Certain anti-holomorphic submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds*

By Noriaki Sato

1. Introduction

Let $(\widetilde{M}, J, \langle \rangle)$ (or briefly \widetilde{M}) be an almost Hermitian manifold with the almost Hermitian structure (J, \langle , \rangle) and M be a Riemannian submanifold of \widetilde{M} . If $JT_x(M)$ $=T_x(M)$ at each point x of M, $T_x(M)$ being the tangent space over M in \widetilde{M} , then M is called a holomorphic submanifold of \widetilde{M} . If $JT_x(M) \subset T_x^{\perp}(M)$ at each point x of M, $T_x^{\perp}(M)$ being the normal space over M in \widetilde{M} , then M is called a *totally real* submanifold of \widetilde{M} . If $JT_x^{\perp}(M) \subset T_x(M)$ for all point x of M, then M is called an *anti-holomorphic* (also known as a generic) submanifold of \widetilde{M} . If, in particular, $JT_x^{\perp}(M) = T_x(M)$, then an anti-holomorphic submanifold M is a totally real submanifold such that dim M = 1/2 dim M. In this case, M is called an anti-invariant submanifold of M. M is called a CR-submanifold of \widetilde{M} if there exists a C^{∞} -holomorphic distribution \mathfrak{D} (i.e., $J\mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{D}$) on M such that its orthogonal complement \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} is totally real (i.e., $J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} \subset T_r^{\perp}(M)$). Especially, if dim \mathfrak{D}_r^{\perp} =0 (resp. dim $\mathfrak{D}_x=0$) for any $x \in M$, a CR-submanifold M is a holomorphic (resp. totally real) submanifold of M. A proper CR-submanifold (resp. anti-holomorphic submanifold) of an almost Hermitian manifold is a CR-submanifold (resp. anti-holomorphic submanifold) with non-trivial holomorphic distribution and totally real distribution. If dim \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} =codim M (=dim M-dim M), a CR-submanifold is an anti-holomorphic submanifold of M. A CR-submanifold (or anti-holomorphic submanifold) of an almost Hermitian manifold is called a *CR-product* if it is locally the Riemannian product of a holomorphic submanifold and a totally real submanifold. We remark that every hypersurface of an almost Hermitian manifold is an anti-holomorphic submanifold. In this paper, we study the integrability conditions on anti-holomorphic submanifolds of nearly Kaehlerian manifolds (see [5]) and give some results with respect to CR-products of nearly Kaehlerian manifolds (see [4]). In particular, we study anti-holomorphic submanifolds in a 6-dimensional sphere S⁶ and obtain that if a proper anti-holomorphic submanifold is mixed-totally geodesic in S⁶ and the leaf of the totally real distribution is totally geodesic in S⁶, then the holomorphc distribution is not integrable (THEOREM 4.2).

^{*}Received July 1, 1981; revised Sept. 25, 1981.

2. Preliminaries

Let f be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian m-manifold M^m into a Riemannian *n*-manifold $\widetilde{M^n}$. For all local formulae we may consider as an imbedding and thus identify $x \in M$ with $f(x) \in \widetilde{M}$. The tangent space $T_x(M)$ is identified with a subspace of the tangent space $T_x(\widetilde{M})$. The normal space $T_x^{\perp}(M)$ is the subspace of $T_x(\widetilde{M})$ consisting of all $X \in T_x(M)$ which are orthogonal to $T_x(M)$ with respect to the Riemannian metric <, >. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ (resp. ∇) be the Riemannian connection on \widetilde{M} (resp. M) and \widetilde{R} be the Riemannian curvature for $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Moreover, we denote by σ the second fundamental form of M in \widetilde{M} . Then the Gauss formula and the Weingarten formula are given by

(2.1)
$$\sigma(X,Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_X Y$$
, for $X, Y \in T_x(M)$,

and

(2.2)
$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_{\xi} X + \nabla_Y^{\perp} \xi, \quad \text{for } \xi \in T_Y^{\perp}(M),$$

respectively, where $-A_{\xi}X$ (resp. $\nabla_X^{\perp}\xi$) denotes the tangential (resp. normal) component of $\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi$. The tangential component $A_{\xi}X$ is related to the second fundamental form σ as follows:

$$\langle \sigma(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_{\xi}X, Y \rangle, \quad \text{for } X, Y \in T_{x}(M).$$

