# ON THE INVERSE PROBLEM OF THE SCATTERING THEORY FOR A BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM 

HAMZA MENKEN and KHANLAR R. MAMEDOV

Department of Mathematics, Mersin University, Turkey


#### Abstract

In the present work the inverse problem of the scattering theory for Sturm-Liouville differential equation with a spectral parameter in the boundary condition is investigated. The Gelfand-Marchenko-Levitan fundamental equation is obtained, the uniqueness of the solution of the inverse problem is proved and some properties of the scattering data are given.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the boundary problem generated by the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{\prime \prime}+q(x) y=\lambda^{2} y \quad(0<x<\infty) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right) y^{\prime}(0)-\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right) y(0)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(x)$ is a real-valued function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty}(1+x)|q(x)| \mathrm{d} x<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}(i=1,2)$ are real numbers such that

$$
\delta=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right|>0
$$

In the present work the inverse problem of scattering theory (IPST) for the boundary problem of (1)-(3) is investigated. For the equation (1) IPST was completely solved in [6], [9], [10] when the boundary condition (2) was not including any spectral parameter. When the boundary condition (2) was including a spectral parameter, the similar problem was discussed in [7], [8] and the inverse problem with respect to the spectral function was investigated in [12], also with respect to
the Weyl function was investigated in [13]. In finite intervals, the inverse problem with respect to distinct characterizations for the spectral parameter dependent boundary conditions has been considered by many authors (see [1], [2], [3], [11]). The physical applications of such problems were given [4].
According to [10], for any $\lambda$ from closed upper-half plane, the equation (1) has a solution $e(\lambda, x)$ described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(\lambda, x)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x}+\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the kernel function $K(x, t)$ the inequality

$$
|K(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sigma\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \exp \left\{\sigma_{1}(x)-\sigma_{1}\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right)\right\}
$$

holds where

$$
\sigma(x) \equiv \int_{x}^{+\infty}|q(t)| \mathrm{d} t, \quad \sigma_{1}(x) \equiv \int_{x}^{+\infty} \sigma(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Moreover,

$$
K(x, x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{+\infty} q(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

The solution $e(\lambda, x)$ is an analytic function of $\lambda$ in the upper half plane $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq 0$ and is continuous on the real line. The following estimates hold through the half plane $\operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq 0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
|e(\lambda, x)| \leq \exp \left\{-\operatorname{Im} \lambda x+\sigma_{1}(x)\right\} \\
\left|e(\lambda, x)-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x}\right| \leq\left\{\sigma_{1}(x)-\sigma_{1}\left(x+\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\right\} \exp \left\{-\operatorname{Im} \lambda x+\sigma_{1}(x)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{\prime}(\lambda, x)-\mathrm{i} \lambda \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x}\right| \leq \sigma(x) \exp \left\{-\operatorname{Im} \lambda x+\sigma_{1}(x)\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For real $\lambda \neq 0$, the functions $e(\lambda, x)$ and $e(-\lambda, x)$ form a fundamental system of solutions of the equation (1) and their Wronskian is equal to $2 \mathrm{i} \lambda$

$$
W\{e(\lambda, x), e(-\lambda, x)\}=e^{\prime}(\lambda, x) e(-\lambda, x)-e(\lambda, x) e^{\prime}(-\lambda, x)=2 \mathrm{i} \lambda
$$

## 2. Main Results

Let $\omega(\lambda, x)$ be a solution of the equation (1) satisfying the initial-value conditions

$$
\omega(\lambda, 0)=\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda, \quad \omega^{\prime}(\lambda, 0)=\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda
$$

Lemma 1. The identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \mathrm{i} \lambda \omega(\lambda, x)}{\left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right) e^{\prime}(\lambda, 0)-\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right) e(0, \lambda)}=\overline{e(\lambda, x)}-S(\lambda) e(\lambda, x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all real $\lambda \neq 0$ where

$$
S(\lambda)=\frac{\left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right) \overline{e^{\prime}(\lambda, 0)}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right) \overline{e(\lambda, 0)}}{\left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right) e^{\prime}(\lambda, 0)-\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right) e(0, \lambda)}
$$

and

$$
\overline{S(\lambda)}=S(-\lambda)
$$

Proof: It can be easily proved in similar way the proof of the Theorem 1 in [8].
Let

$$
E(\lambda)=\left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right) e^{\prime}(\lambda, 0)-\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right) e(0, \lambda)
$$

Lemma 2. The function $E(\lambda)$ may have only a finite number of zeros on the half plane $\operatorname{Im} \lambda>0$, they are all simple and lie on the imaginary.

