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#### Abstract

Abstact: The purpose of this paper is to show that a complete $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional Kaehler manifold with positively lower bounded totally real bisectional curvature and constant scalar curvature is globally isometric to a complex projective space $P_{n}(C)$ with FubiniStudy metric.


## 0. Introduction

R.L. Bishop and S.I Goldberg [2] introduced the notion of totally real bisectional curvature $B(X, Y)$ on a Kaehler manifold $M$. It is determined by a totally real plane $[X, Y$ ] and its image $[J X, J Y$ ] by the complex structure $J$, where $[X, Y$ ] denotes the plane spanned by linearly independent vector fields $X$, and $Y$. Moreover the above two planes $[X, Y]$ and $[J X, J Y]$ are orthogonal to each other. And it is known that two orthonormal vectors $X$ and $Y$ span a totally real plane if and only if $X, Y$ and $J Y$ are orthonormal
C.S. Houh [7] showed that ( $n \geq 3$ )-dimensional Kaehler manifold with constant totally real bisectional curvature is congruent to a complex space form of constant
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holomorphic sectional curvature $H(X)=c$, where $H(X)$ is determined by the holomorphic plane $[X, J X]$.

On the other hand, S.I. Goldberg and S. Kobayashi [5] introduced the notion of holomorphic bisectional curvature $H(X, Y)$, which is determined by two holomorphic planes $[X, J X]$ and $[Y, J Y$ ] and asserted that a complex projective space $P_{n}(C)$ is the only compact Kaehler manifold with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature $H(X, Y)$ and constant scalar curvature. If we compare the notion of $B(X, Y)$ with $H(X, Y)$ and $H(X)$, it can be easily seen that the positiveness of $B(X, Y)$ is weaker than the positiveness of $H(X, Y)$, because $H(X, Y)>0$ implies that both of $B(X, Y)$ and $H(X)$ are positive but neither $B(X, Y)>0$ nor $H(X)>0$ implies $H(X, Y)>0$.

In section 1 we introduce a local formula for Kaehler manifolds, which will be used to prove our main result. And in section 2 let us find a relation between the totally real bisectional curvature and the sectional curvature of a Kaehler manifold $M$. Also the further relation between the totally real bisectional curvature and the holomorphic sectional curvature of $M$ will be treated. Moreover in this section we calculate the totally real bisectional curvature of the complex quadric $Q_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ immersed in a complex projective space $P_{n+1}(c)$ with the constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$. In section 3 we will prove that a complete Kaehler manifold $M$ with positively lower bounded totally real bisectional curvature $B(X, Y) \geq b>0$ and constant scalar curvature is congruent to a complex projective space $P_{n}(C)$. Before to obtain this result we should verify that a Kaehler manifold $M$ with $B(X, Y) \geq b>$ 0 is Einstein Moreover we also show that the positive constant $b$ in the above
estimation is best possible, because we can find that there is a complete Kaehler manifold with non-negative totally real bisectional curvature $B(X, Y) \geq 0$ but not Einstein
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## 1. Local formulas.

This section is concerned with local formula for Kaehler manifolds. Let $M$ be a complex $n$-dimensional connected Kaehler manifold. Then we can choose a local unitary frame field $\left\{E_{A}\right\}=\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}\right\}$ on a neighborhood of $M$. With respect to this frame field, let $\left\{\omega_{A}\right\}$ be its local dual frame fields. Then the Kaehlerian metric tensor $g$ of $M$ is given by $g=2 \Sigma_{A} \omega_{A} \otimes \bar{\omega}_{A}$. The canonical forms $\omega_{A}$ and the connection forms $\omega_{A B}$ of $M$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega_{A}+\Sigma \omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}=0, \quad \omega_{A B}+\bar{\omega}_{B A}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \omega_{A B}+\Sigma \omega_{A C} \wedge \omega_{C B}=\Omega_{A B}  \tag{1.2}\\
& \Omega_{A B}=\Sigma R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}} \omega_{C} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{D}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{A B}$ (resp. $R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}$ ) denotes the Riemannian curvature form (resp. the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ ) on $M$.

