# ON EQUIVARIANT VECTOR BUNDLES ON AN ALMOST HOMOGENEOUS VARIETY 

TAMAFUMI KANEYAMA

## § 1. Introduction

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. Let $T$ be an $n$-dimensional algebraic torus, i.e. $T=G_{m} \times \cdots \times G_{m}(n$ times), where $G_{m}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(k\left[t, t^{-1}\right]\right)$ is the multiplicative group.

An almost homogeneous variety under an action of $T$ is an algebraic variety $X$ over $k$ endowed with an action of $T$ and which has a dense orbit. Normal effective almost homogeneous varieties under torus action have been classified in [2,4]. We review the results briefly in $\S 2$.

Let $E$ be a vector bundle on an almost homogeneous variety $X$ with an action of $T$. For every $k$-rational point $t$ in $T$, the action of $t$ on $X$ is denoted by $t: X \rightarrow X(x \mapsto t x)$. We say that $E$ is equivariant if there exists an isomorphism $\phi_{t}: t^{*} E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$ for every $k$-rational point $t$ of $T$. Furthermore we say that an equivariant vector bundle $E$ is $T$ linearized if, for every pair of $k$-rational points $t, t^{\prime}$ of $T, \phi_{t t^{\prime}}=\phi_{t^{\prime}} \cdot t^{* *} \phi_{t}$ holds where

$$
\phi_{t^{\prime}} \cdot t^{\prime *} \phi_{t}:\left(t t^{\prime}\right)^{*} E=t^{\prime *} t^{*} E \xrightarrow{t^{\prime *} \phi_{t}} t^{*} E \xrightarrow{\phi_{t^{\prime}}} E .
$$

In this paper we study equivariant vector bundles on a smooth complete almost homogeneous variety. In § 3 we show that an equivariant vector bundle $E$ on $X$ always has a $T$-linearization. Thus we study $T$-linearized vector bundles on $X$. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be a covering of $X$ by $T$-stable affine open sets. We show that the restriction of $E$ to $U_{i}$ has a semi-invariant base with respect to the action of $T$, where a section $u \in E\left(U_{i}\right)$ is semiinvariant if for some character $\xi$

$$
\phi_{t}(u)=e(\xi)(t) u
$$
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holds for every $k$-rational point $t$ in $T . \quad e(\xi)(t)$ is the value at $t$ of the function $\xi: T \rightarrow G_{m}$. Then in $\S 4$ we describe a $T$-linearized vector bundle $E$ on $X$ in terms of these semi-invariant, i.e.

Theorem 4.2 Let $(X, T)$ be a smooth complete almost homogeneous variety defined by a cone complex $(\Gamma, \mathscr{C})$. The set of $T$-linearized vector bundles of rank $r$ up to $T$-isomorphism corresponds bijectively to the set of ( $m, P$ ) up to equivalence. A detailed description of $(m, P)$ is in $\S 4$.

Finally, we give examples of equivariant vector bundle of rank 2 on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ which are indecomposable.

The auther wishes to thank Professor T.Oda for his advise during the preparation of this paper.

## § 2. Almost homogeneous varieties

Let ( $X, T$ ) be an $n$-dimensional almost homogeneous variety where $T$ is an $n$-dimensional algebraic torus. Let $\Xi=\Xi(T)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k-g r}\left(T, G_{m}\right)$ be the additive group of characters of $T . E$ is a free $Z$-module of rank $n=\operatorname{dim}(T)$. Let the exponential map $e: \Xi \rightarrow k(T)^{*}$ be the homomorphism which sends $\xi$ in $\Xi$ to the corresponding rational function $e(\xi)$ on $T$. Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Hom}_{k-g r}\left(G_{m}, T\right)$ be the additive group of one-parameter subgroup of $T$. $\Gamma$ is a free $Z$-module of $\operatorname{rank} n=\operatorname{dim}(T)$ and is the dual $Z$ module of $E$.

We call a non-empty subset $C$ of $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{Q}}=\Gamma \otimes_{\boldsymbol{Z}} \boldsymbol{Q}$ a strongly convex polyhedral cone with apex at 0 , or simply a cone, if $C \cap(-C)=\{0\}$ and if there exists a finite subset $\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{m}\right\}$ of $\Gamma$ such that $C=\boldsymbol{Q}_{0} \phi_{1}+\cdots$ $+\boldsymbol{Q}_{0} \phi_{m}$ where $\boldsymbol{Q}_{0}$ denotes the set of non-negative rational numbers. If $\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{m}\right\}$ is irredundant and each $\phi_{i}$ is primitive, i.e. is an integral multiple of no element of $\Gamma$, we call $\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{m}$ verticial elements of the cone $C$. Let the dimension of $C$ be the dimension of the $Q$-vector space $C+(-C)$. A non-empty subset $C^{\prime}$ of a cone $C$ is called a facial cone of $C$ if there exists an element $\xi$ of $\Xi$ such that $\phi(\xi) \geqq 0$ for all $\phi$ in $C$ and that $C^{\prime}=\{\phi \in C \mid \phi(\xi)=0\}$.

A cone complex $(\Gamma, \mathscr{C})$, or simyly $\mathscr{C}$, in $\Gamma_{Q}$ is a finite collection of cones of $\Gamma_{Q}$ with properties:
(i) if $C^{\prime}$ is a facial cone of $C$ in $\mathscr{C}$ then $C^{\prime}$ is in $\mathscr{C}$,
(ii) if $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are in $\mathscr{C}$ then the intersection $C \cap C^{\prime}$ is a facial cone of $C$ as well as of $C^{\prime}$.

THEOREM 2.1. The category of normal effective almost homogeneous varieties under torus action and equivariant morphisms is equivalent to the category of pairs ( $\Gamma, \mathscr{C}$ ) consisting of a free $Z$-module $\Gamma$ of finite rank and a cone complex $\mathscr{C}$ in $\Gamma_{Q}$ and maps which are defined as follows:

A map from a pair $(\Gamma, \mathscr{C})$ to another pair $\left(\Gamma^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$ is a homomorphism of finite cokernel from $\Gamma$ to $\Gamma^{\prime}$ whose scalar extension to $\boldsymbol{Q}$ sends any cone of $\mathscr{C}$ into some cone of $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$.

Moreover, $X$ is complete if and only if the associated pair satisfies $\Gamma_{Q}=\cup_{C \in \varepsilon} C . \quad X$ is smooth if and only if every cone (resp. every maximal cone) in $\mathscr{C}$ is regular, i.e. the set of its varticial elements can be extended to a Z-base of $\Gamma$.

