# BANACH SPACES WHICH ARE NEARLY UNIFORMLY CONVEX

## **R. HUFF**

ABSTRACT. A property which generalizes uniform convexity is defined in terms of sequences. Its relationships to uniform convexity and to weak and norm convergence on spheres are investigated.

1. Introduction. Let X be a (real) banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , let  $B_{\delta}(x)$  (respectively,  $\overline{B}_{\delta}(x)$ ) denote the open (closed) ball with center x and radius  $\delta$ , and let co(A) ( $\overline{co}(A)$ ) denote the convex hull (closed convex hull) of a set A.

We will say that the norm is a *Kadec-Klee* (KK-)*norm* provided on the unit sphere sequences converge in norm whenever they converge weakly. (This is property (H) in [2].) An equivalent formulation is the following.

$$(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K})_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \overline{B}_{1}(0)$$

$$(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}): x_{n} \to x \text{ wkly}$$

$$(x_{n})_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ not norm Cauchy} \end{cases} \Rightarrow ||x|| < 1.$$

For notation, given a sequence  $(x_n)$  we let

$$sep(x_n) = inf \{ ||x_n - x_m|| : m \neq n \}.$$

If  $(x_n)$  is not norm-Cauchy, then for some subsequence  $(y_n)$  we must have  $sep(y_n) > 0$ . The above definition can be reformulated as follows.

$$(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}): \begin{array}{l} (x_n) \subset \bar{B}_1(0) \\ (\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}): \begin{array}{l} x_n \to x \text{ wkly} \\ \operatorname{sep}(x_n) > 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow ||x|| < 1.$$

This formulation suggests the following two successively stronger notions.

The norm will be called *uniformly Kadec-Klee* (UKK) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta < 1$  such that

$$(\mathbf{X}_n) \subset B_1(0)$$
  
(UKK):  $x_n \to x$  wkly  
 $\operatorname{sep}(x_n) \geq \varepsilon$   $\Rightarrow x \in B_{\delta}(0).$ 

Copyright © 1980 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Presented to the American Mathematical Society January, 1979, Biloxi, Mississippi. Received by the editors on April 2, 1979.

The norm will be said to be *nearly uniformly convex* (NUC) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta < 1$  such that

$$(\text{NUC}): \left. \begin{array}{c} (x_n) \subset \bar{B}_1(0) \\ \\ \sup(x_n) \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \operatorname{co}(x_n) \cap B_{\delta}(0) \neq \emptyset$$

The norm is (NUC) if and only if it is (UKK) and the space X is reflexive (Theorem 1 below).

Recall that the norm is said to be *uniformly convex* (UC) provided for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta < 1$  such that

$$(\text{UC}): \begin{array}{c} x, y \in B_1(0) \\ \|x - y\| \ge \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \in B_{\delta}(0).$$

We have  $(UC) \Rightarrow (NUC) \Rightarrow (UKK) \Rightarrow (KK)$ . Vacuously, every finite dimensional space is (NUC); hence (NUC)  $\Rightarrow$  (UC). Similarly, every Shur space (e.g.,  $\zeta_1$ ) is (UKK), and since (NUC) spaces are reflexive, (UKK)  $\Rightarrow$  (NUC).

[We remark that the direct sum  $\ell^2 \oplus \ell^1$  with the norm  $||(x, y)|| = ||x||_2 + ||y||_1$  is (UKK), non-(NUC), non-reflexive, and non-Shur.] We shall have an example to show that (KK)  $\neq$  (UKK). Since Hilbert space  $\ell^2$  has an equivalent norm whose unit sphere contains a weakly compact, non-compact convex set (e.g., take

$$||(x_1, x_2, ...)|| = \max\{|x_1|, (|x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2 + ...)^{1/2})\},\$$

none of the above properties are isomorphism invariant. For each pair of properties we shall have an example of a space with the weaker property and which is not isomorphic to a space with the stronger property.

It is well-known that a (UC) space is reflexive, but not every reflexive space has an equivalent (UC) norm. We shall see that this remains true if (UC) is replaced by (NUC).

The author would like to thank J. Bourgain for discussions concerning the topic of this paper. In particular, he suggested the ideas which lead to Theorem 3 and its easy proof given below.

## 2. Main results.

THEOREM 1. A norm  $\|\cdot\|$  for X is (NUC) if and only if X is reflexive and the norm is (UKK).

