44. On the Existence and Regularity of the Solution of Stokes Problem in Arbitrary Dimension

By Chérif Amrouche*) and Vivette Girault**)
(Communicated by Kunihiko Kodaira, M. J. A., May 13, 1991)

Let Ω be a bounded and connected open set of \mathbb{R}^N $(N \ge 2)$ and let f, ϕ, g be three given functions that satisfy the compatibility condition: $\int_a^b \phi(x) dx = \int_{\Gamma}^b g \cdot n \ d\sigma$, where n denotes the exterior normal to Γ . Recall the Stokes problem with unit viscosity:

Find a pair (u.p) such that:

(1)-(3) $-\Delta u + \nabla p = f \text{ in } \Omega$, div $u = \phi \text{ in } \Omega$, $u = g \text{ on } \Gamma$. The homogeneous case corresponds to $\phi = 0$ and g = 0.

Let $m \ge -1$ be an integer; let r denote an arbitrary real number such that $1 < r < \infty$ and let r' be its conjugate: 1/r + 1/r' = 1. We agree to denote by X the product space X^N . This note establishes that, for each f in $W^{m,r}(\Omega)$, ϕ in $W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)$ and g in $W^{m+2-1/r,r}(\Gamma)$, problem (1)-(3) has a unique solution u in $W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)$ and p in $W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)/R$ that depends continuously upon the data. The regularity hypotheses that we impose on the boundary are optimal when $m \ge 0$. For a smoother boundary, these results are of course not new (cf. Cattabriga [6] and Geymonat [7]), but the proof that we present here is new.

Our proof is based on the following fundamental orthogonal decomposition, which is very closely related to the Stokes problem:

$$(4) W^{m+2,r}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,r}(\Omega) = (W^{m+2,r}(\Omega) \cap V_{1,r}) \oplus (W^{m+2,r}(\Omega) \cap G_{1,r}),$$
 where

$$V_{1,r} = \{ v \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega) ; \text{ div } v = 0 \} \text{ and } G_{1,r} = \{ v \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega) ; -\Delta v = Vq, q \in L_0^r(\Omega) \}.$$

First, for $m \ge 0$, we shall establish (4) by showing that the homogeneous Stokes problem is elliptic in the sense of Agmon-Douglis-Nirenberg [2]; this will immediately yield the desired result for such m. Unfortunately, the material in [2] does not apply when m=-1. We shall instead, solve by duality a weaker problem (an approach already used by Giga [8]), and then complete by interpolation our desired result for m=-1.

Proposition 1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let the domain Ω be $\mathbb{C}^{m+1,1}$. Assume that the homogeneous Stokes problem has a solution $u \in W^{2,r}(\Omega)$ and $p \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$. If in addition $f \in W^{m,r}(\Omega)$, then $u \in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)$, $p \in W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)$ and (5) $\|u\|_{W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)} + \|p\|_{W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)/R} \leq C\|f\|_{W^{m,r}(\Omega)}.$

Proof. Following the proof of Proposition 2.2 in Temam [10], p. 33, we show that the homogeneous Stokes problem is an elliptic system in the

^{*)} Université de Technologie de Compiègne, France.

^{**)} LAN, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, France.

sense of Agmon-Douglis-Nirenberg [2] (pp. 38–39 and 42–43). When Ω is C^{m+2} , Theorem 10.5, p. 78 [2], yields a weaker estimate than (5), namely:

 $||u||_{W^{m+2,r(Q)}} + ||p||_{W^{m+1,r(Q)}/R} \le C_1(||f||_{W^{m,r(Q)}} + d_r||u||_{L^{r(Q)}}).$

But, since the domain is bounded and the solution is unique, according to Remark 2 pp. 668-669 in [1], we can take $d_r=0$. Furthermore, applying the material of Grisvard [10], the estimate of Theorem 10.5 is also valid for $C^{m+1,1}$ domains.

Proposition 1 is not an existence result, but it permits to obtain a regularity result whenever a solution exists. With this proposition, we can prove (4).

Proposition 2. Let $m \in N$ and let Ω be $C^{m+1,1}$. Then the decomposition (4) holds.