The Codazzi equation is given by

(2.3)
$$\{\widetilde{R}(X, Y)Z\} \perp = (\nabla'_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\nabla'_Y \sigma)(X, Z),$$

where $(\nabla'_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla^{\perp}_X (\sigma(Y, Z)) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z),$

 $\{\widetilde{R}(X, Y)Z\} \perp$ is the normal component of $\widetilde{R}(X, Y)Z$, for X, Y, $Z \in T_x(M)$.

We now recall some fundamental notions of an almost Hermitian manifold. Let \tilde{M} be a 2n-dimensional manifold endowed with an almost Hermitian structure (J, <, >). Let N_J be the Nijenhuis' tensor of J. Then by the definition, N_J is given by

$$N_J(U, V) = [JU, JV] - [U, V] - J[JU, V] - J[U, JV],$$

for vector fields U, V on \widetilde{M} . It is well known that the almost complex structure J is a complex structure on \widetilde{M} if and only if N_J vanishes on \widetilde{M} . An almost Hermitian manifold $\widetilde{M} = (\widetilde{M}, J, <, >)$ is called a *nearly Kaehlerian* manifold (also known as *K-space* or *almost Tachibana* space) provided that its almost Hermitian structure (J, <, >) satisfies the condition $(\widetilde{\nabla}_X J)X = 0$ for all tangent vectors X on \widetilde{M} . Easily we get

LEMMA 2.1. Let $(\widetilde{M}, J, \langle \rangle)$ be a nearly Kaehlerian manifold, then the Nijenhuis' tensor N_J takes the following form:

$$N_J(U, V) = -4J(\widetilde{\nabla}_U J)V,$$
 for vector fields U, V on \widetilde{M} .

Let τ and τ' be two *J*-invariant planes in $T_x(\widetilde{M})$. Then the holomorphic bisectional curvature $\widetilde{H}_B(X, Y)$ is given by

(2.4) $\widetilde{H}_B(X, Y) = \widetilde{R}(X, JX, Y, JY),$

where $\langle \widetilde{R}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \widetilde{R}(X, Y, W, Z)$, and X and Y are unit vectors in τ and τ' respectively.

3. Integrability conditions on an anti-holomorphic submaifold

Lat \widetilde{M} be a 2n-dimensional nearly Kaehlerian manifold endowed with an almost Hermitian structure (J, <, >) and M be an m-dimensional Riemannian manifold immersed in \widetilde{M} . For any vector field X tangent to M, we put

 $(3.1) \qquad JX = FX + \omega X,$

where FX and ωX are the tangential and normal components of JX, respectively. If M is a holomorphic (resp. totally real) submanifold of \widetilde{M} , then ω (resp. F) in (3.1) vanishes identically. Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of \widetilde{M} . The tangent space $T_x(M)$ of M is decomposed in the following way:

 $T_x(M) = H_x(M) \oplus JT_x^{\perp}(M)$ at each point x of M,

where $H_x(M)$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $JT_x^{\perp}(M)$ in $T_x(M)$. Thus we see that

$$JH_{\mathbf{x}}(M) = H_{\mathbf{x}}(M) = T_{\mathbf{x}}(M) \cap JT_{\mathbf{x}}(M).$$

That is, $H_x(M)$ is a holomorphic subspace of $T_x(M)$. From now on, we assume that $N_J(X, Y) \in T_x(M)$ for $X, Y \in T_x(M)$.

From (2.1), (2.2) and LEMMA 2.1 we get

(3.2)
$$J\sigma(X, Y) = (\nabla_X F)Y + (\overline{\nabla}_X \omega)Y - A\omega_Y X + \sigma(X, FY) - (1/4)F(N_J(X, Y)) - (1/4)\omega(N_J(X, Y)),$$

where we have put $(\overline{\nabla}_X \omega) Y = \nabla_X^{\perp} (\omega Y) - \omega \nabla_X Y$. Since σ is symmetric and Nijenhuis' tensor is skew-symmetric, from (3.2) we get

 $(3.3) \qquad (\nabla_X F)Y - A_{\omega Y}X + \sigma(X, FY) + (\overline{\nabla}_X \omega)Y - (1/4)F(N_J(X, Y))$ $-(1/4)\omega(N_J(X, Y))$ $= (\nabla_Y F)X - A\omega_X Y + \sigma(Y, FX) + (\overline{\nabla}_Y \omega)X + (1/4)F(N_J(X, Y))$ $+(1/4)\omega(N_J(X, Y))$

Comparing the tangential and normal parts of the boht sides of (3.3), we have respectively

(3.4)
$$(\nabla_X F)Y - (\nabla_Y F)X = A_{\omega Y}X - A_{\omega X}Y + (1/2)F(N_J(X, Y)),$$

and

$$(3.5) \qquad (\overline{\nabla}_X \,\omega) \, Y - (\overline{\nabla}_Y \,\omega) X = \sigma(FX, \, Y) - \sigma(X, \, FY) + (1/2) \,\omega(N_J(X, \, Y)).$$

Applying J to the both sides of (3.1), we get

$$-X = JFX + J\omega X = F^2X + \omega FX + J\omega X.$$

Since $JT_x^{\perp}(M) \subset T_x(M)$, we see that $J\omega X \in T_x(M)$. Thus we have

- (3.6) $\omega F X = 0.$
- (3.7) $F^2 X = -X J \omega X$.

Similarly, from (3.2) we have

(3.8)
$$J\sigma(X, Y) = (\nabla_X F) Y - A_{\omega Y} X - (1/4) F(N_J(X, Y)),$$

and

(3.9)
$$\sigma(X, FY) = -(\overline{\nabla}_X \omega)Y + (1/4)\omega(N_J(X, Y)).$$

LEMMA 3.1. Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} . If M satisfies

$$(3.10) \qquad N_J(X, Y) \in JT_x^{\perp}(M), \qquad for X \in T_x(M) \text{ and } Y \in JT_x^{\perp}(M),$$

then we have

$$(3.11) \qquad A_{\omega Y} Z = A_{\omega Z} Y, \quad for Z \in JT_x^{\perp}(M).$$

The proof is similar to [5], LEMMA 2.1. Applying F to the both sides of (3.7), we have

$$F^{3}X = -FX$$
, for $X \in T_{\mathbf{x}}(M)$.

Thus we have $F^3 + F = 0$.

On the other hand, the rank of F is equal to dim M-codim M=m-(2n-m)=2(m-n) everywhere on M. Consequently, F defines an f-structure of rank 2(m-n) ([5]). We now put

$$L = -F^2$$
 and $T = F^2 + I$.

We can easily see that L and T are complementary projective operators. Thus there exist complementary distributions \mathfrak{L} and \mathfrak{T} corresponding to the projection operators L and T respectively. Since the rank of P is 2(m-n), \mathfrak{L} is 2(m-n)-dimensional and \mathfrak{T} is (2n-m)-dimensional. The distributions \mathfrak{L} and \mathfrak{T} are defined also by

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{x}} = \{ X \in T_{\mathbf{x}}(M) : \boldsymbol{\omega} X = 0 \}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{x}} = \{ X \in T_{\mathbf{x}}(M) : FX = 0 \}.$$

Hence the distribution \mathfrak{L} (resp. \mathfrak{T}) is holomorphic (resp. totally real).