Proof: Since $E(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all real $\lambda \neq 0$, the point $\lambda=0$ is the possible real zero of the function $E(\lambda)$. Since the function $E(\lambda, 0)$ is analytic in the upper half plane $\operatorname{Im} \lambda>0$ we have that the zeros of $E(\lambda)$ are at most countable. Now to show that the set of the zeros of $E(\lambda)$ is bounded we assume, by way of contradiction, that this set is bounded, so that there exist the numbers $\lambda_{k}$ such that these numbers satisfy the relation $E\left(\lambda_{k}\right)=0$ for $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_{k}>0$ and $\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ or

$$
e^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k}, 0\right)=\frac{\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda_{k}}{\alpha_{2}+\mathbf{i} \beta_{2} \lambda_{k}} e\left(\lambda_{k}, 0\right)
$$

On the other hand, taking $x=0, \lambda=\lambda_{k}$ in the inequality (6) we have

$$
\left|e^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k}, x\right)-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}\right| \leq \sigma(0) \exp \left\{\sigma_{1}(0)\right\}
$$

or

$$
\left|\frac{\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda_{k}}{\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda_{k}} e\left(\lambda_{k}, 0\right)-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}\right| \leq \sigma(0) \exp \left\{\sigma_{1}(0)\right\}
$$

Thus, we can write

$$
\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \leq\left|\frac{\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda_{k}}{\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda_{k}} e\left(\lambda_{k}, 0\right)\right|+\sigma(0) \exp \left\{\sigma_{1}(0)\right\}
$$

According to (5) since $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} e\left(\lambda_{k}, 0\right)=1$, the right side of the last inequality has a finite limit. This contradiction shows that the set $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$ is bounded. Hence, the set of zeros of the function $E(\lambda)$ is bounded and form at most countable set having 0 the only possible limit point.
Now, we shall show that all the zeros of the function $E(\lambda)$ lie in the imaginary axis. Suppose that $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are two arbitrary zeros of the function $E(\lambda)$. Multiplying the first of the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
-e^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{1}, x\right)+q(x) e\left(\mu_{1}, x\right) & =\mu_{1}^{2} e\left(\mu_{1}, x\right) \\
-\overline{e^{\prime \prime}\left(\mu_{2}, x\right)}+q(x) \overline{e\left(\mu_{2}, x\right)} & =\bar{\mu}_{2}^{2} \overline{e\left(\mu_{2}, x\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by $\overline{e\left(\mu_{2}, x\right)}$ and the second relation by $e\left(\mu_{1}, x\right)$, subtracting the second resulting relation from the first, and integrating the resulting difference from zero to infinity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{1}^{2}-\bar{\mu}_{2}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{+\infty} e\left(\mu_{1}, x\right) \overline{e\left(\mu_{2}, x\right)} \mathrm{d} x-W\left[e\left(\mu_{1}, x\right),\left.\overline{e\left(\mu_{2}, x\right)}\right|_{x=0}=0\right. \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(\mu_{j}, x\right)=\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\beta_{2} e^{\prime}\left(\mu_{j}, 0\right)-\beta_{1} e\left(\mu_{j}, 0\right)\right] \omega\left(\mu_{j}, x\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.W\left[e\left(\mu_{1}, x\right), \overline{e\left(\mu_{2}, x\right)}\right]\right|_{x=0} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\beta_{2} e^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, 0\right)-\beta_{1} e\left(\mu_{1}, 0\right)\right] \cdot\left[\beta_{2} e^{\prime}\left(\mu_{2}, 0\right)-\beta_{1} e\left(\mu_{2}, 0\right)\right]\left(\bar{\mu}_{2}^{2}-\mu_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the equality (8) takes of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mu_{1}^{2}\right. & \left.-\bar{\mu}_{2}^{2}\right)\left\{\int_{0}^{+\infty} e\left(\mu_{1}, x\right) \overline{e\left(\mu_{2}, x\right)} \mathrm{d} x\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\beta_{2} e^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, 0\right)-\beta_{1} e\left(\mu_{1}, 0\right)\right] \cdot \overline{\left[\beta_{2} e^{\prime}\left(\mu_{2}, 0\right)-\beta_{1} e\left(\mu_{2}, 0\right)\right]}\right\}=0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Specially, taking $\mu_{2}=\bar{\mu}_{1}$ in (10) we have $\mu_{1}^{2}-\bar{\mu}_{2}^{2}=0$ and obtain $\mu_{2}=i \lambda_{1}$ where $\lambda_{1}>0$. That is, the zeros of the function $E(\lambda)$ lie in the imaginary axis.
Now we shall prove that the function $E(\lambda)$ has finitely many zeros in the half plane $\operatorname{Im} \lambda>0$. This is obvious if $E(0) \neq 0$ because under this assumption the set of zeros cannot have any limit point. To verify that the number of zeros of $E(\lambda)$ is finite in the general case too, we show that the distance between neighboring zeros is bounded away from zero.
We let $\delta$ denote the infimum of the distances between two neighboring zeros of $E(\lambda)$ and show next that $\delta>0$. Using the same way in [7], [8] it can be easily shown that $\delta>0$. Thus, the function $E(\lambda)$ has finitely many zeros.
From the equality