The second equation of (1.1) means the skew-hermitian symmetry of $\Omega_{A B}$, which is equivalent to the symmetric conditions

$$
R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}=\bar{R}_{\bar{B} A D \bar{C}}
$$

The Bianchi identities $\Sigma_{B} \Omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}=0$ obtained by the exterior derivative of (1.1) and (1.2) give the further symmetric relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\overline{A B C D}}=R_{\overline{A C B D}}=R_{\overline{D B C \bar{A}}}=R_{\overline{D C B \bar{A}}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, with respect to the frame chosen above, the Ricci-tensor $S$ of $M$ can be expressed as follows;

$$
S=\Sigma\left(S_{C D} \omega_{C} \otimes \bar{\omega}_{D}+S_{C D} \bar{\omega}_{C} \otimes \omega_{D}\right)
$$

where $S_{C D}=\Sigma_{B} R_{B B C D}=S_{\overline{D C}}=\bar{S}_{\overline{C D}}$. The scalar curvature $r$ is also given by

$$
r=2 \Sigma_{D} S_{D D}
$$

The Kaehlerian manifold $M$ is said to be Einstein if the Ricci tensor $S$ is given by

$$
S_{C D}=\lambda \delta_{C D}, \quad \lambda=\frac{r}{2 n}
$$

for a constant $\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is called the Ricci curvature of the Einstein manifold.
The component $R_{\overline{A B C D E}}$ and $R_{\bar{A} B C D E}$ of the covariant derivative of the Riemannian curvature tensor $R\left(\right.$ resp. $S_{A B C}$ and $S_{A B C}$ of the Ricci tensor $S$ ) are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{E}\left(R_{A B C D E} \omega_{E}+R_{A B C D E} \bar{\omega}_{E}\right)=d R_{A B C D}-\Sigma\left(R_{E B C D} \bar{\omega}_{E A}\right. \\
\left.+R_{\overline{A E C D}} \omega_{E B}+R_{\bar{A} B E D} \omega_{E C}+R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{E}} \bar{\omega}_{E D}\right), \\
\Sigma_{C}\left(S_{A \bar{B} C} \omega C+S_{A \bar{B} \bar{C}} \bar{\omega}_{C}\right)=d S_{A \bar{B}}-\Sigma\left(S_{C \bar{B}} \omega_{C A}+S_{A \bar{C}} \bar{\omega}_{C B}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The second Bianchi formula is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{A} B C D E}=R_{\bar{A} B E D}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A B C}=S_{C \overleftarrow{B} A}=\Sigma_{D} R_{B A C D D}, \quad r_{A}=2 \Sigma_{C} S_{B C C}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d r=\Sigma_{C}\left(r_{C} \omega_{C}+\bar{r}_{C} \bar{\omega}_{C}\right)$. The components $S_{A B C D}$ and $S_{A B C D}$ of the covariant derivative of $S_{A B C}$ are expressed by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Sigma_{D}\left(S_{A \bar{B} C D} \omega_{D}+\right.  \tag{1.6}\\
\left.S_{A \bar{B} C \bar{D}} \bar{\omega}_{D}\right)=d S_{A \bar{B} C}-\Sigma_{D}\left(S_{D \bar{B} C} \omega_{D A}\right. \\
\left.+S_{A D C} \bar{\omega}_{D B}+S_{A \bar{B} D} \omega_{D C}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