See [4].
Proposition 2.2. Let $(X, T)$ be a smooth almost homogeneous variety and let $x$ in $X$ be a T-fixed closed point. Let $V$ be the union of T-orbits whose closure contains $x$. Then there exists a base $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$ of $\Xi$ such that $V$ is T-equivariantly isomorphic to the affine space $\operatorname{Spec}\left(k\left[e\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \cdots\right.\right.$, $\left.e\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right]$ ) which has the canonical diagonal T-action $e(\xi) \mapsto e(\xi) \otimes e(\xi)$.

See [4].

## § 3. Equivariant vector bundles

From now on ( $X, T$ ) is a complete smooth almost homogeneous variety unless otherwise stated. Hence $X$ is covered by $T$-stable open sets isomorphic to the $n$-dimensional affine space with a diagonal action of $T$ by proposition 2.2. For every $k$-rational point $t$ of $T$, the action on $X$ of $t$ is denoted by $t: X \rightarrow X$ sending $x$ to $t x$.

Definition 3.1. An equivariant vector bundle $E$ on $(X, T)$ is the vector bundle on $X$ such that, for every $k$-rational point $t$ in $T$, there exists an isomorphism $\phi_{t}: t^{*} E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$.

Definition 3.2. An equivariant vector bundle ( $E, \phi_{t}$ ) is called $T$ linearized if, for every pair of $k$-rational points $t, t^{\prime}$ of $T, \phi_{t t^{\prime}}=\phi_{t^{\prime}} \cdot t^{\prime *} \phi_{t}$ holds where

$$
\phi_{t^{\prime}} \cdot t^{\prime *} \phi_{t}:\left(t t^{\prime}\right)^{*} E=t^{*} t^{*} E \xrightarrow{t^{\prime *} \phi_{t}} t^{*} E \xrightarrow{\phi_{t^{\prime}}} E .
$$

Let $E$ be an equivariant vector bundle on $(X, T)$. Let $G(E)$ be the set of pairs $\left(t, \phi_{t}\right)$ where $t$ is a $k$-rational point of $T$ and $\phi_{t}$ is an isomorphism $\phi_{t}: t^{*} E \rightarrow E . \quad G(E)$ is an algebraic group whose multiplication is given by

$$
(t, \phi)\left(t^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)=\left(t t^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime} \cdot t^{\prime *} \phi\right) .
$$

Then $G(E)$ is an extension of $T$ by the bundle automorphism group Aut (E)

$$
0 \longrightarrow \text { Aut }(E) \xrightarrow{j} G(E) \xrightarrow{p} T \longrightarrow 0
$$

$j$ sends $\phi$ to $(1, \phi)$ and $p$ sends $(t, \phi)$ to $t$. Note that a $T$-linearization for $E$ corresponds to giving a group section $s: T \rightarrow G(E)$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. The above exact sequence has a group section $s: T \rightarrow G(E)$. Hence equivariant vector bundle can always be T-linearized.

Proof. Since $T$ and Aut $(E)$ are linear algebraic group, so is $G(E)$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be a maximal torus of $G(E)$. Since $p\left(T^{\prime}\right)=T$ and a surjective homomorphism from a torus to a torus always has a section (see Borel [1]), there is a section

$$
s: T \rightarrow T^{\prime} \subset G(E)
$$

Let $E$ be a $T$-linearized vector bundle on an $X$ which is defined by a cone complex $(\Gamma, \mathscr{C})$. Let $C$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$ be a maximal cone and let $U$ be the corresponding $T$-stable affine open set in $X$, i.e. $U=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ where $A=k[e(D)]$ is a polynomial ring with $D=C^{*} \cap \Xi . A$ is a $\Xi$-graded ring since there is a $T$-action on $A$. Namely

$$
A=\underset{\xi \in \xi}{\oplus} A_{\xi}
$$

where $A_{\text {\& }}$ consists of element $a$ of $A$ with

$$
a^{t}=e(\xi)(t) a
$$

$a^{t}$ is the translation of $a$ induced by the automorphism $t: U \rightarrow U$. We note that $A_{\xi}=k e(\xi)$ if $\xi \in D$ and $A_{\xi}=0$ if $\xi \notin D$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $D$ be a subsemi-group of $E$ such that $A=$ $k[e(D)]$ is a polynomial ring. Let $M$ be an $A$-module. Then a $T$ linearization on the sheaf $\tilde{M}$ on $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ coincides with a $E$-graded $A$ -
module structure on $M$.
Proof. Let $M$ be a $\Xi$-graded $A$-module, i.e.

$$
M=\underset{\xi \in S}{\oplus} M_{\xi}
$$

Then there is a $k$-isomorphism

$$
t^{*}: M \rightarrow M
$$

sending $m$ to $m^{t}=\sum_{\xi \in \xi} e(\xi)(t) m_{\xi}$ if $m=\sum_{\xi \in s} m_{\xi}$ where $e(\xi)(t)$ is a value at $t$ of a function $e(\xi)$. Since $A$ is a $\Xi$-graded module there exists a $k$-isomorphism

$$
t^{*}: A \rightarrow A
$$

sending $a$ to $a^{t}$. We denote $t^{*} A=A^{\prime}$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be an $A$-module through $t^{*}, A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} M$ is $A$-module. Furthermore $A^{\prime} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} M \simeq M$ by trivial isomorphism $A^{\prime} \simeq A$. So we have $t$-semi-linear $A$-isomorphism

$$
t^{*}: A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} M \rightarrow A^{\prime} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} M
$$

sending $a^{t} \otimes m$ to $a^{t} \otimes m^{t}$. Thus we have

$$
\phi_{t}: t^{*} \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}
$$

By construction, for every pair $\left(t, t^{\prime}\right),\left(t t^{\prime}\right)^{*}=t^{*} \cdot t^{*}$ means the relation

$$
\phi_{t t^{\prime}}=\phi_{t^{\prime}} \cdot t^{\prime *} \phi_{t} .
$$

So $\tilde{M}$ has a $T$-linearization. Conversely if $\tilde{M}$ has a $T$-linearization we can reverse the above order so $M$ is a $E$-graded module. Q.E.D.
$E \mid U$ is associated to a projective $A$-module $M$. Since $\tilde{M}$ has a $T$ linearization we see that $M$ is a $E$-graded $A$-module. Let $D$ be a sub-semi-group of $\Xi$ generated by a $Z$-base $\left\{\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{n}\right\}$ of $\Xi$, i.e. $D=Z_{0} \eta_{1}+$ $\cdots+Z_{0} \eta_{n}$, where $Z_{0}$ is the set of non-negative integers. Let $A=k[e(D)]$, i.e. $A=k\left[u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right]$ is a polynomial ring of $n$-variables $u_{i}=e\left(\eta_{i}\right)(i=1$, $\cdots, n$ ) with the $\Xi$-gradation given by $\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{i}\right)=\eta_{i}$. For $\xi \in \Xi$ we denote by $A(\xi)$ the $E$-graded $A$-free module of rank one defined by

