & p \\
s & q
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & u \\
w & v
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the matrices given by $\left[l_{1}\right]=r\left[l_{0}\right]+s\left[m_{0}\right]$ and $\left[m_{1}\right]=p\left[l_{0}\right]+q\left[m_{0}\right]$ in $H_{1}\left(f_{2}^{-1}(0,0), Z\right)$, and $\left[-l_{1}^{\prime}\right]=z\left[-l_{0}^{\prime}\right]+w\left[m_{0}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left[m_{1}^{\prime}\right]=u\left[-l_{0}^{\prime}\right]+v\left[m_{0}^{\prime}\right]$ in $H_{1}\left(h^{-1}(0,0), Z\right)$.

One can take an orientation reversing diffeomorphism $\varphi_{0}$ from $f_{2}^{-1}([-1,0] \times 0)$ to $h^{-1}(0 \times[-1,0])$ such that $f_{2} \mid f_{2}^{-1}([-1,0] \times 0)=l_{r} \circ h \circ \varphi_{0}$. Then the induced isomorphism $\left(\varphi_{0} \mid f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)\right)_{*}$ from $H_{1}\left(f_{2}^{-1}(0,0), Z\right)$ to $H_{1}\left(h^{-1}(0,0), Z\right)$ has a matrix representation of the form $\pm T_{c}, c \in Z$, for the basis $\left\langle\left[l_{0}\right],\left[m_{0}\right]\right\rangle$ of the domain and $\left\langle\left[-l_{0}^{\prime}\right],\left[m_{0}^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle$ of the image, by Proposition 3.2. In the same way, let $\varphi_{1}$ be an orientation reversing diffeomorphism from $f_{2}^{-1}([0,1] \times 0)$ to $h^{-1}(0 \times[0,1])$ such that $f_{2} \mid f_{2}^{-1}([0,1] \times 0)=l_{r} \circ h \circ \varphi_{1}$. Let $\pm T_{d}, d \in Z$, be the matrix representation of the induced isomorphism $\left(\varphi_{1} \mid f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)\right)_{*}$ for the bases $\left\langle\left[l_{1}\right],\left[m_{1}\right]\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\left[-l_{1}^{\prime}\right],\left[m_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle$.

The two diffeomorphisms $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ can be glued so as to define an orientation reversing diffeomorphism $\varphi$ from $\partial B_{1}$ to $\partial D^{4}$ if and only if the following (*) holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm T_{c} \cdot A=B \cdot\left( \pm T_{d}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a direct calculation, (*) holds for some integers $c$ and $d$ if and only if $p= \pm u$, $q \equiv \pm v(\bmod |p|)$ and $r \equiv \pm z(\bmod |p|)$. Now we have $|p|=|u|=1$, and hence these conditions are satisfied. In fact $\partial B_{1}$ is identified with $S^{1} \times D^{2} \cup_{A} S^{1} \times D^{2}=L(p, q)$ and since $\partial B_{1}$ is also identified with $S^{3}, p$ must be $\pm 1$. In the same way, $u$ must be $\pm 1$. Therefore one can glue $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$. The resulting diffeomorphism $\varphi: \partial B_{1} \rightarrow \partial D^{4}$ reverses orientation and satisfies $f_{2}=l_{r} \circ h \circ \varphi$ on $\partial B_{1}$. Now glue the pairs ( $B_{1}, f_{2}$ ) and ( $D^{4}, l_{r} \circ h$ ) via $\varphi$ so as to obtain $C^{2} P$ and a $C^{0}$-mapping $f_{3}^{\prime}$ from $C^{2} P$ into $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. After a slight perturbation, $f_{3}^{\prime}$ becomes a $C^{\infty}$-stable mapping $f_{3}$.

Next, one can eliminate two of the three cusps of $f_{3}$ by applying the method of [4] carefully to $f_{3}$, and obtains a simple mapping $f$. In fact take the joining curve (which is defined in (4.4) of [4]) that connects two of the three cusps so that its image does not meet the critical values of $f_{3}$ except for the two cusps. Then one can eliminate the two cusps without creating any crossings of $f(S(f))$ and without changing the maximum genus of regular map-fibres. The mapping $f$ thus obtained is the required one (refer to Figure 9.1 (b)).