By the exterior differentiation of the definition of $S_{A \bar{B} C}$ and by taking account of (1.6) the Ricci formula for the Ricci tensor $S$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A B C D}-S_{A B D C}=\Sigma_{E}\left(R_{D C A E} S_{E B}-R_{D C E B} S_{A E}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sectional curvature of the holomorphic plane $P$ spanned by $u$ and $J u$ is called the holomorphic sectional curvature, which is denoted by $H(P)=H(u)$. A Kaehler manifold $M$ is said to be of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if its holomorphic sectional curvature $H(P)$ is constant for all $P$ and for all points of $M$. Then $M$ is called a complex space form, which is denoted by $M_{n}(c)$, provided that it is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$, of complex dimension $n$. The standard models of complex space forms are the following three kinds: the complex Euclidean space $C^{n}$, the complex projective space $P_{n}(C)$ or the complex hyperbolic space $H_{n}(C)$, according as $c=0, c>0$ or $c<0$.

Now, the Riemannian curvature tensor $R_{A B C D}$ of $M_{n}(c)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{A} B C D}=\frac{c}{2}\left(\delta_{A B} \delta_{C D}+\delta_{A C} \delta_{B D}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all, let us introduce a fundamental property for the generalized maximal principal due to H.Omori [10] and S.T. Yau [12].

Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below on $M$. Let $F$ be a $C^{\mathbf{2}}$-function bounded from below on $M$, then for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a point $p$ such $t$ hat

$$
|\nabla F(p)|<\epsilon, \quad \Delta F(p)>-\epsilon \quad \text { and } \quad \inf F+\epsilon>F(p) .
$$

## 2. Totally real bisectional curvature.

Let ( $M, g$ ) be an $n$-dimensional Kaehlerian manifold with almost complex structure $J$. In this section, we consider a Kaehlerian manifold with totally real bisectional curvature, which is determined by a totally real plane [ $u, v$ ] and its image [ $J u, J v$ ] by the complex structure $J$. That is, the totally real bisectional curvature is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(u, v)=g(R(u, J u) J v, v) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[u, v]$ means the totally real plane section such that $g(u, u)=g(v, v)=1$, $g(u, v)=0$ and $g(u, J v)=0$. Then for a Kaehlerian manifold, using the first Bianchi-identity to (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
B(u, v) & =g(R(u, J v) J v, u)+g(R(u, v) v, u)  \tag{2.2}\\
& =K(u, v)+K(u, J v)
\end{align*}
$$

where $K(u, v)$ means the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by $u$ and $v$.
Now if we put $u^{\prime}=\frac{u+v}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $v^{\prime}=\frac{J(u-v)}{\sqrt{2}}$, then it is easily seen that $g\left(u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=$ $g\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=1$, and $g\left(u^{\prime}, J v^{\prime}\right)=0$. Thus $B\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=g\left(R\left(u^{\prime}, J u^{\prime}\right) J v^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 B\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)-2 B(u, v)=H(u)+H(v)-4 K(u, J v) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(u)=K(u, J u)$, and $H(v)=K(v, J v)$ means the holomorphic sectional curvatures of the plane [ $u, J u$ ] and $[v, J v]$ respectively.

If we put $u^{\prime \prime}=\frac{u+J v}{\sqrt{2}}$, and $v^{\prime \prime}=\frac{J u+v}{\sqrt{2}}$, then we get $g\left(u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime}\right)=g\left(v^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$ and $g\left(u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. Using the similar method as in (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 B\left(u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)-2 B(u, v)=H(u)+H(v)-4 K(u, v) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 B\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)+2 B\left(u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)=H(u)+H(v) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we calculate the totally real bisectional curvatures of some manifolds.
Example 2.1 Let $M_{n}(c)$ be a complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$ and $[u, v]$ be a totally real plane section. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(u, v)= & g(R(u, J u) J v, v) \\
= & \frac{c}{4}\{g(u, v) g(J u, J v)-g(u, J v) g(J u, v)+g(J u, v) g(-u, J v) \\
& -g(J u, J v) g(-u, v)-2 g(J u, J v) g(-u, v)\} \\
= & \frac{c}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $M_{n}(c)$ is a space of complex space form of constant totally real bisectional curvature $\frac{c}{2 .}$

As a Kaehler manifold which is not of constant totally real bisectional curvature, we introduce the following example.

