A FIRST ORDER TYPE THEORY FOR THE THEORY OF SETS

FRED COPPOTELLI

In Set Theory and its Logic Quine presents a system of axioms for a first order simple theory of types. In that system, which we shall call " T_n " Aussonderung and Sum are axioms. We shall present a first order simple type theory, which we shall call "JP", in which Aussonderung and Sum are theorems. Once this is done we introduce a simple notion for a standard model for type theory and show that the class of standard models for JP is the same as the class of standard models for T_n *.

Some definitions are needed before we can continue. The notation is that of reference [4].

Definition: (Ez) $(w \epsilon^2 z \wedge x \epsilon z)$ means (Ey) $(w \epsilon y \wedge (Ez) (x \epsilon z \wedge y \epsilon z))$ Definition: wPTx means (Ez) $(w \epsilon^2 z \wedge x \epsilon z)$

wPTx is read "w precedes x in type".

Definition: $T_0(x)$ means $(w) \sim (w PTx)$

 $\mathsf{T}_{n+1}(x)$ means (w) $(\mathsf{T}_n(w)\supset w\mathsf{PT}x)$.

 $T_n(x)$ is read "x is on level n".

The axioms of T_n are:

Extensionality: (x) (y) $(T_{n+1}(x) \wedge T_{n+1}(y) \wedge (z) (z \in x \equiv z \in y) . \supset x = y)$

Comprehension: [Ey) $(T_{n+1}(y) \land (x) (x \in y \equiv T_n(x) \land \mathcal{B}(x)))$

All-Some: $(Ex) T_0(x)$

Stratification: $x \in y \supset (\mathsf{T}_n(x) \equiv \mathsf{T}_{n+1}(y))$

Aussonderung: (Ey) (x) $(x \in y \equiv x \in z \land \mathcal{B}(x))$

Sum: (Ey) (x) $(x \in y \equiv x \in^2 z)$

^{*}The contents of this paper are a part of the author's unpublished M.S. thesis which was written at Illinois Institute of Technology under the direction of Mr. Arthur Fine. The author was being supported by NSF grant number GZ 212-1 during the time he was preparing this paper for publication.

In the system JP equality is not assumed to be part of the underlying logic as it is in T_n . Rather, "=" is an undefined two-place predicate. The following definitions will be used:

Definition: wJPx means (Ez) ($w e^2 z \wedge x e z$).

wJPx is read "w just precedes x". Note that JP has the same definition as PT. It is useful to use JP, however, in order to make it clear in which system we are working.

Definition: $S^2(x, y)$ means (z) (xJPz = yJPz).

 $S^{2}(x, y)$ asserts that x and y are on the same type level.

Definition: $S^{n+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1})$ means $S^n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \wedge S^2(x_1, x_{n+1}), (n \ge 2)$

 $S^{n}(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n})$ asserts that x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} are on the same type level, $(n \geq 2)$. The axioms of **JP** follow:

Axioms of Extensionality:

E-1: $(x)(y)(S^2(x,y) \land (z)(x) = 0$. $x \in z = y \in z$. $z \in x = y$

E-2: (x) (y) $(S^2(x, y) \land (Ew) (wJPx) \land (z) (zJPx \supset z \in x \equiv z \in y) \supset x = y)$

Comprehension: (x) (Ey) (z) (xJPy \land (z \in y \equiv zJPy \land $\mathscr{B}(z)$))

All-Some: (Ex) $(y) \sim (y \mathbf{JP} x)$

Stratification: $x \in y \supset x \mathsf{JP} y$

Level: $S^2(x,x)$

Level 0: (x) (y) $((z) \sim (zJPx) \wedge (w) \sim (wJPy) \supset S^2(x,y))$

The following are theorems of the system JP:

Theorem 1 on Identity: (x)(x = x)

Theorem 2 on Identity: $x = y \equiv \mathcal{A}(x) \supset \mathcal{A}(y)$ for any wff \mathcal{A} where $\mathcal{A}(y)$ arises from $\mathcal{A}(x)$ by replacing some free occurrences of x by y, where y is free for x.

Proof: Suppose $\mathcal{Q}(x) \supset \mathcal{Q}(y)$; then $x = x \supset x = y$; but x = x, and hence x = y. To prove the converse, suppose x = y, $\mathcal{Q}(x)$ and $\sim \mathcal{Q}(y)$. Since (u) (Ev) (w) (S²(u, v) \land ($w \in v \equiv w$ JPv $\land \mathcal{P}(w)$)) and (Ez) (xJPz), we write xJPt yielding (Ev) (w) (S²(t, v) \land ($w \in v \equiv w$ JPv $\land \mathcal{P}(w)$)). This gives us (w) (S²(t, r) \land ($w \in r \equiv w$ JPr $\land \mathcal{P}(w)$)). Now xJPr \land yJPr, so recalling $\mathcal{Q}(x)$ and $\sim \mathcal{Q}(y)$, we let $\mathcal{P}(x)$ be $\mathcal{Q}(x)$ yielding $x \in r$, $x \in r$, which yields $x \neq y$. This contradiction completes the proof.

Comprehension: (Ey) (z) (z ϵ y \equiv . z \mathbf{JP} y $\wedge \mathcal{B}(z)$)

Proof: (x) (Ey) (z) (xJPy \land (z \in y \equiv zJPy \land $\mathcal{B}(z)$)). Therefore (Ey) (z) (xJPy \land (z \in y \equiv zJPy \land $\mathcal{B}(z)$)), so that (z) (xJPt \land (z \in t \equiv zJPt \land $\mathcal{B}(z)$)). From this we get (xJPt \land (z \in t \equiv zJPt \land $\mathcal{B}(z)$)). Hence z \in t \equiv zJPt \land $\mathcal{B}(z)$, yielding (Ey) (z) (z \in y \equiv zJPy \land $\mathcal{B}(z)$).