Constructions for the cases of $S^{2} \times S^{2}$ and $C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$. Let $l_{x}$ be the reflexion on $R^{2}$ given by $l_{x}(x, y)=(x,-y)$. We will glue the pairs $\left(B_{0}, f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(B_{0}, l_{x} \circ f_{2}\right)$. Assuming that the gluing is possible, let $f_{3}$ be the resulting mapping from the resulting manifold into $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. Then one can eliminate the four cusps of $f_{3}$ in pairs and obtains a required simple mapping as in the previous cases. Therefore it suffices to show the following: There are two diffeomorphisms $\varphi_{0}$ (resp. $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ ) on $f_{2}^{-1}([-1,0] \times 0)$ and $\varphi_{1}$ (resp. $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}$ ) on $f_{2}^{-1}([0,1] \times 0)$ which can be glued such that the resulting diffeomorphism $\phi$ (resp. $\phi^{\prime}$ ) on $\partial B_{0}$ is orientation reversing and satisfies, (a) $f_{2} \mid \partial B_{0}=l_{x} \circ f_{2} \circ \phi$ (resp. $f_{2} \mid \partial B_{0}=$ $l_{x} \circ f_{2} \circ \phi^{\prime}$ ), and (b) $B_{0} \cup_{\phi} B_{0} \cong S^{2} \times S^{2}, B_{0} \cup_{\phi^{\prime}} B_{0} \cong C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$.

Take $C_{i}, l_{i}, m_{i}(i=0,1)$ and integers $p, q, r, s$ which are the elements of a matrix $A$, similarly as in the cases of $B_{1}$. Since $\partial B_{0}$ is identified with $L(p, q)$ and with $S^{1} \times S^{2}, p$ is zero and hence $q= \pm 1$. Therefore one may assume that $A=I^{\prime}$ by an appropriate choice of $m_{1}$ and $l_{1}$, where $I^{\prime}$ is the matrix defined by

$$
I^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\varphi_{0}$ (resp. $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ ) be a diffeomorphism on $f_{2}^{-1}([-1,0] \times 0)$ such that the induced isomorphism $\left(\varphi_{0} \mid f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)\right)_{*}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime} \mid f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)\right)_{*}\right)$ on $H_{1}\left(f_{2}^{-1}(0,0), Z\right)$ has the matrix representation $T_{0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.T_{1}\right)$ for the basis $\left\langle\left[l_{0}\right],\left[m_{0}\right]\right\rangle$ of the domain and $\left\langle\left[-l_{0}\right],\left[m_{0}\right]\right\rangle$ of the image. Let $\varphi_{1 .}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \varphi_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ be a diffeomorphism on $f_{2}^{-1}([0,1] \times 0)$ such that the induced isomorphism $\left(\varphi_{1} \mid f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)\right)_{*}\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(\varphi_{1}^{\prime} \mid f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)\right)_{*}\right)$ on $H_{1}\left(f_{2}^{-1}(0,0), Z\right)$ has the matrix representation $T_{0}$ (resp. $T_{-1}$ ) for the bases $\left\langle\left[l_{1}\right],\left[m_{1}\right]\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\left[-l_{1}\right],\left[m_{1}\right]\right\rangle$. We can take these mappings so that they preserve all $f_{2}$-fibres, by Proposition 3.2.

Since the condition (*) is verified for $A=B=I^{\prime}$, one can glue $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{1}$ (resp. $\varphi_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\varphi_{1}^{\prime}$ ). Let $\phi$ (resp. $\phi^{\prime}$ ) be the resulting diffeomorphism. Then they are orientation reversing diffeomorphisms on $\partial B_{0}$ and satisfy condition (a).

