However we have proved earlier that if m and n are  $\geq 2$ , then  $m + n \leq m \cdot n$ . Thus we obtain mn = m + n.

## 6. Some remarks on functions of ordinal numbers

A function f(x) is called monotonic, if  $(x \le y) \rightarrow (f(x) \le f(y))$ . It is called strictly increasing, if

$$(x < y) \rightarrow (f(x) < f(y)).$$

The function is called seminormal, if it is monotonic and continuous, that is if  $f(\lim \alpha_{\lambda}) = \lim f(\alpha_{\lambda})$ ,  $\lambda$  here indicating a sequence with ordinal number of the second kind, i.e., without immediate predecessor, while  $(\lambda_1 < \lambda_2) \rightarrow (\alpha_{\lambda_1} < \alpha_{\lambda_2})$ .

The function is called normal, if it is strictly increasing and continuous;  $\xi$  is called a critical number for f, if  $f(\xi) = \xi$ .

**Theorem 17.** Every normal function possesses critical numbers and indeed such numbers > any a.

Proof: Let  $\alpha$  be chosen arbitrarily and let us consider the sequence  $\alpha$ ,  $f(\alpha)$ ,  $f^2(\alpha)$ ,.... Then if  $\alpha_{\omega} = \lim_{n < \omega} f^n(\alpha)$ , we have  $f(\alpha_{\omega}) = f(\lim_{n < \omega} (f^n(\alpha)) = \lim_{n < \omega} f(\alpha)$ .

 $f^{n+1}(\alpha) = \alpha_{\omega}$ , that is,  $\alpha_{\omega}$  is a critical number for f.

Examples.

- 1) The function 1 + x is normal. Critical numbers are all  $x = \omega + \alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  arbitrary.
- 2) The function 2x is normal. Critical numbers are all of the form  $\omega \alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  arbitrary.
- The function ω<sup>x</sup> is normal. Critical numbers of this function are called ε-numbers. The least of them is the limit of the sequence ω, ω<sup>ω</sup>, ω<sup>(ω<sup>ω</sup>)</sup>, .....

I will mention the quite trivial fact that every increasing function f is such that  $f(x) \ge x$  for every x.

**Theorem 18.** Let  $g(x) \ge x$  for all x and  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary ordinal; then there is a unique semi-normal function f such that

$$f(0) = \alpha$$
,  $f(x+1) = g(f(x))$ .

Proof clear by transfinite induction.

**Theorem 19.** If f is a semi-normal function and  $\beta$  is an ordinal which is not a value of f, while f possesses values  $<\beta$  and values  $>\beta$ , then there is among the x such that  $f(x) < \beta$  a maximal one  $x_0$  such that  $f(x_0) < \beta < f(x_0 + 1)$ . Proof trivial, because if  $f(x_{\lambda}) \leq \beta$  for all  $\lambda$  in a sequence without last element, then

$$f(\lim x_{\lambda}) = \lim f(x_{\lambda}) \leq \beta,$$

but the equality sign is excluded.

Let A be a set of ordinal numbers without maximal element. A subset B is said to be closed in A, if every limit of a sequence in B is  $\epsilon$ B, if it is  $\epsilon$ A. If B is closed in A and cofinal with A it is called a band of A.

Remark. Every band consists of the values of a normal function, and the inverse is true, if the set of the arguments is cofinal with A.

**Theorem 20.** If M and N are bands of A, so is  $M \cup N$ .

Proof. Of course  $M \cup N$  is cofinal with A. An arbitrary sequence S in  $M \cup N$  without last element is either such that from a certain point on all elements belong to M say, then the limit is in M; or there are always greater elements both in M and in N, and then there is a common limit in M and N.

**Theorem 21.** If M and N are bands of A and A is as already indicated without last element, but not cofinal with  $\omega$ , then  $M \cap N$  is a band of A.

Proof. We assume that after a certain  $\alpha_0 \in M$  there are no common elements in M and N. Then we have an increasing sequence thus:

 $\alpha_{2n+1}$  is the first element of N which is  $> \alpha_{2n}$ 

 $\alpha_{2n+2}$  ..... M which is  $> \alpha_{2n+1}$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \ \ \, < \omega} \alpha_n$  is  $\varepsilon$  A and therefore  $\varepsilon$  M and  $\varepsilon N$  which is contrary to the  $n < \omega$ 

assumption.