By choosing \mathcal{B} appropriately we can prove:

```
Pairing: (x) (y) (Ez) (w) (w \in z \equiv w \text{JPz} \land S^3(x, y, w) \land (w = x \lor w = y))

Union: (x) (y) (Ez) (w) (w \in z \equiv w \text{JPz} \land S^2(x, y) \land (w \in x \lor w \in y))

Power Set: (x) (Ey) (z) (z \in y \equiv z \text{JPy} \land S^2(x, z) \land (w) (w \in z \supset w \in x))

Replacement: (x) (y) (z) (S^3(x, y, z) \land x \in w \land \mathcal{F}(x, y) \land \mathcal{F}(x, z) \supset y = z) \supset (Ev)

(y) (y \in v \equiv y \text{JPv} \land (Ex) (x \in w \land \mathcal{F}(x, y)).

Intersection: (x) (y) (Ez) (w) (w \in z \equiv w \text{JPz} \land (w \in x \land w \in y))

Empty Sets: (Ey) (x) (x \in y \equiv x \text{JPy} \land x \neq x)

Universal Sets: (Ey) (x) (x \in y \equiv x \text{JPy} \land x = x)

Complements: (z) (Ey) (x) (x \in y \equiv x \text{JPy} \land x \in z \land \mathcal{B}(x))

Aussonderung: (z) (Ey) (x) (x \in y \equiv x \text{JPy} \land x \in z \land \mathcal{B}(x))

Sum: (z) (Ey) (x) (x \in y \equiv x \text{JPy} \land (Ew) (x \in w \land w \in z))
```

By a standard model for a type theory we mean a model in which every element is on some level, and furthermore, that every level is either level zero (a level containing elements, z, such that $(x) \sim x JPz$) or else is a finite successor of level zero. (That is, a level such that given x_0 on that level there exists a finite collection of elements, x_1, \ldots, x_n , such that $x_1JPx_0, x_2JPx_1, \ldots, x_nJPx_{n-1}, (z) \sim zJPx_n$). Let us express the statement "x is on the x-th level" by x-th level x-th level" by x-th level x

Theorem: Every consistent type theory, T, admits non-standard models.

Proof: Using a procedure due to Skolem² we add to **T** a new individual constant, θ , and the list of axioms: \mathcal{A}_0 : $\sim S_0(\theta)$, \mathcal{A}_1 : $\sim S_1(\theta)$, . . . , \mathcal{A}_n : $\sim S_n(\theta)$, When we add θ together with any finite subset of $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ to **T** we obtain a consistent system. Therefore if we add θ and $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ to **T** we have a consistent system³, **T**'. Any model for **T**' is a model for **T**, and is clearly non-standard.

Theorem: Any model for JP is a model for T_n .

The proof is a straightforward derivation of the axioms of T_n from the axioms of JP with appropriate changes in notation.

```
Lemma: T_n x and T_m x implies n = m.
Corollary 1: xJPy \wedge xJPz \supset S^2(x,y).
Corollary 2: xJPy \wedge zJPy \supset S^2(x,z).
```

Theorem: Any standard model for JP is a standard model for T_n .

Proof: $T_n \Rightarrow All$ -Some (JP), $T_n \Rightarrow level \ 0$, $T_n \Rightarrow Level$, $T_n \Rightarrow Comprehension$, $T_n \Rightarrow E-1$, and $T_n \Rightarrow E-2$ require only straight forward syntactical proofs. We shall now show that Stratification (JP) holds in any standard model for T_n . Suppose $x \in y$. Since x is on some level say T_n , we have $T_{n+1}y$. To show xJPy we show (Ew) ($x \in w \land (Ez)$ ($y \in z \land w \in z$)). Letting $\mathscr{B}(v)$ from Comprehension (T_n) say v = y, (Eu) ($T_{n+2}(u) \land (v)$ ($v \in u = T_{n+1}(v) \land v = y$)). Letting u be z, $T_{n+2}(z) \land (v)$ ($v \in z = T_{n+1}(v) \land v = y$), and we have $y \in z$. But $x \in y$, so that (Ew) ($x \in w \land (Ez)$ ($y \in z \land w \in z$)), and hence xJPy.

NOTES

- 1. For the definition of model as well as a deeper meaning of standard model see [2].
- 2. See reference [6].
- 3. Reference [3] pp. 424-425.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Coppotelli, F., Elementary Set Theory: A First Order Theory of Types, unpublished M.S. thesis, Illinois Institute of Technology.
- [2] Henkin, L., "Completeness in the Theory of Types," The Journal of Symbolic Logic, 15 (1950), 81-91.
- [3] Kleene, S. C., Introduction to Metamathematics, Princeton, D. Van Nostrand Company, 1952.
- [4] Mendelson, E., Introduction to Mathematical Logic, Princeton, D. Van Nostrand Company, 1964.
- [5] Quine, W. V., Set Theory and its Logic, Cambridge; Mass., The Belknap Press of Harvard University, 1963.
- [6] Skolem, T., "Peano's Axioms and Models of Arithmetic," Mathematical Interpretations of Formal Systems, 1-14, Amsterdam, 1955.

Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio