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## Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to introduce $a$-functions and $b$-functions of prehomogeneous vector spaces in the original way of $M$. Sato and give a proof of the structure theorem of them. All the results were obtained by $M$. Sato when he constructed the theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces in 60 's. However he did not write a paper on his outcomes at that time. His theory was distributed through his lectures and informal seminars. Only small number of people could know it. The only publication left for us is a mimeographed note of his lecture [SaSh1] written by T. Shintani in Japanese. Sato and Shintani published the paper [Sa-Sh2] in 1974 on zeta functions associated with prehomogeneous vector spaces, but a very narrow class of prehomomgeneous vector space was dealt with there. In [Sa-Sh1], Sato gave the exact definitions of $a$-functions and $b$-functions for a wider class of prehomogeneous vector spaces and gave a remarkable theorem of their structures. But it seems to have been forgotten for a long time.

[^0]This paper stems from the chapter one of [Sa-Sh1], or we may say that all of the part of this paper is a modified translation from [Sa-Sh1] not only in the contents but also in the formulation. However, the responsibility for this paper rests with the translator. The translator tried to state faithfully the original idea of M. Sato based on the lecture note which is left to us by T. Shintani. The proof of the structure theorem is given in English for the first time here. The key of the proof is the theorem in Appendix; it is useful for clarifying the structure not only of $b$-functions but also more wider class of functions satisfying the cocycle condition. The idea due to Sato (and partly to Shintani), that has never been written in a formal publication or widely circulated journals, appears for the first time in English. In particular, his original proof is given here for the fact that the $b$-functions for relative invariants are divided to a product of inhomogeneous linear forms. This follows from the theorem (Theorem or its corollary in Appendix) on a family of rational functions with a cyclic condition, which itself is a useful proposition. In order to clarify the role of this theorem, the translator extracts it from the original proof. It can be proved independently from the theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces. Recently it becomes clear that it has a fruitful application. See Aomoto [A1] and [A2].

The translator wishes to express his gratitude to Professor M. Sato for his permission to publish his results in this form. He is also grateful to Professor Aomoto for his suggestion to publish this paper.

## § 1. Fundamental idea of prehomogeneous vector spaces

Let $\Omega$ be a universal domain of characteristic 0 and let $V$ be an $n$ dimensional vector space over $\Omega$. Let $G \subset G L(V)$ be a connected linear algebraic group defined over $\Omega$, and we denote by $g \cdot x$ the action of $G$ on $V$ with $g \in G$ and $x \in V$. For a point $x$ in $V$, we denote by $H_{x}$ the isotropy subgroup, i.e., $H_{x}:=\{g \in G ; g \cdot x=x\}$. In the theory of algebraic groups, the following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 1. Let $x$ be a point in $V$. The $G$-orbit $G \cdot x$ generated by $x$ can be written as $G \cdot x=E_{x}-F_{x}$ where $E_{x}$ is a $G$-invariant algebraic subset in $V$ and $F_{x}$ is a G-invariant proper algebraic subset of $E_{x}$. Here $\operatorname{dim} E_{x}=\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} H_{x}$. Here $\operatorname{dim}$ means the dimension. The totality of $x \in V$ such that the dimension of $E_{x}$ are maximal is a $G$-invariant Zariski-open set in $V$.

Definition 1 (prehomogeneous vector space). If there exists a point $x \in V$ such that $\operatorname{dim} H_{x}=\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} V$, then we say that $V$ is prehomogeneous with respect to the action of $G$ and call the pair ( $G, V$ ) a prehomogeneous vector space. We call the set of points $x \in V$ such that $\operatorname{dim} H_{x}>\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} V$ the singular set and denote it by $S$.

Henceforth, let $(G, V)$ be a prehomogeneous vector space and let $S$ be its singular set. Let $x \in V$ and put $G \cdot x=E_{x}-F_{x}$. Then, from the definition, the following four conditions are equivalent;
(1) $x \in V-S$,
(2) $\operatorname{dim} H_{x}=\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} V$
(3) $\operatorname{dim} E_{x}=\operatorname{dim} V$
(4) $E_{x}=V$.

Note that $\operatorname{dim} E_{x} \leq \operatorname{dim} V$. Then, by Lemma 1, $V-S$ is a $G$-invariant Zariski-open subset in $V$, which implies that $S$ is a $G$-invariant proper algebraic subset. If $x \in V-S$, then $G \cdot x \subset V-S$. Since $G \cdot x=V-F_{x}$, we have $F_{x} \supset S$. Now, suppose that $F_{x}-S \neq \varnothing$ and $y \in F_{x}-S$. Then $G \cdot y=V-F_{y}$ is a Zariski-open set in $V$ for $y \notin S$. On the other hand, since $y \in F_{x}$ and since $F_{x}$ is $G$-invariant, $G \cdot y$ is contained in the proper algebraic subset $F_{x}$ of $V$. This is a contradiction. Therefore we have $F_{x}-S=\varnothing$, which yields that $F_{x}=S$. This means that $G \cdot x=V-S$ if $x \in V-S$. Consequently $V-S$ is a $G$-orbit. Arranging the above arguments, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. Let $(G, V)$ be a prehomogeneous vector space and let $S$ be the singular set. Then $S$ is a G-invariant proper algebraic subset in $V$ and $V-S$ is a $G$-orbit in $V$.

Definition 2 (rational characters and character groups). We call a rational homomorphism from $G$ to $\Omega^{\times}:=\Omega-\{0\}$ a rational character. We denote by $X(G)$ the set of all rational characters which forms a multiplicative group. Let $\chi$ be a rational character of $G$. We call a non-zero rational function $P(x)$ on $V$ a relative invariant or a relatively invariant rational function corresponding to the character $\chi$ if $P(g \cdot x)=$ $\chi(g) \cdot P(x)$ for any $g \in V$. In particular, if $P(x)$ is a polynomial, we also call it a relatively invariant polynomial. Let $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{r}$ be rational characters belonging to $X(G)$. We say that they are multiplicatively independent when they generate a free Abelian group of rank $r$ in $X(G)$.

Proposition 2. (1) Any relative invariant corresponding to a character is determined up to a constant factor by the character.
(2) Any prime divisor of a relative invariant is a relative invariant.
(3) Relative invariants are homogeneous functions.
(4) Relative invariants corresponding to multiplicatively independent characters are algebraically independent.

Proof. (1) From the definition, the zeros and poles of a relative invariant are $G$-invariant proper algebraic subsets. They are contained in $S$. Let $R_{1}(x)$ and $R_{2}(x)$ be two relative invariants corresponding to a rational character $\chi \in X(G)$. Let $x_{0} \in V-S$ and let $Q(x)=R_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) R_{1}(x)-$ $R_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) R_{2}(x)$. Then we have $Q(g \cdot x)=\chi(g) \cdot Q(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and all $x \in V$, and $Q\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, we have $Q(x)=0$ for all $x$ in $V-S$ because $V-S$ coincides with $G \cdot x_{0}$. Thus we have $Q=0$. Since $R_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is not 0 or $\infty$, we have $R_{1}(x)=\left(R_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) / R_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cdot R_{2}(x)$.
(2) Let $R(x)$ be a relative invariant corresponding to a character $\chi \in X(G)$ and let $\prod_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}(x)^{n_{i}}$ be the decomposition into prime divisors of $R(x)$. Namely, $R_{1}(x), \cdots, R_{k}(x)$ are mutually different irreducible polynomials and $n_{i}$ 's are non-zero integers such that $R(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}(x)^{n_{i}}$. From the definition, we have $\prod_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}(g \cdot x)^{n_{i}}=\chi(g) \prod_{i=1}^{k} R_{i}(x)^{n_{i}}$. Since each $R_{i}(g \cdot x)(i=1, \cdots, k)$ is an irreducible polynomial on $V$, it must coincide with one of the polynomials $R_{1}(x), \cdots, R_{k}(x)$ up to a constant factor. However, since the group $G$ is a connected algebraic group, we have $R_{i}(g \cdot x)=\chi_{i}(g) R_{i}(x)$ for all $g \in G$. Here, $\chi_{i}(g)$ is an $\Omega^{\times}$-valued rational function on $G$ and evidently is a rational character of $G$. Thus $R_{1}(x)$, $\cdots, R_{k}(x)$ are all relative invariants.
(3) Let $P(x)$ be a relative invariant corresponding to a rational character $\chi \in X(G)$. Let $t$ be an element of $\Omega^{\times}$and define a rational function $P_{t}(x):=P(t x)$. Then we have $P_{t}(g \cdot x)=P(g \cdot(t x))=\chi(g) P(t x)=$ $\chi(g) P_{t}(x)$ for all $x \in G$ and all $x \in V$. Therefore both $P(x)$ and $P_{t}(x)$ are relative invariants corresponding to $\chi$, and hence they coincide with each other up to a constant factor. Consequently we have $P(t x)=c \cdot P(x)$ with a constant $c$ depending only on $t$, which means $P(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial.
(4) Let $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{r}$ be rational characters in $X(G)$ which are multiplicatively independent. Let $R_{1}(x), \cdots, R_{r}(x)$ be relative invariants corresponding to the characters $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{r}$, respectively. Suppose that $R_{1}(x)$, $\cdots, R_{r}(x)$ are algebraically dependent. Then we may take monomials
$U_{i}\left(R_{1}, \cdots, R_{r}\right)(i=1, \cdots, s)$ in $R_{1}(x), \cdots, R_{r}(x)$ such that $U_{1}, \cdots, U_{s}$ are linearly dependent and any $(s-1)$ of them are linearly independent. Now, we let $W:=\left\{\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{s}\right) \in \Omega^{s} ; \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} U_{i}=0\right\}$. Then, from the assumption, $W$ is a one-dimensional vector subspace in $\Omega^{s}$. On the other hand, each $U_{i}$ is a relative invariant. We let $\nu_{i}$ be the corresponding character of $U_{i}(x)$. If $\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{s}\right) \in W$, then we have $\left(c_{1} \nu_{1}(g), \cdots, c_{s} \nu_{s}(g)\right) \in W$ for any $g \in G$ from the definition. Since $\operatorname{dim} W=1$, we have $\nu_{1}=\cdots=\nu_{s}$. However, $U_{1}, \cdots, U_{s}$ are different from one another as monomials in $R_{1}, \cdots, R_{r}$, which means $\nu_{1}, \cdots, \nu_{s}$ are different from one another since $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{s}$ are multiplicatively independent. This is a contradiction. Thus $R_{1}(x), \cdots, R_{r}(x)$ are algebraically independent.
(q.e.d.)

Definition 3 (singular set). Let $(G, V)$ be a prehomogeneous vector space and let $S$ be its singular set. We let $S_{(0)}$ be the union of irreducible components in $S$ of codimension one in $V$ and let $S_{(1)}$ be the union of irreducible components in $S$ of codimension more than two. Let $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{m}$ be irreducible components of $S_{(0)}$ and let $P_{i}(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial defining the irreducible hypersurface $S_{i}$. Namely;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=S_{(0)} \cup S_{(1)} \quad \text { and } \quad S_{(0)}=S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{m}, \\
& S_{i}:=\left\{x \in V ; P_{i}(x)=0\right\} \quad(i=1, \cdots, m), \\
& \operatorname{codim} S_{(0)} \geq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3. (1) The defining polynomials $P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)$ in Definition 3 are relatively invariant polynomials of $(G, V)$ and they are algebraically independent.
(2) The group of relative invariants under multiplications coincides with the free Abelian group of rank $m$ generated by $P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)$. Here we consider polynomials modulo constant factors.

Proof. (1) Since $G$ is a connected algebraic group and since $S_{i}$ is an irreducible algebraic subset, the algebraic closure ( $\overline{G \cdot S_{i}}$ ) of the set $G \cdot S_{i}:=\left\{g \cdot x ; g \in G, x \in S_{i}\right\}$ is also irreducible. On the other hand, $S_{i} \subset$ $G \cdot S_{i} \subset S$ implies that $S_{i} \subset\left(\overline{G \cdot S_{i}}\right) \subset S$, and $S_{i}$ is an irreducible component. Then we have $S_{i}=\left(\overline{G \cdot S_{i}}\right)$. Thus we have $g \cdot S_{i}=S_{i}$ for any $g \in G$. Since $g \cdot S_{i}=\left\{x \in V ; P_{i}\left(g^{-1} \cdot x\right)=0\right\}$ and $S_{i}=\left\{x \in V ; P_{i}(x)=0\right\}$, and since $P_{i}(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial, the two polynomials $P_{i}(x)$ and $P_{i}\left(g^{-1} \cdot x\right)$ coincide with each other up to a constant factor. Therefore, there exists a character $\chi_{i}(g)$ such that $P_{i}(g \cdot x)=\chi_{i}(g) P_{i}(x)$ for all $g \in G$
and all $x \in V$. This means that $P_{1}(x), \cdots ; P_{m}(x)$ are all relative invariants. Since $P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)$ are mutually different irreducible polynomials, $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{m}$ are multiplicatively independent. Thus $P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)$ are algebraically independent by Proposition 2, (4).
(2) Let $P(x)$ be an irreducible relatively invariant polynomial. Since $\{x \in V ; P(x)=0\}$ is a $G$-invariant proper algebraic subset, it is contained in $S$, and since it is an irreducible hypersurface, it coincides with one of $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{m}$. Therefore $P(x)$ coincides with one of $P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)$. From Proposition 2, (2), any relative invariant is written as a product of integer powers of irreducible relatively invariant polynomials. Thus any relative invariant coincides with a product of powers of $P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)$.

Definition 4 (basic relative invariants and their character group $\left.X_{1}(G)\right)$.
(1) The polynomials of $P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)$ are called basic relative invariants of $(G, V)$. We denote by $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{m}$ the rational characters corresponding to the relative invariants $P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)$, respectively. The set $\left\{P_{1}, \cdots, P_{m}\right\}$ of all basic relatively invariants is called the complete system of basic relative invariants.
(2) We denote by $[G, G]$ the commutator group of $G$. Let $x_{0} \in V-S$ be a fixed point. Then the subgroup of $G$ generated by $[G, G]$ and the isotropy subgroup $H_{x_{0}}$ does not depend on the choice of $x_{0} \in V-S$. We denote it by $G_{1}$, i.e., $G_{1}:=[G, G] \cdot H_{x_{0}}$. The group $G_{1}$ is a normal algebraic subgroup of $G$, and $G / G_{1}$ is a connected abelian algebaric group. We denote by $X_{1}(G)$ the group of rational characters of $G / G_{1}$. Namely, $X_{1}(G)=\left\{\chi \in X(G) ; \chi(g)=1\right.$ for all $\left.g \in G_{1}\right\}$.

Proposition 4. The character group $X_{1}(G)$ defined in Definition 4 is the free Abelian group of rank $m$ generated by $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{m}$.