Example 2.2 Let $Q_{n}$ be a complex quadric in $P_{n+1}(c)$ and $[u, v]$ a totally real plane section Since $Q_{n}$ is represented as a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type, its sectional curvature is non-negative(cf [8]). Thus by (2.2) we know that the totally real bisectional curvature $B(u, v)$ of $Q_{n}$ is non-negative Now let us estimate the upper bounds of $B(u, v)$ of $Q_{n}$. For the action of $G=S O(n+2)$ on $Q_{n}$, the isotropy group $H$ turns out to be $S O(2) \times S O(n)$, where $S O(n)$ denotes the group of special orthogonal $n \times n$-matrices.

The canonical decomposition of the Lie algebra of the group $G$ is

$$
\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{H}+\mathcal{M}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{O}(n+2), \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{O}(2)+\mathcal{O}(n), \mathcal{M}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & -{ }^{t} \xi \\ 0 & 0 & -{ }^{t} \eta \\ \xi & \eta & 0\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, \xi, \eta \in R^{n}\right\}$, and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ denotes the Lie algebra of the special orthogonal group $S O(n)$.

Identifying $(\xi, \eta) \in R^{n}+R^{n}$ with the above matrix in $\mathcal{M}$, we define an inner product $g$ on $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ by

$$
g\left((\xi, \eta),\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)=\frac{2}{c}\left\{<\xi, \xi^{\prime}>+<\eta, \eta^{\prime}>\right\}
$$

where $<\xi, \xi^{\prime}>$ is the standard inner product in $R^{n}$. We also define a complex structure $J$ on $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$
J(\xi, \eta)=(-\eta, \xi)
$$

The curvature tensor $R$ at the origin is given by the following

$$
R\left((\xi, \eta),\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)=a d\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\lambda & 0 \\
\lambda & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & B
\end{array}\right), \quad B \in O(n)
$$

where $\lambda=<\xi^{\prime}, \eta>-<\xi, \eta^{\prime}>, B=\frac{c}{4}\left\{\xi \wedge \xi^{\prime}+\eta \wedge \eta^{\prime}\right\}$, and $\left(\xi \wedge \xi^{\prime}\right) \eta=\frac{4}{c}\{<$ $\left.\xi^{\prime}, \eta>\xi-<\xi, \eta>\xi^{\prime}\right\}$. Thus for unit elements $u=(\xi, \eta), v=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{M}$, the holomorphic bisectional curvature is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
H(u, v) & =g(R(u, J u) J v, v)=\frac{2}{c}\left\{<-B \eta^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}>+<B \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}>\right\}+\frac{c}{2} g(v, v) \\
& =\frac{8}{c}\left\{<\xi, \xi^{\prime}><\eta, \eta^{\prime}>-<\xi, \eta^{\prime}><\xi^{\prime}, \eta>\right\}+\frac{c}{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

And the holomorphic sectional curvature $H(u)$ is given by

$$
H(u)=g(R(u, J u) J u, u)=\frac{8}{c}\left(|\xi|^{2}|\eta|^{2}-<\xi, \eta>^{2}\right)+\frac{c}{2} \geq \frac{c}{2} .
$$

In fact, since the complex quadric $Q_{n}$ is a Hermitian symmetric space of compact type with rank 2, by K.Ogiue and R. Takagi [9] the holomorphic sectional curvature $H(u)$ of $Q_{n}$ is holomorphically pinched as $\frac{c}{2} \leq H(u) \leq c$.