Now we check the condition (b). Note that one can identify $S^{1} \times D^{2} \cup_{A} S^{1} \times D^{2}$ with $S^{1} \times S^{2}$ since both of them are identified with $\partial B_{0}$. Via the identification, $\{t\} \times D^{2} \cup\{t\} \times D^{2}$ corresponds to $\{t\} \times S^{2}\left(t \in S^{1}\right)$. We say a point $p_{t}$ (resp. $\left.n_{t}\right)$ in $\{t\} \times S^{2}$ is a north pole (resp. south pole) if it is identified with $(t, 0)$ of the former $\{t\} \times D^{2}$ (resp. the latter $\{t\} \times D^{2}$ ). Since we may assume that $\varphi_{i}$ and $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(i=0,1)$ induce diffeomorphisms on $S^{1} \times D^{2}$ which preserve $\{t\} \times D^{2}\left(t \in S^{1}\right), \phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ satisfy $\phi\left(\{t\} \times S^{2}\right)=\{t\} \times S^{2}$ and $\phi^{\prime}\left(\{t\} \times S^{2}\right)=\{t\} \times S^{2}$. Moreover $\phi$ does not twist $\{t\} \times S^{2}$ according as $t$ moves, since $\varphi_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)(i=0,1)$ does not turn around $S^{1} \times\{0\} \subset S^{1} \times D^{2}$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ twists $\{t\} \times S^{2}$ once around the north and south poles according as $t$ moves, since $\varphi_{i}^{\prime}\left(l_{i}\right)(i=0,1)$ turns once around $S^{1} \times\{0\} \subset S^{1} \times D^{2}$. Therefore $B_{0} \cup_{\phi} B_{0}$ is the total space of the trivial $S^{2}$ bundle over $S^{2}$ and $B_{0} \cup_{\phi^{\prime}} B_{0}$ is that of the non-trivial $S^{2}$ bundle over $S^{2}$, which is diffeomorphic to $\boldsymbol{C}^{2} P \#^{\boldsymbol{C}^{2} \boldsymbol{P}}$ ([9]).
q.e.d.

Proof of (2). Let ( $M_{i}, f_{i}$ ) be one of the pairs of a manifold and a simple mapping constructed in (1). By eliminating extra cusps of $\#_{i} f_{i}: \#_{i} M_{i} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ as in (1), one obtains a simple mapping $f: \#_{i} M_{i} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ with $g_{f} \leq 1$.
q.e.d.

Remark. By using the method of (2), one can construct a simple mapping on $C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$. Let $f$ be a simple mapping on $\boldsymbol{C}^{2} P$ constructed in (1). Note that $\overline{C^{2} \boldsymbol{P}}$ is obtained by gluing $B_{-1}$ and $D^{4}$. One can construct a simple mapping $g$ on $\overline{C^{2} P}$, in the same way as $f$. Then, from $f \# g$, one obtains a simple mapping on $C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$.

COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF Theorem C. We show that there is a diffeomorphism $\Phi: \partial \check{L} \rightarrow \partial\left(S^{2} \times D^{2}\right)$ such that, ( $\left.\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right) h \mid \partial \check{L}$ and $\left(f_{2} \mid \partial\left(S^{2} \times D^{2}\right)\right) \circ \Phi$ are left equivalent, and (b) $\check{L} \cup_{\Phi} S^{2} \times D^{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $M$.

Take a point $a$ in $\lambda$ so that $q_{h}^{-1}(a)$ is a torus. Then divide $\lambda$ into two closed arcs $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ with $I_{0} \cap I_{1}=\{a\}$. Since $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$ meet $C$ transversely at each single point, one can choose a longitude and a meridian $\left(l_{i}, m_{i}\right)$ of $q_{h}^{-1}(a)$ with respect to ( $C, I_{i}$ ), $i=0,1$. One may assume that $\left[m_{1}\right]=\left[m_{0}\right]$ and $\left[l_{1}\right]=-\left[l_{0}\right]$ as in the proof of $(1)$, since $\partial \check{L}$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{2}$. Let $\left(l_{i}^{\prime}, m_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ be the longitude and meridian of $f_{2}^{-1}(0,0)$ chosen for $B_{0}$ in the proof of (1). Then one can define diffeomorphisms $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ from $\partial \check{L}$ to $\partial\left(S^{2} \times D^{2}\right)$ in the same way as in the proof of (1), using these longitudes and meridians. The condition ( $a^{\prime}$ ) is checked in the same way. For the condition ( $b^{\prime}$ ), one can show that either $\check{L} \cup_{\phi} S^{2} \times D^{2}$ or $\check{L} \cup_{\phi^{\prime}} S^{2} \times D^{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $N \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$ and that the other is diffeomorphic to $N \# C^{2} P \# \overline{C^{2} P}$. Therefore either $\phi$ or $\phi^{\prime}$ can be taken as $\Phi$.
q.e.d.

## Appendix 2. Construction of the mappings used in Appendix 1.

In this section we construct the mappings $f_{1}: D^{2} \times D^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ and $h: D^{4} \rightarrow R^{2}$ which were used in Appendix 1.