Proof. Let $P(x)$ be a relative invariant corresponding to a character $\chi(g)$. Let $x \in V-S$. Then $P(g \cdot x)=\chi(g) P(x)$ and $P(x) \neq 0$ or $\infty$. Thus if $g \in H_{x}$, then $\chi(g)=1$. That is to say, $\chi$ is trivial on $H_{x}$. On the other hand, since $\chi\left(g_{1} g_{2} g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}^{-1}\right)=1$ for all $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G, \chi$ is trivial on $[G, G]$. Thus $\chi$ is trivial on $G_{1}=[G, G] \cdot H_{x}$. We have $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$. Conversely let $\chi$ be an arbitrary element of $X_{1}(G)$. Let $x_{0} \in V-S$. Then $\chi$ can be viewed as a rational regular function on $G / H_{x_{0}} \simeq V-S$. We denote it by $P$, a rational regular function on $V-S$, which is evaluated by $P\left(g \cdot x_{0}\right):=\chi(g)$
with $g \in G$. Here we identify $g \cdot x_{0} \in V-S$ with the representative $[g] \in$ $G / H_{x_{0}}$ of $g \in G$. We have $P(g \cdot x)=\chi(g) P(x)$ for any $g \in G$ by definition. The rational function $P(x)$ on $x \in V-S$ is extended to the rational function $P(x)$ on $x \in V$ keeping the relation $P(g \cdot x)=\chi(g) P(x)$. Namely, there is a relative invariant $P(x)$ corresponding to the rational character $\chi$. By Theorem 1, the subgroup of $X(G)$ consisting of characters corresponding to relative invariants coincides with the free Abelian group generated by $\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{m}$. Thus we complete the proof.
(q.e.d.)

Next we consider the contragredient representation of $G$ on the dual vector space $V^{*}$. The action of $g \in G$ for $y \in V^{*}$ is denoted by $g^{*} \cdot y$. We have $\left\langle g \cdot x, g^{*} \cdot y\right\rangle=\langle x, y\rangle$ for all $g \in G, x \in V$ and $y \in V^{*}$. Here $\langle$, stands for the canonical bilinear form on $V \times V^{*}$ to $\Omega$. The group $G$ is viewed as a connected linear algebraic subgroup of $G L\left(V^{*}\right)$. For a fixed point $y_{0} \in V^{*}$, we denote by $H_{y_{0} *}$ the isotropy subgroup at $y_{0}$, i.e., $H_{y_{0} *}:=$ $\left\{g \in G ; g^{*} \cdot y_{0}=y_{0}\right\}$. The dual pair $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ may not be a prehomogeneous vector space even if ( $G, V$ ) is prehomogeneous (see the example at the end of this section). In this paper, we are interested in the cases that at least one of $(G, V)$ and $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ is a prehomogeneous vector space. We give the notations for $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ here when $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ is a prehomogeneous vector space.

We suppose that $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ is a prehomogeneous vector space. (However, we do not have to suppose that ( $G, V$ ) is prehomogeneous.) let $S^{*}$ be the singular set of $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$. By Proposition $1, S^{*}$ is a $G$-invariant proper algebraic subset in $V^{*}$ and $V^{*}-S^{*}$ is a $G$-orbit in $V^{*}$. We denote by $S_{(0)}^{*}$ the union of irreducible components of $S^{*}$ whose codimension in $V^{*}$ is one. The set $S_{(1)}^{*}$ is the union of irreducible components of $S^{*}$ of codimension more than two in $V^{*}$. Let $S_{1}^{*}, \cdots, S_{m}^{*}$ be irreducible components of $S_{(0)}^{*}$ and let $Q_{i}(y)$ be an irreducible polynomial defining the hypersurface $S_{i}^{*}$. Namely;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{*}=S_{(0)}^{*} \cup S_{(1)}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad S_{(0)}^{*}=S_{1}^{*} \cup \cdots \cup S_{m^{\prime}}^{*} \\
& S_{i}:=\left\{y \in V^{*} ; Q_{i}(y)=0\right\} \quad\left(i=1, \cdots, m^{\prime}\right) \\
& \operatorname{codim} S_{(1)}^{*} \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Theorem 1 to the prehomogeneous vector space $\left(G, V^{*}\right), Q_{1}(y)$, $\cdots, Q_{m^{\prime}}(y)$ are basic relative invariants of the prehomogeneous vector space $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$, and $\left(Q_{1}, \cdots, Q_{m^{\prime}}\right)$ is the complete system of basic relative invariants of $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$. We denote by $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m}$, the corresponding rational
characters of the relative invariants $Q_{1}(y), \cdots, Q_{m^{\prime}}(y)$, respectively, i.e., $Q_{i}\left(g^{*} \cdot y\right)=\mu_{i}(g) Q_{i}(y)$ for all $g \in G$ and all $y \in V$. Let $y_{0} \in V^{*}-S^{*}$ be a fixed point. Then the subgroup $G_{1 *}:=[G, G] \cdot H_{y_{0} *}$ does not depend on the choice of $y_{0} \in V^{*}-S^{*}$. Here $H_{y_{0} *}$ means the isotropy subgroup of $G$ at $y_{0} \in V . G_{1 *}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ and $G / G_{1 *}$ is a connected Abelian algebraic group. We denote by $X_{1 *}(G)$ the subgroup of $X(G)$ consisting of rational characters which is trivial on $G_{1 *}$, i.e., $X_{1 *}(G) ;=\{\mu \in X(G)$; $\mu(g)=1$ for all $\left.g \in G_{1 *}\right\}$. Applying Proposition 4 to $\left(G, V^{*}\right), X_{1 *}(G)$ is the free Abelian group of rank $m^{\prime}$ generated by $\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m^{\prime}}$.

We close this section by giving an examples of prehomogeneous vector spaces. A systematical classification of prehomogeneous vector spaces have been done by [Sa-Ki].

Example (a prehomogeneous vector space whose contragredient action is not prehomogeneous). Let $G:=\left\{g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1, & a \\ 0, & b\end{array}\right) ; a, b \in \Omega, b \neq 0\right\}$ and let $V:=\left\{x=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} ; x_{1}, x_{2} \in \Omega\right\}$. Then $G$ is an algebraic subgroup in $G L(V)$. The Zariski-dense subset $V^{\prime}:=\left\{x \in V ; x_{2} \neq 0\right\}$ is a $G$-orbit, hence $(G, V)$ is a prehomogeneous vector space whose singular set is $\left\{x \in V ; x_{2}=0\right\}$. Let $V^{*}:=\left\{y=\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}} ; y_{1}, y_{2} \in \Omega\right\}$ be the dual vector space. The contragredient action $g^{*}$ is given by $g^{*} \cdot y={ }^{t} g^{-1} \cdot y$. Then each orbit in $V^{*}$ is parametrized by the value $y_{1}$. This means there are no Zariski-dense orbits in $V^{*}$. Thus ( $G, V^{*}$ ) is not a prehomogeneous vector space.

## §2. Quasi regular prehomogeneous vector space

Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space defined over the universal domain $\Omega$ and let $V^{*}$ be its dual vector space. Let $G$ be a linear algebraic subgroup of $G L(V)$, which naturally acts on $V^{*}$ by the contragredient action. We suppose that $(G, V)$ (resp. $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ ) is a prehomogeneous vector space defined on $\Omega$. We use the same notations as in $\S 1$.

Let $g$ be the Lie algebra of $G$ and let $g_{1}$ (resp. $g_{1 *}$ ) be the Lie algebra of $G_{1}$ (resp. $G_{1 *}$ ). Let $\mathrm{g}^{\vee}$ be the dual vector space of g . We denote by $A \cdot x$ (rsep. $A^{*} \cdot y$ ) the action of an element $A \in \mathrm{~g}$ for $x \in V\left(y \in V^{*}\right)$, i.e., $A \cdot x:=\left.(d / d t)(\exp (t A) \cdot x)\right|_{t=0} \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.A^{*} \cdot y:=\left.(d / d t)\left(\exp (t A)^{*} \cdot x\right)\right|_{t=0}\right)$. We have the relation $\langle A \cdot x, y\rangle+\left\langle x, A^{*} \cdot y\right\rangle=0$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x \in V, y \in V^{*}$.

Let $\varphi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) be a rational map from $V$ to $V^{*}$ (resp. $V^{*}$ to $V$ ). In other words, $\varphi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) is a $V^{*}$-valued (resp. $V$-valued) rational function
on $V$ (resp. $V^{*}$ ). We say that $\varphi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) is a $G$-admissible map if $\varphi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) is a regular function on $V-S$ (resp. $V^{*}-S^{*}$ ) and $\varphi(g \cdot x)=$ $g^{*} \cdot \varphi(x)$ (resp. $\left.\psi\left(g^{*} \cdot y\right)=g \cdot \psi(y)\right)$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $\omega \in \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$. When a $G$-admissible map $\varphi$ (resp. $\psi$ ) satisfies the condition $\langle A \cdot x, \varphi(x)\rangle=\langle A, \omega\rangle\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left\langle A^{*} \cdot y, \psi(y)\right\rangle=-\langle A, \omega\rangle\right)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x \in V-S$ (resp. $y \in V^{*}-S^{*}$ ), we denote it by $\varphi_{\omega}$ (resp. $\psi_{\omega}$ ). We denote by $\bar{X}_{1}$ (resp. $\bar{X}_{1 *}$ ) the totality of the elements in $g^{\vee}$ which are null on $\mathfrak{g}_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathfrak{g}_{1 *}\right)$. In other words, $\bar{X}_{1}$ (resp. $\bar{X}_{1 *}$ ) is the dual space $\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)^{\vee}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1 *}\right)^{\vee}\right)$. For an element $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$ (resp. $\mu \in X_{1 *}(G)$ ), we define an element $\delta \chi \in \bar{X}_{1}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \delta \mu \in \bar{X}_{1 *}\right)$ by $\delta \chi(A):=\left.(d / d t) \chi(\exp (t A))\right|_{t=0}($ resp. $\delta \mu(A):=$ $\left.\left.(d / d t) \mu(\exp (t A))\right|_{t=0}\right)$ for $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. The map $\delta$ gives an injective homomorphism from $X_{1}(G)$ (resp. $\left.X_{1 *}(G)\right)$ to $\bar{X}_{1}$ (resp. $\bar{X}_{1 *}$ ). We call the infinitesimal character of $\chi$.

Proposition 5. In order that there exists a G-admissible map $\varphi_{\omega}$ (resp. $\psi_{\omega}$ ) satisfying the condition $\left\langle A \cdot x, \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right\rangle=\langle A, \omega\rangle\left(\right.$ resp. $\left\langle A^{*} \cdot y, \psi_{\omega}(y)\right\rangle$ $=-\langle A, \omega\rangle)$ for all $A \in \mathrm{~g}$ and $x \in V-S\left(r e s p, y \in V^{*}-S^{*}\right)$, it is necessary and sufficient that $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$ (resp. $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1^{*}}$ ). The map $\varphi_{\omega}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\psi_{\omega}\right)$ is determined uniquely if it exists.

Proof. We shall give the proof only for the map $\varphi_{\omega}$ since almost the same proof for $\psi_{\omega}$ can be obtained easily.
(Necessity). Let $\varphi_{\omega}$ be a $G$-admissible map satisfying the condition $\left\langle A \cdot x, \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right\rangle=\langle A, \omega\rangle$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\omega \in V-S$. Let $x \in V-S$ and we denote by $\mathfrak{h}_{x}$ the Lie algebra of $H_{x}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{h}_{x}=\{A \in \mathfrak{g} ; A \cdot x=0\}$. Then $\langle\omega, A\rangle=0$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{h}_{x}$. On the other hand, since $\varphi_{\omega}(g \cdot x)=g^{*} \cdot \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ for all $x \in V-S$ and $g \in G$, we have $\langle\omega, \operatorname{Ad}(g) \cdot A\rangle=\langle\omega, A\rangle$ for any $g \in G$ and $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. Here Ad means the adjoint representation of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Indeed, $\langle\omega, \operatorname{Ad}(g) \cdot A\rangle=\left\langle\omega, g A g^{-1}\right\rangle=\left\langle g A g^{-1} \cdot x, \varphi_{o}(x)\right\rangle=\left\langle A g^{-1} \cdot x, g^{*} \cdot \varphi_{\omega}^{-1}(x)\right\rangle$ $=\left\langle A g^{-1} \cdot x, \varphi_{0}\left(g^{-1} \cdot x\right)\right\rangle=\langle\omega, A\rangle$. Thus we have $\langle\omega,[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]\rangle=0$. This means that $\omega$ is null both on $\mathfrak{h}_{x}$ and on [ $\left.\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{g}\right]$. The Lie algebra $g_{1}$ is the Lie algebra generated by $\mathfrak{h}_{x}$ and $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, and hence $\omega$ is null on $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$.
(Sufficiency) Suppose that $\omega \in \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ is null on $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. Take an element $x$ in $V-S$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{G}_{x}=\operatorname{dim} V$, the map $A \mapsto A \cdot x$ from $\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{h}_{x}$ to $V$ gives a one-to-one linear map. Since $\omega$ is null on $\mathfrak{h}_{x}$, there exists an element $\varphi(x) \in V^{*}$ satisfying $\langle A \cdot x, \varphi(x)\rangle=\langle A, \omega\rangle$ for all $A \in g$ and it is determined uniquely. On the other hand, since $\omega$ is null on $g_{1}$, we have $\langle\omega, \operatorname{Ad}(g) \cdot A\rangle=\langle\omega, A\rangle$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $g \in G$. Therefore we have
$\langle\varphi(g \cdot x), A g \cdot x\rangle=\langle\omega, A\rangle=\left\langle\omega, \operatorname{Ad}\left(g^{-1}\right) A\right\rangle=\left\langle g^{*} \varphi(x), A g \cdot x\right\rangle$. Thus $\varphi(g \cdot x)$ $=g^{*} \cdot \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in V-S$ and $g \in G$. Since it is clear that $\varphi$ is a regular rational function on $V-S, \varphi$ is a $G$-admissible map from $V$ to $V^{*}$ which satisfies the condition in $\left\langle A \cdot x, \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right\rangle=\langle A, \omega\rangle$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x \in V-S$. At the same time we have proved that $\varphi$ is determined uniquely by $\omega$.

For $x \in V-S$ (resp. $y \in V^{*}-S^{*}$ ), we define $d \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ (resp. $d \psi_{\omega}(y)$ ) to be the linear map from $V \simeq T_{x} V$ (resp. $V^{*} \simeq T_{y} V^{*}$ ) to $V^{*} \simeq T_{\varphi_{\omega}(x)} V^{*}$ (resp. $V \simeq T_{\psi_{o}(y)} V$ ) given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u \longmapsto d \varphi_{\omega}(x)(u):=\left.\left\{\frac{d}{d t} \varphi_{\omega}(x+t u)\right\}\right|_{t=0} & (u \in V) . \\
(\text { resp. } & v \longmapsto d \psi_{\omega}(y)(v):=\left.\left\{\frac{d}{d t} \psi_{\omega}(y+t v)\right\}\right|_{t=0} & \left.\left(v \in V^{*}\right) .\right)
\end{array}
$$

Let $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ be the coordinates on $V$ and $V^{*}$ respectively, such that they are dual coordinates to each other. The $\operatorname{map} \varphi_{\omega}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\psi_{\omega}\right)$ is written as $\left(\left(\varphi_{\omega}\right)_{1}, \cdots,\left(\varphi_{\omega}\right)_{n}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\left(\left(\psi_{\omega}\right)_{1}, \cdots,\left(\psi_{\omega}\right)_{n}\right)\right)$ with respect to the coordinate $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ (resp. $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ ). Let $\varphi_{\omega}(x)$. $d x:=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)_{i} d x_{i} \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left.\quad \psi_{\omega}(y) \cdot d y:=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{\omega}(y)\right)_{i} d y_{i}\right)\right)$, a $G$-invariant differential form on $V-S$ (resp. $V^{*}-S^{*}$ ). This definition does not depend on the choice of the coordinate. Each component $\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)_{i}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\psi_{\omega}(y)\right)_{i}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree one with respect to $\omega$.