$$
A(\xi)_{\eta}=A_{\xi+\eta} .
$$

THEOREM 3.5. Let $A=k[e(D)]$ be as above. If $M$ is a finitely generated $\Xi$-graded $A$-projective module of rank $r$, then there exist $\xi_{1}$, $\cdots, \xi_{r}$ in $\Xi$ such that

$$
M \simeq A\left(-\xi_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A\left(-\xi_{r}\right)
$$

as E-graded A-module, in particular $M$ is a free $A$-module.
Proof. Let $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right\}$ be a minimal set of generators of $M$ as an $A$-module. We may assume $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}$ are homogeneous with characters $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{p}$ respectively. Let

$$
F=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} A\left(-\xi_{i}\right)
$$

and let $e_{i}$ be the element of $A\left(-\xi_{i}\right)$ corresponding to 1 . We define a degree preserving $A$-homomorphism

$$
f: F \rightarrow M
$$

by $f\left(e_{i}\right)=x_{i}(i=1, \cdots, p) . \quad f$ is a surjective homomorphism of $\Xi$ graded $A$-modules. Let $N$ be the kernel of $f$, hence we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

By renumbering if necessary, we may assume that

$$
\xi_{1}=\cdots=\xi_{q}, \quad \xi_{j} \notin \xi_{1}+D \quad \text { if } j>q
$$

In fact put

$$
P=\left\{\xi_{i} \mid \xi_{i} \in \xi_{1}+D\right\}
$$

If $\xi_{2} \in P$ and $\xi_{2} \neq \xi_{1}$ then there is non-zero $\eta$ in $D$ such that $\xi_{2}=\xi_{1}+\eta$. Put

$$
P^{\prime}=\left\{\xi_{i} \mid \xi_{i} \in \xi_{2}+D\right\}
$$

Since $D$ is a semi-group with zero and with no subgroup we see that $P^{\prime} \subset P$ and $\xi_{1} \notin P^{\prime}$. Thus we replace $\xi_{1}$ by $\xi_{2}$. We do the same for this new $\xi_{1}$ and keep on doing the same. The process terminates since the original $P$ is finite.

We may assume $q<p$. In fact suppose $\xi_{1}=\cdots=\xi_{p}$ and let

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_{i} x_{i}=0
$$

be a homogeneous relation satisfied by $x_{i}=f\left(e_{i}\right)$ with $a_{i}$ homogeneous in $A$. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=\xi_{1}$ for all $i$, there exists an $\eta$ in $D$ such that
$\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right)=\eta$ for all $i$. Hence we can write $a_{i}=k_{i} e(\eta)$ where $k_{i}$ are in $k$. Therefore our relation becomes

$$
e(\eta) \sum_{i=1}^{p} k_{i} x_{i}=0
$$

Since $M$ is $A$-flat we have $\sum_{i=1}^{p} k_{i} x_{i}=0$. If there is $i$ such that $k_{i} \neq 0$, then $x_{i}=-\sum_{j \neq i} k_{i}^{-1} k_{j} x_{j}$. This is a contradiction to the minimality of the set of generators $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right\}$. If $k_{i}=0$ for all $i$ then $a_{i}=0$ for all $i$. Hence $\left\{x_{i}, \cdots, x_{p}\right\}$ forms an $A$-free base of $M$, thus $M$ is free. Therefore we may assume that $q<p$.

We prove proposition 3.4 by induction on $\operatorname{rank}(F)=p$. If $\operatorname{rank}(F)$ $=1$ we are done. Thus suppose $\operatorname{rank}(F)>1$ and $q<\operatorname{rank}(F)$. Since $M$ is $A$-projective there is an $A$-module section $s: M \rightarrow F$ such that $f \cdot s$ $=1$. This means

$$
F=N \oplus s(M)
$$

as $A$-module. We want to show that $F=N \oplus s(M)$ as $E$-graded $A$ module by replacing $s$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F})$ is $T$-linearized vector bundle $\operatorname{Hom}(M, F)$ is $E$-graded $A$-module. So we take $s_{0}$ as a section where $s_{0}$ is the degree 0 part of $s$. Since $\Xi$-graded homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(M, F) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, M)
$$

is surjective and sending $s$ to identity, so $s_{0}$ is not zero. So this $s_{0}$ satisfies the assertion.

We continue the proof of proposition 3.4. We may assume that $F=N \oplus s(M)$ as $E$-graded $A$-module. Since

$$
F_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A=A\left(-\xi_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A\left(-\xi_{q}\right)
$$

by the choice of $\xi_{1}$ and

$$
(N \oplus s(M))_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A=\left(N_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A\right) \oplus\left(s(M)_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(-\xi_{q_{+1}}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A\left(-\xi_{p}\right) & =F / F_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A \\
& =(N \oplus s(M)) /\left\{\left(N_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A\right) \oplus\left(s(M)_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A\right)\right\} \\
& =\left(N / N_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A\right) \oplus\left(s(M) / s(M)_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $s(M) / s(M)_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A$ is a direct summand of $A$-free module

$$
A\left(-\xi_{q+1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A\left(-\xi_{p}\right)
$$

it is $A$-projective. Thus, by induction assumption, we have

$$
s(M) / s(M)_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A=A\left(-\xi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A\left(-\xi_{m}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is a free $A$-module for some $\xi_{i}^{\prime}$. Since $s(M)_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A$ is $A$ free we have

$$
M \simeq s(M)=A\left(-\xi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A\left(-\xi_{m}^{\prime}\right) \oplus\left(s(M)_{\xi_{1}} \otimes_{k} A\right)
$$

is a free module of rank $r$.
Q.E.D.

Let $\left(\tilde{M}, \phi_{t}\right)$ be a $T$-linearized vector bundle on $U=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ with $A=k[e(D)]$ for some subsemi-group $D$ of $E$. We say that $m \in M=$ $\Gamma(U, \tilde{M})$ is semi-invariant if there exists a character $\xi \in E$ such that

$$
\phi_{t}(m)=e(\xi)(t) m
$$

is satisfied for every $t$, i.e. $m$ is homogeneous element of degree $\xi$ of $M$.
Corollary 3.6. Let $\left(\tilde{M}, \phi_{t}\right)$ be as above. Then $\tilde{M}$ has a semi-invariant base.

Proof. By the theorem there exist characters $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{r}$ so that

$$
M=A\left(-\xi_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A\left(-\xi_{r}\right)
$$

Let $e_{i}$ be the element of $A\left(-\xi_{i}\right)$ corresponding to 1 . We denote by the same letter the element of $M$ corresponding to $e_{i}$. Then we can easily see that $e_{i}$ is semi-invariant. Furthermore $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is a base of $\tilde{M}$ as $\tilde{A}$ module.
Q.E.D.

## § 4. Construction of an equivariant vector bundle

Let $(X, T)$ be a smooth complete almost homogeneous variety defined by the cone complex $(\Gamma, \mathscr{C})$. Let $E$ be a $T$-linearized vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$. We have shown that $E \mid U$ has a semi-invariant base where $U$ is a $T$-stable affine open subset of $X$. Let $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ be $T$-stable affine open subsets corresponding to $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$ respectively where $\mathscr{C}^{n}$ is the set of $n$-dimensional (maximal) cones of $\mathscr{C}$. Let ( $u_{i}$ ) and ( $u_{j}^{\prime}$ ) be semi-invariant bases on $E(U)$ and $E\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ respectively and let $\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ and ( $\left.\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ be corresponding characters respectively. There is a natural pairing $\langle\rangle:, \boldsymbol{\Xi} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}$. It can naturally be extended to $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \times \Gamma_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Q}$. We denote $\phi(\xi)=\langle\xi, \phi\rangle$ for $\xi \in \Xi_{Q}$ and $\phi \in \Gamma_{Q}$.

Proposition 4.1. In the above situation there is a permutation $\sigma$ such that $\phi\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\phi\left(\xi_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}\right)$ for every $\phi$ in $C \cap C^{\prime}$.

Proof. We note $T \subset X$. Since $U \supset T$ and $U^{\prime} \supset T$ we have

$$
E|U| T \simeq E\left|U^{\prime}\right| T
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=E(U) \\
& M^{\prime}=E\left(U^{\prime}\right)=A_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A u_{r} \simeq A\left(-\xi_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A\left(-\xi_{r}\right) \\
&{^{\prime}} \oplus \oplus A^{\prime} u_{r}^{\prime} \simeq A^{\prime}\left(-\xi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A^{\prime}\left(-\xi_{r}^{\prime}\right) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=k\left[e\left(C^{*} \cap \Xi\right)\right]$ and $A^{\prime}=k\left[e\left(C^{\prime *} \cap \Xi\right)\right]$ are affine rings corresponding to $U$ and $U^{\prime}$ respectively. The above isomorphism restricted to $T$ induces the isomorphism

$$
k[T] \otimes_{A} M \simeq k[T] \otimes_{A^{\prime}} M^{\prime}
$$

as $E$-graded $k[T]$-modules. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k[T] \otimes_{A} M \simeq k[T] \otimes_{k}\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{r} k e\left(-\xi_{i}\right) u_{i}\right) \\
& k[T] \otimes_{A^{\prime}} M^{\prime} \simeq k[T] \otimes_{k}\left(\oplus_{j=1}^{r} k e\left(-\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right) u_{j}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $k$-isomorphism

$$
\oplus_{i=1}^{r} k e\left(-\xi_{i}\right) u_{i} \rightarrow \oplus_{j=1}^{r} k e\left(-\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right) u_{j}^{\prime}
$$

i.e. there is a matrix $P=P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ in $G L_{r}(k)$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
e\left(-\xi_{1}\right) u_{1} \\
\vdots \\
e\left(-\xi_{r}\right) u_{r}
\end{array}\right)=P\left(\begin{array}{c}
e\left(-\xi_{1}^{\prime}\right) u_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
e\left(-\xi_{r}^{\prime}\right) u_{r}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
\vdots \\
u_{r}
\end{array}\right) & \left.=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e\left(-\xi_{1}\right) & & \\
0 & \cdot & 0 \\
0 & e\left(-\xi_{r}\right)
\end{array}\right)^{-1} P\left(\begin{array}{c}
e\left(-\xi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
\\
0
\end{array}\right) \cdot \begin{array}{c} 
\\
e\left(-\xi_{r}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
u_{r}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(p_{i j} e\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
u_{r}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{i j}$ is the $(i, j)$-entry of $P$. Since, on $U \cap U^{\prime}, p_{i j} e\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ are regular functions

$$
\phi\left(\xi_{i}\right) \geqq \phi\left(\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for every $\phi$ in $C \cap C^{\prime}$ if $p_{i j} \neq 0$. Since

$$
\operatorname{det} P=\sum_{\sigma \in \Phi_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) p_{1_{\sigma}(1)} \cdots p_{r_{\sigma}(r)} \neq 0
$$

there exists a permutation $\sigma$ such that $p_{i_{\sigma(i)}} \neq 0$ for every $i$. Thus

$$
\phi\left(\xi_{i}\right) \geqq \phi\left(\xi_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for every $i$ and every $\phi$ in $C \cap C^{\prime}$. Furthermore since

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(p_{i j} e\left(\xi_{i}-\xi_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}(P) e\left(\xi_{i}+\cdots+\xi_{r}-\xi_{1}^{\prime}-\cdots-\xi_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is a unit on $U \cap U^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\phi\left(\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{r}-\xi_{1}^{\prime}-\cdots-\xi_{r}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

for every $\phi$ in $C \cap C^{\prime}$. Compairing this equality with the above inequality, we have

$$
\phi\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\phi\left(\xi_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for every $i$ and every $\phi$ in $C \cap C^{\prime}$.
Q.E.D.

By virtue of Proposition 4.1, a $T$-linearized vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$ gives rise to the following data:
(i) $m: S k^{1}(\mathscr{C})=\mathscr{C}^{1}=\left\{\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{d}\right\} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}^{\oplus r}$
sending $\phi$ to $m(\phi)=\left(m(\phi)_{1}, \cdots, m(\phi)_{r}\right)$ where $S k^{1}(\mathscr{C})$ is a set of 1-dimensional cones of $\mathscr{C}$, and for every $C$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$

$$
m_{C}: C \cap S k^{1}(\mathscr{C}) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z}^{\oplus r}
$$

so that there is a permutation $\tau$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{C}(\phi) & =\left(m_{C}(\phi)_{1}, \cdots, m_{C}(\phi)_{r}\right) \\
& =\left(m(\phi)_{\tau(1)}, \cdots, m(\phi)_{\tau(r)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\phi$ in $C \cap S k^{1}(\mathscr{C})$.
Suppose the data (i) are given, then for $C$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$ we have characters $\xi(C)_{i}$ by solving equations

$$
\phi(\xi)=m_{C}(\phi)_{i}
$$

for every $\phi$ in $C \cap S k^{1}(\mathscr{C})$. For maximal cones $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ there exists a permutation $\tau$ such that

$$
\phi\left(\xi(C)_{i}\right)=\phi\left(\xi\left(C^{\prime}\right)_{\tau(i)}\right)
$$

for every $i$ and every $\phi$ in $C \cap C^{\prime}$ by the condition on $m_{C}$ and $m_{C^{\prime}}$ in (i). Conversely if we have these $\xi(C)_{i}$ then the data (i) is obtained. So (i) is equivalent to
(i') $\xi: \mathscr{C}^{n} \rightarrow \Xi^{\oplus r}$
sending $C$ to $\xi(C)=\left(\xi(C)_{1}, \cdots, \xi(C)_{r}\right)$ such that for every pair of cones $C, C^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$ there exists a permutation $\tau$ so that $\phi\left(\xi(C)_{i}\right)=\phi\left(\xi\left(C^{\prime}\right)_{\tau(i)}\right) \quad$ for every $i$ and every $\phi$ in $C \cap C^{\prime}$.
(ii) $P: \mathscr{C}^{n} \times \mathscr{C}^{n} \rightarrow G L_{r}(k)$ sending $\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ to $P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)=\left(P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)_{i j}\right)$ such that $P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)_{i j} \neq 0$ only if $m_{C}(\phi)_{i} \geqq m_{C^{\prime}}(\phi)_{j}$ for every $\phi$ in $\left(C \cap C^{\prime}\right) \cap S k^{1}(\mathscr{C})$ and such that

$$
P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right) P\left(C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}\right)=P\left(C, C^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

for every $C, C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$.
(iii) For two pairs ( $m, P$ ) and ( $m^{\prime}, P^{\prime}$ ), we say that they are equivalent if there exists a permutation $\sigma=\sigma(C)$ in $\widetilde{S}_{r}$ such that

$$
\left(m_{C}(\phi)_{1}, \cdots, m_{C}(\phi)_{r}\right)=\left(m_{c}^{\prime}(\phi)_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, m_{c}^{\prime}(\phi)_{\sigma(r)}\right)
$$

for every $C$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$ and $\phi$ in $C \cap S k^{1}(\mathscr{C})$ and if there exists

$$
\rho: \mathscr{C}^{n} \rightarrow G L_{r}(k)
$$

such that

$$
P^{\prime}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)=\rho(C)^{-1} P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(C^{\prime}\right)
$$

for every $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$.
Theorem 4.2. Let $(X, T)$ be a smooth complete almost homogeneous variety defined by a cone complex $(\Gamma, \mathscr{C})$. The set of T-linearized vector bundles of rank $r$ up to T-isomorphism corresponds bijectively to the set of data (i') and (ii) up to equivalence (iii).

Proof. Let $\left(E, \phi_{t}\right)$ be a $T$-linearized vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$. Let $U$ be an affine open subset of $X$ corresponding to $C$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$. Let ( $u_{i}$ ) be semi-invariant base of $E \mid U$ on $U$ and let $\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ be characters corresponding to $\left(u_{i}\right)$. We define $m_{C}$ by

$$
m_{C}(\phi)_{i}=\phi\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\left\langle\xi_{i}, \phi\right\rangle \quad i=1, \cdots, r
$$

for every $\phi$ in $C \cap S k^{1}(\mathscr{C})$. Then the condition of (i) are satisfied. $P$ is obtained in Proposition 4.1. Let $\left(F, \psi_{t}\right)$ be a $T$-linearized vector bundle of rank $r$ on $X$. Let $\left(v_{j}\right)$ be semi-invariant base of $F \mid U$ on $U$ and let $\left(\eta_{j}\right)$ be characters corresponding to $\left(v_{j}\right)$. Suppose $E$ and $F$ are $T$-isomorphic. We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =E(U) \\
N & =A u_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A u_{r} \\
N & =F(U)=A v_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A v_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=A_{C}$ is a polynomial ring corresponding to the cone $C$. Since $E|U \simeq F| U$ we have $E$-graded $A$-isomorphism

$$
M=A \otimes\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{r} k e\left(-\xi_{i}\right) u_{i}\right) \rightarrow N=A \otimes\left(\oplus_{j=1}^{r} k e\left(-\eta_{j}\right) v_{j}\right)
$$

So we have $k$-isomorphism

$$
\oplus_{i=1}^{r} k e\left(-\xi_{i}\right) u_{i} \rightarrow \oplus_{j=1}^{r} k e\left(-\eta_{j}\right) v_{j}
$$

i.e. there exists a matrix $\rho=\rho(C)$ in $G L_{r}(k)$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
e\left(-\xi_{1}\right) u_{1} \\
\vdots \\
e\left(-\xi_{r}\right) u_{r}
\end{array}\right)=\rho\left(\begin{array}{c}
e\left(-\eta_{1}\right) v_{1} \\
\vdots \\
e\left(-\eta_{r}\right) v_{r}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
\vdots \\
u_{r}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\rho_{i j} e\left(\xi_{i}-\eta_{j}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
\vdots \\
v_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\rho_{i j}$ is the $(i, j)$-entry of $\rho$. Since, on $U, \rho_{i j} e\left(\xi_{i}-\eta_{j}\right)$ are regular functions we have

$$
\phi\left(\xi_{i}\right) \geqq \phi\left(\eta_{j}\right)
$$

for every $\phi$ in $C$ if $\rho_{i j} \neq 0$. Since

$$
\operatorname{det}(\rho)=\sum_{\sigma \in \Theta_{n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \times \rho_{i_{\sigma(i)}} \cdots \rho_{r \sigma(r)} \neq 0
$$

there exists a permutation $\sigma=\sigma(C)$ such that $\rho_{i_{\sigma(i)}} \neq 0$ for every $i$. Thus

$$
\phi\left(\xi_{i}\right) \geqq \phi\left(\eta_{o(i)}\right)
$$

for every $i$ and every $\phi$ in $C$. Furthermore since

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\rho_{i j} e\left(\xi_{i}-\eta_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}(\rho) e\left(\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{r}-\eta_{1}-\cdots-\eta_{r}\right)
$$

is a unit on $U$, we have

$$
\phi\left(\xi_{1}\right)+\cdots+\phi\left(\xi_{r}\right)=\phi\left(\eta_{\sigma(1)}\right)+\cdots+\phi\left(\eta_{\sigma(r)}\right)
$$

for every $\phi$ in C. So

$$
\phi\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\phi\left(\eta_{\sigma(i)}\right)
$$

for every $\phi$ in $C$. So this $\sigma$ satisfies the condition of $\sigma(C)$ of (iii). Let $U^{\prime}$ be an affine open subset of $X$ corresponding to $C^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$. Consider the diagram on $U \cap U^{\prime}$