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{k}^{-2} & =\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[e\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, x\right)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\left[\beta_{2} e^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, 0\right)-\beta_{1} e\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, 0\right)\right]^{2}}{\delta}  \tag{11}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i} \mu_{k} \delta}\left[\beta_{2} e^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, 0\right)-\beta_{1} e\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, 0\right)\right] \dot{E}\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

it follows that the zeros of the function $E(\lambda)$ are simple. The lemma is proved.
We need also the following lemma.

Lemma 3. The function $S(\infty)-S(\lambda)$ is the Fourier transform of a function $F_{S}(x)$ in the form

$$
F_{S}(x)=F_{S}^{(1)}(x)+F_{S}^{(2)}(x)
$$

where

$$
F_{S}^{(1)}(x) \in L_{1}(-\infty,+\infty), F_{S}^{(2)}(x) \in L_{2}(-\infty,+\infty), \sup _{-\infty<x<\infty}\left|F_{S}^{(2)}(x)\right|<\infty
$$

and

$$
S(\infty)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-1, & \text { if } \beta_{2} \neq 0 \\
1, & \text { if } \beta_{2}=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Proof: From the formula (4) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e(\lambda, 0)=1+\int_{0}^{+\infty} K(0, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& e^{\prime}(\lambda, 0)=\mathrm{i} \lambda-K(0,0)+\int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall use the following notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{0} & =K(0,0), & & \varphi_{0}(\lambda)=\left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right) \mathrm{i} \lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right) \\
K_{1}(t) & =\alpha_{2} K_{x}(0, t)-\alpha_{1} K(0, t), & & K_{2}(t)=\beta_{2} K_{x}(0, t)-\beta_{1} K(0, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{K}_{j}(-\lambda)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{j}(t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad j=1,2
$$

Let $\beta_{2} \neq 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S(\infty)-S(\lambda) & =-[1+S(\lambda)] \\
& =\frac{T(\lambda)}{\varphi_{0}(\lambda)-q_{0}\left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right)+\widetilde{K_{1}}(-\lambda)+\mathrm{i} \lambda \widetilde{K_{2}}(-\lambda)} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(\lambda)= & 2\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right)+2 q_{0}\left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right)-\left[\widetilde{K}_{1}(-\lambda)+\mathrm{i} \lambda \widetilde{K}_{2}(-\lambda)\right] \\
& -\widetilde{K_{1}}(\lambda)-\mathrm{i} \lambda \widetilde{K}_{2}(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