**Theorem 22.** Let  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  be normal with respect to  $\beta$ . Then it is not an always increasing function with respect to  $\alpha$ .

Proof. If  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ , then the normal functions  $f(\alpha_1, \beta)$  and  $f(\alpha_2, \beta)$  of  $\beta$  have a common critical value  $\xi$  according to the last theorem so that  $f(\alpha_1, \xi) = f(\alpha_2, \xi) = \xi$ .

Let us however, following E. Jacobsthal, consider the functions having the following two properties:

1)  $f(\alpha,\beta)$  is for constant  $\alpha$  a normal function of  $\beta$ 

2)  $f(\alpha,\beta)$  is for constant  $\beta$  a monotonic function of  $\alpha$  with  $f(\alpha,\beta) > \alpha$ .

Further let us call  $f_1$  a generating function for f when

$$f(\alpha,\beta+1) = f_1(f(\alpha,\beta), \alpha).$$

This equation together with  $f(\alpha,0)$  defines f when f is continuous.

**Theorem 23.** If  $f_1$  has for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\beta > 1$  the property 2) and is monotonic in  $\beta$ , while f is continuous and  $f(\alpha, 1)$  increasing in  $\alpha$ , then f satisfies 1) and 2).

**Proof.** When  $\alpha > 1$ , one has  $f(\alpha, 1) > 1$ , namely  $f(\alpha, 1) \ge \alpha > 1$ . If, for  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\beta \ge 1$ ,  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  is monotonic in  $\alpha$  and  $f(\alpha, \beta) > 1$ , then because of the

definition of f above  $f(\alpha, \beta + 1)$  is monotonic in  $\alpha$  and  $f(\alpha, \beta + 1) = f_1(f(\alpha, \beta), \alpha) > f(\alpha, \beta)$  (see 2)). If  $\lambda$  is a limit number, and if, for  $\alpha > 1$  and  $1 < \beta < \lambda$ ,  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  monotonic in  $\alpha$ , then  $f(\alpha, \lambda)$  is monotonic in  $\alpha$ . Thus for  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\beta > 1$  we have that  $f(\alpha, \beta)$  is monotonic in  $\alpha$  and a normal function in  $\beta$ . Further, for  $\alpha > 1$  we have, because of  $f(\alpha, 1) > \alpha$ , also  $f(\alpha, \beta) > \alpha$  for  $\beta > 1$ .

Now, if one starts with  $\phi_0(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha + 1$  and defines  $\phi_{r+1}(\alpha,\beta)$  by using  $\phi_r$  as generating function for r = 0,1,2 putting  $\phi_1(\alpha,0) = \alpha$ ,  $\phi_2(\alpha,0) = 0$ ,  $\phi_3(\alpha,0) = 1$ , then we obtain

$$\phi_1(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha + \beta, \quad \phi_2(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha \cdot \beta, \quad \phi_3(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha^{\beta}.$$

An immediate result is that these functions have the properties 1) and 2).

Definitions: 1) Let us say that f with generating function  $f_1$  satisfies a generalized distributive law when a function  $f_2$  exists such that

(1)  $f_1(f(\alpha,\beta), f(\alpha,\gamma)) = f(\alpha,f_2(\beta,\gamma)).$ 

If  $f_2 = f_1$ , we say that f satisfies the special distributive law.

2) We may say that f fulfills a generalized associative law, if a function  $f_3$  exists such that

(2) 
$$f(f(\alpha, \beta), \gamma) = f(\alpha, f_3(\beta, \gamma)).$$

If  $f_3 = f$ , f satisfies the special associative law.