Now we consider the totally real bisectional curvature of the complex quadric $Q_{n}$. Let $[u, v]$ be a totally real plane section such that $u=(\xi, \eta), v=\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)$, and
$J v=\left(-\eta^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$. Then $u, v, J u$ and $J v$ constitute orthonormal unit elements in $\mathcal{M}$. That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(u, v)=\frac{2}{c}\left\{<\xi, \xi^{\prime}>+<\eta, \eta^{\prime}>\right\}=0, \\
& g(u, J v)=\frac{2}{c}\left\{<\xi,-\eta^{\prime}>+<\eta, \xi^{\prime}>\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these together with (2.6) the totally real bisectional curvature is given by

$$
B(u, v)=-\frac{8}{c}\left\{<\xi, \xi^{\prime}>^{2}+<\xi, \eta^{\prime}>^{2}\right\}+\frac{c}{2}
$$

From this, using the elementary method of Lagrange multiplier rule, it can be easily seen that the totally real bisectional curvature $B(u, v)$ is bounded as

$$
-\frac{3}{2} c \leq B(u, v) \leq \frac{1}{2} c
$$

where the upper equality holds if and only if $\xi$ is orthogonal to $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ in $R^{n}$. Accordingly, it follows that

$$
0 \leq B(u, v) \leq \frac{1}{2} c
$$

for any totally real plane $[u, v]$ of $M$, because we have already known that the totally real bisectional curvature of the complex quadric $Q_{n}$ is non- negative.
3. Complete Kaehler manifolds with positive totally real bisectional curvature.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Kaehler manifold with the complex structure $J$. We can choose a local field of orthonormal frames $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, u_{1}{ }^{*}=J u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}{ }^{*}=J u_{n}$
on a neighborhood on $M$. With respect to this frame field, let $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ * be the field of dual frames.

Let us denote by $\theta=\left(\theta_{A B}, \theta_{A^{\bullet} B}, \theta_{A B^{*}}, \theta_{A^{*} B^{*}}\right), A, B=1, \ldots, n$ the connection form of $M$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{A B}=\theta_{A^{*} B^{*}}, \theta_{A B^{*}}=-\theta_{A^{*} B}, \theta_{A B}=-\theta_{B A}, \text { and } \quad \theta_{A B^{*}}=\theta_{B A^{*}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we set $e_{A}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(u_{A}-i u_{A}\right), e_{\bar{A}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(u_{A}+i u_{A}\right)$. Then $\left\{e_{A}, e_{\bar{A}}\right\}$ constitute a local field of unitary frames. And let us denote by $\omega_{A}=\theta_{A}+i \theta_{A^{*}}$ and $\bar{\omega}_{A}=$ $\theta_{A}-i \theta_{A^{*}}$ its dual frame fields respectively. Then the components of Kaehler metric $g=2 \Sigma_{A} \omega_{A} \otimes \bar{\omega}_{A}$ and the metric components of the Riemannian curvature tensor are given by the following respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{B C}=g_{B C}+i g_{B C}{ }^{*} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{A} B C D}=-\left\{K_{A B C D}+K_{A^{*} B C * D}+i\left(-K_{A B C * D}+K_{A^{*} B C D}\right)\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{A B C D}=g_{A E} R_{B C D}^{E}$. Thus for the case of $A=B, C=D, B \neq C$ in (3.3), the totally real bisectional curvature is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{B} B C \bar{C}}=-K_{B * B C}{ }^{*} C=K_{B B^{*} C}{ }^{*} C=B\left(u_{B}, u_{C}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case of $A=B=C=D$ in (3.3), the holomorphic sectional curvature is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\tilde{B} B B \bar{B}}=g\left(R\left(u_{B}, J u_{B}\right) J u_{B}, u_{B}\right)=H\left(u_{B}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1 From (1.8) and (3.4) we know that for any totally real plane section $[u, v]$ the totally real bisectional curvature $B(u, v)$ of a complex space form $M_{n}(c)$ is $\frac{c}{2}$ which is the same value as in Example 2.1.