In [4], we showed the following

THEOREM A. Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, J, <, >)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the holomorphic distribution \mathfrak{D} to be integrable is that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\sigma(X, JY) = \sigma(JX, Y)$$

and

$$N_J(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{D},$$
 for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{D}.$

THEOREM B. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an early Kaehlerian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, J, <, >)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the totally real distribution \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} to be integrable is that the following condition is satisfied:

 $\langle N_J(X, Z), W \rangle = \langle N_J(X, Z), JW \rangle = 0, \quad for all X \in \mathfrak{D}, Z, W \in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}.$

Hence, as the integrability conditions of \mathfrak{L} and \mathfrak{T} , we obtain

THEOREM 3.1. Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold M. If M satisfies

$$(3.12) \qquad \sigma(X, FY) = \sigma(FY, Y)$$

and

 $(3.13) \qquad N_J(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{L}, \qquad for all X, Y \in \mathfrak{L},$

then the holomorphic distribution \mathfrak{L} is integrable.

PROOF. From (3.5), we get

$$\omega [X, Y] = \omega \nabla_X Y - \omega \nabla_Y X = -(\nabla_X \omega) Y + (\nabla_Y \omega) X$$
$$= -\sigma(FX, Y) + \sigma(X, FY) - \frac{1}{2} \omega(N_J(X, Y))$$

for all X, $Y \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus the assertion is showed by (3.12) and (3.13).

Q.E.D.

Therefore the maximal integral submanifold M_1 of \mathfrak{L} through a point of \widetilde{M} is a 2(m-n)dimensional neary Kaehlerian submanifold of \widetilde{M} .

With respect to the totally real distributions \mathfrak{T} , we have

THEOREM 3.2. Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} . If M satisfies

(3.14)
$$N_J(X, Y) \in JT_r^{\perp}(M)$$
, for all X, $Y \in \mathfrak{T}$,

then the totally real distribution \mathfrak{T} is integrable.

PROOF. From (3.4) we get

$$F[Y, Y] = F \nabla_X Y - F \nabla_Y X = -(\nabla_X F) Y + (\nabla_Y F) X$$

$$= A \omega_X Y - A \omega_Y X - (1/2) F(N_J(X, Y)),$$

for all X, $Y \in \mathfrak{T}$. From LEMMA 3.1 and (3.14), we have F[X, Y]=0, for all X, $Y \in \mathfrak{T}$. Q.E.D.

Hence the maximal integral submanifold M_2 of \mathfrak{T} through a point of \widetilde{M} is a (2n-m)-dimensional totally real submanifold of \widetilde{M} .

REMARK Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} . If $JT_x^{\perp}(M) = T_x(M)$, EJIRI obtained the following identity ((2.10) in [3]):

$$[(\widetilde{\nabla}_X J)Y]^T = 0,$$
 for all $X, Y \in T_x(M),$

where $[]^T$ is a tangential component of $(\widetilde{\nabla}_X J)Y$. Thus from LEMMA 2.1 we have

$$N_J(X, Y) = -4J(\nabla_X J)Y \in JT_x^\perp J(M),$$
 for all $X, Y \in T_X(M).$

4. CR-products

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} . We denote by ν the complementary orthogonal subbundle of $J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$ in $T^{\perp}M$. Hence we have

$$T^{\perp}M = J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} \oplus \nu, \ J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} \nu.$$

In [4], we showed that if M satisfies (*) $\sigma(X, Y) \in \nu$, (**) $\sigma(X, Z) \in \nu$ and (***) $N_J(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{D} \oplus T^{\perp} M$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{D}, Z \in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$, then M is a CR-product in M. Hence we immediately see that if, in particular, M is atotally geodesic CR-submanifold of a Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} , then M is a CR-product in \widetilde{M} . Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} . Thus we remark the following

THEOREM 4.1. Let M be a totally geodesic anti-holomorphic submanifold of a Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} . If M satisfies

(***)
$$N_J(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{L} \oplus T^{\perp}M$$
, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{L}$,

then M is a CR-product in \widetilde{M} .

COROLLARY 4.1. Let M be a totally geodesic real hypersurface of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} . If M satisfies the condition (***), then M is a CR-product in \widetilde{M} .

It is well known that a 6-dimensional unit sphere S^6 admits an almost complex structure. We see that a unit sphere S^5 is a totally geodesic real hypersurface in S^6 but S^5 is not a CR-product in S^6 . We thus remark that we can not omit the condition (***).

