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#### Abstract

In this article, we characterize triple coverings over the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ branched along quintic curves with some conditions. The main result is that such triple coverings are induced by projections $\mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ from certain points.


## 1. Introduction

In this article, all varieties are assumed to be defined over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Let $X$ be a normal projective variety and $Y$ a smooth projective variety. We say that a morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a covering over $Y$ if $\pi$ is a finite surjective morphism. We define the branch locus $\Delta_{\pi}$, as the subset of $Y$ :

$$
\Delta_{\pi}:=\{y \in Y \mid \pi \text { is not locally isomorphic over } y\}
$$

Note that $\Delta_{\pi}$ is an algebraic subset of pure codimension 1 (see [12]). We denote the function fields of $X$ and $Y$ by $\mathbb{C}(X)$ and $\mathbb{C}(Y)$, respectively. A covering $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a Galois covering if the field extension $\mathbb{C}(X) / \mathbb{C}(Y)$ induced by $\pi$ is Galois, while it is called a non-Galois covering if $\mathbb{C}(X) / \mathbb{C}(Y)$ is non-Galois. Let $G$ be a finite group. If a covering $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois covering with $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(X) / \mathbb{C}(Y)) \simeq G$, then $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a $G$-covering. A covering $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a triple covering, if $\operatorname{deg} \pi=3$. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a triple covering and $y$ a point of $\Delta_{\pi}$. We say that $y$ is a total (resp. simple) branched point, if ${ }^{\sharp} \pi^{-1}(y)=1$ (resp. ${ }^{\sharp} \pi^{-1}(y)=2$ ). Let $D$ be an irreducible component of $\Delta_{\pi}$. We say that $\pi$ is totally branched along $D$, if all points of $D$ are total branched points, while it is simply branched along $D$, if there exists a non-empty Zariski open set $U_{D}$ of $D$ such that all points of $U_{D}$ are simple branched points. We decompose $\Delta_{\pi}$ into $\Delta_{\pi}=D_{T}+D_{S}$ such that $\pi$ is totally (resp. simply) branched along each irreducible component of $D_{T}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.D_{S}\right)$.

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a non-Galois triple covering over the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{\pi}=5$. Take a general line $l$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and consider the covering $\pi^{*} l \rightarrow l$. By using Hurwitz's theorem, we infer that $\Delta_{\pi}$ satisfies either (i) $\operatorname{deg} D_{S}=2$ and $\operatorname{deg} D_{T}=3$ or (ii) $\operatorname{deg} D_{S}=4$ and $\operatorname{deg} D_{T}=1$. We say that $\pi$ is of Type I (resp. Type II) if $\Delta_{\pi}$

[^0]Table 1: Possible list of $D_{T}+D_{S}$ (c.f. [10])

| $\Delta_{\pi}$ | $D_{S}$ | $D_{S} \cap D_{T}$ | $\Delta_{\pi}$ | $D_{S}$ | $D_{S} \cap D_{T}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta_{1}$ | $Q_{1}$ | (i) | $\Delta_{10}$ | $Q_{5}$ | (ii) |
| $\Delta_{2}$ | $Q_{2}$ |  | $\Delta_{11}$ | $Q_{6}$ | (iii), $a_{3}$ |
| $\Delta_{3}$ | $Q_{3}$ |  | $\Delta_{12}$ | $Q_{12}$ |  |
| $\Delta_{4}$ | $Q_{4}$ |  | $\Delta_{13}$ | $Q_{7}$ | (iii), $a_{6}$ |
| $\Delta_{5}$ | $Q_{5}$ |  | $\Delta_{14}$ | $Q_{8}$ | (v), $a_{4}$ |
| $\Delta_{6}$ | $Q_{9}$ |  | $\Delta_{15}$ | $Q_{10}$ | (iv), $2 a_{3}$ |
| $\Delta_{7}$ | $Q_{1}$ | (ii) | $\Delta_{16}$ | $Q_{13}$ |  |
| $\Delta_{8}$ | $Q_{2}$ |  | $\Delta_{17}$ | $Q_{11}$ | (v), $a_{7}$ |
| $\Delta_{9}$ | $Q_{4}$ |  | $\Delta_{18}$ | $Q_{14}$ | (v), an ordinary quadruple point |

$\Delta_{\pi}$ - types of $\Delta_{\pi}$;
$D_{S}$ - types of $D_{S}\left(Q_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 14)\right.$ corresponds to those in Table 2 below $)$;
$D_{S} \cap D_{T}$ - the relative position between $D_{S}$ and $D_{T}$ and singular points of $D_{S}$ in $D_{S} \cap D_{T}$.
(i) $D_{T}$ is a bitangent line of $D_{S}$ at two distinct smooth points.
(ii) $D_{T}$ is a tangent line of $D_{S}$ at one smooth point with multiplicity 4 .
(iii) $D_{T}$ is tangent to $D_{S}$ at one smooth point and passes through one singular point of $D_{S}$.
(iv) $D_{T}$ passes through two distinct singular points of $D_{S}$.
(v) $D_{T}$ meets $D_{S}$ at just one singular point of $D_{S}$.

Table 2: The list of $D_{S}$ (c.f. [10])

| $D_{S}$ | Irreducible components of $D_{S}$ | Singularities of $D_{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q_{1}$ | an irreducible quartic | $2 a_{2}$ |
| $Q_{2}$ | an irreducible quartic | $a_{1}+2 a_{2}$ |
| $Q_{3}$ | an irreducible quartic | $3 a_{2}$ |
| $Q_{4}$ | an irreducible quartic | $a_{5}$ |
| $Q_{5}$ | an irreducible quartic | $e_{6}$ |
| $Q_{6}$ | an irreducible quartic | $a_{2}+a_{3}$ |
| $Q_{7}$ | an irreducible quartic | $a_{6}$ |
| $Q_{8}$ | an irreducible quartic | $a_{2}+a_{4}$ |
| $Q_{9}$ | two irreducible conics | $a_{1}+a_{5}$ |
| $Q_{10}$ | two irreducible conics | $2 a_{3}$ |
| $Q_{11}$ | two irreducible conics | $a_{7}$ |
| $Q_{12}$ | a cuspidal cubic and a line | $a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}$ |
| $Q_{13}$ | an irreducible conic and two lines | $2 a_{3}+a_{1}$ |
| $Q_{14}$ | four lines | an ordinary quadruple point |

is the case (i) (resp. (ii)). The purpose of this article is to give a characterization for non-Galois triple coverings of Type II. Note that there exists a normal surface $X^{\prime} \subset X$ such that $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ induces a covering $\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ over $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, where a covering over $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ means a proper surjective morphism.

Let $\mathcal{D}_{2 p}$ be the dihedral group of order $2 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime number. In [10], Tokunaga studied $\mathcal{D}_{2 p}$-covering branched along quintic curves and he obtain a possible list of branch loci of the non-Galois triple coverings of Type II (see Table 1). In this article, for the types of simple singular points of curves and surfaces, we use those in [1]. Note that we use small letters for curve-singularities to distinguish them from those of surfaces.

Our main result of this article is as follows:
Theorem 1.1. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a triple covering of Type II. Then (i) $X$ is isomorphic to a normal cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and (ii) $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a morphism induced by the projection from a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash X$.

We can present the covering $\pi$ in more concrete way as follows:
Theorem 1.2. Let $S$ be the cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ defined by $F=0$ and $f_{p}: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ a projection from $p \in \mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash S$. Put $\pi_{p}:=\left.f_{p}\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $F, \Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ and $p$ satisfy one of those in Table 3 below, up to projective equivalence.

In Section 2, we summarize the canonical resolution of triple coverings over surfaces based on [8]. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Tan's canonical resolution

In this section, we summarize Tan's canonical resolution for triple covering. The reference of this section is [8].

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a triple covering over a smooth surface $Y$. In [8], Tan proved that, after a finite number of blowing-ups, the induced triple covering $\widetilde{\pi}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{Y}$ has the smooth branch locus. Moreover $\widetilde{X}$ is a resolution of $X$ (see [8, Theorem 4.1 and Section 6]). We denote the blowing-ups as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\bar{X}_{0} \stackrel{\nu_{1}}{\longleftarrow} \bar{X}_{1} \stackrel{\nu_{2}}{\longleftarrow} \bar{X}_{2} \stackrel{\nu_{3}}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\nu_{k-1}}{\longleftrightarrow} \bar{X}_{k-1} \stackrel{\nu_{k}}{\longleftarrow} \bar{X}_{k}=\widetilde{X} \\
& \pi=\bar{\pi}_{0} \downarrow \quad \bar{\pi}_{1} \downarrow \bar{\pi}_{2} \downarrow \quad \bar{\pi}_{k-1} \downarrow \quad \bar{\pi}_{k}=\tilde{\pi} \mid \\
& Y=\bar{Y}_{0} \stackrel{\mu_{1}}{\longleftarrow} \bar{Y}_{1} \stackrel{\mu_{2}}{\longleftarrow} \bar{Y}_{2} \stackrel{\mu_{3}}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\mu_{k-1}}{\longleftarrow} \bar{Y}_{k-1} \stackrel{\mu_{k}}{\leftrightarrows} \bar{Y}_{k}=\widetilde{Y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mu_{i}: \bar{Y}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{Y}_{i-1}$ is the blowing-up of $\bar{Y}_{i-1}$ at a singular point $p_{i-1}$ of $\Delta_{\bar{\pi}_{i-1}}$,

Table 3: The list of $F, \Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ and $p$

| Sing $S$ | F | $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}+2 A_{2}$ | $W Y Z+W X^{2}+X^{3}$ | $\Delta_{2}$ | [1:a:b:0], $a b \neq-1,0,3$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{5}$ | [1:a:b:0], $a b=-1$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{6}$ | [1:a:b:0], $a b=3$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{12}$ | [1:a:b:0], $a b=0, a+b \neq 0$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{16}$ | [1:0:0:0] |
| $A_{1}+A_{5}$ | $W X Y+W Z^{2}+X^{3}$ | $\Delta_{8}$ | [1:a:b:0], $a+b^{2} \neq 0$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{10}$ | $[1: a: b: 0], a+b^{2}=0$ |
| $2 A_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} W^{3}+k W X^{2}+W Y Z+X^{3} \\ \left(4 k^{3}+27 \neq 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1: a: b: 0], a b \neq 0} \\ a^{4} b^{4}-6 a^{2} b^{2} k^{2}-8 a b k^{3}-108 a b-3 k^{4} \neq 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1: a: b: 0], a b \neq 0} \\ a^{4} b^{4}-6 a^{2} b^{2} k^{2}-8 a b k^{3}-108 a b-3 k^{4}=0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{11}$ | $[1: a: b: 0], k \neq 0, a b=0, a+b \neq 0$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{13}$ | $[1: a: b: 0], k=0, a b=0, a+b \neq 0$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{15}$ | $[1: 0: 0: 0], k \neq 0$ |
| $3 A_{2}$ | $W Y Z+X^{3}$ | $\Delta_{3}$ | $[1: 0: a: b], a b \neq 0$ |
|  |  |  | $[1: a: 0: b], a b \neq 0$ |
|  |  |  | [1:a:b:0], $a b \neq 0$ |
| $A_{5}$ | $W^{2} Z+W X Y+W Z^{2}+X^{3}$ | $\Delta_{7}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1: a: b: 0],} \\ 27+4 a^{3}+12 a^{2} b^{2}+12 a b^{4}+4 b^{6} \neq 0 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{9}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1: a: b: 0]} \\ 27+4 a^{3}+12 a^{2} b^{2}+12 a b^{4}+4 b^{6}=0 \end{gathered}$ |
| $E_{6}$ | $W^{2} Y+W Z^{2}+X^{3}$ | $\Delta_{14}$ | $[1: a: b: 0], a \neq 0$ |
|  |  | $\Delta_{17}$ | $[1: 0: b: 0], b \neq 0$ |
| $\widetilde{E_{6}}$ | $\begin{gathered} k W^{3}+l W^{2} X+W Y^{2}+X^{3} \\ \left(4 l^{2}+27 k^{3} \neq 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta_{18}$ | $\begin{gathered} p \in H_{1} \backslash H_{2} \\ H_{1}, H_{2} \in \mathcal{H}, H_{1} \neq H_{2} \end{gathered}$ |