**PROOF.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ). This implication follows directly from Eberlein's theorem and the separation theorem.

 $(\Rightarrow)$ . Suppose  $\|\cdot\|$  is (NUC). Call a sequence  $(y_n)$  a *c*-subsequence of

 $(x_n)$  provided there is a sequence of integers  $1 = p_1 \leq q_1 < p_2 \leq q_2 < \dots$  and coefficients  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  such that for each n

$$\sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_i = 1 \text{ and } y_i = \sum_{i=p_n}^{q_n} \alpha_i x_i.$$

If we choose  $\delta < 1$  corresponding to  $\varepsilon$  in the definition of (NUC), and if  $\operatorname{sep}(x_n) \ge \varepsilon$ , then there is an entire *c*-subsequence  $(y_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  with  $(y_n) \subset \overline{B}_{\delta}(0)$ . If  $x_n \to x$  weakly, then  $y_n \to x$  weakly, so  $||x|| \le \delta$ . Hence  $|| \cdot ||$  is (UKK).

It remains to see that X is reflexive. An easy proof can be given using Jame's characterization of reflexivity in terms of functionals attaining their norms (see [3]). We give an alternate proof which is possibly more enlightening.

We use a theorem of Eberlein and Smulian [2, p. 51]. Let  $(K_n)$  be a decreasing sequence of non-void closed convex subsets of  $\overline{B}_1(0)$ . We need to show that  $\bigcap K_n \neq \emptyset$ . For each *n*, choose  $x_n \in K_n$ . Then for each  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a *c*-subsequence  $(y_n)$  with  $||y_n - y_m|| < \eta$  for all *m*, *n*. [For suppose this is not the case. Let  $\varepsilon = \eta/2$  and choose  $\delta < 1$  as in the definition of (NUC). There exists a *c*-subsequence of  $(x_n)$  lying in  $\overline{B}_{\delta}(0)$ , and that *c*-subsequence can be chosen to be separated by  $\varepsilon$ . By repeating the argument, there is a successive *c*-subsequence lying in  $\overline{B}_{\delta^2}(0)$ . We need only repeat the argument a sufficient number of times to obtain a contradiction.]

Next, by a "diagonal" argument, there is a *c*-subsequence of  $(x_n)$  which is norm-Cauchy and hence convergent to some  $y \in \overline{B}_1(0)$ . Then

$$y \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{\operatorname{co}}(x_n)_{n=m}^{\infty} \subset \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m.$$

The following theorem says, in some sense, that the property (NUC) ignores finite dimensions.

THEOREM 2. Let Y be a Banach space with a basis  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  (unconditional if I is uncountable), and with norm such that for every finite  $J \subset I$ ,

$$0 \leq \alpha_j \leq \beta_j, \forall j \in J \Rightarrow \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j e_j \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \beta_j e_j \right\|.$$

Let  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of finite dimensional spaces, let

$$Z = \{ x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i \colon \sum_i ||x_i|| e_i \in Y \},\$$

and let Z have the norm

$$||x|| = ||\sum_{i} ||x_{i}||e_{i}||.$$

If Y is (NUC), then Z is (NUC).

**PROOF.** If *E* is a subset of *I*, let  $P_E: Y \rightarrow Y$  be defined by

$$P_E(\sum_{i\in I}\alpha_i e_i) = \sum_{i\in E}\alpha_i e_i$$

(In case I is countable (say I = N) and  $(e_i)$  is not unconditional, we consider only those E's of the form  $\{1, ..., n\}$  and  $\{n, n + 1, n + 2, ...\}$ .) Choose k > 0 such that  $||P_E|| \leq k$  for all E.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and choose  $\delta < 1$  such that if  $(y_n)$  is a sequence in the unit ball of Y with  $\operatorname{sep}(y_n) \ge \varepsilon/5k$ , then  $\operatorname{co}(y_n) \cap B_{\delta}(0) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\varphi: Z \to Y$  be defined by  $\varphi((x_i)) = \sum ||x_i|| e_i$ .