Proposition 6. Let $(G, V)$ resp. $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ ) be a prehomogeneous vector space.
(1) Let $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\omega \in \bar{X}_{1 *}\right)$ and let $d \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ (resp. $d \psi_{\omega}(y)$ ) be the linear map defined above. Then $d \varphi_{\omega}(g \cdot x)\left(g^{*} \cdot u\right)=g^{*} \cdot\left\{d \varphi_{\omega}(x)(u)\right\}\left(r e s p . d \psi_{\omega}\left(g^{*} \cdot y\right)\right.$ $\left.\cdot(g \cdot v)=g \cdot\left\{d \varphi_{\omega}(y)(v)\right\}\right)$ for all $g \in G, x \in V-S$ and $u \in V$ (resp. $y \in V^{*}$ $-S^{*}$ and $\left.v \in V^{*}\right)$.
(2) The differential form $\varphi_{\omega}(x) \cdot d x\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\psi_{\omega}(y) \cdot d y\right)$ defined above is a closed form on $V$ (resp. $V^{*}$ ). Hence, the map $(u, v) \in V \times V \mapsto\left\langle u, d \varphi_{\omega}(x)(v)\right\rangle$ $\in \Omega \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.(u, v) \in V^{*} \times V^{*} \mapsto\left\langle u, d \psi_{\omega}(y)(v)\right\rangle \in \Omega\right)$ is a symmetric bilinear form on $V \times V\left(r e s p . V^{*} \times V^{*}\right)$.

Proof. We shall prove this theorem for $\varphi_{\omega}$. The proof for $\psi_{v}$ is obtained in a similar way.
(1) It is clear from the definition.
(2) We take coordinates $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ on $V$ and $V^{*}$ respectively, such that they are dual to each other. We may identify
them with the same vector space $\Omega^{n}$. The linear actions of $G$ and $g$ on $V=\Omega^{n}$ are represented as $n \times n$ matrices by $g=\left(g_{i j}\right)$ and $A=\left(A_{i j}\right)$. Then the $i$-th coordinates of $(g \cdot x)$ and $(A \cdot x)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g \cdot x)_{i} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i j} \cdot x_{j}, & & (g \in G), \\
(A \cdot x)_{i} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i j} \cdot x_{j}, & & (A \in \mathfrak{g}),
\end{aligned}
$$

When we let $\varphi_{\omega}(x):=\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)_{1}, \cdots, \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{n}\right)$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in V$, we have from the definition $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i} A_{i j} x_{j}=\langle\omega, A\rangle$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x=$ $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in V-S$. Therefore we have

$$
\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i}}{\partial x_{l}} \cdot A_{i j} x_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i} A_{i l}=0 .
$$

On the other hand, since $\varphi_{\omega}(g \cdot x)=g^{*} \varphi_{\omega}(x)$, we have:

$$
\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{t}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot A_{i j} x_{j}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i \imath} \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i}
$$

Thus we have:

$$
\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i}}{\partial x_{l}} \cdot A_{i j} x_{j}=\sum_{2 j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{l}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot A_{i j} x_{j}
$$

for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $x \in V-S$. The vector ( $\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{1 j} x_{j}, \cdots, \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{n j} x_{j}$ ) may take every value in $V=\Omega^{n}$ when $A$ runs through g. We get:

$$
\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i}}{\partial x_{l}}=\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{l}}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

for all $i, l=1, \cdots, n$. Thus $\varphi_{\omega}(x) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i} d x_{i}$ is a closed differential form. Lastly, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y, d \varphi_{\omega}(x) z\right\rangle=\sum_{i j=1}^{n} y_{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \cdot z_{j} . \tag{q.e.d.}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have that $d \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ gives a symmetric bilinear form.
The commutative connected algebraic group ( $G / G_{1}$ ) (resp. $\left(G / G_{1^{*}}\right)$ ) is the direct sum of its torus subgroup $\left(G / G_{1}\right)_{t}$ (resp. $\left.\left(G / G_{1 *}\right)_{t}\right)$ and its unipo tent subgroup $\left(G / G_{1}\right)_{u}$ (resp. $\left.\left(G / G_{1 *}\right)_{u}\right)$. Then we have the corresponding decomposition of the Lie algebra $\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)_{t} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)_{u} \quad$ (resp. $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)=$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)_{t} \oplus\left(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}_{1}\right)_{u}\right)$. Then the dual vector space $\bar{X}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}_{1}\right)^{\vee}$ (resp. $\bar{X}_{1 *}=$ $\left.\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1 *}\right)^{\vee}\right)$ decomposes into two parts; $\bar{X}_{1}=\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t} \oplus\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{u}$ (resp. $\bar{X}_{1 *}=\left(\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)_{t} \oplus$ $\left.\left(\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)_{u}\right)$. where $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}:=\left\{\omega \in \bar{X}_{1} ;\left.\omega\right|_{(q / 91)_{u}} \equiv 0\right\} \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\quad\left(\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)_{t}:=\left\{\omega \in \bar{X}_{1 *} ;\left.\omega\right|_{(G / 81)_{u}}\right.$ $\equiv 0\}$ ) and $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{u}:=\left\{\omega \in \bar{X}_{1} ;\left.\omega\right|_{(g / 81) t} \equiv 0\right\}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)_{u}:=\left\{\omega \in \bar{X}_{1 *} ;\left.\omega\right|_{((8 / 81) t} \equiv 0\right\}\right)$.

Proposition 7. Let $\left\{P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)\right\}$ (resp. $\left.\left\{Q_{1}(y), \cdots, Q_{m^{\prime}}(y)\right\}\right)$ be the complete system of basic relative invariants and let $\chi_{i}(i=1, \cdots, m)$ (resp. $\left.\mu_{j}\left(j=1, \cdots, m^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be the corresponding character of $P_{i}(x)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Q_{j}(y)\right)$.
(1) The vector space $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)_{t}\right)$ is the subspace of $\bar{X}_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)$ spanned by $\delta \chi_{1}, \cdots, \delta \chi_{m}$ (resp. $\delta \mu_{1}, \cdots, \delta \mu_{m^{\prime}}$ ) and hence it is an m-dimensional (resp. $m^{\prime}$-dimensional) vector space.
(2) For $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} \cdot \delta \chi_{i} \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ (resp. $\left.\omega=\sum_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}} s_{j}^{*} \cdot \delta \mu_{j} \in\left(\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)_{t}\right)$ we have $\left.\varphi_{\omega}(x) \cdot d x=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(s_{i} / P_{i}(x)\right) d P_{i}(x)\right) \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\psi_{\omega}(y) \cdot d y=-\sum_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}}\left(s_{j}^{*} / Q_{j}(y)\right) d Q_{j}(y)\right)$. In other words, $\quad\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(s_{i} / P_{i}(x)\right)\left(\partial P_{i} / \partial x_{k}\right) \quad\left(\right.$ resp. $\quad\left(\psi_{\omega}(y)\right)_{i}=-$ $\left.\sum_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}}\left(s_{j}^{*} / Q_{j}(y)\right)\left(\partial Q_{j} / \partial y_{l}\right)\right)$.

Proof. (1) Any rational character of $\left(G / G_{1}\right)$ is trivial on $\left(G / G_{1}\right)_{u}$. Thus any element of $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ is given by a linear combination of $\delta \chi_{1}, \cdots, \delta \chi_{m}$.
(2) We identify $V$ and $V^{*}$ by their dual bases. From that $P_{i}(g \cdot x)$ $=\chi_{1}(g) P_{i}(x)(i=1, \cdots, m)$, we have the following equation: $\sum_{n, j=1}^{n}\left(\partial P_{i} / \partial x_{i}\right)$ - $A_{l j} x_{j}=\delta \chi_{i}(A) P_{i}(x)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. Thus if $x \in V-S$, then $\omega(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}$. $\delta \chi_{i}(A)=\sum_{j, l=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{s_{i}\left(1 / P_{i}(x)\right)\left(\partial P_{i} / \partial x_{i}\right)\right\} A_{l j} x_{j}$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. Thus from the definition we have $\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)_{l}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i}\left(1 / P_{i}(x)\right)\left(\partial P_{i} / \partial x_{i}\right)$. Therefore we have: $\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(s_{i} P_{i}(x)\right) d P_{i}$.

The similar proof is possible for the dual space $V^{*}$.
Let $x \in V-S$ (resp. $y \in V^{*}-S^{*}$ ) and let $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$ (resp. $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1 *}$ ). If the linear map $d \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ (resp. $d \psi_{\omega}(y)$ ) from $V$ to $V^{*}$ (resp. from $V^{*}$ to $V$ ) is invertible, we say that $d \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ (resp. $d \psi_{\omega}(y)$ ) is non-degenerate. By Proposition 6, the set $\left\{x \in V-S\right.$; $d \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ is non-degenerate (resp. $\{y \in$ $V^{*}-S^{*} ; d \psi_{\omega}(y)$ is non-degenerate) is a $G$-invariant subset in $V-S$ (resp. $V^{*}-S^{*}$ ). Then it coincides with $V-S$ (resp. $V^{*}-S^{*}$ ) itself or the empty set $\varnothing$. When it coincides with $V-S$ (resp. $V^{*}-S^{*}$ ), we say that $\varphi_{\omega}$ (resp. $\psi_{\omega}$ ) is non-degenerate.

The set $\left\{\omega \in \bar{X}_{1} ; \varphi_{\omega}\right.$ is non-degenerate $\}$ (resp. $\left\{\omega \in \bar{X}_{1 *} ; \psi_{\omega}\right.$ is nondegenerate\}) is a Zariski-open set in $\bar{X}_{1}$ if it is not an empty set. Indeed, let $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$ be an element such that $\varphi_{\omega}$ is non-degenerate. Let $x \in V-S$. When we write the linear map $d \varphi_{\omega}(x) ; V \rightarrow V^{*}$ by an $n \times n$ matrix with respect to suitable bases of $V$ and $V^{*}$, each component is a polynomial of degree one with respect to $\omega$. Then the determinant of $d \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ is a polynomial in $\omega$. Thus $\left\{\omega \in \bar{X}_{1} ; \varphi_{\omega}\right.$ is non-degenerate $\}=\left\{\omega \in \bar{X}_{1} ; \operatorname{det}\left(d \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)\right.$ $\neq 0\}$, and hence it is a Zariski-open set in $\bar{X}_{1}$ if it is not empty. We can prove the parallel fact for the map $\psi_{\omega}$ in the same way. In addition,
we can prove that the set $\left\{\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t} ; \varphi_{\omega}\right.$ is non-degenerate\} (resp. $\{\omega \in$ $\left(\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)_{t} ; \psi_{\omega}$ it non-degenerate\}) is Zariski-dense in $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\bar{X}_{1 *}\right)_{t}\right)$ if it is non-empty similarly.

Definition 5 (quasi-regular prehomogeneous vector space). We say that the prehomogeneous vector space ( $G, V$ ) (resp. ( $G, V^{*}$ )) is quasiregular if there exists $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$ (resp. $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1 *}$ ) such that $\varphi_{\omega}$ (resp. $\psi_{\omega}$ ) is nondegenerate.

Proposition 8. If $(G, V)$ (resp. $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ ) is a quasi-regular prehomogeneous vector space, then $\left(G, V^{*}\right)(r e s p .(G, V))$ is also a quasi-regular prehomogeneous vector space. Moreover we have $\bar{X}_{1}=\bar{X}_{1 *}$ and $G_{1}=G_{1 * *}$. Let $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$ be an element such that $\varphi_{\omega}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\psi_{\omega}\right)$ is a non-degenerate map from $V\left(\right.$ resp, $\left.V^{*}\right)$ to $V^{*}$ (resp. V). Then $\varphi_{\omega}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\psi_{\omega}\right)$ gives a biholomorphic rational map from $V-S$ to $V^{*}-S^{*}$. Moreover $\psi_{\omega}=\varphi_{\omega}^{-1}$.

Proof. Since $(V-S)$ is a $G$-orbit in $V$ and since $\varphi_{\omega}$ is $G$-admissible, $\varphi_{\omega}(V-S)$ is a $G$-orbit in $V^{*}$. Then there exists an algebraic subset $E^{*}$ and a proper algebraic subset $F^{*}$ in $E^{*}$ satisfying $\varphi_{\omega}(V-S)$ $=E^{*}-F^{*}$. On the other hand the linear map $d \varphi_{\omega}(x)$ from $V$ to $V^{*}$ is invertible at any point $x \in V-S$, which means the dimension of the image of $\varphi_{v}$ is the same as the dimension of $V-S$. Hence we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(E^{*}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(V-S)=n$, which yields that $E^{*}=V^{*}$. This means that $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ is a prehomogeneous vector space with respect to the contragredient action of $G$ and $F^{*}$ coincides with the singular set $S^{*}$. Thus we have $\varphi_{v}(V-S)=V^{*}-S^{*}$. For a point $x \in V-S$, we let $y:=\varphi_{\omega}(x) \in V^{*}-S^{*}$ and $H_{y *}:=\left\{g \in G ; g^{*} \cdot y=y\right\}$. Then since $H_{y *} \supset H_{x}$ and $\operatorname{dim} H_{y *}=\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} V^{*}=\operatorname{dim} H_{x}$, the Lie algebra of $H_{x}$ coincides with the Lie algebra of $H_{y *}$. Thus when we let $G_{1 *}:=[G, G] \cdot H_{y *}$, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{1 *}$ of $G_{1 *}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$. Then we have $\bar{X}_{1}=\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right)^{\vee}=$ $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathfrak{g}_{1 *}\right)^{\vee}=\bar{X}_{1 *}$. Since $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1 *}$, there exists a $G$-admissible map $\psi_{\omega}$ from $V^{*}$ to $V$ satisfying $\left\langle\psi_{\omega}(y), A^{*} \cdot y\right\rangle=-\langle\omega, A\rangle$ for all $y \in V^{*}-S^{*}$ and $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ by Proposition 5. Then the map $\psi_{\omega}$ is determined uniquely. On the other hand, from $\left\langle\varphi_{\omega}(x), A \cdot x\right\rangle=\langle\omega, A\rangle$, we have $\left\langle x, A^{*} \cdot \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right\rangle=-$ $\langle\omega, A\rangle$ for all $x \in V-S$ nad $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ and hence $y=\varphi_{\omega}(x)$ implies $x=\psi_{\omega}(y)$ and the converse is true. That is to say, $\varphi_{\omega}$ and $\psi_{\omega}$ are the inverse maps of each other. Thus $\varphi_{\omega}$ gives a biholomorphic rational map from $V-S$ onto $V^{*}-S^{*}$. At the same time, we have proved that $H_{y *}=H_{x}$ if $y=\varphi_{\omega}(x)$ and hence $G_{1 *}=G_{1}$.

Corollary. Let $(G, V)$ be a quasi-regular prehomogeneous vector space and let $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ be its dual prehomogeneous vector space. Then the number $m^{\prime}$ of irreducible components in $S^{*}$ of codimension one in $V^{*}$ coincides with the number $m$ of irreducible components in $S$ of codimension one in $V$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, $m$ (resp. $m^{\prime}$ ) coincides with the rank of the character group of the abelian algebraic group $\left(G / G_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\left(G / G_{1 *}\right)$ ). We have proved that $G_{1 *}=G_{1}$ in the proof of Proposition 7. Thus we have $m=m^{\prime}$.

Definition 6 (regular prehomogeneous vector spaces). Let ( $G, V$ ) (resp. ( $G, V^{*}$ )) be a quasi-regular prehomogeneous vector space. If there exists $\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ such that $\varphi_{\omega}$ (resp. $\psi_{\omega}$ ) is non-degenerate, we say that $(G, V)$ (resp. $\left.\left(G, V^{*}\right)\right)$ is a regular prehomogeneous vector space.

The following proposition is easily proved.
Proposition 9. (1) In order that $(G, V)$ is a regular prehomogeneous vector space, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a relative invariant $P(x)$ whose Hessian $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial P / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}\right)$ does not vanish on $V-S$.
(2) If $(G, V)$ is a regular prehomogeneous vector space, then ( $G, V^{*}$ ) is a regular prehomogeneous vector space.

## $\S$ 3. The $a$-functions $a_{x}(\omega)$ and the $b$-functions $b_{x}(\omega)$

In this section we suppose that $(G, V)$ is a quasi-regular prehomogeneous vector space and we denote by $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ the dual prehomogeneous vector space. Let $\left\{P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{Q_{1}(y), \cdots, Q_{m}(y)\right\}$ ) be the complete system of basic relative invariants of ( $G, V$ ) (resp. $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ ) and let $\chi_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mu_{i}\right)$ be the corresponding character of $P_{i}(x)$ (resp. $Q_{i}(y)$ ) for $i=$ $1, \cdots, m$.

Let $x \in X_{1}(G)$. Since $X_{1}(G)=X_{1^{*}}(G)$, we can write $\chi=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{i}^{n_{t}}=$ $\prod_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j}^{n^{*}}$ where $n_{i}$ and $n_{j}$ are integers. We let $P_{\mathrm{x}}(x):=\prod_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}(x)^{n_{i}}$ and $Q_{x}(y):=\prod_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(y)^{n^{*}}$. Then $P_{x}(x)$ (resp. $Q_{x}(y)$ ) is a relative invariant of $(G, V)$ (resp. $\left.\left(G, V^{*}\right)\right)$ corresponding to the character $\chi$. When $P_{x}(x)$ (resp. $Q_{\mathrm{x}}(y)$ ) is a polynomial, we say that ( $\chi$ ) (resp. ( $\left.\chi\right)^{*}$ ) is non-negative and write polynomial, we say that $(\chi)$ (resp. $\left.(\chi)^{*}\right)$ is non-negative and write $(\chi) \geq 0$ (resp. $(\chi)^{*} \geq 0$ ). Namely, $(\chi) \geq 0$ (resp. $(\chi)^{*} \geq 0$ ) if and only if $n_{1}, \cdots, n_{m} \geq 0$ (resp. $n_{1}^{*}, \cdots, n_{m}^{*} \geq 0$ ).

Proposition 10. Let $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$ and let $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$. Then $P_{\chi}(x) Q_{\chi-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)$ (resp. $Q_{x}(y) P_{\chi-1}\left(\psi_{\omega}(y)\right)$ is a homogeneous rational function with respect to $\omega$, which does not depend on $x \in V$ (resp. $y \in V^{*}$ ). If $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ (resp. $\left.\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0\right)$, then $P_{\chi}(x) Q_{\chi-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)$ (resp. $Q_{x}(y) P_{\chi-2}\left(\psi_{\omega}(y)\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial whose degree coincides with the degree of $Q_{x-1}(y)$ (resp. $P_{x-1}(x)$ ).

Proof. Let $x \in V-S$ and let $g \in G$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\chi}(g \cdot x) Q_{x-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(g \cdot x)\right)=\chi(g) P_{\chi}(x) Q_{\chi-1}\left(g^{*} \cdot \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right) \\
& \quad=\chi(g) \cdot \chi^{-1}(g) P_{\chi}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)=P_{\chi}(x) Q_{\chi-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $V-S$ is a $G$-orbit, $P_{x}(x) Q_{\chi-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)(x \in V-S)$ coincides with a constant which depends only on $\chi$ and $\omega$. Since $Q_{x-1}(y)$ is a homogeneous rational function with respect to $y \in V^{*}$ and since each component of $\varphi_{\omega}(y)$ is a homogeneous function of degree one with respect to $\omega$, $P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)$ is a homogeneous rational function on $\omega$. The degree coincides with the degree of $Q_{x-1}(y)$. The similar proof is possible for $a_{x}^{*}(\omega)$.

Definition 7 ( $a$-function $a_{x}(\omega)$ ). For a rational character $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$, we define a homogeneous rational function in $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}: a_{x}(\omega):=P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)$ and $a_{x}^{*}(\omega):=Q_{x}(y) P_{x-1}\left(\psi_{\omega}(y)\right)$ and call them a-function. It is determined up to a constant factor, but if we fix the complete systems of basic relative invariants $\left\{P_{1}(x), \cdots, P_{m}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{1}(y), \cdots, Q_{m}(y)\right\}$, then $a$-function is determined uniquely.

In particular, $a_{x}(\omega)=a_{x-1}^{*}(\omega)$. Indeed, if $\varphi_{\omega}$ is non-degenerate and $x \in V-S$, then by substituting $y=\varphi_{\omega}(x)$ to the definition of $a_{x}(\omega)$, we get the definition of $a_{x_{-1}}^{*}(\omega)$. Thus $a_{x}(\omega)=a_{x^{-1}}^{*}(\omega)$ if $\varphi_{\omega}$ is non-degenerate. Since the set $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$ such that $\varphi_{\omega}$ is non-degenerate is Zariski-dense in $\bar{X}_{1}$, $a_{x}(\omega)=a_{x^{-1}}^{*}(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$.

Proposition 11. Let $\chi_{0}(g):=\operatorname{deg}(g)$. Then $\chi_{0}^{2} \in X_{1}(G)$ and there exist homogeneous polynomials $C(\omega)$ and $C^{*}(\omega)$ of degree $n$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.J_{\omega}(x):=\operatorname{det} d \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)=C(\omega)\left(P_{x_{0}^{2}}(x)\right)^{-1} \\
& J_{\omega}^{*}(y):=\operatorname{det}\left(d \psi_{\omega}(x)\right)=C^{*}(\omega)\left(Q_{x_{0}^{2}}(y)\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$ such that $\varphi_{\omega}$ is non-degenerate $\left(\psi_{\omega}=\left(\varphi_{\omega}\right)^{-1}\right) . \quad C(\omega)$ and $C^{*}(\omega)$ are determined uniquely up to a constant factor.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi_{\omega}\left(\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}\right)$ is non-degenerate. We identify $V$ and $V^{*}$ with $\Omega^{n}$ by their dual bases and regard $d \omega_{\omega}(x)(x \in V-S)$ as a linear transformation from $\Omega^{n}$ to $\Omega^{n}$. When we let $J_{\omega}(x):=\operatorname{det}\left\{d \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right\}$, $J_{v}(x)$ is a rational function on $x \in V$, which is regular and non-zero on $V-S$. Moreover, $J_{\omega}(x)$ is of homogeneous degree $n$ with respect to $\omega$ as we have proved in §2. From Proposition 6, (1), we have $d \varphi_{\omega}(g \cdot x)=$ ${ }^{t}(g)^{-1} \cdot d \varphi_{\omega}(x) \cdot(g)^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in V-S$, hence we have $J_{\omega}(g \cdot x)=$ $\operatorname{deg}(g)^{-2} J_{\omega}(x)$. Namely when we let $\chi_{0}(g):=\operatorname{det}(g) \in X(G), J_{\omega}(x)$ is a relatively invariant rational function corresponding to the character $\chi_{0}^{-2}$. Thus we have $\chi_{0}^{2} \in X_{1}(G)$. The rational functions $J_{\omega}(x)$ and $\left(P_{x_{0}}(x)\right)^{-1}$ coincide with each other up to a constant factor. Therefore, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $C(\omega)$ of degree $n$ such that $P_{x_{0}^{2}}(x) J_{\omega}(x)=C(\omega)$. (q.e.d.)

For $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$, we define a linear differential operator $D_{\omega}(x):=\operatorname{grad}_{x}+$ $\varphi_{\omega}(x)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.D_{\omega}^{*}(y):=\operatorname{grad}_{y}+\psi(y)\right)$ from the linear space of rational functions on $V\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V^{*}\right)$ to that of $V^{*}$-valued (resp. $V$-valued) rational functions on $V$ (resp. $V^{*}$ ) in the following way. Let $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be a coordinate of $V$ and let $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ be its dual coordinate of $V^{*}$. We denote by $\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)_{i}$ (resp. $\left.\left(\psi_{\omega}(y)\right)_{i}\right)$ the $i$-th coordinate of the value $\varphi_{\omega}(x) \in V^{*}$ (resp. $\psi_{\omega}(y) \in V$ ). Let $f$ be a rational function on $V$ (resp. $V^{*}$ ). We let $\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right)_{i} f(x)=\left(D_{\omega}(x) f(x)\right)_{i}:=\left(\partial / \partial x_{i}\right) f(x)+\varphi_{\omega}(x)_{i} f(x) \quad$ (resp. $\quad\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\right)_{i} f(y)=$ $\left.\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y) f(y)\right)_{i}:=(\partial / \partial y) f\left(y_{i}\right)+\psi_{\omega}(y)_{i} f(y)\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, n$. Then this definition does not depend on the choice of the coordinates.

Lemma 2. Let $f$ be a function on $V$ (resp. $V^{*}$ ).
$D_{\omega}(g \cdot x) f_{g}(x)=g^{*} \cdot D_{\omega}(x) f(x)$
(resp. $\left.D_{\omega}^{*}\left(g^{*} \cdot y\right) f(y)=g \cdot D_{\omega}^{*}(y) \cdot f(y)\right)$, for all $g \in G$.
(2) Let $x \in X_{1}(G)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\omega}(x)\left(P_{\chi}(x) f(x)\right)=P_{\chi}(x)\left(D_{\omega+\delta x}(x) f(x)\right) \\
& \left(\text { resp. } D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\left(Q_{\chi}(y) f(y)\right)=P_{\chi}(y)\left(D_{\omega+\delta x}^{*}(y) f(y)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (1) We denote by $\{f(x)\}_{g}$ the function $f(g \cdot x)$. Let $x^{\prime}=g \cdot x$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\omega}(g \cdot x) f(x) & =D_{\omega}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cdot f\left(g^{-1} \cdot x^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{grad}_{x^{\prime}}+\varphi_{x}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) f\left(g^{-1} \cdot x^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.=g^{*} \cdot\left\{\operatorname{(grad}_{x^{\prime}}+\varphi_{\omega}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{g} \\
& =g^{*} \cdot D_{\omega}(x) f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can prove $D_{\omega}^{*}\left(g^{*} \cdot y\right) f(y)=g \cdot\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y) f(y)\right)$ in the same way.
(2) $D_{\omega}(x)\left(P_{\chi}(x) f(x)\right)=\operatorname{grad}_{x}\left(P_{x}(x)\right) f(x)+P_{x}(x)\left(\operatorname{grad}_{x}+\varphi_{o}(x)\right) f(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P_{\chi}(x) \cdot\left(\varphi_{\delta x}(x) f(x)\right)+P_{\chi}(x)\left(\operatorname{grad}_{x}+\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right) f(x) \\
& =P_{\chi}(x)\left(\operatorname{grad}_{\chi}+\varphi_{\delta \chi+\omega}(x)\right) f(x) \\
& =P_{\chi}(x)\left(D_{\omega+\delta \chi}(x) f(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can prove $D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\left(Q_{\chi}(y) f(y)\right)=Q_{\chi}(y)\left(D_{\omega+\delta x}^{*}(y) f(y)\right)$ in the same way. (q.e.d.)

Recall that the $i$-th component of $D_{\omega}(x)$ (resp. $D_{\omega}^{*}(y)$ ) with respect to the coordinate $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ (resp. $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ ) is denoted by $\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right)_{i}$ (resp. $\left.\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\right)_{i}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n)$. Then the differential operators $\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right)_{1}, \cdots,\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right)_{n}$ (resp. $\left.\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\right)_{1}, \cdots,\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\right)_{n}\right)$ commutes with one another. Then, for a polynomial $R(y)$ (resp. $R(x)$ ) on $V^{*}$ (resp. $V$ ), $R\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right.$ ) (resp. $R\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\right)$ ) is well defined as a differential operator on $V$ (resp. $V^{*}$ ).

Proposition 12.
(1) Let $x \in X_{1}(G)$. If $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ (resp. $\left.\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \geq 0\right)$, then $P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right)$ (resp. $Q_{x}(y) P_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\right)$ ) is a differential operator on $V\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V^{*}\right)$. The application of this operator to the constant function 1: $P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1$ (resp. $\left.Q_{x}(y) P_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\right) \cdot 1\right)$, is a polynomial of the same degree as $Q_{x-1}(x)$ (resp. $\left.P_{x-1}(y)\right)$. We denote it by $b_{x}(\omega)$ (resp. $\left.b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right)$.
(2) The highest degree part of $b_{x}(\omega)$ (resp. $\left.b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right)$ coincides with $a_{x}(\omega)$ (resp. $\left.a_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right)$.
(3) Let $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ be two elements in $X_{1}(G)$. If $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ and $\left(\chi^{\prime-1}\right)^{*}$ $\geq 0$ (resp. $\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \geq 0$ and $\left(\chi^{\prime-1}\right) \geq 0$ ), then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{x \cdot x^{\prime}}(\omega) & =b_{x}(\omega) b_{x^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \chi) \\
\left(\text { resp. } b_{x \cdot x^{\prime}}^{*}(\omega)\right. & \left.=b_{x}^{*}(\omega) b_{x^{\prime}}^{*}(\omega-\delta \chi)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\delta \chi$ is a corresponding infinitesimal element in $\bar{X}_{1}$ of $\chi$.
Proof. First we shall prove (1) and (2). Let $F(x):=P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}(D(x)) \cdot 1$. Then, by Lemma 2, (1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(g \cdot x) & =P_{\chi}(g \cdot x) Q_{\chi-1}\left(D_{\omega}(g \cdot \chi)\right) \cdot 1 \\
& =P_{\chi}(g \cdot x) Q_{x-1}\left(g^{*} \cdot D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1 \\
& =\chi(g) \chi^{-1}(g) P_{\chi}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1=F(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $F(x)$ is a $G$-invariant rational function on $V$. Hence it is a constant function which depends only on $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$ and $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$. We denote it by $b_{x}(\omega)$.

If $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$, then $b_{x}(\omega)$ is a polynomial in $\omega$ since $Q_{\chi-1}(y)$ is a polynomial and each component of $\operatorname{grad}_{x}+\varphi_{\omega}$ is a polynomial of degree one in $\omega$. By a direct computation of $P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1$, the highest degree term of it coincides with $a_{x}(\omega)=P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)$. Since $a_{x}(\omega)$ is the homogeneous function of the same degree as $Q_{x-1}(y), b_{x}(\omega)$ 's degree is that of $Q_{x-1}(y)$. Thus we get (1) and (2) for $b_{x}(\omega)$. We can prove those for $b_{x}^{*}(\omega)$ in the same way.