$a, b, k, l \in \mathbb{C}$;
we denote homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by $[X: Y: Z: W]$;
$\mathcal{H}:=\left\{H_{w}, H_{t}, H_{s u} \mid t^{2}+k=0,2 u^{3}+k=0,3 k s^{2}=u^{2}, s \neq 0,(s, t, u \in \mathbb{C})\right\}$ if $l=0$;
$\mathcal{H}:=\left\{H_{w}, H_{s u} \mid 3 l u^{4}-6 u s^{2}-1=0,6 l u s^{2}+9 k s^{2}-3 u^{2}+l=0, s \neq 0,(s, t, u \in \mathbb{C})\right\}$ if $l \neq 0$;
$\left({\underset{\sim}{*}}_{w}:=V(W) \backslash V(X), H_{t}:=V(Y+t W) \backslash V(X), H_{s u}:=V(X-s Y-u W) \backslash V\left(3 s^{3} Y+\left(1+3 u s^{2}\right) W\right)\right) ;$
$\widetilde{E}_{6}$ means the simple elliptic singularity of type $\widetilde{E}_{6}$ (for detail of the definition, see [7]);
Sing $S$ - singularities of $S$;
$F$ - normal forms of defining polynomials of $S$, up to projective equivalence;
$\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ - types of $\Delta_{\pi_{p}} ;$
$p$ - loci of the center for the normal forms.
$\bar{\pi}_{i}: \bar{X}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{Y}_{i}$ is the normalization of $\bar{X}_{i-1} \times{ }_{\mu_{i}} \bar{Y}_{i}$ and $\nu_{i}: \bar{X}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{X}_{i-1}$ is a morphism induced by $\bar{\pi}_{i}: \bar{X}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{Y}_{i}$. Let $\Delta_{\bar{\pi}_{i}}=D_{T, i}+D_{S, i}$ be the decomposition of $\Delta_{\bar{\pi}_{i}}$ such that $\bar{\pi}_{i}$ is totally branched along each irreducible component of $D_{T, i}$, while it is simply branched along those of $D_{S, i}$. We denote the multiplicity of a point $p$ on a curve $C$ by $m_{p}(C)$. Let $m_{i}$ and $n_{i}$ be integers defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{i} & :=\left[\frac{m_{p_{i}}\left(D_{S, i}\right)}{2}\right], \\
n_{i} & := \begin{cases}m_{p_{i}}\left(D_{T, i}\right)-1 & \text { if } E_{i+1} \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(D_{T, i+1}\right) \\
m_{p_{i}}\left(D_{T, i}\right) & \text { if otherwise },\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $[\alpha]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding $\alpha$ and $E_{i}$ the exceptional divisor of $\mu_{i}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{S, k}=\mu^{*}\left(D_{S}\right)-2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} m_{i} \mathcal{E}_{i+1} \\
& D_{T, k}=\mu^{*}\left(D_{T}\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} n_{i} \mathcal{E}_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
K_{\tilde{X}}=\mu^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{E}_{i+1},
$$

where $\mu:=\mu_{1} \circ \mu_{2} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{k}, \mathcal{E}_{i}$ means the total transform of $E_{i}$ and $K_{V}$ means the canonical divisor of a smooth projective surface $V$. Moreover we have the following formulas:

Theorem 2.1 (c.f. Theorem 6.3 in [8]). Under the above notation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\text {top }}(\widetilde{X})= & 3 \chi_{\text {top }}(Y)+D_{S}^{2}+D_{S} \cdot K_{Y}+2 D_{T}^{2}+2 D_{T} \cdot K_{Y} \\
& -\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2\left(m_{i}-1\right)\left(2 m_{i}+1\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2 n_{i}\left(n_{i}-1\right)+k, \\
K_{\tilde{X}}^{2}= & 3 K_{Y}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} D_{S}^{2}+2 D_{S} \cdot K_{Y}+\frac{4}{3} D_{T}^{2}+4 D_{T} \cdot K_{Y} \\
& -\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2\left(m_{i}-1\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{4}{3} n_{i}\left(n_{i}-3\right)-k
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi_{\text {top }}(V)$ means the topological Euler number of $V$.

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(p, \pi) & :=\left\{p_{i} \mid \mu_{1} \circ \mu_{2} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)=p\right\}, \\
\delta(p, \pi) & :=\sum_{p_{i} \in N(p, \pi)} 2\left(m_{i}-1\right)\left(2 m_{i}+1\right)+\sum_{p_{i} \in N(p, \pi)} 2 n_{i}\left(n_{i}-1\right)-{ }^{\sharp} N(p, \pi), \\
\kappa(p, \pi) & :=\sum_{p_{i} \in N(p, \pi)} 2\left(m_{i}-1\right)^{2}+\sum_{p_{i} \in N(p, \pi)} \frac{4}{3} n_{i}\left(n_{i}-3\right)+{ }^{\sharp} N(p, \pi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\bar{X}$ be the minimal resolution of $X$. Then we obtain a birational morphism $\varphi: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$. We denote by $\epsilon(p, \pi)$ the number of exceptional curves in $(\mu \circ \widetilde{\pi})^{-1}(p)$ contracted by $\varphi$. Since $\chi_{\text {top }}(\bar{X})=\chi_{\text {top }}(\widetilde{X})-\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\Delta_{\pi}\right)} \epsilon(q, \pi)$ and $K_{\bar{X}}^{2}=K_{\widetilde{X}}^{2}+$ $\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\Delta_{\pi}\right)} \epsilon(p, \pi)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{t o p}(\bar{X})= & 3 \chi_{t o p}(Y)+D_{S}^{2}+D_{S} \cdot K_{Y}+2 D_{T}^{2}+2 D_{T} \cdot K_{Y} \\
& -\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\Delta_{\pi}\right)} \delta(p, \pi)+\epsilon(p, \pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{X}^{2}= & 3 K_{Y}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} D_{S}^{2}+2 D_{S} \cdot K_{Y}+\frac{4}{3} D_{T}^{2}+4 D_{T} \cdot K_{Y} \\
& -\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\Delta_{\pi}\right)} \kappa(p, \pi)-\epsilon(p, \pi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, for the case when $Y=\mathbb{P}^{2}, \operatorname{deg} D_{T}=1$ and $\operatorname{deg} D_{S}=4$, we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\chi_{\text {top }}(\bar{X}) & =9-\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\Delta_{\pi}\right)} \delta(p, \pi)+\epsilon(p, \pi)  \tag{1}\\
K_{\bar{X}}^{2} & =1 / 3-\sum_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\Delta_{\pi}\right)} \kappa(p, \pi)-\epsilon(p, \pi) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We end this section by giving the following three facts needed in the next section:
Lemma 2.1 (c.f. Theorem 4.1 in [8]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a triple covering. If $D_{T} \cap D_{S} \neq \emptyset$, then the intersection multiplicity of $D_{T}$ and $D_{S}$ at $p \in D_{T} \cap D_{S}$ is an even positive integer.

Lemma 2.2 (c.f. Lemma 6.1 in [8]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a triple covering such that the branch locus is smooth. If $D$ is an irreducible component of $D_{T}$, then the self-intersection number of $D$ is 3 -divisible.

Lemma 2.3 (c.f. Theorem 4.1 in [8]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a triple covering. Assume that $D_{T}$ has a singular point $p$ of type $a_{1}$ with $p \notin D_{S}$. Let $\mu: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ be the blowingup at $p, E$ the exceptional curve, $D_{T}^{\prime}$ the strict transform of $D_{T}$ and $\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ the induced triple covering. Then E satisfies one of the following.


Figure 1: The case where $\pi_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$
(i) $E$ is not contained in $\Delta_{\pi^{\prime}}$
(ii) $\pi^{\prime}$ is totally branched along E. Moreover, let $\mu^{\prime}: Y^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ be the blowing-up at $q \in E \cap D_{T}^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}: X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime}$ the induced triple covering. Then the exceptional curve of $\mu^{\prime}$ is not contained in $\Delta_{\pi^{\prime \prime}}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We use the notation in the previous sections. First, we consider the following three facts needed later. See [5] for the proofs.