**Theorem 24.** If f satisfies the general associative law, then  $f_3$  satisfies the special associative law.

**Proof.** If in the formula (2) we put  $\alpha = f(\xi, \alpha')$ ,  $\beta = \beta'$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma'$ , the formula (2) yields

$$f(f(f(\xi, \alpha'), \beta'), \gamma') = f(f(\xi, \alpha'), f_3(\beta', \gamma'))$$

and by application of (2) twice on the left and once on the right side we get

 $f(f(\xi,f_3(\alpha',\beta')),\gamma') = f(\xi,f_3(f_3(\alpha',\beta'),\gamma')) = f(\xi,f_3(\alpha',f_3(\alpha',\gamma'))).$ 

whence because  $f(\xi, \beta)$  is increasing in  $\beta$ .

$$f_3(f_3(\alpha',\beta'),\gamma') = f_3(\alpha',f_3(\beta',\gamma')).$$

and that is the special associative law for  $f_3$ .

**Theorem 25.** If f, being generated by  $f_1$ , satisfies both laws (1) and (2), then f, is generating function of  $f_3$  and  $f_3$  satisfies the special distributive law.

Proof. We have

 $f(f(\alpha,\beta),\gamma+1) = f_1(f(f(\alpha,\beta),\gamma), f(\alpha,\beta)) = f_1(f(\alpha,f_3(\beta,\gamma)), \text{ if } (\alpha,\beta)) = f(\alpha,f_2(f_3(\beta,\gamma),\beta))$ and

$$f(f(\alpha,\beta), \gamma+1) = f(\alpha,f_3(\beta, \gamma+1)),$$

whence

$$f_3(\beta, \gamma+1) = f_2(f_3(\beta,\gamma), \beta),$$

that is  $f_2$  is generating function for  $f_3$ . Further, by (1)

 $f(\xi, f_2(f_3(\alpha, \beta), f_3(\alpha, \gamma))) = f_1(f(\xi, f_3(\alpha, \beta)), f(\xi, f_3(\alpha, \gamma)))$ 

which by (2),(1),(2) successively yields

 $f_1(f(f(\xi, \alpha), \beta), f(f(\xi, \alpha), \gamma)), f(f(\xi, \alpha), f_2(\beta, \gamma)), f(\xi, f_3(\alpha, f_2(\beta, \gamma)))).$ 

By comparison of the first and last expressions containing  $\xi$  one obtains

$$f_2(f_3(\alpha,\beta), f_3(\alpha,\gamma)) = f_3(\alpha,f_2(\beta,\gamma)),$$

that is, f<sub>3</sub> satisfies the special distributive law.

**Theorem 26.** If f is defined by  $f_1$ , f(a, 0) = 0 or 1, f satisfying the generalized distributive law, and if  $f_3$  is defined as a continuous function with  $f_2$  as generating function, by

$$f_3(\alpha, o) = 0$$
  
$$f_3(\alpha, \beta + 1) = f_2(f_3(\alpha, \beta), \alpha),$$

then f satisfies the associative law (2).

Proof. This law (2) is valid for  $\gamma = 0$ , because  $f(f(\alpha, \beta), o) = 0$  or 1 and  $f(\alpha, f_3(\beta, o)) = f(\alpha, o) = 0$  or 1. If the law is valid for  $\gamma$ , then it is valid for  $\gamma + 1$ , because

$$f(f(\alpha,\beta),\gamma+1) = f_1(f(f(\alpha,\beta),\gamma), f(\alpha,\beta))$$

because of the supposition of induction =  $f_1(f(\alpha, f_3(\alpha, \gamma)), f(\alpha, \beta)) = f(\alpha, f_2(f_3(\beta, \gamma), \beta)) = f(\alpha, f_3(\beta, \gamma + 1))$ . If the law is valid for all  $\gamma < \gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_0$  a limit number, then it is true for  $\gamma_0$ , because

$$f(f(\alpha,\beta),\gamma_0) = \lim_{\gamma < \gamma_0} f(f(\alpha,\beta),\gamma) = \lim_{\gamma < \gamma_0} f(\alpha,f_3(\beta,\gamma)) = f(\alpha,f_3(\beta,\gamma_0)).$$

**Theorem 27.** Let f be defined by  $f_1$ ,  $f(\alpha, 0) = 0$ ,  $f_1(\alpha, 0) = \alpha$  or  $f(\alpha, 0) = 1$ ,  $f_1(\alpha, 1) = \alpha$ , while the special associative law is valid for  $f_1$ , and  $f_1$  is continuous in  $\beta$ ; then f satisfies the distributive law (1) with  $f_2(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha + \beta$ .