Next we shall prove (3). By Lemma 2, (2), we have $P_{\chi}(x) \cdot D_{\omega} \cdot P_{x}(x)^{-1}$ $=D_{\omega-\delta x}$. Then, for $\chi, \chi^{\prime} \in X_{1}(G)$ satisfying $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$, $\left(\chi^{\prime-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{x x^{\prime}}(\omega) & =P_{x x^{\prime}}(x) Q_{\left(x x^{\prime}-1\right.}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1 \\
& =P_{\chi}(x) P_{x^{\prime}}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) Q_{x^{\prime}-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1 \\
& =P_{x^{\prime}}(x) P_{\chi}(x) Q_{x^{\prime}-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) P_{\chi}(x)^{-1} P_{\chi}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1 \\
& =P_{x^{\prime}}(x) Q_{x^{\prime}-1}\left(P_{\chi}(x) D_{\omega}(x) P_{\chi}(x)^{-1}\right) P_{\chi}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1 \\
& =P_{x^{\prime}}(x) Q_{x^{\prime}-1}\left(D_{\omega-\delta \chi}(x)\right) P_{\chi}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1 \\
& =P_{x^{\prime}}(x) Q_{x^{\prime}-1}\left(D_{\omega-\delta \chi}(x)\right) \cdot b_{\chi}(\omega) \\
& =b_{x^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \chi) b_{\chi}(\omega)=b_{x}(\omega) b_{x^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \chi) . \tag{q.e.d.}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 8 ( $b$-function $b_{x}(\omega)$ ).
(1) For $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$ with $\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \geq 0$ (resp. $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ ), we define

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
b_{x}(\omega) & :=P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1 \\
(\operatorname{resp} . & b_{x}^{*}(\omega)
\end{array}:=Q_{x}(y) P_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}^{*}(y)\right) \cdot 1\right)
$$

(2) For $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$, we can write $\chi=\nu^{-1}$ by using $\lambda, \nu \in X_{1}(G)$ satisfying $\left(\lambda^{-1}\right) \geq 0$ and $\left(\nu^{-1}\right) \geq 0$ (resp. $\left(\lambda^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ and $\left(\nu^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$. Then we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{x}(\omega): & =b_{\lambda}(\omega) / b_{\nu}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu) \\
\left(\operatorname{resp.} b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right. & \left.=b_{\lambda}^{*}(\omega) / b_{\nu}^{*}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 13.
(1) For any $\chi, \chi^{\prime} \in X_{1}(G)$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
(\#)^{\prime} & b_{x x^{\prime}}(\omega)=b_{x}(\omega) b_{x^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \chi) \\
(\text { resp. } & \left.b_{x x^{\prime}}^{*}(\omega)=b_{x}^{*}(\omega) b_{x^{\prime}}^{*}(\omega-\delta \chi)\right)
\end{array}
$$

(2) Let $\left\{b_{x}(\omega)\right\}_{x_{\in X_{1}(G)}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left\{b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right\}_{x_{\in X_{1}(G)}}\right)$ be a family of rational functions such that $b_{x}(\omega)$ is the one defined by Definition 8, (1) if $\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \geq 0$ (resp. $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ ). If we suppose the relation (\#)', then $b_{x}(\omega)$ (resp. $b_{x}^{*}(\omega)$ ) is determined uniquely for all $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$.

Proof. We shall prove these propositions for $\left\{b_{x}(\omega)\right\}_{x \in X_{1}(G)}$. The same propositions for $\left\{b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right\}_{\chi_{\in X_{1}(G)}}$ can be proved in the parallel way.

Let $\chi=\lambda^{-1}$ and $\chi^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime} \nu^{\prime-1}$ where $\lambda, \nu, \lambda^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime} \in X_{1}(G)$ with $\left(\lambda^{-1}\right),\left(\nu^{-1}\right)$, $\left(\lambda^{\prime-1}\right),\left(\nu^{\prime-1}\right) \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{x \chi^{\prime}}(\omega) & =b_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}(\omega) / b_{\nu \nu^{\prime}}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda-\delta \lambda^{\prime}+\delta \nu+\delta \nu^{\prime}\right) \\
& =b_{\lambda}(\omega) b_{\nu^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \lambda) / b_{\nu^{\prime}}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda-\delta \lambda^{\prime}+\delta \nu+\delta \nu^{\prime}\right) b_{\nu}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda-\delta \lambda^{\prime}+\delta \nu\right) \\
& \left.=\left\{b_{\lambda}(\omega) / b_{\nu}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu)\right\} b_{\lambda^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu) / b_{\nu^{\prime}}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu-\delta \lambda^{\prime}+\delta \nu^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
& \left.\times\left\{b_{\nu}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu) b_{\lambda^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \lambda)\right\} b_{\lambda^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu) b_{\nu}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda-\delta \lambda^{\prime}+\delta \nu\right)\right\}^{-1} \\
& =b_{2 \nu}(\omega) b_{\nu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}}\left(\omega-1(\omega) b_{\nu \lambda^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu) b_{\lambda^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu)^{\prime-1}\right. \\
& =b_{\chi}(\omega) b_{\chi^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \chi)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) is clear since we obtain $b_{\chi}(\omega)=b_{\lambda \nu-1}(\omega)=b_{\lambda}(\omega) / b_{\nu}(\omega-\delta \lambda+\delta \nu)$ if $\chi=\lambda \nu^{-1}$ by substituting $\chi=\lambda$ and $\chi^{\prime}=\nu^{-1}$ in $(\#)^{\prime}$.

The $b$-function on a quasi-regular prehomogeneous vector space given in Definition 8 is a rational function in $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$. This is a more general definition than the one we usually use, for example, in [Sa-Sh2]. When we suppose that $\Omega$ is the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ and restrict $b_{x}(\omega)$ to $\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$, we obtain the usual definition. Namely we have the following theorem.

Proposition 14. We suppose that $\Omega$ is the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\omega$ be an element of $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$. Then $\omega$ is written as $\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} \delta \chi_{i}$ (resp. $\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j}^{*} \delta \mu_{j}$ ) where each $s_{i} \in \mathbb{C}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.s_{j}^{*} \in \mathbb{C}\right)$. We let $P_{\omega}(x):=\prod_{i=1}^{m} P_{i}(x)^{s_{i}}\left(\right.$ resp. $Q_{\omega}(y):=$ $\left.\prod_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}(\omega)^{s_{j}^{*}}\right)$, which is well-defined as a function on the universal covering space of $V-S\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V^{*}-S^{*}\right)$. If $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$ such that $\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \geq 0$ (resp. $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*}$ $\geq 0)$, then we have $Q_{x-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{x}\right) P_{\omega}(x)=b_{\chi}(\omega) P_{\omega-\delta \chi}(x)\left(\right.$ resp. $P_{\chi-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{y}\right) Q_{o}(y)=$ $\left.b_{x}^{*}(\omega) Q_{\omega-\delta x}(y)\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ be the coordinates of $V$ and $V^{*}$, respectively, which are supposed to be dual to each other. Then, by Proposition 8,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial / \partial x_{j}\right) P_{\omega}(x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{m} s_{k}\left(\partial P_{k} / \partial x_{j}\right) P_{k}(x)^{s_{k}-1} \prod_{i \neq k} P_{i}(x)^{s_{i}}+P_{\omega}(x)\left(\partial / \partial x_{j}\right) \\
& =P_{\omega}(x)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} s_{k}\left(1 / P_{k}(x)\right)\left(\partial P_{k} / \partial x_{j}\right)+\left(\partial / \partial x_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =P_{\omega}(x)\left(\left(\partial / \partial x_{j}\right)+\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)_{j}\right) \\
& =P_{\omega}(x)\left(D_{\omega}\right)_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $\left(x^{-1}\right) \geq 0$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{x-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{x}\right) P_{\omega}(x) & =P_{\omega}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1 \\
& =P_{\omega-\delta x}(x)\left\{P_{\chi}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(D_{\omega}(x)\right) \cdot 1\right\} \\
& =b_{x}(\omega) P_{\omega-\delta x}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}_{y}\right) Q_{\omega}(y)=b_{x}^{*}(\omega) Q_{\omega-\delta x}(y) . \tag{q.e.d.}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. The $a$-function $a_{x}(\omega)$ and the $b$-function $b_{x}(\omega)$ are well defined without the assumption "quasi-regular". Suppose that both $(G, V)$ and $\left(G, V^{*}\right)$ are prehomogeneous vector spaces. For $\chi \in X_{1}(G) \cap X_{1^{*}}(G)$ and for $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$, we can define $a_{x}(\omega):=P_{x}(x) Q_{\lambda-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)$ and $b_{\chi}(\omega):=P_{\chi}(x) Q_{\omega-1}\left(D_{\omega} 1\right) \cdot 1$. Then they do not depend on $x \in V$ and satisfy the following properties.
(1) If $a_{\chi}(\omega) \not \equiv 0$, then $a_{\lambda}(\omega)$ is a homogeneous function whose degree coincides with that of $Q_{x-1}(y)$. The highest degree term of $b_{x}(\omega)$ is $a_{\mathrm{x}}(\omega)$.
(2) For $\chi, \lambda \in X_{1}(G) \cap X_{1^{*}}(G)$ with $\left(\chi^{-1}\right),\left(\lambda^{-1}\right) \geq 0$, we have $b_{\chi_{\lambda}}(\omega)=$ $b_{\chi}(\omega) b_{\lambda}(\omega-\delta \chi)$.

## $\S 4$. The structure theorem of $\boldsymbol{a}$-functions and $\boldsymbol{b}$-functions

In this section we suppose that $(G, V)$ is a regular prehomogeneous vector space. In the preceding section, we have defined the $a$-function $a_{x}(\omega)$ and the $b$-function $b_{x}(\omega)$, which are rational functions on the vector space $\bar{X}_{1}$. The purpose of this section is to give the structure theorem of $a_{\mathrm{x}}(\omega)$ and $b_{\mathrm{x}}(\omega)$; we shall prove that the restrictions of $a_{x}(\omega)$ and $b_{x}(\omega)$ to $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ decomposes into a product of polynomials of degree one. We do not say nothing about $a_{x}(\omega)$ and $b_{x}(\omega)$ on the whole $\bar{X}_{1}$, but if $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}=\bar{X}_{1}$, then we get a complete structure theorem. In fact, a lot of important examples satisfy this condition.

Recall that $X_{1}(G)$ is the group consisting of rational characters of $G$ which is null on $G_{1}$. Let $X_{1}^{\vee}(G)$ the set of homomorphisms from $X_{1}(G)$ to the additive group $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $X_{1}^{\vee}(G)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Let $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}^{\vee}$ be the dual vector space of $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$, which is isomorphic to $\Omega^{m}$. For an element $e \in X_{1}^{\vee}(G)$ we define an element $\bar{e}$ in $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}^{\vee}$ by $\bar{e}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} \cdot \delta \chi_{i}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} \cdot e\left(\chi_{i}\right)$ where $\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} \cdot \delta \chi_{i}$ is an expression of an element of $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ (see Proposition 7 (1)). Then we may identify $X_{1}^{\vee}(G)$ with a lattice in $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}^{\vee}$ by the correspondence $e \mapsto \bar{e}$. Hereafter we regard $X_{1}^{\vee}(G)$ as a discrete subset in $\left(\overline{X_{1}}\right)_{t}^{V}$.

Theorem 1. Let $(G, V)$ be a regular prehomogeneous vector space and let $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$.
(1) The restriction of the a-function to $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t},\left.a_{\mathrm{x}}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)}$, is written by:

$$
\left.a_{\chi}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(X_{1}\right) t}:=C(\chi) \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)\right)^{m_{i} e_{i}}(\chi),
$$

Here $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{p} \in X_{1}^{\vee}(G)$ such that $\bar{e}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{e}_{p}$ are different linear forms; $C(\chi)$ is a homomorphism from $X_{1}(G)$ to $\Omega^{\times} ; p$ and $m_{i}(i=1, \cdots, p)$ are natural numbers.
(2) Each $e_{i} \in X_{1}^{\vee}(G)(i=1, \cdots, p)$ satisfies the following two conditions: if $(\chi) \geq 0$ or $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$, then we have $e_{i}(\chi) \geq 0(i=1, \cdots, p)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{p} m_{i} \cdot e_{i}(\chi)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}^{*} \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ for $\chi=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{i}^{n_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}^{n_{i}^{*}}$.
(3) The restrictions of $\left.a_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(\mathcal{Z}_{1}\right)_{t}}$ is given by

$$
\left.a_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(\mathbb{I}_{1)} t\right.}=C^{*}(\chi) \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\bar{f}_{i}(\omega)\right)^{m_{i} f_{i}(\chi)}
$$

where $f_{i}(\chi)=-e_{i}(\chi)$ and $C^{*}(\chi)=C\left(\chi^{-1}\right)(-1)^{-\Sigma_{i=1}^{p} m^{m_{i} e_{i}}(x)}$.
Proof.
(1) Let $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$. From the definition of $a$-function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)=Q_{x-1}(y) P_{x}\left(\psi_{\omega}(y)\right)=a_{x}(\omega), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$, since the set $\left\{\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t} ; \varphi_{\omega}\right.$ is non-degenerate $\}$ is a Zariskiopen subset of $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ (see § 2). Since $a_{x \cdot x^{\prime}}(\omega)=a_{x}(\omega) \cdot a_{\chi^{\prime}}(\omega)$ for every $\chi, \chi^{\prime} \in$ $X_{1}(G), \chi=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{i}^{n_{i}}\left(n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$ implies that $a_{\chi}(\omega)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{\chi_{i}}(\omega)^{n_{i}}$. Let $f_{1}(\omega), \cdots$, $f_{p}(\omega)$ be mutually different prime divisors appearing in one of the rational functions $a_{x_{1}}(\omega), \cdots, a_{x_{m}}(\omega)$. Then there exists a suitable element $e_{i}^{\prime} \in X_{1}^{\vee}(G)$ satisfying $a_{k}(\omega)=\prod_{i=1}^{p} f_{i}(\omega)^{e_{i}^{\prime}(x)}$. Thus we have

$$
P_{x}(x) Q_{\chi-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{p} f_{i}(\omega)^{e_{i}^{\prime}(x)}
$$

if $(x, \omega)$ belongs to the Zariski-open set of $U:=(V-S) \times\left\{\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{l} ; \varphi_{\omega}\right.$ is non-degenerate $\}$ in $V \times\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$.