Lemma 3.1 (c.f. Lemma 3.1 (iii) in [5]). Let $p \in D_{S} \backslash D_{T}$ be a total branched point. If $D_{S}$ has only simple singularities as its singularities, then $p$ is a singular point of type $a_{3 k-1}$ or $e_{6}$.

Lemma 3.2 (c.f. Proposition 3.1 in [5]). Let $p \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{S}\right)$ be a simple singular and a simple branched point. Then $\delta(p, \pi)=-\sharp N(p . \pi), \kappa(p, \pi)={ }^{\sharp} N(p, \pi)$ and $\epsilon(p, \pi)={ }^{\sharp} N(p, \pi)$.

Lemma 3.3 (c.f. Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 in [5]). Let $p \in D_{S}$ be a simple singular and a total branched point. Suppose that $p$ is a singular point of type (i) $a_{6 k-4}$, (ii) $a_{6 k-1}$ or (iii) $e_{6}$. Then, corresponding to (i), (ii) or (iii), we have $\delta(p, \pi)=2 k-3,2 k$ or $1, \kappa(p, \pi)=6 k-1,6 k$ or $7, \epsilon(p, \pi)=4 k, 4 k$ or 5 .

Now, we start to prove Theorem 1.1. Let $\pi_{i}: S_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a triple covering such that the branch locus is of type $\Delta_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 18)$. First, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.4. Let $\bar{S}_{i}$ be the minimal resolution of $S_{i}$. Then we obtain that $\chi_{\text {top }}\left(\bar{S}_{i}\right)=$ 9 and $K_{\bar{S}_{i}}^{2}=3$ if $1 \leq i \leq 17$, while $\chi_{\text {top }}\left(\bar{S}_{18}\right)=K_{\bar{S}_{18}}^{2}=0$.
Proof. We prove Lemma 3.4 only in the cases where $i=1$ and 11, as the remaining cases can be proved similarly. First, we consider the case where $\pi_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Put $\Delta_{\pi_{1}}=D_{T}+D_{S}$ as in Introduction. We denote $D_{T} \cap D_{S}=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{S}\right)=$ $\left\{q_{3}, q_{4}\right\}$. Now, we compute $\delta\left(q_{1}, \pi_{1}\right), \kappa\left(q_{1}, \pi_{1}\right)$ and $\epsilon\left(q_{1}, \pi_{1}\right)$. Let $\mu_{1} \circ \mu_{2}: \bar{Y}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be two times blowing-ups at $q_{1}$ as in Figure 1. Here, $D_{T 2}, D_{S 2}$ and $E_{1}$ mean the strict