Proof. Let E denote the space in the left-hand side of (4) and F the space in the right-hand side. To prove the equality, let us establish that F is closed and dense in E.

As far as the closure is concerned, let u_n be a sequence of F that converges to some element u in $W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)\cap W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$. Then u_n has the form $u_n=v_n+w_n$ with $v_n\in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)\cap V_{1,r}$ and $w_n\in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)\cap G_{1,r}$. Furthermore, by definition, w_n satisfies: $-\Delta w_n= \overline{r}q_n$, where $q_n\in W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)$. Set $f_n=-\Delta u_n=-\Delta v_n+\overline{r}q_n$. Thus, the pair $(v_n,q_n)\in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)\times W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)$ is the solution of a homogeneous Stokes problem with right-hand side f_n . Therefore, since f_n tends to $-\Delta u$ in $W^{m,r}(\Omega)$, Proposition 1 implies that the sequences v_n,q_n are bounded respectively in $W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)\subset W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)/R$. As a consequence, w_n is also bounded in $W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)\cap W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and both $v_n\rightharpoonup v$ and $w_n\rightharpoonup w$ weakly in $W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)\cap W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$, with $v\in V_{1,r}$ and $w\in G_{1,r}$.

To prove the density, let L be an element of E' that vanishes on F and let us show that L=0. It is easy to check that L has a unique extension $\tilde{L}\in W^{-1,r'}(\Omega)$ that vanishes on $V_{1,r}\oplus G_{1,r}$. Applying a simplified version (cf. for instance [4]) of de Rham's theorem, this implies in particular that $\tilde{L}=Vq$ for some $q\in L^{r'}(\Omega)$. Then, introducing the solution $z\in W_0^{1,r'}(\Omega)$ of the problem $-\Delta z=Vq$, we have for all $w\in G_{1,r}:\langle z,-\Delta w\rangle=0$; i.e. $\langle z,Vp\rangle=0$ for all $p\in L^r(\Omega)$. This means that $\operatorname{div} z=0$; hence z=0 and in turn $\tilde{L}=0$.

With this, the homogeneous Stokes problem reduces to a Laplace equation.

Theorem 3. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let Ω be $\mathbb{C}^{m+1,1}$. For each $f \in W^{m,r}(\Omega)$, the homogeneous Stokes problem has a unique solution $u \in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)$ and $p \in W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ and,

(6) $||u||_{\mathbf{W}^{m+2,r(\Omega)}} + ||p||_{\mathbf{W}^{m+1,r(\Omega)/R}} \leq C||f||_{\mathbf{W}^{m,r(\Omega)}}.$

Proof. Let $v \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ be the solution of the problem $-\Delta v = f$ in Ω . It stems from the well-known regularity properties of this operator that $v \in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)$ with continuous dependence on f. Then, by virtue of Proposition 2, v = u + w, with $u \in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega) \cap V_{1,r}$ and $w \in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega) \cap G_{1,r}$. But since $-\Delta w = \nabla p$, with $p \in W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)$, we derive immediately that the pair u and p satisfies: $-\Delta u + \nabla p = f$, div u = 0.

Then the non homogeneous problem follows by using an adequate lifting operator.

Theorem 4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let Ω be $\mathbb{C}^{m+1,1}$. For each $f \in W^{m,r}(\Omega)$, $\phi \in W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)$ and $g \in W^{m+2-1/r,r}(\Gamma)$ such that: $\int_{\Omega} \phi(x) dx = \int_{\Gamma} g \cdot n d\sigma$, the non homogeneous Stokes problem (1)–(3) has a unique solution $u \in W^{m+2,r}(\Omega)$ and $p \in W^{m+1,r}(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ and,

 $(7) \quad \|u\|_{\mathbf{W}^{m+2,r(Q)}} + \|p\|_{\mathbf{W}^{m+1,r(Q)/R}} \le C(\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}^{m,r(Q)}} + \|\phi\|_{\mathbf{W}^{m+1,r(Q)}} + \|g\|_{\mathbf{W}^{m+2-1/r,r(\Gamma)}}).$

Proof. Owing to the compatibility condition, (cf. [3] or [4]), we can associate with ϕ and g a function $u_0 \in W^{m+2,\tau}(\Omega)$, such that div $u_0 = \phi$ in Ω , $u_0 = g$ on Γ and

(8)
$$||u_0||_{\mathbf{W}^{m+2,r}(\Omega)} \leq C(||\phi||_{\mathbf{W}^{m+1,r}(\Omega)} + ||g||_{\mathbf{W}^{m+2-1/r,r}(\Gamma)}).$$

This reduces the problem to a homogeneous one and the result follows from Theorem 3.