By taking the "logarithmic differential" of the above equation, the left hand side is

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \log & \left(P_{x}(x) Q_{x-1}(y)\right) \\
= & d \log \left(P_{\chi}(x)\right)+d \log \left(Q_{x-1}(y)\right) \\
= & \left(1 / P_{\chi}(x)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\partial P_{\chi}(x) / \partial x_{j}\right) d x_{j} \\
& \quad+\left(1 / Q_{x-1}(y)\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\partial Q_{x-1}(y) / \partial y_{j}\right) d y_{j} \\
= & \varphi_{\delta x}(x) \cdot d x-\psi_{-\delta x}(y) \cdot d y=\varphi_{\delta x}(x) \cdot d x+\psi_{\delta x}(y) \cdot d y
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 7, (2), and the right hand side is

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(\omega) e^{i^{\prime}(x)}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{p} e_{i}^{\prime}(\chi) \cdot\left(d f_{i}(\omega) / f_{i}(\omega)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \vec{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\delta \chi) \cdot\left(d f_{i}(\omega) / f_{i}(\omega)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\chi=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \chi_{j}^{n_{j}}$ where each $n_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\delta \chi=\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_{j} \cdot \delta \chi_{j}$ and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_{j}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(\delta \chi_{j}\right) \cdot d f_{i}(\omega) / f_{i}(\omega)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_{j} \cdot\left(\varphi_{\delta \chi_{j}}(x) d x+\psi_{\delta \chi_{j}}(y) d y\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(\delta \chi_{j}\right) \cdot d f_{i}(\omega) / f_{i}(\omega)=\varphi_{\delta x_{j}}(x) \cdot d x+\psi_{\delta x_{j}}(y) \cdot d y,
$$

for each $j=1, \cdots, m$. Since $\bar{e}^{\prime}(\cdot), \varphi_{(\cdot)}(x)$ and $\psi_{(\cdot)}(y)$ are all linear forms, we have, for all $\omega^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j} \cdot \delta \chi_{j} \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ with $\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{m}\right) \in \Omega^{\boldsymbol{m}}$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\left(d f_{i}(\omega) / f_{i}(\omega)\right)=\varphi_{\omega^{\prime}}(x) \cdot d x+\psi_{\omega^{\prime}}(y) \cdot d y
$$

In particular, we may take $\omega^{\prime}=\omega$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\langle x, y\rangle & =\langle x, d y\rangle+\langle y, d x\rangle \\
& =\varphi_{\omega}(x) \cdot d x+\psi_{\omega}(y) \cdot d y \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\omega) \cdot\left(d f_{i}(\omega) \mid f_{i}(\omega)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, substituting $\omega=\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j} \cdot \delta \chi_{j}$ to $\left\langle x, \varphi_{v}(x)\right\rangle$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle x, y\rangle & =\left\langle x, \varphi_{\omega}(x)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j} \cdot\left(1 / P_{j}(x)\right)\left(\partial P_{j}(x) / \partial x_{j}\right) \cdot \chi_{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} s_{j} \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\langle x, y\rangle & =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{j}\right) \cdot d s_{j} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\omega) / f_{i}(\omega)\right) \cdot d f_{i}(\omega) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\omega) / f_{i}(\omega)\right) \cdot \partial f_{i}(\omega) / \partial s_{i}\right) d s_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $f_{i}(\omega)$ is a divisor of $\bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\omega) \cdot\left(\partial f_{i}(\omega) / \partial s_{j}\right)$ since $f_{1}(\omega), \cdots, f_{p}(\omega)$ are prime divisors which have no common divisors. Hence $f_{i}(\omega)$ is a divisor of $\bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\omega)$. Then $f_{i}(\omega)$ coincides with $\bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\omega)$ up to a constant factor. Therefore $\bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\omega)(i=1, \cdots, k)$ are mutually different linear forms on $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ and we have

$$
a_{\chi}(\omega)=C(\chi) \times \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\prime}(\omega)\right)^{e^{i^{\prime}}(\chi)} .
$$

Here, $C(\chi)$ is a constant which depends only on $\chi$. We can take a natural
number $m_{i}$ such that $e_{i}^{\prime}\left(X_{1}\right)=m_{i} \mathbb{Z}$. Let $e_{i}:=1 / m_{i} \cdot e_{i}^{\prime}$ for each $i=1, \cdots, p$. Then $e_{i}$ gives a homomorphism from $X_{1}(G)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ and we have the equation

$$
a_{\chi}(\omega)=C(\chi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p} \bar{e}_{i}(\omega)^{m_{i} e_{i}(x)} .
$$

Here the map $\chi \mapsto C(\chi)$ gives a homomorphism from $X_{1}(G)$ to $\Omega^{\times}$.
(2) By (4.1), if $P_{\chi}(x)$ or $Q_{\chi-1}(y)$ is a polynomial, then $a_{\chi}(\omega)$ is a polynomial in $\omega$. That is to say, if $(\chi) \geq 0$ or $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$, then $e_{i}(\chi) \geq 0$ $(i=1, \cdots, m)$. By comparing the degrees with respect to $\omega$ of the both sides of (4.1), when $\chi=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{i}^{n_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\mu_{i}\right)^{n_{i}^{*}}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{m} n \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}^{*} \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{i}\right)$. It is the degree of the polynomial $P_{x}(x)$ (resp. $Q_{x-1}(y)$ ) if $(\chi) \geq 0$ (resp. $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ ) and coincides with the degree of $a_{\chi}(\omega)$. Thus we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}-n_{i} \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} m_{i} \cdot e_{i}(\chi) .
$$

(3) Since $a_{x}^{*}(\omega)=a_{x-1}(\omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.a_{\chi}^{*}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{1)}\right)} & =C\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)\right)^{m_{i} e_{i}\left(\chi^{-1}\right)} \\
& =C\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(-\bar{f}_{i}(\omega)\right)^{-m_{i} e_{i}(\chi)} \\
& =C\left(\chi^{-1}\right)(-1)^{-\Sigma_{i=1}^{p} m_{i} e_{i}(\chi)} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\bar{f}_{i}(\omega)\right)^{m_{i} f_{i}(\chi)} \\
& =C^{*}(\chi) \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\bar{f}_{i}(\omega)\right)^{m_{i} f_{i}(\chi)} \tag{q.e.d.}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary. Let $C(\omega)$ and $C^{*}(\omega)$ be polynomials in $\omega \in \bar{X}_{1}$ introduced in Proposition 11. If $(G, V)$ is a regular prehomogeneous vector space, then for $\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ we have:

$$
\left.C(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(\mathbb{X}_{1}\right) t}=C \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} e_{i}(\omega)^{\varepsilon_{i}} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad C^{*}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(\mathbb{X}_{1)}\right)}=C^{*} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p} e_{i}(\omega)^{e_{i}^{*}}
$$

where $C, C^{*} \in \Omega^{\times}$and $\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}^{*}(i=1, \cdots, k)$ are positive integers satisfying $\varepsilon_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}^{*}=m_{i} e_{i}\left(\chi_{0}^{2}\right)$ with $\chi_{0}(g):=\operatorname{det}(g)$.

Proof. From the definition, if $\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$, then we have $C(\omega) C^{*}(\omega)=$ $a_{x_{0}^{2}}(\omega)=C\left(\chi_{0}^{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \bar{e}_{i}(\omega)^{m_{i} e_{i}\left(\chi_{0}^{2}\right)}$. Note that $C(\omega)$ and $C^{*}(\omega)$ are polynomials. Then, we have:

$$
C(\omega)=C \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} e_{i}(\omega)^{\varepsilon_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad C^{*}(\omega)=C^{*} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} e_{i}(\omega)^{\varepsilon_{i}^{*}}
$$

where $C, C^{*}$ are constants in $\Omega^{\times}$and $\varepsilon_{i}$, $\varepsilon_{i}^{*}$ are non-negative integers satisfying $\varepsilon_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}^{*}=m_{i} e_{i}\left(\chi_{0}^{2}\right)$. We suppose that $\varepsilon_{i}=0$ for some $i$. Note that $C(\omega) \neq 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition in order that $\varphi_{\omega}$ is non-degenerate. Take an element $\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ such that $\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)=0$ and $\bar{e}_{j}(\omega)$ $\neq 0$ for all $j$ which are different from $i$. Such element $\omega$ exists because
$\bar{e}_{1}(\omega), \cdots, \bar{e}_{k}(\omega)$ are linear forms which have no common divisors. Since $\varepsilon_{i}=0$, we have $\varphi_{\omega}$ is non-degenerate. On the other hand, taking an element $\chi \in X_{1}$ satisfying $e_{i}(\chi)>0$, (such character $\chi$ always exists.) we have $a_{\chi}(\omega)=C(\chi) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \bar{e}_{i}(\omega)^{m_{i} e_{i}(x)}=0$. Thus we have $P_{\chi}(x) Q_{\chi-1}\left(\varphi_{0}(x)\right)=$ $a_{x}(\omega)=0$ for $x \in V-S$. When $\varphi_{\omega}$ is non-degenerate, $\varphi_{\omega}(x) \in V^{*}-S^{*}$ for $x \in V-S$. Thus $P(x) \neq 0$ and $Q_{x-1}\left(\varphi_{\omega}(x)\right) \neq 0$, which yields a contradiction. Then each $\varepsilon_{i}$ is a positive integer. We can prove that $\varepsilon_{i}^{*}$ is positive.
(q.e.d.)

Theorem 2. Let $(G, V)$ be a regular prehomogeneous vector space and let $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$. The restriction of $b_{x}(\omega)$ (resp. $\left.b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right)$ to $\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ is written in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.b_{\chi}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(\mathbb{X}_{1}\right) t} & =C(\chi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\prod_{\nu=1}^{\bar{e}_{i}(\hat{\delta}(x)-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-\nu\right) .\right.  \tag{4.2}\\
(\text { resp. } & \left.b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right) t}
\end{align*}=C^{*}(\chi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\prod_{\nu=0}^{f_{i} i\left(\delta_{\chi}\right)-1} \varphi_{i}^{*}\left(\bar{f}_{i}(\omega)-\nu\right) .\right) .
$$

Here, $C(\chi)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C^{*}(\chi)\right)$ and $e_{i}(\chi)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.f_{i}(\chi)\right)$ is a map from $X_{1}(G)$ to $\Omega^{\times}$ given in Theorem 1; each $\varphi_{i}$ (resp. $\varphi_{i}^{*}$ ) is a rational function of one variable on $\Omega$ of degree $m_{i}$, where $m_{i}$ is the natural number defined in Theorem 1; for non-positive $l-1$, the product $\prod_{\nu=0}^{l-1} f(x-\nu)$ means 1 if $l=1$ and it stands for $\prod_{\nu=l}^{-1} f(x+\nu)$ if $l<1$.

Proof. In the Corollary to Theorem in Appendix, we can take $X_{1}(G)=E,\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}=\Omega^{m}$ and define the map $\delta: X_{1}(G) \rightarrow\left(\bar{X}_{1}\right)_{t}$ to be the map given in §2. Proposition 7, (1) says that the map $\delta$ satisfies the condition required by the Theorem in Appendix. Since $\left.b_{x}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(X_{1}\right) t}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left.b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(X_{1}\right) t}\right)$ satisfies the relation $b_{x \cdot x^{\prime}}(\omega)=b_{x}(\omega) b_{x^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \chi)\left(\right.$ resp. $b_{x \cdot x^{\prime}}^{*}(\omega)=b_{x}^{*}(\omega) b_{x^{\prime}}(\omega-$ $\delta \chi$ ), we can apply the Theorem in Appendix to our case. In addition, $b_{x}(\omega)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right)$ is a polynomial if $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ (resp. $\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \geq 0$ ), which means that $b_{\mu_{i}^{-1}}(\omega)$ (resp. $b_{x_{i}^{-1}}^{*}(\omega)$ ) is a polynomial for each $i=1, \cdots, m$ where $\left\{\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{\chi_{1}, \cdots, \chi_{m}\right\}$ ) are the set of generators of $X_{1}(G)$ defined in $\S 1$. Then by the Corollary to the Theorem in Appendix, we have the expression like (A.15). Namely we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{\chi}(\omega) & =C(\chi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{\nu=0}^{e_{i}\left(\delta_{\chi}^{*}\right)-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-\nu\right) \\
\left(\text { resp. } b_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right. & \left.=C^{*}(\chi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{\nu=0}^{T_{i}^{i}=\delta_{0}\left(\delta_{\chi}\right)-1} \varphi_{i}^{*}\left(\bar{f}_{i}(\omega)-\nu\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by replacing $C(\xi)$ with $C(\chi)$ (resp. $C^{*}(\chi)$ ), $\psi_{i}$ with $\varphi_{i}$ (resp. $\varphi_{i}^{*}$ ) and $\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}$ with $\bar{e}_{i}$ (resp. $\bar{f}_{i}$ ) in the formula (A.15). By comparing the leading term of $b_{x}(\omega)$ with $a_{x}(\omega)$ (resp. $b_{x}^{*}(\omega)$ with $\left.a_{x}^{*}(\omega)\right)$, we see that $\varphi_{i}(z)$ (resp. $\varphi_{i}^{*}(z)$ ) is a rational functional function of degree $m_{i}$.
(q.e.d.)

Corollary. (1) Let $\left\{f_{i j}\right\}_{j=1, \cdots, r(i)}$ be the set of all the locations of poles and zeros of $\varphi_{i}$ which are not congruent to one another modulo 1. Then we can write $\varphi_{i}(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{r(i)} \prod_{\mu \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(x-f_{i j}+\mu\right)^{n_{i j}(\mu)}$ where $\mu \rightarrow n_{i j}(\mu)$ is a map from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ such that each $n_{i j}(\mu)$ is zero except for a finite number of $\mu ; r(i)$ is a positive integer. Let $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$. If $\left(x^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ and if $e_{i}(\chi)=$ $l>0$, then $\sum_{\nu=0}^{l-1} n_{i j}(\mu+\nu) \geq 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, if there exists $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$ such that $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ and $e_{i}(\chi)=1$, then $\varphi_{i}(z)$ is a polynomial. Moreover $\sum_{j=1}^{r(i)} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{Z}} n_{i j}(\mu)=m_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, k$.
(2) In particular, we assume that $\Omega=\mathbb{C}$. For the rational function $\varphi_{i}(z)=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\alpha_{i}}\left(z-c_{i j}\right) /\left[\prod_{j=1}^{\beta_{i}}\left(z-d_{i j}\right)\right)\right.$ with $\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}=m_{i}$, we define the corresponding gamma-factor

$$
\gamma(\omega)=\prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\alpha_{i}} \Gamma\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-c_{i j}+1\right) / \prod_{i=1}^{\beta_{i}} \Gamma\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-d_{i j}+1\right),\right.
$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function. Then $\left.b_{\chi}(\omega)\right|_{\omega \in\left(X_{1}\right)_{t}}=C(\chi) \cdot(\gamma(\omega) / r(\omega-\delta \chi))$.
Proof. (1) We suppose that $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*} \geq 0$ and $e_{i}(\chi)=l>0$. Then $b_{\chi}(\omega)$ is a polynomial in $\omega$ and it is written as $b_{x}(\omega)=C(\chi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{\nu=0}^{e_{i}(\chi)-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-\nu\right)$. If $i \neq j$, then $\prod_{\nu=0}^{e_{i}(x)-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-\nu\right)$ and $\prod_{\nu=0}^{e_{i}(x)-1} \varphi_{j}\left(\bar{e}_{j}(\omega)-\nu\right)$ have no common divisors. Then each $\prod_{\nu=0}^{e_{i}(x)-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-\nu\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, m$ is a polynomial in $\omega$. That is to say,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\nu=0}^{e_{i}(x)-1} \varphi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-\nu\right) & =\prod_{\nu=0}^{L-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r(i)} \prod_{\mu \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-f_{i j}-\nu+\mu\right)^{n_{i j}(\mu)} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{r(i)} \prod_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(\bar{e}_{i}(\omega)-f_{i j}+\lambda\right)^{\Sigma_{\nu=0}^{l}=0} n_{i j}(\lambda+\nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a polynomial in $\omega$. Since $f_{i j}(j=1, \cdots, r(i))$ are not congruent to one another modulo 1 , we have $\sum_{\nu=0}^{l-1} n_{i j}(\mu+\nu) \geq 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, if there exists $\chi \in X_{1}(G)$ such that $\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{*}>0$ such that $e_{i}(\chi)=1$, then $\varphi_{i}(z):=\prod_{j=1}^{r(i)} \prod_{\mu \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(z-f_{i j}+\mu\right)^{n_{i j}(\mu)}$ is a polynomial since $n_{i j}(\mu) \geq 0$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Lastly, since the highest degree part of $b_{x}(\omega)$ coincides with $a_{\mathrm{x}}(\omega)=C(\chi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} \bar{e}_{i}(\omega)^{m_{i} e_{i}(\chi)}$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{r(i)} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{Z}} n_{i j}(\mu)=m_{i}$.
(2) It is clear.
(q.e.d.)

Remark. For the function $b_{x}^{*}(\omega)$, we have the same result as the corollary to Theorem 2.

## Appendix. Sato's theorem on a family of rational functions satisfying the cocycle conditions

In this appendix, we shall prove a theorem concerning a family of rational functions satisfying some condition. This theorem can be understood without any knowledge on prehomogeneous vector spaces.