Proof. The formula (1) is valid for  $\gamma = 0$ , because  $f_1(f(\alpha,\beta), f(\alpha,0)) = f(\alpha,\beta)$ . Let us assume its truth for  $\gamma$ . Then we have

$$f_1(f(\alpha,\beta), f(\alpha, \gamma + 1)) = f_1(f(\alpha,\beta), f_1(f(\alpha,\gamma), \alpha)),$$

and since the special associative law is valid for f this becomes

$$f_1(f_1(f(\alpha,\beta), f(\alpha,\gamma)), \alpha) = f_1(f(\alpha,\beta+\gamma, \alpha)) = f(\alpha,\beta+\gamma+1).$$

If formula (1) with  $f_2(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha + \beta$  is valid for all  $\gamma < \gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_0$  a limit number, then it is valid for  $\gamma_0$ , because

$$f_1(f(\alpha,\beta), f(\alpha,\gamma_0)) = \lim_{\gamma < \gamma_0} f_1(f(\alpha,\beta), f(\alpha,\gamma)) = \lim_{\gamma < \gamma_0} f(\alpha,\beta+\gamma) = f(\alpha,\beta+\gamma_0).$$

Applying the last two theorems to the three elementary arithmetical operations,  $\phi_1(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha + \beta$ ,  $\phi_2(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha\beta$ ,  $\phi_3(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha^\beta$ , it is seen that the associative and distributive laws of these are all derivable from the special associative law of addition

$$(\alpha + \beta) + \gamma = \alpha + (\beta + \gamma).$$

Indeed, if we put  $f_1 = \phi_1$ ,  $f = \phi_2$  in Theorem 27 we get

$$lphaeta+lpha\gamma=lpha(eta+\gamma),$$

and putting  $f_1 = \phi_1$ ,  $f_2 = \phi_1$ ,  $f = \phi_2$ ,  $f_3 = \phi_2$ , Theorem 26 yields

$$(\alpha\beta)\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma)$$

Further, if we put  $f_1 = \phi_2$ ,  $f = \phi_3$ , Theorem 27 yields

$$\alpha^{\beta}\cdot\alpha^{\gamma}=\alpha^{\beta+\gamma},$$

while putting  $f_1 = \phi_2$ ,  $f_2 = \phi_1$ ,  $f = \phi_3$ ,  $f_3 = \phi_2$  one obtains, according to Theorem 26,

$$(\alpha^{\beta})^{\gamma} = \alpha^{\beta\gamma}.$$

## 7. On the exponentiation of alephs

We have seen that an aleph is unchanged by elevation to a power with finite exponent. I shall add some remarks concerning the case of a transfinite exponent.

Since  $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_0$ , we have  $(2^{\aleph_0})^{\aleph_0} \ge \aleph_0^{\aleph_0}$ , but  $(2^{\aleph_0})^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0 \aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . On the other hand  $2^{\aleph_0} \le \aleph_0^{\aleph_0}$ . Hence

$$2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_0^{\aleph_0}$$

Of course we then have for arbitrary finite n

$$2^{\aleph_0} = n^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_0^{\aleph_0},$$

and not only that. Let namely  $\aleph_0 < \mathfrak{m} \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Then

$$2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_0^{\aleph_0} \leq \mathfrak{m}^{\aleph_0} \leq 2^{\aleph_0},$$

whence

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0}$$
.

In a similar way we obtain for an arbitrary  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ 

$$2^{\aleph \alpha} = m^{\aleph \alpha}$$

for all  $\mathfrak{m} > 1$  and  $\leq 2^{\aleph} \alpha$ .

From our axioms, in particular the axiom of choice, we have derived that every cardinal is an aleph. Therefore  $2^{\aleph}\alpha$  is an aleph. We can also prove by the axiom of choice that  $2^{\aleph}\alpha > \aleph_{\alpha+1}$  or perhaps =  $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$ . One has never succeeded in proving one of these two alternatives and according to a result of Gödel such a decision is impossible. However, in many applications of set theory it has been convenient to introduce the so-called generalized continuum hypothesis or aleph hypothesis, namely