Figure 2: The case where $\pi_{11}: S_{11} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$
transforms of $D_{T}, D_{S}$ and the exceptional curve of $\mu_{1}$. $E_{2}$ is the exceptional curve of $\mu_{2}$. Since $m_{q_{1}}\left(D_{S}\right)=1$, the induced triple covering $\bar{\pi}_{2}$ over $\bar{Y}_{2}$ is simply branched along $E_{1}$. By Lemma 2.1, $E_{2} \not \subset \Delta_{\bar{\pi}_{2}}$. Hence, there exist no singular points of $\Delta_{\bar{\pi}_{2}}$ which are infinitely near points lying over $q_{1}$. We denote $\bar{\pi}_{2}^{*}\left(E_{1}\right)=2 \bar{E}_{11}+\bar{E}_{12}$ and $\bar{\pi}_{2}^{*}\left(E_{2}\right)=\bar{E}_{2}$ (see Figure 1). The self-intersection numbers of $\bar{E}_{11}, \bar{E}_{12}$ and $\bar{E}_{2}$ are $-1,-2$ and -3 , respectively. Therefore, we obtain $\delta\left(q_{1}, \pi_{1}\right)=-4, \kappa\left(q_{1}, \pi_{1}\right)=-4 / 3$ and $\epsilon\left(q_{1}, \pi_{1}\right)=1$. By the same way, we get $\delta\left(q_{2}, \pi_{1}\right)=-4, \kappa\left(q_{2}, \pi_{1}\right)=-4 / 3$ and $\epsilon\left(q_{2}, \pi_{1}\right)=1$. By Lemma 3.1, ${ }^{\sharp} \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right)=1$ or $2(i=3,4)$. From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we have $\delta\left(q_{i}, \pi_{1}\right)=-1, \kappa\left(q_{i}, \pi_{1}\right)=5$ and $\epsilon\left(q_{i}, \pi_{1}\right)=4$ for the case where ${ }^{\sharp} \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right)=1$, while $\delta\left(q_{i}, \pi\right)=-1, \kappa\left(q_{i}, \pi_{1}\right)=1$ and $\epsilon\left(q_{i}, \pi_{1}\right)=1$ for the case where ${ }^{\sharp} \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right)=2$. For the case where ${ }^{\sharp} \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{3}\right)={ }^{\sharp} \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{4}\right)=1$, by equations (1) in Section 2, we have $\chi_{\text {top }}\left(\bar{S}_{1}\right)=9$ and $K_{\bar{S}_{1}}^{2}=3$. Since we see $\left(\chi_{\text {top }}\left(\bar{S}_{1}\right)+K_{\bar{S}_{1}}^{2}\right) / 12 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for other cases, these cases do not occur. Hence, we obtain Lemma 3.4 for the case where $\pi_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Next, we consider the case where $\pi_{11}: S_{11} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$. Again, put $\Delta_{\pi_{11}}=D_{T}+D_{S}$. Let $q_{1} \in D_{S}$ such that $D_{T}$ is tangent to $D_{S}$ at $q_{1}$. We denote singular points of type $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ by $q_{2}$ and $q_{3}$, respectively. By the same way as the above, we have $\delta\left(q_{1}, \pi_{11}\right)=-4, \kappa\left(q_{1}, \pi_{11}\right)=-4 / 3$ and $\epsilon\left(q_{1}, \pi_{11}\right)=1$. Let $\mu_{1} \circ \mu_{2}: \bar{Y}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be two times blowing-ups at $q_{1}$ as in Figure 2. Here, $D_{T 2}, D_{S 2}$ and $E_{1}$ mean the strict transforms of $D_{T}, D_{S}$ and the exceptional curve of $\mu_{1}$. $E_{2}$ is the exceptional curve of $\mu_{2}$. Now, we compute $\delta\left(q_{3}, \pi_{11}\right), \kappa\left(q_{3}, \pi_{11}\right)$ and $\epsilon\left(q_{3}, \pi_{11}\right)$. Let $\mu_{3} \circ \mu_{4}: \bar{Y}_{4} \rightarrow \bar{Y}_{2}$ be two times blowing-ups at $q_{3}$ as in Figure 2. We denote $D_{T 4}, D_{S 4}$ and $E_{3}^{\prime}$ by the strict transforms of $D_{T 2}, D_{S 2}$ and the exceptional curve of $\mu_{3}$, respectively. $E_{4}$ is the exceptional curve of $\mu_{4}$. Since $\left(\mu_{3} \circ \mu_{4}\right)^{*}\left(D_{S 2}\right)=D_{S 4}+2 E_{3}^{\prime}+4 E_{4}$, the induced triple covering $\bar{\pi}_{4}$ over $\bar{Y}_{4}$ is not simply branched along $E_{3}^{\prime}$ and $E_{4}$. By Lemma 2.1, we see $E_{4} \not \subset \Delta_{\bar{\pi}_{4}}$. Suppose that $E_{3}^{\prime} \not \subset \Delta_{\bar{\pi}_{4}}$. Then after a finite number
of blowing-ups $\widehat{\mu}: \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2}} \rightarrow \bar{Y}_{4}$, we obtain the triple covering $\widetilde{\pi}_{11}: \widetilde{S}_{11} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ such that $\Delta_{\tilde{\pi}_{11}}$ is smooth. Since the self-intersection number of $E_{3}^{\prime}$ is -2 , the strict transform of $E_{3}^{\prime}$ on $\widetilde{Y}$ has the self-intersection number -2 . This contradicts to Lemma 2.2. Hence, $\bar{\pi}_{4}$ is totally branched along $E_{3}^{\prime}$. Let $\mu_{5}: \bar{Y}_{5} \rightarrow \bar{Y}_{4}$ be the blowing-up at $D_{T 2} \cap E_{3}^{\prime}$ and $E_{5}$ the exceptional curve. We denote the strict transforms of $D_{T 4}$ and $E_{3}^{\prime}$ by $D_{T 5}$ and $E_{3}$. Suppose that the induced covering $\bar{\pi}_{5}$ over $\bar{Y}_{5}$ is totally branched along $E_{5}$. By Lemma 2.3, after two times blowing-ups $\mu^{\prime}$ at $D_{T 5} \cap E_{5}$ and $E_{3} \cap E_{5}$, there exist no singular points of the branch locus of the triple covering induced by $\mu^{\prime}$. Let $D_{T}^{\prime}$ and $E_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ be the strict transforms of $D_{T 5}$ and $E_{3}$, respectively. Then the self-intersection numbers of $D_{T}^{\prime}$ and $E_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ are -4 . By the same way as above $E_{3}^{\prime}$, this contradicts to Lemma 2.2. We see that $E_{5} \not \subset \Delta_{\bar{\pi}_{5}}$. We get the selfintersection numbers of $E_{3}, E_{4}$ and $E_{5}$ are $-3,-2$ and -1 , respectively. By putting $\bar{\pi}_{5}^{*}\left(E_{3}\right)=3 \bar{E}_{3}, \bar{\pi}_{5}^{*}\left(E_{4}\right)=\bar{E}_{4}$ and $\bar{\pi}_{5}^{*}\left(E_{5}\right)=\bar{E}_{5}$, the self-intersection numbers of $\bar{E}_{3}$, $\bar{E}_{4}$ and $\bar{E}_{5}$ are $-1,-3$ and -3 , respectively. Since $m_{q_{3}}\left(D_{T 2}\right)=1$ and $m_{q_{3}}\left(D_{S 2}\right)=2$, we obtain $\delta\left(q_{3}, \pi_{11}\right)=-1, \kappa\left(q_{3}, \pi_{11}\right)=-1 / 3$ and $\epsilon\left(q_{3}, \pi_{11}\right)=1$. Finally, we compute $\chi_{\text {top }}\left(\bar{S}_{11}\right)$ and $K_{\bar{S}_{11}}^{2}$. As Lemma 3.1, ${ }^{\sharp} \pi_{11}^{-1}\left(q_{2}\right)=1$ or 2 . By the same way as in the case where $\pi_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$, we get $\chi_{\text {top }}\left(\bar{S}_{11}\right)=9$ and $K_{\bar{S}_{11}}^{2}=3$ for the case where ${ }^{\sharp} \pi_{11}^{-1}\left(q_{2}\right)=1$, while $\left(\chi_{\text {top }}\left(\bar{S}_{11}\right)+K_{\bar{S}_{11}}^{2}\right) / 12=4 / 3$ for the case where ${ }^{\sharp} \pi_{11}^{-1}\left(q_{2}\right)=2$. Hence, we obtain Lemma 3.4 for the case where $\pi_{11}: S_{11} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Remark 3.1. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a triple covering such that $\Delta_{\pi}$ is of type $\Delta_{18}$ and $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$ the minimal resolution of $X$. By our proof of Lemma 3.4, $\bar{X}$ is a ruled surface over an elliptic curve such that $\bar{X}$ has the section $C_{0}$ with $C_{0}^{2}=-3$. By [7], $X$ is a cone over an elliptic curve. Hence, $X$ is isomorphic to a cubic surface.

Definition 3.1. Let $C$ and $S$ be a smooth projective curve and surface, respectively. If there exists a morphism $f: S \rightarrow C$ such that, for any $c \in C$ except finitely many points, $f^{-1}(c)$ is a smooth curve of genus 1 , then we call $S$ an elliptic surface. An elliptic surface is relatively minimal, if there exist no exceptional curves of the first kind in any fibers.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a triple covering and $\gamma: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ the minimal resolution of $X$. We assume that (i) $K_{\bar{X}}{ }^{2}=3$, (ii) $\chi_{\text {top }}(\bar{X})=9$ and (iii), for any general lines $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$, the degree of ramification divisor of the induced triple covering of $L$ by $\pi$ is 6 (see [4, p.301. Ch. IV. Section 2] for the definition of the ramification divisor). Then

$$
-K_{\bar{X}} \sim(\pi \circ \gamma)^{*} l,
$$

where $l$ is a line on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Proof. Let $x$ be a point in $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \Delta_{\pi}$. Note that ${ }^{\sharp} \pi^{-1}(x)=3$. Let $\gamma_{x}: \bar{X}_{x} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the blowing-up at $\pi^{-1}(x)$ and $E_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ the exceptional curves. Put $\phi:=\pi \circ \gamma \circ \gamma_{x}$. Let $\Lambda_{x}$ be a pencil of lines passing through $x$. For a general $L \in \Lambda_{x}$, by Hurwitz's theorem, $\phi^{*} L$ is a curve of genus 1 . Hence we obtain an elliptic fibration $f: \bar{X}_{x} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$
induced by $\Lambda_{x}$. Note that $K_{\bar{X}_{x}}^{2}=0, \chi_{\text {top }}\left(\bar{X}_{x}\right)=12$ and $\bar{X}_{x}$ has a section. $\bar{X}_{x}$ is a relatively minimal elliptic surface. By the canonical bundle formula, we obtain