We now consider an anti-holomorphic submanifold in a 6-dimensional sphere S⁶. Let M_2 be the maximal integral submanifold of \mathfrak{T} through a point of \widetilde{M} . Let $\sigma''(\text{resp. }\sigma_2)$ be the second fundamental form of M_2 in \widetilde{M} (resp. M). Then we have

(4.1) $\sigma''(Z, W) = \sigma_2(Z, W) + \sigma(Z, W), \text{ for } Z, W \in \mathfrak{L}.$

A CR-submanifold is said to be *mixed-totally geodesic* if $\sigma(X, Z) = 0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{D}, Z \in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$. A CR-submanifold M of an almost Hermitian manifold \widetilde{M} is said to be *mixed-totally* geodesic and if its holomorphic distribution is integrable.

From Proposition 6.2 in [4], we have

LEMMA 4.1. Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, J, <, >)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the totally real submanifold M_2 to be totally geodesic in M is that M is mixed-totally geodesic in \widetilde{M} .

From LEMMA 4.1 and (4.1) we get

LEMMA 4.2 Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, J, <, >)$. If M is mixed-totally geodesic in \widetilde{M} and M_2 is totally geodesic in \widetilde{M} , then we have

$$\sigma(Z, W) = 0$$
, for all Z, $W \in \mathfrak{T}$.

In this paper we shall show the following THEOREM.

THEOREM 4.2. Let M be a proper anti-holomorphic submanifold in S⁶. If M is mixedtotally geodesic in S⁶ and M_2 is totally geodesic in S⁶, then the holomorphic distribution is not integrable.

COROLLARY 4 2. Under the assumption of THEOREM 4.2, S⁶ has no mixed-foliate proper anti-holomorphic submanifolds.

COROLLARY 4.3. Under the assumption of THEOREM 4.2, S⁶ has no proper CR-products. PROOF of THEOREM 4.2. The Codazzi equation (2.3) implies

(4.2)
$$\{\widetilde{R}(X,JX)Z\}^{\perp} = \nabla_X^{\perp}(\sigma(JX,Z)) - \sigma(\nabla_X(JX),Z) - \sigma(JX,\nabla_XZ) - \nabla_{IX}^{\perp}(\sigma(X,Z)) + \sigma(\nabla_JXX,Z) + \sigma(X,\nabla_JXZ),$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{L}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{T}$. From (4.2) we get

$$(4.3) \qquad \langle \widetilde{R}(X,JX)Z,JZ \rangle = \langle \nabla_X^{\perp}(\sigma(JX,Z)) - \nabla_{JX}^{\perp}(\sigma(X,Z)),JZ \rangle - \langle \sigma(\nabla_X(JX),Z) - \sigma(\nabla_JXX,Z),JZ \rangle - \langle \sigma(JX,\nabla_XZ),JZ \rangle + \langle \sigma(X,\nabla_JXZ),JZ \rangle,$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{L}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{T}$.

By the assumption of THEOREM, LEMMA 4.2 and (4.3) we have

$$(4.4) \qquad \langle \widetilde{R}(X, JX)Z, JZ \rangle = -\langle \sigma (JX, \nabla_X Z), JZ \rangle + \langle \sigma(X, \nabla_J XZ), JZ \rangle \\ = -\langle A_{JZ}(JX), \nabla_X Z \rangle + \langle A_{JZ}X, \nabla_J XZ \rangle.$$

By LEMMA 2.1 we get

$$(4.5) \quad -\langle A_{JZ}(JX), \nabla_X Z \rangle = -\langle A_{JZ}(JX), \widetilde{\nabla}_X Z \rangle$$
$$= -\langle JA_{JZ}(JX), \widetilde{J} \nabla_X Z \rangle$$
$$= -\langle JA_{JZ}(JX), -A_{JZ}X + \nabla_X^{\perp}(JZ)$$
$$-(1/4)JN_J(X, Z) \rangle,$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{L}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{T}$. From the assumption we get

 $\langle A_{JZ}X, W \rangle = \langle \sigma(X, W), JZ \rangle = 0,$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{L}, Z, W \in \mathfrak{L}.$

Thus we get

(4.6) $A_{JZ}X \in \mathfrak{L}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{L}, Z \in \mathfrak{T}$.