There is no proof of the a priori estimates of Proposition 1 for m=-1 and so we cannot handle this case directly. But we can solve by duality a simplified version of the Stokes problem that corresponds to m=-2. The following proposition is party due to Giga [8].

Proposition 5. Let Ω be $C^{1,1}$. For each $g \in W^{-1/r,r}(\Gamma)$ satisfying $g \cdot n = 0$, the problem

(9)-(11) $-\Delta v + \nabla q = 0$ in Ω , div v = 0 in Ω , v = g on Γ , has a unique solution $v \in L^r(\Omega)$ and $q \in W^{-1,r}(\Omega)/R$ and,

$$||v||_{L^{r(\Omega)}} + ||q||_{W^{-1,r(\Omega)/R}} \le C||g||_{W^{-1/r,r(\Gamma)}}.$$

Proof. First let us show that if $v \in L^r(\Omega)$ satisfies (9) and (10) then the trace of v on Γ belongs to $W^{-1/r,r}(\Gamma)$, so that the bounday condition (11) makes sense. On one hand, the fact that $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ implies that $v \cdot n \in W^{-1/r,r}(\Gamma)$. On the other hand, it follows from (9) and (10) that $v \in T$, where $T = \{w \in L^r(\Omega); \ \Delta w \in X', \ \operatorname{div} w = 0\}$ and $X = \{w \in W_0^{1,r'}(\Omega); \ \operatorname{div} w \in W_0^{1,r'}(\Omega)\}$. Then a density argument yields the Green's formula:

$$\langle v, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \rangle = \langle v, \Delta \phi \rangle - \langle \Delta v, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in Y, \quad \forall v \in T,$$

where $Y = W^{2,r'}(\Omega) \cap X$. By observing that the range space of the operator $\partial/\partial n$ on Y is the space $Z = \{w \in W^{1/r,r'}(\Gamma); w \cdot n = 0\}$, and that its dual space Z' has the identification: $Z' = \{g \in W^{-1/r,r}(\Gamma); g \cdot n = 0\}$, we see that, by virtue of (13), the tangential trace of v belongs precisely to Z'.

Now, the proof is based on a duality argument developed by Lions-Magenes [11]. Applying (13), we readily derive that problem (9)–(11) has the equivalent variational formulation (that can also be found in [8]): find $v \in L^r(\Omega)$ and $q \in W^{-1,r}(\Omega)/R$ such that:

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}} v(-\Delta u + \nabla p) dx - \langle q, \text{ div } u \rangle = \left\langle g, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right\rangle_{\Gamma} \quad \forall u \in Y, \quad \forall p \in W^{1,r'}(\Omega).$$

But, owing to Theorem 4, for each $f \in L^{r'}(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in W_0^{1,r'}(\Omega) \cap L_0^{r'}(\Omega)$ (where $L_0^{r'}(\Omega)$ denotes the subspace of functions of $L^{r'}(\Omega)$ with zero mean value), there exists a unique solution $u \in Y$ and $p \in W^{1,r'}(\Omega)/R$ of the problem:

$$-\Delta u + \nabla p = f$$
 in Ω , div $u = \phi$ in Ω , $u = 0$ on Γ .

Moreover, it follows from the continuity of the mapping $\partial/\partial n: W^{2,r'}(\Omega) \to W^{1/r,r'}(\Gamma)$ and the estimate (7), that the mapping $(f,\phi)\mapsto \langle g,\partial u/\partial n\rangle_{\Gamma}$ defines an element of the dual space of $L^{r'}(\Omega)\times [W_0^{1,r'}(\Omega)\cap L_0^{r'}(\Omega)]$, with norm bounded by $C\|g\|_{W^{-1/r,r}(\Gamma)}$. Then the theorem follows from Riesz' representation theorem.