Every one of the functions

$$
\widetilde{f}_{j}(\lambda)=\frac{\alpha_{j}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{j} \lambda}{\varphi_{0}(\lambda)} q_{0}^{j-1}, \quad j=1,2, \quad \widetilde{f}^{ \pm}(\lambda)=\frac{\widetilde{K_{1}}( \pm \lambda)+\mathrm{i} \lambda \widetilde{K_{2}}( \pm \lambda)}{\varphi_{0}(\lambda)}
$$

is the Fourier transformation of a summable function. Hence we have

$$
S(\infty)-S(\lambda)=\frac{\tilde{f}(\lambda)}{1+\widetilde{K}(-\lambda)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{f}(\lambda)=2 \widetilde{f}_{1}(\lambda)+2 \widetilde{f}_{2}(\lambda)+\widetilde{f}^{-}(\lambda)+\widetilde{f}^{+}(\lambda) \\
\widetilde{K}(-\lambda)=-\widetilde{f}_{2}(\lambda)+\widetilde{f}(\lambda) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We can rewrite the formula (12) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
S(\infty)-S(\lambda)= & \widetilde{f}(\lambda)\left[\left\{1+\left(1-\widetilde{h}\left(\lambda N^{-1}\right)\right) \widetilde{K}(-\lambda)\right\}^{-1}-1\right]+\widetilde{f}(\lambda) \\
& -\widetilde{f}(\lambda)\left\{\frac{1}{1+\left\{1-\widetilde{h}\left(\lambda N^{-1}\right) \widetilde{K}(-\lambda)\right\}}-\frac{1}{1+\widetilde{K}(-\lambda)}\right\} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{h}(\lambda)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|\lambda| \leq 1 \\ 2-|\lambda| & \text { if } 1 \leq \lambda \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text { if }|\lambda|>2\end{cases}
$$

is the Fourier transform of a function $h(x) \in L_{1}(-\infty,+\infty)$, also, $\widetilde{h}\left(\lambda N^{-1}\right)$ is the Fourier transform of the function $h_{N}(x)=N h(x N)$, and

$$
\lim N \rightarrow \infty\left\|f(x)-h_{N} * f(x)\right\|_{L_{1}}=0
$$

for all $f(x) \in L_{1}(-\infty,+\infty)$, where $h_{N} * f(x)$ is the convolution of functions $h_{N}(x)$ and $f(x)$ from $L_{1}(-\infty, \infty): h_{N} * f(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h_{N}(x-t) f(t) \mathrm{d} t$.
The function $\left\{1+\left(1-\widetilde{h}\left(\lambda N^{-1}\right)\right) \widetilde{K}(-\lambda)\right\}^{-1}$ is the Fourier transform of a summable function for sufficiently large numbers $N$. In this case the summation of the first two terms of the formula (13) is the Fourier transform of a function $F_{S}^{(1)}(x) \in$ $L_{1}(-\infty,+\infty)$. For $|\lambda|>2$ the third term equals to zero and bounded. As such, it is the Fourier transform of a bounded function $F_{S}^{(2)}(x) \in L_{2}(-\infty,+\infty)$. For $\beta_{2}=0$ the statement can be proved similarly and in this way the lemma is proved as well.