On the other hand, S.I. Goldberg and S. Kobayashi [5] showed that a Kaehler manifold with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature and constant scalar curvature is Einstein. It is well known that the Ricci 2-form is harmonic if and only if the scalar curvature is constant. In order to prove that the second Betti number of a compact connected Kaehler manifold $M$ with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature $H(X, Y)>0$ is one they have used the fact that $H(X)>0$. Thus the Ricci 2-form is propotional to the Kaehler 2-form, so that $M$ becomes to an Einstein manifold. But the condition $B(X, Y)>0$ is weaker than the condition of $H(X, Y)>0$ we can not use $H(X)>0$ to obtain the above result. From this point of view by means of Theorem 1.1 we can obtain the following

Theorem 3.1 Let $M$ be a complete $n$-dimensional Kaehler manifold with constant scalar curvature. Assume that the totally real bisectional curvature is lower bounded for some positive constant $b$. Then $M$ is Einstein

Proof. Since $\left(S_{B} \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ is a Hermitian matrix, it can be diagonalizable. Thus $S_{B C}=\lambda_{B} \delta_{B C}$, where $\lambda_{B}$ is a real valued function From this it follows that $r=2 \Sigma_{B} S_{B B}=2 \Sigma_{B} \lambda_{B}$. Now we put $S_{2}=\Sigma_{B, C} S_{B C} S_{C B}$. Then it yields easily that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}-\frac{r^{2}}{4 n}=\Sigma \lambda_{B}^{2}-\frac{\left(\Sigma \lambda_{B}\right)^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{2 n} \Sigma_{B, C}\left(\lambda_{B}-\lambda_{C}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have assumed that the scalar curvature $r$ of $M$ is constant, from (1.5) it follows $\Sigma_{B} S_{B \bar{B} C}=\Sigma_{B} S_{C \bar{B} B}=0$. Together with this fact using (1.5) and the Ricci formula (1.7) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta S_{B C} & =\Sigma_{D} S_{B C D D}=\Sigma_{D} S_{D C B D} \\
& =\Sigma_{E, D}\left(R_{D B D E} S_{E C}-R_{D B E C} S_{D E}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, if we use the first Bianchi-identity (1.3) to the final term, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta S_{B C} & =\Sigma_{E}\left(S_{B E} S_{E \bar{C}}-\Sigma_{D} R_{\overline{D E B C}} S_{D \tilde{E}}\right) \\
& =\lambda_{B} S_{B C}-\Sigma_{A} \lambda_{A} R_{A A B C}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S_{2}=\frac{1}{2}|\nabla S|^{2}+\Sigma_{B, C} S_{\bar{C} B}\left(\lambda_{B} S_{B \bar{C}}-\Sigma_{A} \lambda_{A} R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{C}}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\nabla S|^{2}=2 \Sigma S_{A \bar{B} C} \bar{S}_{A B C}$. Since the second term of the right hand side is reduced to

$$
\Sigma_{A, B}\left(\lambda_{B}^{2} R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{B}}-\lambda_{A} \lambda_{B} R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{B}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{A, B}\left(\lambda_{A}-\lambda_{B}\right)^{2} R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{B}}
$$

we get the following inequality by (3.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta S_{2} \geq \Sigma\left(\lambda_{A}-\lambda_{B}\right)^{2} R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{B}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the above equality holds if and only if the Ricci tensor $S$ is parallel on $M$.

Now let us consider a non-negative function $f=S_{2}-\frac{r^{2}}{4 n}$. Then from (3.6),(3.8) and the assumption it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f \geq 2 n b f \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the above equality holds if and only if the Ricci tensor $S$ is parallel on $M$. In order to prove this theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 Under the same assumption as stated in Theorem 3.1 the Riccicurvature is bounded from below.

Proof. From the assumption and (2.5) it follows that

$$
H(u)+H(v) \geq 4 b
$$

Using (3.5) to the above equation for $u=u_{A}, v=u_{B}, A \neq B$, then we can rewrite the above inequality as the following

$$
R_{\bar{A} A A \bar{A}}+R_{\bar{B} B B \bar{B}} \geq 4 b
$$

If we put $R_{A}=R_{\bar{A} A A \bar{A}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{A}+R_{B} \geq 4 b \quad(A \neq B) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\Sigma_{A<B}\left(R_{A}+R_{B}\right) \geq 2 n(n-1) b$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{A} R_{A} \geq 2 n b \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $R_{A}=2 b$ for any $A$.