From (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$(4.7) \qquad -\langle A_{JZ}(JX), \nabla_{X}Z \rangle = -\langle JA_{JZ}(JX), -A_{JZ}X - (1/4)JN_{J}(X,Z) \rangle$$
$$= (1/4) \langle A_{JZ}(JX), N_{J}(X,Z) \rangle - \langle A_{JZ}(JX), JA_{JZ}X \rangle \rangle.$$

Similarly we get

(4.8)
$$\langle A_{JZ}X, \nabla_{JX}Z \rangle = -(1/4) \langle A_{JZ}X, N_J(JX, Z) \rangle + \langle A_{JZ}X, JA_{JZ}(JX) \rangle.$$

From (4.4), (4.7) and (4.8) we get

$$(4.9) \quad \langle \widetilde{R}(X, JX)Z, JZ \rangle$$

$$= -2 \langle A_{JZ}(JX), JA_{JZ}X \rangle + (1/4) \langle A_{JZ}(JX), N_J(X, Z) \rangle$$

$$-(1/4) \langle A_{JZ}X, N_J(JX, Z) \rangle, \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{L}, Z \in \mathfrak{T}.$$

A Nijenhuis' tensor of \widetilde{M} satisfies the following identity:

(4.10) $\langle N_J(U, V), W \rangle = \langle N_J(V, W), U \rangle$, for all $U, V, W \in T_x(\widetilde{M})$. From (4.6), (4.9) and (4.10) we have

$$(4.11) \qquad \widetilde{H}_{B}(X, Z) = 2 \langle A_{JZ}(JX), JA_{JZ}X \rangle + (1/4) \langle N_{J}(X, A_{JZ}(JX)), Z \rangle \\ - (1/4) \langle N_{J}(JX, A_{JZ}X), Z \rangle.$$

For an orthonormal basis $\{Ei\}$ $(i=1,\ldots,m)$ at $T_x(M)$, we get

$$(4.12) \qquad 2 \langle A_{JZ}(JX), JA_{JZ}X \rangle = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle A_{JZ}(JX), E_i \rangle \langle JA_{JZ}X, E_i \rangle$$
$$= -2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle \sigma(JX, E_i), JZ \rangle \langle \sigma(X, JE_i), JZ \rangle$$

If the holomorphic distribution is integrable, then Theorem 3.2, (4.6), (4.11) and (4.12) we have

$$\widetilde{H}_B(X, Z) = -2\sum_{i=1}^m \langle \sigma(JX, E_i), JZ \rangle^2 \leq 0.$$

This is a contradiction since S⁶ has positive holomorphic bisectional curvature.

Q.E.D.

Finally we give some remarks with respect to an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a complex projective space CP^n .

LEMMA ([1]). Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a Kaehlerian manifold \widetilde{M} . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for totally real submanifold M_2 to be totally

8

geodesic in \widetilde{M} is M is mixed-totally geodesic in \widetilde{M} .

Thus from LEMMA we get as THEOREM 4.2

THEOREM ([2]). Let M be a proper anti-holomorphic submanifold in \mathbb{CP}^n . If M_2 is totally geodesic in \mathbb{CP}^n , then the holomorphic distribution is not integrable.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Prof. K. Sekigawa for useful comments and to the referee whose valuable suggestions led to the improvement of the original paper.

References

- [1] A. BEJANCU, M. KON and K. YANO, *CR-submanifolds of a complex space form*, to appear in J. Diff. Geom.
- [2] B. Y. CHEN, On CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold, II., to appear in J. Diff. Geom.

[3] N. EJIRI, Totally real submanifolds in 6-sphere, Proc. A.M.S. 83 (1981), 759-763.

[4] N. SATO, Certain CR-submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds, to appear.

[5] K. YANO and M. KON, *Generic submanifolds*, Annali di mathematica pura ed applicata (IV) (1980), 59-92.

Department of Mathematics NIIGATA UNIVERSITY Niigata 950–21, JAPAN