Let $\Xi$ be an abelian group generated by $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{m}$; an element $\xi \in \Xi$ is written by $\xi_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdot \xi_{2}^{n_{2}} \cdots \xi_{m}^{n_{m}}$ with $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$. Let $\delta \xi_{1}, \delta \xi_{2}, \cdots, \delta \xi_{m}$ be linearly independent elements in an $m$-dimensional vector space $\Omega^{m}$; the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta: \theta:=\xi_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdot \xi_{2}^{n_{2}} \cdots \xi_{m}^{n_{m}} \longmapsto \delta \theta:=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} \cdot \delta \xi_{i}, \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives an injective homomorphism from $\Xi$ to $\Omega^{m}$. The elements $\delta \xi_{1}, \cdots, \delta \xi_{m}$ form a basis of the vector space $\Omega^{m}$. We denote by $R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$ the abelian group consisting of rational functions on $\Omega^{m}$ under the multiplication law. For $f(\omega) \in R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$, we define the action of $\xi \in \Xi$ by $f^{\xi}(\omega):=f(\omega-\delta \xi)$ for $f(\omega) \in R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$. Let $\left\{f_{\xi}(\omega)\right\}_{\xi \in \Xi}$ be a family of elements in $R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$ with a parameter $\xi \in \Xi$. If $\left\{f_{\xi}(\omega)\right\}_{\xi \in \xi}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\xi \cdot \xi^{\prime}}(\omega)=f_{\xi}(\omega) \cdot f_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\xi^{\prime}}(\omega) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in E$, we say that it satisfies the cocycle condition. The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem; the proof given here is essentially due to M. Sato.

Theorem (M. Sato). Let $\left\{f_{\xi}(\omega)\right\}_{\xi \in s}$ be a family of non-trivial rational functions on $\Omega^{m}$ with the parameter $\xi$. We assume that $\left\{f_{\xi}(\omega)\right\}_{\xi \in s}$ satisfies the cocycle condition (A.2). Then $f_{\xi}(\omega)$ is written in the following form;

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\xi}(\omega)= & C(\xi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{k=0}^{\bar{e}_{i}^{( }(\delta \xi)-1} \psi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\omega)-k\right)  \tag{A.3}\\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{j=1}^{t_{i}}\left\{h_{i}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda_{i}(j)\right) / h_{i}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda_{i}(j)-\delta \xi\right)\right\}^{n_{i}(j)}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $C(\xi)$ is an $\Omega$-valued function depending only on $\xi \in \Xi$ which satisfies $C\left(\xi \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)=C(\xi) \cdot C\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) ; \bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\omega), \cdots, \bar{e}_{p}^{\vee}(\omega)$ are linear forms on $\omega \in \Omega^{m}$ which are integer-valued on the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $\delta(\Xi)$ in $\Omega^{m} ; \psi_{1}(x), \cdots, \psi_{p}(x)$ are rational functions of one variable $x \in \Omega ; h_{1}(\omega), \cdots, h_{q}(\omega)$ are irreducible polynomials in $\omega \in \Omega^{m}$ which are not converted to one another by the action of $\Xi ; p, q$ and $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{q}$ are positive integers; $\lambda_{i}(j)\left(1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq t_{i}\right)$ are elements in $\Xi ; n_{i}(j)\left(1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq t_{i}\right)$ are integers. The product $\prod_{k=0}^{l-1} \psi_{i}(x-k)$ means 1 if $l=0$ and it means $\prod_{l \leq k \leq-1} \psi_{i}(x+k)^{-1}$ if $l$ is a negative integer.

Proof. First, we prepare some notations before starting the proof. Let $\Theta$ be a group and let $A$ be an abelian group. We assume that $\Theta$ operates on $A$ as an automorphism group of $A$, that is to say, for an element $\theta \in \Theta$, a map $a \mapsto a^{\theta}$ from $A$ to $A$ is defined and satisfies $(a \cdot b)^{\theta}$ $=a^{\theta} \cdot b^{\theta}$ and $a^{(\theta \cdot \tau)}=\left(a^{\theta}\right)^{\tau}$ with $a, b \in A$ and $\theta, \tau \in \Theta$. Let $a_{(\cdot)}: \theta \mapsto a_{(\theta)}$ be
a map from $\Theta$ to $A$. When the map $a_{(\cdot)}$ satisfies $a_{(\theta \cdot \tau)}=a_{(\theta)} \cdot a_{(\tau)}^{\theta}$ for any $\theta, \tau \in \Theta$, we say that $a_{(\cdot)}$ is a cocycle. Cocycles form a module under the multiplication law in $A$. We denote by $Z(\Theta, A)$ the module of cocycles. In particular, a map $a_{(\cdot)}$ is a cocycle if it is written as $a_{(\theta)}=b^{\theta} \cdot b^{-1}$ by using an element $b \in A$. We call such an $a_{(.)}$a coboundary. Coboundaries form a subgroup of $Z(\Theta, A)$. We denote it by $B(\Theta, A)$. The first cohomology group $H^{1}(\Theta, A)$ is defined by $Z(\Theta, A) \mid B(\Theta, A)$. The action of $\Theta$ on $A$ is extended to the action of the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[\Theta]$, in the following way: for $\sigma:=\sum_{i=1}^{l} m_{i} \cdot \theta_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$, we let $a^{\sigma}=\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(a^{\theta_{i}}\right)^{m_{i}}$; here $m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta_{i} \in \Theta$ for each $i$ in $1 \leq i \leq m$. That is all what we have to prepare before the proof.

In the above situation, we take $\Xi$ as the group $\Theta$ and $R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$ as the abelian group $A$. Then, for an element $\xi \in E$, we associate an automorphism of $R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right): f(\omega) \mapsto f^{\xi}(\omega):=f(\omega-\delta \xi)$. The group $\Xi$ acts on $R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$ as an automorphism group of $R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$ and it is extended to the action of $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$ on $R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$ in the above way. The assumption (A.2) indicates that this action satisfies the cocycle condition: $f_{\xi \cdot \xi^{\prime}}(\omega)=f_{\xi^{\prime}}(\omega) \cdot f_{\xi^{\prime}}(\omega-\delta \xi)$ for each $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \Xi$. This means $f_{\xi}$ belongs to the module of cocycles $Z\left(\Xi, R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)\right)$.

Any element $\xi$ in $\Xi$ is written as an integer power of $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{n}$. Let $h_{1}(\omega)$ and $h_{2}(\omega)$ be two polynomials. We say that $h_{1}(\omega)$ is converted to $h_{2}(\omega)$ by $\Xi$ if there exists $\xi \in \Xi$ such that $h_{1}^{\xi}=h_{2}$. Together with the cocycle condition (A.2), $f_{\xi}$ is written by an integer power of $f_{\xi 1}, \cdots, f_{\xi_{m}}$ and their conversions by the actions of $\Xi$. However there may be duplication among the divisors appearing in $f_{\xi_{1}}, \cdots, f_{\xi_{m}}$. In order to reduce the duplication, we choose non-constant irreducible polynomials $h_{1}(\omega), \cdots$, $h_{l}(\omega)$ on $\Omega^{m}$ such that

1) each $h_{j}(j=1, \cdots, l)$ is a prime divisor of one of the rational functions $f_{\xi 1}, \cdots, f_{\xi_{m}}$ and they are not converted into one another by the action of $\Xi$ up to a constant factor.
2) any prime divisor appearing in $f_{\xi_{1}}, \cdots, f_{\xi_{m}}$ is obatined by converting one of the $h_{j}$ 's by the action of an element of $\Xi$ up to a constant factor.
Then we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\xi}(\omega)=(\text { const. }) \times \prod_{i=1}^{l} h_{i}^{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}(\omega), \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by taking suitable maps $\alpha_{1}(\cdot), \cdots, \alpha_{l}(\cdot)$ from $\Xi$ to $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$.

We shall calculate each terms $h_{i}^{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}(\omega)$ in (A.4). Before the calculation, we need to show some properties of $\alpha_{i}(\xi)$ and related lemmas. We devote the following until Lemma A-4 to them. First, we shall show that each $\alpha_{i}(\cdot)$ satisfies a cocycle condition in a different form. We let $\mathscr{T}_{i}:=\left\{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Xi] ; h_{i}^{\sigma}\right.$ is a constant function $\}$. Then $\mathscr{T}_{i}$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$. Indeed, once $h_{i}^{\sigma}$ becomes a constant function by an element $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$, it remains to be a constant function after the action of any element $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$. We denote by $(\bar{\sigma})_{i}$ the image of $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$ under the natural projection map $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}$. Then we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\xi}(\omega)=(\text { const. }) \times \prod_{i=1}^{l} h_{i}^{\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i}}(\omega), \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, conversely, we can determine the map $\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\cdot)}\right)_{i}$ from $\Xi$ to $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}$ uniquely so that the equation (A.5) is established.

We say that a map $\alpha(\cdot)$ from $\Xi$ to $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}$ is a cocycle if $\alpha\left(\xi \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)=$ $\alpha(\xi)+(\bar{\xi})_{i} \cdot \alpha\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ is satisfied. We denote by $Z\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ the subalgebra of cocycles in the algebra of the maps from $\Xi$ to $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}$. In this case, a coboundary in $Z\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ means a map $\alpha(\cdot)$ given by $\alpha(\xi):=(\bar{\xi})_{i} \cdot(-\beta)$ $-(-\beta)=\left(1-(\bar{\xi})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$ with an element $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}$. The cocycle condition (A.2) is transformed to the cocycle condition for $\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\cdot)}\right)_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}\left(\xi \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)}\right)_{i}=\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i}+(\bar{\xi})_{i} \cdot\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)}\right)_{i} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \Xi$, which means that $\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\cdot)}\right)_{i} \in Z\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$.
Next we let $\Xi_{i}:=\left\{\xi \in \Xi ; h_{i}^{\xi}=h_{i}\right\}$. Then $\Xi_{i}$ is a subgroup of $\boldsymbol{E}$. We have the following lemmas.

Lemma A-1. $E_{i}$ is a proper subgroup of $\Xi$.
Proof. Suppose that $\Xi=\Xi_{i}$. Then $h_{i}$ is a $\Xi$-invariant polynomial. From the assumption $\delta(\Xi)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice of rank $m$ in $\Omega^{m}$. Therefore $h_{i}(\omega)$ is a rational function on the $m$-dimensional torus $\Omega^{m} / \delta(\Xi)$, which means $h_{i}$ is a constant function. This is a contradiction.
(q.e.d. of Lemma A-1)

Lemma A-2. The following sequence is exact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{T}_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Xi] \xrightarrow{\pi_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi^{\prime} \Xi_{i}\right] \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have $\mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{E}] / \mathscr{T}_{i}$.
Proof. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be an element of $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$ which is given by $\mathscr{X}:=\sum_{k=1}^{s} n_{k} \cdot \lambda_{k}$
with $n_{k} \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_{k} \in \Xi$. Let $\left\{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}, \cdots, \tilde{\lambda}_{t}\right\}$ be the set of all the non zero elements appearing in $\pi_{i}\left(\lambda_{1}\right), \cdots, \pi_{i}\left(\lambda_{m}\right)$. Then we have $\pi(\mathscr{X})=\sum_{k=1}^{t} N_{k} \tilde{\lambda}_{k}$ with $N_{k}:=\sum n_{j}$ where $j$ runs through the set $\left\{j ; \pi\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\tilde{\lambda}_{k}\right\}$ and $h_{i}^{r}=\prod_{k=1}^{t}\left(h_{i}^{\tilde{K}_{k}}\right)^{N_{k}}$. If $\pi_{i}(\mathscr{X})=0$, then $N_{k}=0$ for all $k$ in $1 \leq k \leq t$. Then $h_{i}^{x}$ is a constant function and hence $\mathscr{X} \in \mathscr{T}_{i}$. Conversely, if $\mathscr{X} \in \mathscr{T}_{i}$, then $h_{i}^{x}=\prod_{k=1}^{t}\left(h_{i}^{\bar{x}_{k}}\right)^{N_{k}}$ must be a constant function. Note that $\pi_{i}(\xi)=\pi_{i}(\mu)$ if and only if $h_{i}^{\xi}=h_{i}^{\mu}$ for $\xi, \mu \in \Xi$. Since $h_{i}$ is an irreducible polynomial, $h_{i}^{\xi}$ and $h_{i}^{\mu}$ coincide with each other if they have a non-trivial common divisors. Thus any two of $h_{i}^{\bar{彳}_{1}}, \cdots, h_{i}^{\bar{x}_{t}}$ have no non-trivial common divisors. This implies that $N_{k}=0$ for each $k$ in $0 \leq k \leq t$ and hence $\pi(\mathscr{X})=0$.
(q.e.d. of Lemma A-2)

Then we can identify $Z\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}\right)$ and $Z\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right]\right)$. The natural projection map $(\cdot)_{i}$ from $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi] / \mathscr{T}_{i}$ is identified with the above map $\pi_{i}(\cdot)$. In particular, $(\cdot)_{i}$ is the natural porjection map from $\Xi$ to $\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}$ when we restrict it to $\Xi$ from $\mathbb{Z}[\Xi]$.

Lemma A-3. $\quad \|_{i} \Xi_{i}$ is a free abelian group for $i=1, \cdots, l$.
Proof. Let $\xi \in \Xi$ and suppose that $\xi^{k} \in \Xi_{i}$ for a non zero integer $k$. Then $h_{i}(\omega-k \cdot \delta \xi)=h_{i}(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega^{m}$. For any integer $n$, we have $h_{i}(\omega-(n k) \cdot \delta \xi)=h_{i}(\omega)$. Since $h_{i}$ is a polynomial, we have $h_{i}(\omega-c \delta \delta \xi)=$ $h_{i}(\omega)$ for all $c \in \Omega$. Thus $h_{i}^{\hat{i}}(\omega)=h_{i}(\omega-\delta \xi)=h_{i}(\omega)$, and hence $\xi \in \Xi_{i}$. Therefore $\Xi / \Xi_{i}$ is torsion free.
(q.e.d. of Lemma $\mathrm{A}-3$ )

Lemma A-4. If $\alpha(\cdot) \in \mathbb{Z}\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}\right]\right)$ and $\xi \in \Xi_{i}$, then $\alpha(\xi)=0$.
Proof. Take an element such that $\mu$ is not contained in $E_{i}$. This is possible by Lemma A-1. Then for an element $\xi \in E$, since $(\bar{\xi})_{i}=1$ if and only if $\xi \in \Xi_{i}$, we have $\alpha(\xi \cdot \mu)=\alpha(\xi)+(\bar{\xi})_{i} \cdot \alpha(\mu)=\alpha(\xi)+\alpha(\mu)$ and $\alpha(\xi \cdot \mu)$ $=\alpha(\mu \cdot \xi)=\alpha(\mu)+(\tilde{\mu})_{i} \cdot \alpha(\xi)$. Then we have $\left(1-(\bar{\mu})_{i}\right) \alpha(\xi)=0$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right]$ has no zero divisor for $\Xi / \Xi_{i}$ is torsion free. Since $(\bar{\mu})_{i} \neq 1$ for $\mu \notin E_{i}$, we have $\alpha(\xi)=0$.
(q.e.d. of Lemma A-4)

Now we begin the calculation on $h_{i}^{\left(\overline{\left.\alpha_{i}(\xi)\right)_{i}}\right.}(\omega)$ appearing in (A.4). We shall compute $h_{i}^{\left(\overline{\left.\alpha_{i}(\xi 5)\right)}\right.}(\omega)$ in the following two cases.

1) $\operatorname{rank}\left(E \mid \Xi_{i}\right)=1$,
2) $\operatorname{rank}\left(E \mid \Xi_{i}\right) \geq 2$.