The next corollary, which relaxes the compatibility condition on the data g, is an easy consequence of Proposition 5.

Corollary 6. Proposition 5 is also valid for each $g \in W^{-1/r,r}(\Gamma)$ satisfying $\langle g \cdot n, 1 \rangle = 0$.

Then by interpolating between the results of Corollary 6 and Theorem 4 with m=0, f=0 and $\phi=0$, we obtain:

Corollary 7. Let Ω be $C^{1,1}$. For each $g \in W^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma)$ satisfying $\int_{\Gamma} g \cdot n d\sigma = 0$, the solution of problem (9)–(11) has the regularity: $v \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and $q \in L^r(\Omega)/R$ and,

$$||v||_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)} + ||q||_{L^{r}(\Omega)/R} \le C||g||_{W^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma)}.$$

From this and the isomorphism properties of the divergence operator, we derive:

Corollary 8. Let Ω be $C^{1,1}$. For each $\phi \in L_0^r(\Omega)$, problem (1)-(3), with f=0 and g=0, has a unique solution $u \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and $p \in L^r(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ and, (14) $\|u\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)} + \|p\|_{L^r(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}} \leq C \|\phi\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$

This last corollary permits to complete the statement of Proposition 2; it gives the analogue of the well-known decomposition: $H_0^1(\Omega) = V \oplus V^{\perp}$ (cf. for instance [9]).

Proposition 9. If Ω is $C^{1,1}$, then $W_0^{1,r}(\Omega) = V_{1,r} \oplus G_{1,r}$.

Finally, applying the same arguments as in Theorems 3 and 4, we easily derive:

Theorem 10. Let Ω be $C^{1,1}$. For each $f \in W^{-1,r}(\Omega)$, $\phi \in L^r(\Omega)$ and $g \in W^{1-1/r,r}(\Gamma)$ satisfying: $\int_{\Omega} \phi(x) dx = \int_{\Gamma} g \cdot n d\sigma$, problem (1)–(3) has a unique solution $u \in W^{1,r}(\Omega)$ and $p \in L^r(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ and,

$$||u||_{\mathbf{W}^{1,r}(\varrho)} + ||p||_{L^{r}(\varrho)/R} \le C\{||f||_{\mathbf{W}^{-1,r}(\varrho)} + ||\phi||_{L^{r}(\varrho)} + ||g||_{\mathbf{W}^{1-1/r},r(P)}\}.$$

References

- S. Agmon, A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg: Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 12, 623-727 (1959).
- [2] —: Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. II. ibid., 17, 35-92 (1964).
- [3] C. Amrouche: Propriétés d'opérateurs de dérivation. Application au problème non homogène de Stokes. CRAS, t. 310, Série I, 367-370 (1990).
- [4] C. Amrouche and V. Girault: Propriétés fonctionnelles d'opérateurs. Application au problème de Stokes en dimension quelconque. LAN-UPMC n. 90025, France (1990).

- [5] W. Borchers and H. Sohr: On the equations rot v=g and div u=f with zero boundary conditions. Hokkaido Math. J., 19, 67-87 (1990).
- [6] L. Cattabriga: Su un problema al contorno relativo al sistema di equazoni di Stokes. Rend. Sem. Univ. Padova, 31, 308-340 (1961).
- [7] G. Geymonat: Sui problemi ai limiti per i sistemi lineari ellittici. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., (4) 69, 207-284 (1965).
- [8] Y. Giga: Analyticity of the semigroup generated by the Stokes operator in L_r Spaces. Zeitschrift, pp. 297-329 (1981).
- [9] V. Girault and P. A. Raviart: Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations. Springer, Series SCM (1986).
- [10] P. Grisvard: Elliptic problems in non-smooth domains. Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 24, Pitman (1985).
- [11] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes: Problemi ai limiti non homogenei (III). Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 15, 41-103 (1961).
- [12] R. Temam: Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Analysis. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1985).