On the other hand, from the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r=2 \Sigma_{A} S_{A A}=2 \Sigma_{A, B} R_{A A B B} & =2\left(\Sigma_{A} R_{A}+\Sigma_{A \neq B} R_{A A B B}\right) \\
& \geq 2 \Sigma_{A} R_{A}+2 n(n-1) b
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{A} R_{A} \leq \frac{r}{2}-n(n-1) b \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{B}}=b$ for any $A, B(A \neq B)$. In this case due to C.S.Houh [7] $M$ is congruent to $M_{n}(2 b)$. From (3.11) and (3.12) we know that $r \geq 2 n(n+1) b$. Thus from the assumption the scalar curvature $r$ is positive constant. Also (3.10) gives $\Sigma_{B=2}^{n}\left(R_{1}+R_{B}\right) \geq 4(n-1) b$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-2) R_{1}+\Sigma_{B} R_{B} \geq 4(n-1) b \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this and (3.12) it follows

$$
(n-2) R_{1} \geq 4(n-1) b-\Sigma_{B} R_{B} \geq 4(n-1) \dot{b}-\left\{\frac{r}{2}-n(n-1) b\right\}
$$

Thus if we use the similar method to the other index, we can assert the following

$$
(n-2) R_{B} \geq(n-1)(n+4) b-\frac{r}{2}
$$

for any index $B$, so that $R_{B}$ is bounded from below for $n \geq 3$. Moreover the above equality holds for some index $B$ if and only if $M$ is congruent to $M_{n}(2 b)$. Accordingly the Ricci-curvature is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{A}=S_{A \bar{A}}=\Sigma_{B} R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{B}} & =R_{A}+\Sigma_{A \neq B} R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{B}} \\
& \geq R_{A}+(n-1) b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the Ricci-curvature is also bounded from below. Now Lemma 3.2 is proved.
Now we will complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. For a constant $a>0$, we consider a smooth positive function $F=(f+a)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus, from Lemma 3.2 we can apply Theorem 1.1(H.Omori [10] and S.T. Yau [12]) to the function $F=(f+a)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for the given $f$. Given any positive number $\epsilon>0$, there exists a point $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla F|(p)<\epsilon, \quad \Delta F(p)>-\epsilon, \quad F(p)<\inf F+\epsilon \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the Laplacian of the function $F$ can be calculated by

$$
\Delta F=\Sigma_{k}\left\{(f+a)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}_{k k}=\frac{3}{4} F^{5} \Sigma_{k} f_{k} f_{k}-\frac{1}{2} F^{3} \Delta f
$$

where $f_{k}$ and $f_{\bar{k}}$ denote $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{k}}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_{k}}$ respectively. From this and (3.15), together with the fact that

$$
|\nabla F|=|\operatorname{grad} F|^{2}=2 \Sigma_{k} F_{k} F_{k}=\frac{1}{2} F^{6} \Sigma_{k} f_{k} f_{k}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(3 \epsilon+2 F(p))>F(p)^{4} \Delta f(p) \geq 0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for a convergent sequence $\left\{\epsilon_{m}\right\}$ such that $\epsilon_{m}>0$ and $\epsilon_{m} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, there is a point sequence $\left\{p_{m}\right\}$ so that the sequence $\left\{F\left(p_{m}\right)\right\}$ satisfies (3.15) and converges to $F_{0}$, by taking a subsequence, if necessary, because the sequence $\left\{F\left(p_{m}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. From the definition of the infimum and (3.15) we have $F_{0}=\inf F$ and hence $f\left(p_{m}\right) \rightarrow f_{0}=s u p f$. It follows from (3.16) that we have

$$
\epsilon_{m}\left\{3 \epsilon_{m}+2 F\left(p_{m}\right)\right\}>F\left(p_{m}\right)^{4} \Delta f\left(p_{m}\right)
$$

and the left hand side converges to 0 because the function $F$ is bounded. Thus we get

$$
F\left(p_{m}\right)^{4} \Delta f\left(p_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad(m \rightarrow \infty)
$$