$$
K_{\bar{X}_{x}}=-F_{f}
$$

where $F_{f}$ is a fiber of $f: \bar{X}_{x} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $l$ be a line $l$ in $\Lambda_{x}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{*} l & \sim F_{f}+E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3} \\
& \sim-K_{\bar{X}_{x}}+E_{1}+E_{2}+E_{3} \\
& \sim-\gamma_{x}^{*} K_{\bar{X}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we obtain $(\pi \circ \gamma)^{*} l \sim-K_{\bar{X}}$.
Proposition 3.1. Under the assumption of Lemma 3.5, $\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|$ induces a morphism $\varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\bar{X}$ is birationally equivalent to its image $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}$ and that $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}$ is a normal cubic surface whose singular points are simple.
Proof. We use the same notation as in Lemma 3.5. By Lemma 3.5, $-K_{\bar{X}}$ is a nef and big divisor. By Riemann-Roch theorem and Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem ([6], [11]), $h^{0}\left(\bar{X},-K_{\bar{X}}\right)=4$. Since $\bar{X}$ is a rational surface, by [3, p. 63 Theorem 1 and p. 64 Theorem 2], we obtain Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.2. Under the assumption of Lemma 3.5, $X$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}$ and $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is induced by a projection $\mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ from a point in $\mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mid-K_{\bar{X}}} \mid$.

Proof. We keep the notation above. Let $\left[\xi_{0}: \xi_{1}: \xi_{2}\right]$ be homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $l_{i}(i=0,1,2)$ lines defined by $\xi_{i}=0(i=0,1,2)$, respectively. Since $-K_{\bar{X}} \sim(\gamma \circ \pi)^{*} l$, there exists a basis $\left\{\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{3}\right\}$ of $H^{0}\left(\bar{X},-K_{\bar{X}}\right)^{\vee}$ such that $\varphi_{i}$ $(i=0,1,2)$ correspond to $\xi_{i}(i=0,1,2)$, respectively. By taking suitable coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}: \bar{X} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{3} \\
p & \mapsto & {\left[\varphi_{0}(p): \varphi_{1}(p): \varphi_{2}(p): \varphi_{3}(p)\right] .}
\end{array}
$$

By denoting the projection from $[0: 0: 0: 1]$ by $\operatorname{Pr}: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$, the composition $\operatorname{Pr} \circ \varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}$ is a morphism as $l_{0} \cap l_{1} \cap l_{2}=\emptyset$. Let $S_{X}$ be the image of $\varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}$. Now, we obtain the following commutative diagram:


Put $g_{1}:=\gamma \circ \varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}^{-1}$ and $g_{2}:=\varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|} \circ \gamma^{-1}$. Then $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are birational maps. Suppose that $g_{1}$ is not a morphism. Then there exists a fundamental point $s \in S_{X}$ of $g_{1}$. By Zariski's main theorem [4, Ch. V, Theorem 5.2], $g_{1}(s)$ is a curve on $X$. We obtain $g_{1}(s) \cdot \pi^{*} l \neq 0$ for a line $l$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. On the other hand, since $\varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}\left(\gamma^{*}\left(g_{1}(s)\right)\right)=s$ and $-K_{\bar{X}} \sim\left(\operatorname{Pr} \mid S_{S_{X}} \circ \varphi_{\left|-K_{\bar{X}}\right|}\right)^{*} l$, we get $-K_{\bar{X}} \cdot \gamma^{*}\left(g_{1}(s)\right)=0$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $g_{1}$ is a morphism. By the same way, $g_{2}$ is also a morphism. Thus we obtain that $X$ is isomorphic to $S_{X}$.

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. We keep the notation as before. Let $S$ be a normal cubic surface and $\pi_{p}:=\left.f_{p}\right|_{S}$, where $f_{p}: \mathbb{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ means a projection from $p \in \mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash S$. First, we consider the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. (i) $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ satisfies one of the following:
(i-1) $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is a sextic curve. $\pi_{p}$ is simply branched along each irreducible component of $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$.
(i-2) $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ consists of a line $L$ and a quartic curve $Q$ such that $\pi_{p}$ is totally branched along $L$, while it is simply branched along each irreducible component of $Q$.
(i-3) $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ consists of two conics, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, such that $\pi_{p}$ is totally branched along each irreducible component of $C_{1}$, while it is simply branched along those of $C_{2}$.
(i-4) $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is a cubic curve. $\pi_{p}$ is a cyclic triple covering. Thus $\pi_{p}$ totally branched along each irreducible component of $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$.
(ii) There exists a line $L_{T} \subset \Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ such that $\pi_{P}$ is totally branched along $L_{T}$, if and only if there exists a plane $H_{T} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $p \in H_{T} \backslash S$ and $S \cap H_{T}$ is a line.

Proof. (i) We prove only the case of (i-1), as the remaining cases can be proved similarly. Assume that there exists an irreducible component $D$ of $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ such that $\pi_{p}$ is totally branched along $D$. Take a general line $l$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Let $R$ be the ramification divisor of the induced triple covering $\pi_{p}^{*} l \rightarrow l$ over $l$ by $\pi_{p}$. Then we obtain

$$
\operatorname{deg} R>6
$$

On the other hand, let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the Zariski closure of $f_{p}^{-1}(l)$. By Bertini's theorem, $S \cap H=f_{p}^{*} l$ is a non-singular cubic curve on $H$. By Hurwitz's theorem, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{deg} R=6 .
$$

This is a contradiction.
(ii) Suppose that $\pi_{p}$ is totally branched along a line $L_{T}$. Then the Zariski closure of $f_{p}^{-1}\left(L_{T}\right)$ is $H_{T}$.

Conversely suppose that there exists such a plane $H_{T}$. Then, by putting $L_{T}$ := $f_{p}\left(H_{T}\right)$, we obtain (ii) of Lemma 4.1.

By Lemma 4.1, if $\pi_{p}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is totally branched along a line, then we obtain $3 \leq \operatorname{deg} \Delta_{\pi_{p}} \leq 5$. In particular, $\pi_{p}$ with $\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{\pi_{p}}=3$ is a cyclic triple covering branched along a reduced cubic with a line component, and as such, it must be one of the following possibilities:

Table 4: $\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{\pi_{p}}=3$

| $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ | Irreducible components | Singularities of $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta_{C 1}$ | an irreducible conic and a line | $2 a_{1}$ |
| $\Delta_{C 2}$ | an irreducible conic and a line | $a_{3}$ |
| $\Delta_{C 3}$ | three lines | $3 a_{1}$ |
| $\Delta_{C 4}$ | three lines | an ordinary triple point |

In [9], Tokunaga classified $\mathcal{D}_{6}$-coverings such that the degrees of branch loci $\leq 4$. By [9], we obtain Table 5 for $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ with degree 4 such that $\pi_{p}$ is totally branched along a line.