Once $h$ is constructed, one can construct $f_{1}$ from $h$ as follows. Set $E=$ $\left\{(x, y) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1, x \geq 0\right\}$ and let $S$ be the sector in $E$ enclosed by the two lines $l_{1}: x=y$ and $l_{2}: x=-y$. One may assume that the line $x=t y$ and $C(h)$ meet transversely for $t \in[-1,1]$. Then $h^{-1}(S)$ is a manifold with boundary $h^{-1}\left(l_{1} \cap E\right) \cup h^{-1}\left(l_{2} \cap E\right)$ and corner $h^{-1}(0,0)$. We show that $h^{-1}(S)$ is diffeomorphic to $D^{2} \times D^{2}$.

By an appropriate choice of coordinates, one may assume that $h^{-1}(E)=\{(z, w) \in$ $\left.\left.C^{2}| | z\right|^{2}+|w|^{2} \leq 1\right\}$ and $h^{-1}(0 \times[-1,0])\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.h^{-1}(0 \times[1,0])\right)=\left\{\left.(z, w) \in C^{2}| | z\right|^{2}+\right.$ $|w|^{2}=1,|z| \leq|w|$ (resp. $\left.\left.|z| \geq|w|\right)\right\}$. Note that $h^{-1}(E \cap\{(x, y) \mid x<y\})$ is an open tubular neighbourhood of $h^{-1}(0 \times[1,0]) \backslash h^{-1}(0,0)$. Therefore $h^{-1}(E \cap\{(x, y) \mid x<y\})$ is isotopic to $\left\{\left.(z, w) \in C^{2}| | z\right|^{2}+|w|^{2} \leq 1,|z|>1 / \sqrt{2}\right\}$ by the uniqueness of the tubular neighbourhood. In the same way, $h^{-1}(E \cap\{(x, y) \mid x<-y\})$ is isotopic to $\left\{(z, w) \in C^{2} \mid\right.$ $\left.|z|^{2}+|w|^{2} \leq 1,|w|>1 / \sqrt{2}\right\}$. Therefore $h^{-1}(S)$ is diffeomorphic to $\left\{\left.(z, w) \in C^{2}| | z\right|^{2}+\right.$ $\left.|w|^{2} \leq 1,|z| \leq 1 / \sqrt{2},|w| \leq 1 / \sqrt{2}\right\}$, and hence is diffeomorphic to $D^{2} \times D^{2}$.

It is easily checked that the restriction of $h$ to $h^{-1}(S)$ satisfies the conditions (i) through (vi) after composed with a suitable diffeomorphism on $\boldsymbol{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$. Therefore we have only to construct $h$.

We divide $E$ into four pieces and construct $h$ step by step over these pieces. Set $A=[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-3 / 4,3 / 4]$, which will include the connected component of $C(h)$ that contains the image of the cusp. Let $B^{1}$ and $B^{2}$ be the two connected components of $E \cap\{(x, y)|x \leq \sqrt{5} / 4,|y| \geq 3 / 4\}$ and set $C=E \cap\{(x, y) \mid x \geq \sqrt{5} / 4\}$ (see Figure 9.4). Then $h^{-1}(A)$ and $h^{-1}\left(A \cup B^{1} \cup B^{2}\right)$ will be diffeomorphic to $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1] \times S^{2}$ and $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times S^{3}$ respectively, and $h^{-1}(C)$ will be diffeomorphic to $D^{4}$.

First step, construction on $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1] \times S^{2}$. Let $H:[0,3 / 4] \times \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be the stable mapping defined by $H(u, x, y, z)=\left(u, x^{3}+3(u-1 / 2) x+y^{2}-z^{2}\right) . H$ has a cusp point at $(1 / 2,0,0,0)$ and the critical values $C(H)$ divide the image of $H$ into two regions. If $(u, a) \in \operatorname{Im}(H)$ is in the same region as $(0,0)$, then $H^{-1}(u, a)$ is diffeomorphic to $T^{2} \backslash D^{2}$. If $(u, a) \in \operatorname{Im}(H)$ is in the other region, then $H^{-1}(u, a)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{2} \backslash D^{2}$ (see Figure 10.1).

Now we restrict $H$ to the subset $F$ of $\boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ defined by $F=\bigcup_{(u, a)} F_{u, a},(u, a) \in[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times$ $[-1,1]$ where $F_{u, a}=u \times[-3 / 2,3 / 2] \times Q \cap H^{-1}(u, a) \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{4}$ with $Q=\left\{(y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{2} \| y^{2}-\right.$ $z^{2}|\leq 5,|y \pm z| \leq 3\}$ (see Figure 10.1).