Let  $(x^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in the unit ball of Z such that  $sep(x^{(n)}) \ge \varepsilon$ . We claim that there exists a subsequence  $(x^{(n_k)})$  such that

$$\operatorname{sep}(\varphi(x^{(n_k)})) \geq \varepsilon/5k.$$

Once this is proved, there will be a convex combination  $\sum \beta_k \varphi(x^{(n_k)})$  with norm less than  $\delta$ . Since

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{k} \beta_{k} \varphi(x^{(n_{k})})\| &= \left\|\sum_{k} \beta_{k} \sum_{i} \|x_{i}^{(n_{k})}\|e_{i}\right\| \\ &= \left\|\sum_{i} (\sum_{k} \beta_{k} \|x_{i}^{(n_{k})}\|e_{i}\right\| \\ &\geq \left\|\sum_{i} \|\sum_{k} \beta_{k} x_{i}^{(n_{k})}\|e_{i}\right\| \\ &= \left\|\sum_{k} \beta_{k} x^{(n_{k})}\right\|, \end{split}$$

this will complete the proof.

We now prove the claim. It is sufficient to show that for any finite set  $\{x^{(n_1)}, \ldots, x^{(n_k)}\}$  there exists  $x^{(n)}$  such that  $\|\varphi(x^{(n_j)}) - \varphi(x^{(n)})\| \ge \varepsilon/5k$  for all  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ . Suppose this is not the case for some finite set  $\{x^{(n_1)}, \ldots, x^{(n_k)}\}$ . Since  $(e_i)$  is a basis for Y, there exists some finite set  $E \subset I$  such that

$$\left\|P_{I\setminus E}(\varphi(x^{(n_j)}))\right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}, \forall j = 1, ..., k.$$

Hence for all n, there exists some j so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| P_{I \setminus E}(\varphi(x^{(n)})) \right\| &\leq \left\| P_{I \setminus E}(\varphi(x^{(n_j)})) \right\| + \left\| P_{I \setminus E}[\varphi(x^{(n_j)}) - \varphi(x^{(n)})] \right\| \\ &< \varepsilon/5 + \varepsilon/5 \\ &= 2\varepsilon/5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all *m* and *n*,

$$\|P_{I \setminus E}[\varphi(x^{(n)} - x^{(m)})]\| = \|\sum_{i \in I \setminus E} \|x_i^{(n)} - x_i^{(m)}\|e_i\|$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_{i \in I \setminus E} (\|x_i^{(n)}\| + \|x_i^{(m)}\|) e_i \right\|$$
  
=  $\|P_{I \setminus E}(\varphi(x^{(n)}))\| + \|P_{I \setminus E}(\varphi(x^{(m)}))\|$   
<  $4\varepsilon/5.$ 

Next, for each  $i \in E$ ,  $(x_i^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a bounded sequence in  $X_i$  and hence has a Cauchy subsequence. By passing to successive subsequences, we may assume that  $(x_i^{(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy for every  $i \in E$ . Then

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \|P_E(\varphi(x^{(n)} - x^{(m)}))\| = \lim_{m,n\to\infty} \|\sum_{i\in E} \|x_i^{(n)} - x_i^{(m)}\|e_i\| = 0.$$

Choose *m* and *n* different and sufficiently large so that

$$\left\|P_E[\varphi(x^{(n)} - x^{(m)})]\right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}.$$

Then we have  $m \neq n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{(n)} - x^{(m)}\| &= \|\varphi(x^{(n)} - x^{(m)})\| \\ &\leq \|P_E[\varphi(x^{(n)} - x^{(m)})]\| + \|P_{I \setminus E}[\varphi(x^{(n)} - x^{(m)})]\| \\ &< \varepsilon/5 + 4\varepsilon/5 = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This contradiction proves the claim.

COROLLARY. There exist (NUC) norms that are not equivalent to (UC) norms.

**PROOF.** For  $i = 1, 2, ..., let X_i$  denote  $\mathbb{R}^i$  with the  $\ell^i$ -norm (i.e.,  $||(x_1, ..., x_i)||_i = (\sum |x_j|^i)^{1/i}$ ). Let  $Y = \ell^2$  and construct Z as in the theorem. Then Z is (NUC), while Day [1] showed that Z is not isomorphic to any (UC) space.