Table 5: $\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{\pi_{p}}=4$ (c.f. [9])

| $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ | $D_{S}$ | $D_{T}$ | Singularities of $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta_{Q 1}$ | an irreducible conic | two lines | $a_{1}+2 a_{3}$ |
| $\Delta_{Q 2}$ | two lines | two lines | an ordinary quadruple point |

$D_{S}$ - irreducible components of $D_{S}$;
$D_{T}$ - irreducible components of $D_{T}$.

Remark 4.1. By the same way as in Section 3 (resp. Remark 3.1), for each triple covering $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ such that $\Delta_{\pi}$ is of type $\Delta_{C j}(1 \leq j \leq 3)$ or $\Delta_{Q 1}$ (resp. $\Delta_{C 4}$ or $\Delta_{Q 2}$ ), $X$ is isomorphic to a cubic surface. So, by characterizing triple coverings such that the branch loci are of type $\Delta_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 18), \Delta_{C j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ or $\Delta_{Q k}(k=1,2)$, we obtain Table 3.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\pi_{p}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a triple covering such that the branch locus is of either type $\Delta_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 18), \Delta_{C j}(1 \leq j \leq 4)$ or $\Delta_{Q k}(k=1,2)$. The $H_{T}$ is the plane as in Lemma 4.1. Then $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$, $\operatorname{Sing}(S)$ and $\operatorname{Sing}(S) \cap H_{T}$ fall into one of them in Table 6 below. In particular, if $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is one of such types, then the
configuration of singularities of $S$ is either $A_{1}+2 A_{2}, A_{1}+A_{5}, 2 A_{2}, 3 A_{2}, A_{5}, E_{6}$ or $\widetilde{E}_{6} . \operatorname{Sing}(S) \cap H_{T} \neq \emptyset$.

Table 6: Singularities of $S$

| $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ | $\operatorname{Sing}(S)$ | $\operatorname{Sing}(S) \cap H_{T}$ | $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ | $\operatorname{Sing}(S)$ | $\operatorname{Sing}(S) \cap H_{T}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta_{1}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $\Delta_{13}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ |
| $\Delta_{2}$ | $A_{1}+2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $\Delta_{14}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| $\Delta_{3}$ | $3 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $\Delta_{15}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ |
| $\Delta_{4}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $\Delta_{16}$ | $A_{1}+2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ |
| $\Delta_{5}$ | $A_{1}+2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $\Delta_{17}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| $\Delta_{6}$ | $A_{1}+2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $\Delta_{18}$ | $\widetilde{E}_{6}$ | $\widetilde{E}_{6}$ |
| $\Delta_{7}$ | $A_{5}$ | $A_{5}$ | $\Delta_{C 1}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ |
| $\Delta_{8}$ | $A_{1}+A_{5}$ | $A_{5}$ | $\Delta_{C 2}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| $\Delta_{9}$ | $A_{5}$ | $A_{5}$ | $\Delta_{C 3}$ | $3 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ |
| $\Delta_{10}$ | $A_{1}+A_{5}$ | $A_{5}$ | $\Delta_{C 4}$ | $\widetilde{E}_{6}$ | $\widetilde{E}_{6}$ |
| $\Delta_{11}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $\Delta_{Q 1}$ | $3 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ |
| $\Delta_{12}$ | $A_{1}+2 A_{2}$ | $2 A_{2}$ | $\Delta_{Q 2}$ | $\widetilde{E}_{6}$ | $\widetilde{E}_{6}$ |

Proof. By our proof of Lemma 3.4, we obtain Lemma 4.2.
Lemma 4.3. Keep the notation in Lemma 4.2. Assume that $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is not of type $\Delta_{18}, \Delta_{C 4}$ or $\Delta_{Q 2}$. Let $q \in \operatorname{Sing}(S) \cap H_{T}$ and let $l_{q} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash \Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ be a line passing through $q^{\prime}:=\pi_{p}(q)$. Here, $H_{T}$ means a plane in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ as in Lemma 4.1. We denote the minimal resolution of $S$ by $\gamma: \bar{S} \rightarrow S$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{q}$ be the strict transform of $\pi_{p}^{*} l_{q}$ by $\gamma$, and $E_{q}$ the exceptional divisor of $\gamma$. Put $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}=D_{T}+D_{S}$ as in Introduction.
(i) If $q^{\prime}$ is a smooth point of $D_{S}$, then ${ }^{\sharp} \mathcal{L}_{q} \cap Z_{q}=2$.
(ii) If $q^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{S}\right) \cup \operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{T}\right)$, then ${ }^{\sharp} \mathcal{L}_{q} \cap Z_{q} \neq 2$.

Proof. Our proof of Lemma 4.3 is case-by-case. We prove only a special case of (i), as the remaining cases of (i) and each case of (ii) are proved similarly.

Suppose that $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of type $\Delta_{1}$. Consider a small neighborhood $U$ of $q^{\prime}$ with $D_{S} \cap l_{q} \cap U=\left\{q^{\prime}\right\}$. By our proof of Lemma 3.4, we obtain Lemma 4.3 for the case of $\Delta_{1}$ (see Figure 3, where we use the same notation as in Lemma 3.4).

Lemma 4.4. We keep the notation as in Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. Assume that the tangent cone of $q$ consists of $H_{T}$ and other plane $H_{q}$. Then $\pi_{p}(q)$ is a smooth point of $D_{S}$ if $p \notin H_{T} \cap H_{q}$, while it is a singular point of $D_{S}$ or $D_{T}$ if $p \in H_{T} \cap H_{q}$.

Proof. Let $V$ be a plane with $p, q \in V$ and $V \neq H_{T}$. The curve $S \cap V$ on $V$ has a singular point at $q$. First, we suppose $p \notin H_{T} \cap H_{q}$. Then $V \neq H_{q}$. Two distinct lines $V \cap H_{T}$ and $V \cap H_{q}$ on $V$ meet $S \cap V$ at $q$ with multiplicity 3, while other lines


Figure 3: The case where $\pi_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$
through $q$ not contained in $S$ meet $S \cap V$ at $q$ with multiplicity 2 . So we obtain an $a_{1}$ singularity at $q$. By Lemma 4.3, $\pi_{p}(q)$ is a smooth point of $D_{S}$.

Next, we suppose $p \in H_{T} \cap H_{q}$. Put $V=H_{q}$. For any lines on $V$ not contained in $S$, if $q \in L$, then $L$ meets $S \cap V$ at $q$ with multiplicity 3 . So $S \cap V=3 l_{1}, 2 l_{1}+l_{2}$ and $l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}$, where $l_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ meen lines through $q$ on $H_{q}$. If $S \cap V=3 l_{1}$, then $\pi_{p}(q) \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{T}\right)$ as $\pi_{p}$ is totally branched along $f_{p}(V)$.