Now we shall obtain a linear integral equation for the kernel function $K(x, t)$ of the special solution (4). For this we use the equality (7) proved in Lemma 1

$$
\frac{2 \mathrm{i} \lambda \omega(\lambda, x)}{E(\lambda)}=e(-\lambda, x)-S(\lambda) e(\lambda, x)
$$

Using (4) in this relation we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 \mathrm{i} \lambda \omega(\lambda, x)}{E(\lambda)}= & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \lambda x}-S(\infty) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x}+\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t+[S(\infty)-S(\lambda)] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x} \\
& +\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t)[S(\infty)-S(\lambda)] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x} \mathrm{~d} t-S(\infty) \int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
S(\infty)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-1 & \text { if } \beta_{2} \neq 0 \\
1 & \text { if } \beta_{2}=0
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

If $\beta_{2} \neq 0$ the last equality takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2 \mathrm{i} \lambda \omega(\lambda, x)}{E(\lambda)}-2 \cos \lambda x=\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t+[S(\infty)-S(\lambda)] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x} \\
& +\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t)[S(\infty)-S(\lambda)] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t-S(\infty) \int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and if $\beta_{2}=0$ it takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2 \mathrm{i} \lambda \omega(\lambda, x)}{E(\lambda)}+2 \sin \lambda x=\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t+[S(\infty)-S(\lambda)] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x}  \tag{15}\\
& +\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t)[S(\infty)-S(\lambda)] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t-S(\infty) \int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{align*}
$$

We let multiple both sides of the equalities (14) and (15) by $\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda y}$ and integrate from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ with respect to $\lambda$. Taking $y>x$, by Lemma 3 on the right side we have

$$
K(x, y)+F_{S}(x+y)+\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) F_{S}(t+y) \mathrm{d} t
$$

and on left side using Jordan's lemma and the residue theorem we have

$$
\mathrm{i} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} \omega\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, x\right)}{\dot{E}\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{k} y} .
$$

Using (9) and (11) the last statement can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2 \mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} \omega\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, x\right)}{\dot{E}\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{k} y} & =-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2 \mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} \delta e\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, x\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{k} y}}{\left[\beta_{2} e^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, 0\right)-\beta_{1} e\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, 0\right)\right] \dot{\varphi}(\mathrm{i} \lambda)} \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k}^{2} e\left(\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k}, x\right) e^{-\lambda_{k} y} \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k}^{2}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{k}(x+y)}+\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{k}(t+y)} \mathrm{d} t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $y>x$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k}^{2}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{k}(x+y)}+\right. & \left.\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{k}(t+y)} \mathrm{d} t\right\} \\
& =F_{S}(x+y)+K(x, y)+\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) F_{S}(t+y) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x+y)+K(x, y)+\int_{x}^{+\infty} K(x, t) F(t+y) \mathrm{d} t=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{k} x}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{x}^{+\infty}[S(\infty)-S(\lambda)] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (16) is called the fundamental equation for the boundary problem (1)-(3).

Hence, we have proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1. For all $x \geq 0$ the kernel function $K(x, y)$ of the solution (4) satisfies the fundamental equation (16).

As it is seen that, to construct the fundamental equation we have to know the function $F(x)$. The function $F(x)$ itself is determined by the set $\{S(\lambda)(-\infty<\lambda<$ $\left.\infty) ; \lambda_{k}, m_{k}(k=1, \ldots, n)\right\}$.
Definition. The set $\left\{S(\lambda)(-\infty<\lambda<\infty) ; \lambda_{k}, m_{k}(k=1, \ldots, n)\right\}$ is called the scattering data for the boundary problem (1), (2).
In fact, if the scattering data is known, the function $F(x)$ is constructed by the formula (17) and the fundamental equation (16) is constructed with respect to the unknown function $K(x, y)$. Solving this equation the kernel function $K(x, y)$ of the solution (4) is found, and using the kernel function $K(x, y)$, the coefficient $q(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} K(x, x)$ is obtained.
Theorem 2. For each fixed $x>0$ the fundamental equation (16) has a unique solution $K(x, y) \in L_{1}[x, \infty)$.

Proof: The transition function $F_{S}(x)$ possesses properties similar to those of the transition function for the problem without spectral parameter in the boundary conditions and, therefore, the proof of Theorem 2 follows ([10], Theorem 3.3.1).