As is already seen, the Ricci-curvature is bounded from below ie, so is any $\lambda_{B}$. Since $r=2 \Sigma_{B} \lambda_{B}$ is constant, $\lambda_{B}$ is bounded from above. Hence $F=(f+a)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded from below by a positive constant. From (3.17) it follows that $\Delta f\left(p_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $b>0$, by (3.9) we have that

$$
\Delta f\left(p_{m}\right) \geq \frac{n}{2} b f\left(p_{m}\right) \geq 0
$$

Thus we have $f\left(p_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0=\inf f$. Since $f\left(p_{m}\right) \rightarrow \sup f$, we have $\sup f=\inf f=0$. Hence $f=0$ on $M$. That is, $M$ is Einstein. This completes the above proof of Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.2 The positive constant $b>0$ in Theorem 3.1 is best possible. Because there is a complete Kaehler manifold with non-negative totally real bisectional curvature $B(u, v) \geq 0$ but not Einstein as follows: Consider a product manifold $M=P_{n^{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times P_{n^{2}}\left(c_{2}\right)$. Then from (3.8) we know that its totally real bisectional curvature is given by

$$
R_{\bar{A} A B \bar{B}}= \begin{cases}R_{\bar{a} a b \bar{b}}=\frac{c_{1}}{2} & (A=a, B=b), \\ 0 & (A=a, B=s), \\ R_{\bar{r} r s \bar{s}}=\frac{c_{2}}{2} & (A=r, B=s)\end{cases}
$$

where indices $A, B(A \neq B), \ldots ; 1, \ldots, n_{1}, n_{1}+1, \ldots, n_{2}$, and $a, b, . . ; 1, \ldots, n_{1}, r, s, . . ; n_{1}+$ $1, \ldots, n_{2}$.

And its Ricci-tensor is given by the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{A \bar{B}}=\Sigma_{C} R_{B A C C} & =\Sigma_{a} R_{B A a \bar{a}}+\Sigma_{r} R_{B A r \bar{T}} \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{n_{1}+1}{2} c_{1} \delta_{b c} & (B=c, A=b), \\
0 & (B=s, A=b), \\
\frac{n_{2}+1}{2} c_{2} \delta_{t s} & (B=s, A=t) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for the case where $\left(n_{1}+1\right) c_{1} \neq\left(n_{2}+1\right) c_{2}, M=P_{n^{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times P_{n^{2}}\left(c_{2}\right)$ is not Einstein
Since a complete Kaehler manifold $M$ with the assumption in Theorem 3.1 is known to be Einstein and its scalar curvature $r$ is positive constant, its Ricci-tensor is positive definite. Thus by using a theorem of Myers we can assert that $M$ is compact [8]. Now let us introduce a theorem of S.I Goldberg and S. Kobayashi[5], which is slight different from the original one

Theorem A. An n-dimensional compact connected Kaehler manifold with an Einstein metric of totally real bisectional curvature is globally isometric to $P_{n}(C)$ with Fubini-Stud y met ric.

Though the original theorem in [5] are assumed with positive holomorphic bisectional curvature, the above result in Theorem A also holds for the assumption with positive totally real bisectional curvature. Thus combining Theorem A and Theorem 3.1 we can assert the following

Theorem 3.3 Let $M$ be a complete $n(\geq 3)$-dimensional Kaehler manifold with constant scalar curvature. Assume that the totally real bisectional curvature is lower bounded for some positive constant b. Then $M$ is globally isometric to $P_{n}(C)$ with Fubini-Stud y met ric.
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