THEOREM 3. Given a set  $K \subset X$  and  $\varepsilon < 0$ , define the  $\varepsilon$ -derived set of K to be the set

 $\eta_{\varepsilon}(K) = \{x: \text{ there exists } (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset K \text{ with } \operatorname{sep}(x_n) > \varepsilon \text{ and } x_n \to x \text{ weakly} \}.$ 

If X has an equivalent norm which is (UKK), then

(\*) 
$$\begin{cases} \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } n \text{ such that} \\ \eta_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}(\bar{B}_1(0)) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** It is clear that (\*) is invariant under isomorphisms, and so we may assume the given norm for X is (UKK). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and choose  $\delta < 1$  corresponding to  $\varepsilon$ . Then  $\eta_{\varepsilon}(\bar{B}_1(0)) \subset \delta \bar{B}_1(0), \ \eta_{\varepsilon}^2(\bar{B}_1(0)) \subset \delta \eta_{\varepsilon}(\bar{B}_1(0)) \subset \delta^2 \bar{B}_1(0), \ \dots$ , and thus  $\eta_{\varepsilon}^n(\bar{B}_1(0))$  must eventually have diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ , and so must eventually be empty.

LEMMA. For the sequence space  $\ell^p(1 , if <math>m \leq 2^p$ , then  $\eta_{1/2}^m(\bar{B}_1(0)) \neq \phi$ .

PROOF. Let  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be the usual unit vector basis for  $\ell^p$ . For all  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_m$ , we have

$$\left\|\frac{1}{2}e_{n_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}e_{n_m}\right\| = (m/2^p)^{1/p} \leq 1,$$

and if  $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_{k-1} < \min(n_k, n_k')$  and  $n_k \neq n_k'$ , then

$$\left\|\frac{1}{2}(e_{n_1}+\cdots+e_{n_k})-\frac{1}{2}(e_{n_1}+\cdots+e_{n'_k})\right\|=\frac{1}{2}\left\|e_{n_k}-e_{n'_k}\right\|>\frac{1}{2}.$$

Since  $0 = \text{wk-lim } e_n$ , we have

$$0 = \operatorname{wk-lim}_{n_1 \to \infty} \left( \cdots \left( \operatorname{wk-lim}_{n_m \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} e_{n_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2} e_{n_m} \right) \cdots \right) \right),$$

and so 0 is in  $\eta_{1/2}^{m}(\bar{B}_{1}(0))$ .

THEOREM 4. There exist reflexive Banach spaces which are not isomorphic to any (UKK) space.

PROOF. Let  $Y = \ell^2$  and  $X_i = \ell^i$  (i = 2, 3, ...), and construct Z as in Theorem 2. Z is known to be reflexible, but we have  $\eta_{1/2}^n(\bar{B}_1(0)) \neq 0, \forall n$ , and hence Z is not (UKK).

We remark that Z is also known to be (KK) [2]. (In fact, it follows from results of Troyanski [6] that every reflexive space (indeed, any weakly compactly generated space) is isomorphic to a (KK) space.

3. Remarks; open questions. (1) If the notion of derived set is taken to be

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon}(K) = \left\{ \frac{x+y}{2} : x, y \in K, \|x-y\| \ge \varepsilon \right\},\$$

then the condition

(#)   
{for every 
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
 there exists *n*  
such that  $\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(n)} \bar{B}_{1}(0) = \emptyset$ 

is known to be equivalent to the space X being isomorphic to a (UC) space [4].

It is natural to conjecture that (\*) of Theorem 3 is equivalent to X being isomorphic to a (UKK) space. A weaker conjecture is that (\*) is equivalent to a *reflexive* space X being isomorphic to a (NUC) space.

(2) Kakutani showed that every (UC)-space satisfies the Banach-Saks property [5] (see also [3]). It is natural to conjecture that every (NUC)-space does also.

## BANACH SPACES

(3) It would be of interest to know what conditions are needed for a Lebesgue-Bochner space  $L^{p}(X)$  to be (NUC).

#### References

1. M.M. Day, Reflexive Banach spaces not isomorphic to uniformly convex spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1941), 313-317.

2. M.M. Day, Normed Linear Spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1973.

3. J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach Spaces-Selected Topics*, Lecture Notes in Math. 485, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1975.

4. P. Enflo, Banach spaces which can be given an equivalent uniformly convex norm, Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 281-288.

5. S. Kakutani, Weak convergence in uniformly convex spaces, Tohoku Math. J. 45 (1938), 18–193.

**6.** S. Troyanski, On locally uniformly convex and differentiable norms in certain non-separable Banach spaces. Studia Math. **37** (1970–71), 173–180.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802