Let $P=P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ be defined in Proposition 4.1 for $E$ and let $Q=Q\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ for $F$. Then by virtue of above commutative diagram we have

$$
\rho(C) P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)=Q\left(C, C^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(C^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\rho$ is defined above. This means the second part of (iii).
Conversely if we have the data (i') and (ii), then the $T$-linearized vector bundle $E$ of rank $r$ can be constructed as follows. For $C$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$, we write by $U_{C}=A^{n}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{C}\right)$ a $T$-stable affine open subset of $X$ corresponding to $C$. Suppose $m$ and $P$ are given. Let

$$
E\left(U_{C}\right)=A_{C}\left(-\xi(C)_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{C}\left(-\xi(C)_{r}\right)
$$

for each $C$ in $\mathscr{C}^{n}$. The $E$-graded $A_{C}$-module structure on $E\left(U_{C}\right)$ gives rise to a $T$-linearized vector bundle $E \mid U_{C}=\widetilde{E\left(U_{C}\right)}$ on $U_{C}$. Let

$$
f_{C, c^{\prime}}: A_{C \cap C^{\prime}} \otimes_{A c} E\left(U_{C}\right) \rightarrow A_{C^{\prime} \cap c} \otimes_{A_{c^{\prime}}} E\left(U_{C^{\prime}}\right)
$$

be $E$-graded $A_{C \cap}$, -module isomorphism defined by

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(e\left(\xi\left(C^{\prime}\right)_{1}\right), \cdots, e\left(\xi\left(C^{\prime}\right)_{r}\right)\right) P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{diag}\left(e\left(-\xi(C)_{1}\right), \cdots, e\left(-\xi(C)_{r}\right)\right)
$$

This $f_{C, c^{\prime}}$ gives rise to an isomorphism

$$
f_{c, c^{\prime}}: E\left|U_{c}\right| U_{c} \cap U_{c^{\prime}} \rightarrow E\left|U_{c^{\prime}}\right| U_{c^{\prime}} \cap U_{c}
$$

compatible with the action of $T$. By the condition of (ii) we can patch $E \mid U_{C}$ and $E \mid U_{C^{\prime}}$ along $U_{C} \cap U_{C^{\prime}}$. So we obtain a $T$-linearized vector bundle $E=E(m, P)$ on $X$. The data ( $m, P$ ) is equivalent to the data ( $m^{\prime}, P^{\prime}$ ) then by the construction $E(m, P)$ and $E\left(m^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ are $T$-isomorphic $T$-linearized vector bundles.
Q.E.D.

Remark 4.3. Two $T$-linearized vector bundles $E(m, P)$ of rank $r$ and $E\left(m^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ of rank $r^{\prime}$ are given. Then the T-linearized vector bundle $E(m, P) \otimes E\left(m^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ is $E\left(m \otimes m^{\prime}, P \otimes P^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
\left(m \otimes m^{\prime}\right)(\phi)=\left(\cdots, m(\phi)_{i}+m^{\prime}(\phi)_{j}, \cdots\right)
$$

and $P \otimes P^{\prime}$ means the Kronecker product. The $T$-linearized vector bundle $\operatorname{det} E(m, P)$ is $E(\operatorname{det} m, \operatorname{det} P)$ where

$$
(\operatorname{det} m)(\phi)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} m(\phi)_{i}
$$

and

$$
(\operatorname{det} P)\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(P\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Remark 4.4. The case of rank $=1$. For $\phi_{i} \in S k^{1}(\mathscr{C})$ we denote by $m_{i}$ the value $m\left(\phi_{i}\right)$. Let $D_{i}$ be the divisor corresponding to $\phi_{i}$ i.e. $D_{i}=\operatorname{div}\left(e\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)$ on $T$-stable affine open $U_{k}$ where $\xi_{i}$ is a character so that $\phi_{j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\delta_{j i}$ for $\phi_{j} \in S k^{1}(\mathscr{C}) \cap C_{k}$. Then the data $m=\left(m_{i}\right)$ corresponds to the line bundle $O_{x}\left(-\sum m_{i} D_{i}\right)$.

## § 5. Examples on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$

In this section, we consider $X=\boldsymbol{P}^{2}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(k\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right]\right)$ with the standard action of $T=G_{m} \times G_{m}$. Let $E(a, b, c)$ be a vector bundle defined by the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow O_{X} & \rightarrow O_{X}(a) \oplus O_{X}(b) \oplus O_{X}(c) \rightarrow E(a, b, c)^{*} \rightarrow 0 \\
1 & \rightarrow\left(X_{0}^{a}, X_{1}^{b}, X_{2}^{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are positive integers. It is easy to see that $E(a, b, c)^{*}$ is an equivariant vector bundle for positive integers $a, b, c$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $T$ be a 2-dimensional torus. T acts naturally on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ and it becomes an almost homogeneous variety. An indecomposable equivariant vector bundle of rank 2 on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ is isomorphic to $E(a, b, c) \otimes$ $O_{P_{2}}(n)$ for some integer $n$ and some positive integers $a, b, c$.

We now compute the data ( $m, P$ ) for $E(a, b, c)$. Put $S=k\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right]$ and let $M$ be the kernel of

$$
\begin{aligned}
S e_{0} \oplus S e_{1} \oplus S e_{2} & \rightarrow S \\
e_{0} & \mapsto X_{0}^{a} \\
e_{1} & \mapsto X_{1}^{b} \\
e_{2} & \mapsto X_{2}^{c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The generators of $M$ are

$$
X_{1}^{b} e_{0}-X_{0}^{a} e_{1}, \quad X_{1}^{b} e_{2}-X_{2}^{c} e_{1}, \quad X_{0}^{a} e_{2}-X_{2}^{c} e_{0} .
$$

Put $x=X_{0} / X_{2}, y=X_{1} / X_{2}$ and let $U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}$ be affine spaces defined by $X_{2} \neq 0, X_{0} \neq 0, X_{1} \neq 0$ respectively, i.e.,

$$
U_{1}=\operatorname{Spec}(k[x, y]), \quad U_{2}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(k\left[\frac{1}{x}, \frac{y}{x}\right]\right), \quad U_{3}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(k\left[\frac{1}{y}, \frac{x}{y}\right]\right) .
$$