The following properties are checked in an elementary way. For all $u \in[0, \sqrt{5} / 4]$,
(1) $F_{u, a}$ is connected for $a \in[-1,1]$,
(2) $\partial F_{u, a}$ is a circle with eight corners for $a \in[-1,1]$,
(3) $F_{u, \pm 1}$ is a manifold with eight corners on the boundary, and its interior is diffeomorphic to Int $D^{2}$,
(4) $F_{u, a} \cap(u, \pm 3 / 2) \times Q \neq \varnothing$ for $a \in[-1,1]$,


Figure 10.1


Figure 10.2
(5) let $\Phi_{u, t}: \bigcup_{a \in[-1,1]} F_{u, a} \cap(u, t) \times Q \rightarrow R$ be the mapping defined by $\Phi_{u, t}(u, t, y, z)=$ $y^{2}-z^{2}$, then $\operatorname{Im}\left(\Phi_{u, t}\right)$ is a closed subinterval of $(-5,5)$ for all $t \in[-3 / 2,3 / 2]$,
(6) if $(u, 3 / 2, y, z) \in F_{u, a}$, then $y^{2}-z^{2}<0$ for $a \in[-1,1]$,
(7) if $(u,-3 / 2, y, z) \in F_{u, a}$, then $y^{2}-z^{2}>0$ for $a \in[-1,1]$,
(8) $\bigcup_{a \in[-1,1]} F_{u, a}$ contains the singular points of $g_{u}(x, y, z)=x^{3}+3(u-1 / 2) x+y^{2}-z^{2}$. We see that $\bigcup_{a \in[-1,1]} F_{u, a}$ is a thick saddle, by (4) through (7), and that $H \bigcup_{(u, a) \in[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1]} \partial F_{u, a}$ is a trivial fibration with fibre $\partial F_{u, a}$, by (2) (see Figure 10.2). Therefore one can naturally extend $H \mid F$ to $H_{1}:[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1] \times S^{2} \rightarrow$ $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1]$ by attaching the pair $\left([0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1] \times D^{2}, \pi\right)$ to $(F, H \mid F)$, where $\pi:[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1] \times D^{2} \rightarrow[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1]$ is the projection. Note that the restriction of $H_{1}$ to $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times\{ \pm 1\} \times S^{2}$ is the projection with sphere-fibres by
(3), and that $H_{1}$ has a cusp point in $(1 / 2,0) \times S^{2}$ by (8).

Second step, extension to $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times S^{3}$. Define $k: D_{1}^{3} \rightarrow[1,2]$ by $k(x, y, z)=2-$ $x^{2}-y^{2}-z^{2}$, where $D_{1}^{3}$ denotes the unit 3-ball centred at the origin. After slight perturbation of mappings, one can glue the three pairs ( $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times D_{1}^{3}, \mathrm{id} \times k$ ), $\left([0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-1,1] \times S^{2}, H_{1}\right)$ and $\left([0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times D_{1}^{3}\right.$, id $\times(-k)$ ) one after the other along $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times\{ \pm 1\} \times S^{2}$ so as to obtain a $C^{\infty}$-mapping $H_{2}:[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times S^{3} \rightarrow$ $[0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times[-2,2]$.

Third step, extension to $D^{4}$. Define $l: D_{1}^{4} \rightarrow R^{2}$ by $l(u, x, y, z)=(1-((4-\sqrt{5}) / 4)$ $\left.\cdot\left(u^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right), 2 u\right)$ where $D_{1}^{4}$ denotes the unit 4-ball centred at the origin. Then $l \mid \partial D_{1}^{4}: \partial D_{1}^{4} \rightarrow \sqrt{5} / 4 \times[-2,2]$ and $H_{2} \mid \sqrt{5} / 4 \times S^{3}: \sqrt{5} / 4 \times S^{3} \rightarrow \sqrt{5} / 4 \times[-2,2]$ are right-equivalent Morse functions. Therefore after slight perturbations of $l$ and $H_{2}$, one can glue the pairs $\left([0, \sqrt{5} / 4] \times S^{3}, H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(D_{1}^{4}, l\right)$ so as to obtain a $C^{\infty}$-mapping $H_{3}: D^{4} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$.

It is obvious from the construction that $h=\psi \circ H_{3}$ satisfies the conditions (i)' through (vi)', for an appropriate diffeomorphism $\psi$ on $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. Therefore we have constructed the required mapping $h$.
q.e.d.
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