Consider the case where $S \cap V=2 l_{1}+l_{2}$. We see that $\pi_{p}$ is simply blanched along $f_{p}(V)$, and that $f_{p}(V)$ meets $L_{T}=f_{p}\left(H_{t}\right)$ at $\pi_{p}(q)$, transversely. By Lemma 2.1 and our assumption, $\pi_{p}(q) \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{S}\right)$.

Consider the case where $S \cap V=l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}$. By Lemma 4.3, we obtain $\pi_{p}(q) \in$ $\operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{S}\right) \cup \operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{T}\right)$.

Hence, we obtain Lemma 4.4.

In [2], Bruce and Wall classified singular cubic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ in terms of their singularities. By threr results, we can obtain the normal forms of the cubic surfaces, up to projective equivalence.

We now prove Theorem 1.2. We prove only for the cases where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=$ $A_{1}+2 A_{2}, 2 A_{2}$ and $\widetilde{E}_{6}$, as the remaining cases can be proved similarly. Keep the notaition as before. Let $\Lambda$ be the set of lines in $\mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash H_{T}$ passing through $p$ and meeting $S$ at just one point. First, we consider the case where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=A_{1}+2 A_{2}$. In this case, by Table $6, \Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of either type $\Delta_{2}, \Delta_{5}, \Delta_{6}, \Delta_{12}$ or $\Delta_{16}$. We denote two singular points of type $A_{2}$ by $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. By [2], the tangent cone of $q_{i}$ consists of $H_{T}$ and another plane $H_{q_{i}}$. Let $q_{3}$ be the singular point of type $A_{2}$ and $C$ the tangent cone at $q_{3}$. On $H_{T}$, the relative position of $H_{q_{1}}, H_{q_{2}}, C$ and $S$ is given as in Figure 4 below. By Lemma 4.4, we obtain that $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of type $\Delta_{16}$ if $p=H_{T} \cap H_{q_{1}} \cap H_{q_{2}}$, while it is of type $\Delta_{12}$ if $p$ is contained in either $H_{T} \cap H_{q_{1}}$ or $H_{T} \cap H_{q_{2}}$. Consider the point $\pi_{p}\left(q_{3}\right)$. By our proof of Lemma 3.4, $\pi_{p}(q)$ is a total (resp. simple) branched point for the case where $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of type $\Delta_{5}$ (resp. $\Delta_{2}$ or $\Delta_{6}$ ). If $p \in C$, then $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of type $\Delta_{5}$. Suppose that $p \in H_{T} \backslash\left(H_{q_{1}} \cup H_{q_{2}} \cup C \cup S\right)$. By our proof of Lemma 3.4, we obtain that $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of type $\Delta_{2}$ (resp. $\Delta_{6}$ ) if $\sharp \Lambda=2$ (resp. 1) as the number of total branched point not contained in $D_{T}$ is 2 (resp. 1) for the type $\Delta_{2}$ (resp. $\Delta_{6}$ ). Hence we obtain Theorem 1.2 for the case where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=A_{1}+2 A_{2}$.


Figure 4: The case where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=A_{1}+2 A_{2}$

Next, we consider the case where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=2 A_{2}$. In this case, $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of either type $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{4}, \Delta_{11}, \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{15}$ or $\Delta_{C 1}$. Put $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$. Again, the tangent cone of $q_{i}$ consists of $H_{T}$ and another plane $H_{q_{i}}$. By [2], put

$$
F:=W^{3}+k W X^{2}+W Y Z+X^{3} \quad\left(4 k^{3}+27 \neq 0\right)
$$

On $H_{T}$, the relative position of $H_{q_{1}}, H_{q_{2}}$ and $S$ is given as in Figure 5. Suppose


Figure 5: The case where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=2 A_{2}$
that $p=H_{T} \cap H_{q_{1}} \cap H_{q_{2}}$. By Lemma 4.4, $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of type $\Delta_{15}$ or $\Delta_{C 1}$. We denote by $n_{T}$ the number of total branched point not contained in $L_{T}=f_{p}\left(H_{T}\right)$. For the type $\Delta_{15}$ (resp. $\Delta_{C 1}$ ), we have $n_{T}=0$ (resp. $\infty$ ). Since ${ }^{\sharp} \Lambda=0$ (resp. $\infty$ ) if $k \neq 0$ (resp. $k=0$ ), we obtain $\Delta_{15}$ (resp. $\Delta_{C 1}$ ). Suppose that $p$ is contained in either $H_{T} \cap H_{q_{1}}$ or $H_{T} \cap H_{q_{2}}$. Then $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of either type $\Delta_{11}$ or $\Delta_{13}$. By the same way as in the cases of $\Delta_{15}$ and $\Delta_{C 1}$, we obtain $\Delta_{11}$ (resp. $\Delta_{13}$ ) if $k \neq 0$ (resp. $k=0$ ) as ${ }^{\sharp} \Lambda=1$
(resp. 0). Assume that $p \notin H_{q_{1}} \cup H_{q_{2}}$. Then $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of either type $\Delta_{1}$ or $\Delta_{4}$. For all $k$, we have $\Delta_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Delta_{4}\right)$ if $\sharp \Lambda=2$ (resp. 1) as $n_{T}=2$ (resp. 1). Hence we obtain Theorem 1.2 for the case where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=2 A_{2}$.

Finally, we consider the case where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=\widetilde{E}_{6}$. In this case, $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of either type $\Delta_{18}, \Delta_{C 4}$ or $\Delta_{Q 2}$. Put $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}=D_{T}+D_{S}$ as in Introduction. $D_{T}$ consists of one (resp. two, three) line(s) if $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of type $\Delta_{18}$ (resp. $\Delta_{Q 2}, \Delta_{C 4}$ ). Let

$$
\mathcal{H}:=\left\{H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} \mid H \text { is a plane such that } H \cap S \text { is a line }\right\} .
$$

For each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, by (ii) of Lemma 4.1, $\pi_{p}$ is totally branched along $f_{p}(H)$ if $p \in H \backslash S$. Take $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $p \in H \backslash S$ and put

$$
n_{H, p}:=\sharp\left\{H^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H} \mid p \in H^{\prime}\right\} .
$$

Considering irreducible components of $D_{T}$, we obtain that $\Delta_{\pi_{p}}$ is of type $\Delta_{18}$ (resp. $\Delta_{Q 2}, \Delta_{C 4}$ ) if $n_{H, p}=1$ (resp. 2, 3). Hence we obtain Theorem 1.2 for the case where $\operatorname{Sing}(S)=\widetilde{E}_{6}$.
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