First we consider the case that $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Xi_{i}\right)=1$. We denote by $\Xi^{\vee}$ the group of homomorphisms from $E$ to $\mathbb{Z}$. Take the element $e_{i}^{\vee} \in \Xi^{\vee}$
such that $\left.e_{i}^{\vee}\right|_{s_{i}}=0$ and which gives an isomorphism from $\Xi / \Xi_{i}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$; it is uniquely determined. Let $\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}$ be the linear form on $\Omega^{m}$ satisfying $\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} \delta \xi_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} e_{i}^{\vee}\left(\xi_{j}\right)$ for $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right) \in \Omega^{m}$. It is also determined uniquely. It is easy to see that $\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}$ is invariant when we regard it as an element in $R^{\times}\left(\Omega^{m}\right)$. From the definition of $\Xi_{i}, h_{i}(\omega)$ is an irreducible polynomial which is invariant under the action of $E_{i}$.

Lemma A-5. If $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right)=1$, then $h_{i}(\omega)$ is written by an irreducible polynomial of one variable, that is to say, $h_{i}(\omega)=($ const. $) \times\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\omega)-c_{i}\right)$ with a constant $c_{i}$.

Proof. Let $r_{1}(\omega)=\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\omega), r_{2}(\omega), \cdots, r_{m}(\omega)$ be linearly independent linear forms on $\Omega^{m}$. Then they form another linear coordinate system on $\Omega^{m}$. The polynomial $h_{i}(\omega)$ is a polynomial of $m$-variables $r_{1}(\omega), \cdots, r_{m}(\omega)$. Since $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Xi_{i}\right)=1$, the functions $r_{2}, \cdots, r_{m}$ are not invariant by the action of $\Xi_{i}$. If $h_{i}(\omega)$ depends on $r_{k}(k \geq 2)$, then $h_{i}$ is a periodic function with respect to the variable $r_{k}$. Therefore $h_{i}$ does not depend on $r_{2}, \cdots, r_{m}$. This means that $h_{i}$ is an irreducible polynomial of the one variable $r_{1}=$ $\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\omega)$.
(q.e.d. of Lemma A-5)

Let $\lambda_{i}$ be an element in $\Xi$ such that $\bar{e}_{i}^{\gamma}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=1$.
Lemma A-6. For any $\xi \in E, \xi \cdot \lambda_{i}^{-\bar{e}_{i}\left(\delta \lambda_{i}\right)}$ belongs to $\Xi_{i}$.
Proof. We may take elements $\nu_{2}, \cdots, \nu_{m} \in \Xi_{i}$ such that $\lambda_{i}, \nu_{2}, \cdots, \nu_{m}$ form generators of the group $E$. An element $\xi \in E$ is written by $\xi=$ $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)^{l_{1}}\left(\nu_{2}\right)^{l_{2}} \cdots\left(\nu_{m}\right)^{l_{m}}$ where $l_{i}$ 's are integers. Since

$$
\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\delta \xi)=\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}\left(l_{1} \delta \lambda_{i}+l_{2} \delta \nu_{2}+\cdots+l_{m} \delta \nu_{m}\right)=l_{1} \bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}\left(\delta \lambda_{i}\right)=l_{1},
$$

we have $\xi \cdot\left(\lambda_{i}\right)^{-\bar{e}_{i}^{((\delta \xi)}}=\left(\nu_{2}\right)^{l_{2}} \cdots\left(\nu_{m}\right)^{l_{m}}$ belongs to $\Xi_{i}$. (q.e.d. of Lemma A-6)
Thus we have, for any $\xi \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i}=\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}\left(( \lambda _ { i } ^ { \overline { e } ^ { - } ( \delta \xi ) } ) \cdot \left(\xi \cdot \lambda_{i}^{-\bar{e}} \bar{i}(\delta \delta)\right.\right.}\right)\right)_{i} \\
& \left.\left.=\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}\left(\left(\lambda_{i}^{e^{2}}(\delta \xi)\right.\right.}\right)\right)_{i}+\left(\overline{\lambda_{i}^{\bar{e}^{2}}(\delta \xi)}\right) \cdot\left(\alpha_{i}\left(\xi \cdot \lambda_{i}^{-\bar{i} \bar{i}(\delta \xi)}\right)\right)\right)_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

from the cocycle condition. By Lemma A-4 and Lemma A-6, this implies $\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i}=\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}\left(\left(\lambda_{i}^{e}{ }^{(\gamma \delta \delta)}\right)\right.}\right)_{i}$. Applying the cocycle condition (A.6) repeatedly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i} & =\left(1+\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)_{i}+\cdots+\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)_{i}^{\bar{e}_{i}(\delta \xi)-1}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{x_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)}\right)_{i} & & \text { if } \bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\delta \xi)>0,  \tag{A.10}\\
& =1 & & \text { if } \bar{e}_{i}^{\bar{v}}(\delta \xi)=0, \\
& =-\left(\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)_{i}+\cdots+\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)_{i}^{-\bar{e}_{i}(\xi)}\right) \cdot\left(\overline{\left(\alpha_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right.}\right)_{i} & & \text { if } \bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\delta \xi)<0 .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall calculate $\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i}$. From the definition, $\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i}$ is an element in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right]$. Since $\Xi / \Xi_{i}$ is generated by $\left.\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)_{i}, \overline{\left(\alpha_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right.}\right)_{i}$ is regarded as a polynomial in $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)_{i}$ and $\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)_{i}^{-1}$ of integer coefficients. Therefore we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\overline{\left.\alpha_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)_{i}}=\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} n_{i}(j) \cdot\left(\bar{\lambda}_{i}\right)_{i}^{j},\right. \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}(j)$ 's $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$ are integers and all of $n_{i}(j)$ 's $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$ except for finite ones are zero. Together with (A.10) and (A.11) and Lemma A-5, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{i}^{\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\overline{(s)}) t}\right.}(\omega) & =(\text { const. }) \times\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}-c_{i}\right)^{\left(\overline{\left.\alpha_{i}(\bar{s})\right)} t\right.}(\omega) \\
& =(\text { const. }) \times \prod_{k=0}^{\overline{e_{i}}(\delta \delta)-1}\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}-c_{i}-k\right)^{\left(\overline{\left.\alpha_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)}\right.}(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}-c_{i}-k\right)^{\left(\overline{\left.\alpha_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right)_{i}}\right.}(\omega) & =\prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}\left(\omega-j \delta \lambda_{i}\right)-c_{i}-k\right)^{n_{i}(J)} \\
& =\prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\omega)-k\right)-j-c_{i}\right)^{n_{i}(J)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

After all, if $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right)=1$, then by putting $\psi_{i}(x):=\prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}\left(x-j-c_{i}\right)^{n_{i}(j)}$, we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}^{\left.\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i}}(\omega)=(\text { const. }) \times \prod_{k=0}^{\bar{e}_{\hat{Y}}(\delta \xi)-1} \psi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\omega)-k\right) . \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the product $\prod_{k=0}^{l-1} \psi_{i}(x-k)$ means 1 if $l=0$ and it stands for $\prod_{i \leq k \leq-1} \psi_{i}(x+k)^{-1}$ if $l$ is a negative integer.

Next we consider the case of $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Xi_{\|}\right) \geq 2$.
Lemma A-7. If $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right) \geq 2$, then $H^{1}\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}\right]\right)=0$. In other words, for all $\alpha(\cdot) \in \mathbb{Z}\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}\right]\right)$, there exists an element $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right]$ such that $\alpha(\cdot)=\left(1-(\cdot)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$.

Proof. Let $\alpha(\cdot) \in Z\left(E, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right]\right)$ and put $r:=\operatorname{rank}\left(\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right)$. We take $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{r} \in \Xi$ such that $\left(\bar{\xi}_{1}\right)_{i}, \cdots,\left(\bar{\xi}_{r}\right)_{i}$ generate the abelian group $E / \Xi_{i}$. For positive integers $s$ and $t$ satisfying $1 \leq s \leq t \leq r$, we have

$$
\alpha\left(\xi_{s} \cdot \xi_{t}\right)=\alpha\left(\xi_{s}\right)+\left(\bar{\xi}_{s}\right)_{i} \cdot \alpha\left(\xi_{t}\right)=\alpha\left(\xi_{t}\right)+\left(\bar{\xi}_{t}\right)_{i} \cdot \alpha\left(\xi_{s}\right),
$$

from the cocycle condition. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\left(\bar{\xi}_{t}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(\xi_{s}\right)=\left(1-\left(\bar{\xi}_{s}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(\xi_{t}\right) . \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathbb{Z}\left[E / E_{i}\right]$ is isomorphic to the ring of polynomials generated by $\left(\bar{\xi}_{1}\right)_{i}, \cdots,\left(\bar{\xi}_{r}\right)_{i}$ and $\left(\bar{\xi}_{1}\right)_{i}^{-1}, \cdots,\left(\bar{\xi}_{r}\right)_{i}^{-1}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients. Then, as an example, in the equation (A.13), $\alpha\left(\xi_{s}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(\xi_{t}\right)$ are such polynomials. Let $\beta$ be the
greatest common divisor of $\alpha\left(\xi_{s}\right)$ and $\alpha\left(\xi_{t}\right)$. Then we have $\alpha\left(\xi_{s}\right)=\left(1-\left(\bar{\xi}_{s}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$ and $\alpha\left(\xi_{t}\right)=\left(1-\left(\bar{\xi}_{t}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$. We may take any $s$ and $t$ as they are different. Then we have

$$
\alpha\left(\xi_{k}\right)=\left(1-\left(\bar{\xi}_{k}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta \quad \text { for all } k \text { in } 1 \leq k \leq r .
$$

Sublemma 1. Let $\alpha(\cdot) \in \mathbb{Z}\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}\right]\right)$ and let $\xi, \mu \in E$. If $\alpha(\xi)=$ $\left(1-(\bar{\xi})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$ and $\alpha(\mu)=\left(1-(\bar{\mu})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}\right]$. Then $\alpha\left(\xi \cdot \mu^{-1}\right)=$ $\left(1-\left(\overline{\xi \cdot \mu^{-1}}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$.

Proof. From the cocycle condition we have

$$
\alpha(1)=\alpha\left(\mu \cdot \mu^{-1}\right)=\alpha(\mu)+(\bar{\mu})_{i} \cdot \alpha\left(\mu^{-1}\right)=\alpha\left(\mu^{-1}\right)+\left(\overline{\mu^{-1}}\right)_{i} \cdot \alpha(\mu) . \text { Then we }
$$

have $\left(1-\left(\overline{\mu^{-1}}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \alpha(\mu)=\left(1-(\bar{\mu})_{i}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(\mu^{-1}\right)$ and hence $\left(1-(\bar{\mu})_{i}\right) \cdot \alpha\left(\mu^{-1}\right)=$ $\left(1-\left(\overline{\mu^{-1}}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot\left(1-(\bar{\mu})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$. Since $\mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{E} \mid \Xi_{i}\right]$ has no zero divisor, we have $\alpha\left(\mu^{-1}\right)=\left(1-\left(\overline{\mu^{-1}}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left(\xi \cdot \mu^{-1}\right) & =\alpha(\xi)+(\bar{\xi})_{i} \cdot \alpha\left(\mu^{-1}\right) \\
& =\left(1-(\bar{\rho})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta+(\bar{\xi})_{i} \cdot\left(1-\left(\overline{\mu^{-1}}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta \\
& =\left(1-(\bar{\xi})_{i} \cdot\left(\overline{\mu^{-1}}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta \\
& =\left(1-\left(\bar{\xi} \cdot \mu^{-1}\right)_{i}\right) \cdot \beta \quad \text { (q.e.d. of Sublemma 1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

We proceed the proof of Lemma A-7. Let $\Xi^{i}$ be the subgroup of $\Xi$ generated by $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{r}$. From Sublemma 1 , we have $\alpha(\zeta)=\left(1-(\bar{\zeta})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$ for any $\zeta \in \Xi^{i}$. From Lemma A-4, if $\zeta \in \Xi^{i}$ and $\lambda \in \Xi_{i}$, then we have $\alpha(\lambda \cdot \zeta)=\alpha(\lambda)+(\bar{\lambda})_{i} \cdot \alpha(\zeta)=\alpha(\zeta)=\left(1-(\bar{\zeta})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta=\left(1-(\overline{\lambda \cdot \zeta})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$. Since $\boldsymbol{E}$ is a direct product of $\Xi^{i}$ and $\Xi_{i}$, we have $\alpha(\xi)=\left(1-(\bar{\xi})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta$ for all $\xi \in \Xi$. Thus we have $\alpha(\cdot) \in B\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right]\right)$ if $\alpha(\cdot) \in \mathbb{Z}\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi / \Xi_{i}\right]\right)$, which implies that $H^{1}\left(\Xi, \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}\right]\right)=0$. (q.e.d. of Lemma A-7)

Now we go back to the calculation of $h_{i}^{\left(\bar{\alpha}_{i}(\bar{\xi})\right)_{i}}(\omega)$. By Lemma A-7, if $\operatorname{rank}\left(E / \Xi_{i}\right) \geq 2$, then we can write as $\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)}\right)_{i}=\left(1-(\bar{\xi})_{i}\right) \cdot \beta_{i}$ by taking a suitable element $\beta_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{i}^{\left(\alpha_{i}(\xi)\right)_{i}}(\omega) & =h_{i}^{(1-(\xi) i) \cdot \beta_{i}}(\omega) \\
& =h_{i}^{\beta_{t} t}(\omega) / h_{i}^{\beta_{t} t}(\omega-\delta \xi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write $\beta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{t_{i}} n_{i}(j) \cdot \lambda_{i}(j)$ by using suitable $\lambda_{i}(j) \ni \Xi$ and $n_{i}(j) \in \mathbb{Z}$. After all, if $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Xi \mid \Xi_{i}\right) \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}^{\overline{\alpha_{i}(\xi)} t} t(\omega)=\prod_{i=1}^{t_{i}}\left\{h_{i}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda_{i}(j)\right) / h_{i}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda_{i}(j)-\delta \xi\right)\right\}^{n_{i}(J)}, \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (A.12) and (A.14), we have the result. (q.e.d. of Theorem)
Corollary to Theorem. We use the same notations as Theorem. Suppose that $\left\{f_{\xi}\right\}_{\xi \in s}$ satisfies the condition: there exists a set of generators $\left\{\mu_{1}, \cdots, \mu_{m}\right\}$ of $\Xi$ such that each $f_{p_{i}}(\omega)$ is a polynomial in $\omega \in \Omega$. Then $f_{\xi}$ is written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\xi}(\omega)=C(\xi) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p} \prod_{\nu=0}^{\bar{e}_{\nu=0}((\xi \xi)-1} \psi_{i}\left(\bar{e}_{i}^{\vee}(\omega)-\nu\right) . \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In the expression (A.3) of $f_{\xi}(\omega)$, all the terms appearing in the left hand side are polynomials if $f_{\xi}(\omega)$ is a polynomial in $\omega$ since they have no common divisors from the definition. From the assumption, if $\xi=\mu_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots \mu_{m}^{n_{m}}$ where $n_{1}, \cdots, n_{m}$ are non-negative integers, then $f_{\xi}(\omega)$ is a polynomial, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{l_{i}}\left\{h_{i}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda_{i}(j)\right) / h_{i}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda_{i}(j)-\delta \xi\right)\right\}^{n_{i}(j)} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a polynomial for each $i=1, \cdots, q$. However it is necessary that $n_{i}(j)=0$ for $j=1, \cdots, t_{i}$ since there exists $\xi \in E$ such that $h_{i}\left(\omega-\delta \lambda_{i}(j)\right)$ $\neq h_{i}\left(\omega-\delta_{i}(j)-\delta \xi\right)$ for all $j=1, \cdots, t_{i}$. This means that the term of the form (A.16) does not appear in the expression (A.3). Then we obtain (A.15).
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