Put

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}=\frac{e_{1}}{X_{2}^{b}}-\left(\frac{X_{1}}{X_{2}}\right)^{b} \frac{e_{2}}{X_{2}^{c}}, & v_{1}=\frac{e_{0}}{X_{2}^{a}}-\left(\frac{X_{0}}{X_{2}}\right)^{a} \frac{e_{2}}{X_{2}^{c}}, \\
u_{2}=\frac{e_{1}}{X_{0}^{b}}-\left(\frac{X_{1}}{X_{0}}\right)^{b} \frac{e_{0}}{X_{0}^{a}}, & v_{2}=-\frac{e_{2}}{X_{0}^{c}}+\left(\frac{X_{2}}{X_{0}}\right)^{c} \frac{e_{0}}{X_{0}^{a}}, \\
u_{3}=-\frac{e_{0}}{X_{1}^{a}}+\left(\frac{X_{0}}{X_{1}}\right)^{a} \frac{e_{1}}{X_{1}^{b}}, & v_{3}=-\frac{e_{2}}{X_{1}^{c}}+\left(\frac{X_{2}}{X_{1}}\right)^{c} \frac{e_{1}}{X_{1}^{b}} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $t=(\lambda, \mu)$ in $T$ be acts by $t X_{0}=\lambda X_{0}, t X_{1}=\mu X_{1}, t X_{2}=X_{2}$. Then

$$
t e_{0}=\lambda^{a} e_{0}, \quad t e_{1}=\mu^{b} e_{1}, \quad t e_{3}=e_{3},
$$

and

$$
t x=\lambda x, \quad t y=\mu y
$$

In this case we take semi-invariant basis $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ on $U_{i}$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{b} y^{-b} & 0 \\
0 & x^{c}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{2}}{v_{2}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y^{-b} & 0 \\
0 & x^{-a}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{1}}{v_{1}}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{-a} y^{a} & 0 \\
0 & y^{c}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{3}}{v_{3}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{b} y^{-b} & 0 \\
0 & x^{c}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{2}}{v_{2}}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y^{-b} & 0 \\
0 & x^{-a}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{1}}{v_{1}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{-a} y^{a} & 0 \\
0 & y^{c}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u_{3}}{v_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \Gamma$ be such that

$$
\phi_{1}(\lambda)=\phi_{2}(\mu)=1, \quad \phi_{1}(\mu)=\phi_{2}(\lambda)=0
$$

where $\lambda, \mu$ are characters of $T$. Then the decomposition of $\Gamma_{Q}$ by ( $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}=-\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}$ ) defines a cone complex corresponding to $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ (see [4]) i.e. put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\left\{p \phi_{1}+q \phi_{2} \mid p, q \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{0}\right\} \\
& C_{2}=\left\{p \phi_{2}+q \phi_{3} \mid p, q \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{0}\right\} \\
& C_{3}=\left\{p \phi_{3}+q \phi_{1} \mid p, q \in \boldsymbol{Q}_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\Gamma_{Q}=C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup C_{3}$, and $C_{i}$ corresponds to an affine space $U_{i}$. Let $\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}$ be the characters corresponding to $u_{i}, v_{i}$ respectively i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{1}(\lambda, \mu)=\mu^{b}, & \eta_{1}(\lambda, \mu)=\lambda^{a} \\
\xi_{2}(\lambda, \mu)=\lambda^{-b} \mu^{b}, & \eta_{2}(\lambda, \mu)=\lambda^{-c} \\
\xi_{3}(\lambda, \mu)=\lambda^{a} \mu^{-a}, & \eta_{3}(\lambda, \mu)=\mu^{-c}
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\eta_{3}\right)=0, & \phi_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\phi_{1}\left(\xi_{3}\right)=a, \\
\phi_{2}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=b, & \phi_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=0, \\
\phi_{3}\left(\xi_{3}\right)=\phi_{3}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=0, & \phi_{3}\left(\eta_{3}\right)=\phi_{3}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=c .
\end{array}
$$

These integers mean the data $m$ in $\S 4$, i.e.

$$
m\left(\phi_{1}\right)=(0, a), \quad m\left(\phi_{2}\right)=(b, 0), \quad m\left(\phi_{3}\right)=(0, c)
$$

To prove Theorem 5.1 we have only to show that the data in $\S 4$ define a vector bundle

$$
E(a, b, c) \otimes O_{P 2}(n)
$$

for some integer $n$ and some positive integers $a, b, c$. Let $D_{i}$ be the divisor corresponding to $\phi_{i}$. By Remarks 4.3 and 4.4, the data $\bar{m}$ for

$$
E \otimes O_{P^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} m_{i} D_{i}\right)
$$

are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{m}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\left(m\left(\phi_{1}\right)_{1}-m_{1},\right. & \left.m\left(\phi_{1}\right)_{2}-m_{1}\right) \\
\bar{m}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=\left(m\left(\phi_{2}\right)_{1}-m_{2},\right. & \left.m\left(\phi_{2}\right)_{2}-m_{2}\right) \\
\bar{m}\left(\phi_{3}\right)=\left(m\left(\phi_{3}\right)_{1}-m_{3},\right. & \left.m\left(\phi_{3}\right)_{2}-m_{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where $m\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\left(m\left(\phi_{i}\right)_{1}, m\left(\phi_{i}\right)_{2}\right)$ are the data $m$ for $T$-linearized vector bundle
E. Thus by tensoring

$$
O_{P^{2}}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}\right)=O_{P 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} m_{i} D_{i}\right)
$$

with the $T$-linearized vector bundle $E$, if necessary, we may assume that the data $m$ for $E$ are

$$
m\left(\phi_{i}\right)=\left(\alpha_{i}, 0\right) \quad \text { or } \quad m\left(\phi_{i}\right)=\left(0, \alpha_{i}\right)
$$

for non-negative integers $\alpha_{i}$. Furthermore by changing the base if necessary, we may assume that

$$
m_{C_{1}}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=m_{C_{2}}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=\left(\alpha_{2}, 0\right)
$$

and one of
a) $m_{C_{2}}\left(\phi_{3}\right)=m_{C_{3}}\left(\phi_{3}\right)=\left(\alpha_{3}, 0\right)$
b) $m_{C_{2}}\left(\phi_{3}\right)=m_{C_{3}}\left(\phi_{3}\right)=\left(0, \alpha_{3}\right)$
and one of

1) $m_{C_{3}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=m_{C_{1}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1}, 0\right)$
2) $m_{C_{3}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=m_{C_{1}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right)$
3) $m_{C_{3}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1}, 0\right), m_{C_{1}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right)$
4) $m_{C_{3}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\left(0, \alpha_{1}\right), m_{C_{1}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1}, 0\right)$.