Theorem 3 (see [10], p. 210). The function $F_{S}(x)$ is differentiable on $(0,+\infty)$ and its derivative satisfies the condition

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty}(1+x)\left|F_{S}^{\prime}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} x<\infty
$$

Theorem 4. The scattering function $S(\lambda)$ is continuous on the whole real axis.
Proof: For all real $\lambda \neq 0$ the continuity of the function $S(\lambda)$ can be obtained from Lemma 1. In the case $E(0) \neq 0$ the continuity of the function $S(\lambda)$ at $\lambda=0$ is
clear and $S(0)=1$. Now we shall prove the continuity of the function $S(\lambda)$ in the case

$$
\begin{align*}
E(0) & =\alpha_{2} e^{\prime}(0,0)-\alpha_{1} e(0,0)  \tag{18}\\
& =\alpha_{2}\left[-K(0,0)+\int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, t) \mathrm{d} t\right]-\alpha_{1}\left[1+\int_{0}^{+\infty} K(0, t) \mathrm{d} t\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $x=0$ into the fundamental equation (16) and integrating from $z$ to infinity with respect to $y$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{1+\int_{0}^{+\infty} K(0, t) \mathrm{d} t\right. & \} \int_{z}^{+\infty} F(y) \mathrm{d} y+\int_{z}^{+\infty} K(0, y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& -\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left\{\int_{t}^{+\infty} K(0, \xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right\} F(t+z) \mathrm{d} t=0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Deriving the fundamental equation (16) with respect to $x$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[-K(0,0)+\int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, t) \mathrm{d} t\right] \int_{z}^{+\infty} F(y) \mathrm{d} y-F(z)} \\
+\int_{z}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, y) \mathrm{d} y-\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left\{\int_{t}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, \xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right\} F(t+z) \mathrm{d} t=0 . \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the equality (18) satisfies, from (19) and (20) we obtain that the function

$$
K_{1}(z)=\int_{z}^{+\infty}\left[\alpha_{2} K_{x}(0, t)-\alpha_{1} K(0, t)\right] \mathrm{d} t
$$

is a solution of the equation

$$
K_{1}(z)-\int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{1}(t) F(t+z) \mathrm{d} t=\alpha_{2} F(z)
$$

Every bounded solution of this equation is summable on semi-axis, that is, $K_{1}(z) \in$ $L_{1}[0, \infty)$. Hence, in the considered case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\lambda)= & \left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right) e^{\prime}(\lambda, 0)-\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right) e(\lambda, 0) \\
= & -\alpha_{2} K(0,0)+\alpha_{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, t) \mathrm{d} t-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{1} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K(0, t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& +\mathrm{i} \lambda\left\{\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda-\beta_{2} K(0,0)+\beta_{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t-\beta_{1}\right. \\
& \left.-\beta_{1} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K(0, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{1}(t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t\right\}=\mathrm{i} \lambda \widetilde{K}(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the similar manner we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}(\lambda)= & \left(\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda\right) e^{\prime}(-\lambda, 0)-\left(\alpha_{1}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{1} \lambda\right) e(-\lambda, 0) \\
= & -\alpha_{2} K(0,0)+\alpha_{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, t) \mathrm{d} t-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{1} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K(0, t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& -\mathrm{i} \lambda\left\{\alpha_{2}+\mathrm{i} \beta_{2} \lambda+\beta_{2} K(0,0)-\beta_{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{x}(0, t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t+\beta_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\beta_{1} \int_{0}^{+\infty} K(0, t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{+\infty} K_{1}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t\right\}=\mathrm{i} \lambda \widetilde{K_{1}}(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain the result

$$
S(\lambda)=-\frac{\widetilde{K_{1}}(\lambda)}{\widetilde{K}(\lambda)}
$$

According to equality (7) and the formula (21) it follows that

$$
2 \omega(x, \lambda)=\widetilde{K}(\lambda)[e(-\lambda, x)-S(\lambda) e(\lambda, x)]
$$

So, we have that $\widetilde{K}(\lambda) \neq 0$. This results show that the scattering function $S(\lambda)$ is continuous at $\lambda=0$, and $S(0)=-\frac{\widetilde{K_{1}}(0)}{\widetilde{K}(0)}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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