We note that if the data $P$ are of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
* & 0 \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

i.e. $P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right), P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right), P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)$ are of the above form, then the vector bundle $E(m, P)$ is decomposable.

If one of $\alpha_{i}$ is zero, we may assume $\alpha_{1}=0$, then
a) $P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ 0 & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a^{\prime \prime} & b^{\prime \prime} \\ c^{\prime \prime} & d^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)$
or
b) $\quad P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a^{\prime} & 0 \\ c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a^{\prime \prime} & b^{\prime \prime} \\ c^{\prime \prime} & d^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right)$.

In the equivalence data, we take
a) $\rho\left(C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \frac{b}{d} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad \rho\left(C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad \rho\left(C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}1 & -\frac{b^{\prime}}{a^{\prime}} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
or
b) $\rho\left(C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}1 & -\frac{b}{a} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad \rho\left(C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad \rho\left(C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ \frac{c^{\prime}}{a^{\prime}} & 1\end{array}\right)$
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{\prime}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\rho\left(C_{1}\right)^{-1} P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) \rho\left(C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right) \\
& P^{\prime}\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\rho\left(C_{2}\right)^{-1} P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right) \rho\left(C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently we get

$$
P^{\prime}\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{-1} a^{\prime-1} & 0 \\
0 & d^{-1} d^{\prime-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

from the relation

$$
P^{\prime}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) P^{\prime}\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right) P^{\prime}\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

So $E$ is decomposable thus we may assume that $\alpha_{i}$ are positive integers.
Case a,1) In the above argument, we may take $c^{\prime \prime}=0$. So the vector bundle $E$ is decomposable.

Case a,2) This case means that

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
0 & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
c^{\prime \prime} & d^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From the relation

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right) P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have

$$
c^{\prime \prime}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad a b^{\prime}+b d^{\prime}=0
$$

We take

$$
\rho\left(C_{1}\right)=\rho\left(C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \rho\left(C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & -\frac{b}{a} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{\prime}\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\rho\left(C_{1}\right)^{-1} P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) \rho\left(C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right) \\
& P^{\prime}\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\rho\left(C_{2}\right)^{-1} P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right) \rho\left(C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime}+\frac{b}{a} d^{\prime} \\
0 & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \\
& P^{\prime}\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\rho\left(C_{3}\right)^{-1} P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right) \rho\left(C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
c^{\prime \prime} & d^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
0 & d^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $E$ is decomposable.
Case a,3) In this case

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
0 & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime \prime} & b^{\prime \prime} \\
c^{\prime \prime} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right) P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a a^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}+\left(a b^{\prime}+b d^{\prime}\right) c^{\prime \prime} & a a^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime} \\
d d^{\prime} c^{\prime \prime} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

This contradicts the relation

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right) P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

So this case cannot happen.
Case a, 4) This case cannot happen for the same reason as in the case a, 3).

Case $\mathrm{b}, 1$ ) This case determine the decomposable vector bundle for the same reason of the case $a, 2$ ).

Case $b, 2$ ) This case cannot happen for the same reason of the case a, 3).

Case b, 3) In this case

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & 0 \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime \prime} & b^{\prime \prime} \\
c^{\prime \prime} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By taking

$$
\rho\left(C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right), \quad \rho\left(C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \rho\left(C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime-1} & 0 \\
0 & d^{\prime-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we may assume that

$$
a=d=a^{\prime}=d^{\prime}=1, \quad b^{\prime \prime} c^{\prime \prime}=-1
$$

Since

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right) P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1+b c^{\prime}\right) a^{\prime \prime}+b c^{\prime \prime} & \left(1+b c^{\prime}\right) b^{\prime \prime} \\
c^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}+c^{\prime \prime} & c^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(1+b c^{\prime}\right) a^{\prime \prime}+b c^{\prime \prime}=c^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}=1 \\
\left(1+b c^{\prime}\right) b^{\prime \prime}=c^{\prime} a^{\prime \prime}+c^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
b^{\prime \prime}=-b, \quad c^{\prime \prime}=b^{-1}, \quad c^{\prime}=-b^{-1}, \quad a^{\prime \prime}=1
$$

Furthermore we take

$$
\rho\left(C_{1}\right)=\rho\left(C_{2}\right)=\rho\left(C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

then we may assume that

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We note that transition matrices in the example are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(C_{2}, C_{1}\right)=P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& P\left(C_{3}, C_{2}\right)=P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& P\left(C_{1}, C_{3}\right)=P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So this case determines the vector bundle of the type of the example.
Case b,4) For the same reason as in the case b,3), we may assume that

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
c^{\prime} & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

$$
P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b^{\prime \prime} \\
c^{\prime \prime} & d^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad b^{\prime \prime} c^{\prime \prime}=-1
$$

Then

$$
P\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right) P\left(C_{2}, C_{3}\right) P\left(C_{3}, C_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b c^{\prime \prime} & \left(1+b c^{\prime}\right) b^{\prime \prime}+b d^{\prime \prime} \\
c^{\prime \prime} & c b^{\prime \prime}+d^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

So $c^{\prime \prime}$ must be zero, then $b c^{\prime \prime}=0$. This is a contradiction. This case cannot happen.

Thus every indecomposable vector bundle of rank 2 on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ is of the form

$$
E(a, b, c) \otimes O_{P_{2}}(n)
$$

for some integer $n$ and some positive integers $a, b, c$.
Q.E.D.

Remark 5.2. If $a=b=c=1$, then the vector bundle $E(1,1,1) \otimes$ $O_{P^{2}}(n)$ is homogeneous, i.e. equivariant with respect to the standard action of $P G L(2)$ on $\boldsymbol{P}^{2}$. Conversely every homogeneous indecomposable vector bundle of rank 2 on $P^{2}$ is necessarily of the form $E(1,1,1) \otimes \underline{O}_{P^{2}}(n)$ ( $\operatorname{ch} k=0$ ). [See 5]

The following problems can be posed about equivariant vector bundles on almost homogeneous varieties.

Problem 5.3. Classification of equivariant vector bundles of rank greater than 2.

Problem 5.4. Is there any indecomposable equivariant vector bundle of $2 \leqq \operatorname{rank} \leqq n-1$ on $P^{n}(n \geqq 3)$ ? We can construct those of rank $n$ as in the case of 2 .

Problem 5.5. Classification of equivariant vector bundles on $X$, when $X$ is an almost homogeneous variety of dimension 2.
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