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## A SOLE SUFFICIENT OPERATOR

T. C. WESSELKAMPER

Generations of students have been asked to prove (as an exercise) that the Sheffer stroke operator is a sole sufficient operator to define all of the monadic and dyadic operators in a two-valued space. A two-place functionally complete operator has come to be called a Sheffer operator [1]. We define a three-place operator $S$ suggested by the work of A. A. Markov [2] in the theory of algorithms and prove that this operator is functionally complete over any finite-valued space. The proof is constructive.

Let $X(n)$ be the space of values $\mathbf{T}=1,2, \ldots, n=\mathrm{F}$. Over $X(n)$ define:

$$
S x y z=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z, \text { if } x=y ;  \tag{1}\\
x, \text { if } x \neq y .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider, as an example, the two-valued case, $T=1,2=F$. Negation, implication, conjunction, alternation, and the Sheffer stroke are defined by:
(2) $N x=S \mathbf{T} x \mathbf{F} ; C x y=S \mathbf{T} x y ; K x y=S x \mathbf{T} y ; A x y=S x \mathbf{F} y ; D x y=x / y=S \mathbf{T} S x \mathbf{T} y \mathbf{F}$.

From this it is clear that $S$ is a sole sufficient operator in the two-valued case.

In the general case we define $n$ operators $V_{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, such that $V_{j} x$ has the value 1 if $x=j$, and $V_{j} x$ has the value $n$ if $x \neq j$.

$$
V_{j} x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S 1 S 1 x n n, \text { if } j=1 ;  \tag{3}\\
S S j x 1 j n, \text { if } 2 \leqslant j \leqslant n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $x=j=1, V_{1} 1=S 1 S 11 n n=S 1 n n=1$.
If $x \neq j=1, V_{1} x=S 1 S 1 x n n=S 11 n=n$.
If $x=j \neq 1, V_{j} x=S S j j 1 j n=S 1 j n=1$.
If $x \neq j \neq 1, V_{j} x=\operatorname{SSj} x 1 j n=\operatorname{Sjjn}=n$.
Hence definition (3) has the desired property. Define:
(4) $K x y=S x 1 y$.

Note that $K 11=1$ and that $K 1 n=K n 1=K n n=n$.

Now suppose that $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ are $k$ variables with values in the space $X(n)$, and suppose that, among all of the $n^{k}$ possible states of these variables, $Q$ is the state defined by $x_{1}=t_{1}, x_{2}=t_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}=t_{k}$, where for each $i$ such that $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, t_{i} \in X(n)$. Define:
(5) $\chi_{Q}(\lambda)=K V_{t_{1}} x_{1} K V_{t_{2}} x_{2} K \ldots K V_{t_{k-1}} x_{k-1} V_{t_{k}} x_{k}$;
where $\lambda$ varies over the space of all possible states of the $k$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Substitution of (3) and (4) into (5) produces an expression in which $S$ is the sole operator. Each of the arguments $V_{t_{j}} x_{j}$ takes on only the values 1 or $n$. By the remark following definition (4), $\chi_{Q}(\lambda)$ takes on the values 1 if and only if $V_{t_{1}} x_{1}=\ldots=V_{t_{k}} x_{k}=1$; that is, if and only if, $x_{1}=t_{1}, x_{2}=t_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}=t_{k}$; that is, if and only if, $\lambda=Q$. In every other one of the possible states $\chi_{Q}(\lambda)=n$.

Next suppose that $f$ is a $k$-adic operator and suppose that $f$ operating on the $k$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ in the state $Q$ produces some result different from $r \in X(n)$. Suppose that we wish to define a $k$-adic operator $f^{\prime}$ which has the same effect as $f$ in each of the $n^{k}-1$ states other than $Q$ and which produces the result $r$ in the state $Q$. Define:

$$
f^{\prime}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S S 1 \chi_{Q}(\lambda) n 1 f(\lambda), \text { if } r=n ;  \tag{6}\\
S S \chi_{Q}(\lambda) 1 r n f(\lambda), \text { if } r \neq n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\lambda=Q$ and $r=n, f^{\prime}(\lambda)=\operatorname{SS} 11 n 1 f(\lambda)=\operatorname{Sn} 1 f(\lambda)=n$.
If $\lambda=Q$ and $r \neq n, f^{\prime}(\lambda)=\operatorname{SS11rnf}(\lambda)=\operatorname{Srnf}(\lambda)=r$.
If $\lambda \neq Q$ and $r=n, f^{\prime}(\lambda)=\operatorname{SS} 1 n n 1 f(\lambda)=\operatorname{S11} f(\lambda)=f(\lambda)$.
If $\lambda \neq Q$ and $r \neq n, f^{\prime}(\lambda)=\operatorname{SSn} 1 r n f(\lambda)=\operatorname{Snnf}(\lambda)=f(\lambda)$.
If $f$ is defined in terms of $S$ alone, then $f^{\prime}$ is defined in terms of $S$ alone.

Theorem If $f$ is a $k$-adic operator over $X(n)$ then $f$ can be defined by an expression involving $S$ as the sole operator.

Proof: Let $f_{0}$ be an arbitrary $k$-adic operator over $X(n)$ defined by an expression with $S$ as the sole operator. If $f_{0}=f$ for each of the $n^{k}$ possible states, then there is nothing to prove. If $f_{0}$ and $f$ differ for some finite number of states (say $h$ ), then let $Q_{1}$ be one of these states and suppose that $f\left(Q_{1}\right)=r \neq f_{0}\left(Q_{1}\right)$. By (6) define a new operator $f_{1}$ such that in the states $Q_{1}$, $f_{1}$ produces the result $r$ and in every other state $f_{1}$ produces the same result as $f_{0}$. This new operator $f_{1}$ differs from $f$ in $h-1$ states. Application of the process $h$ times produces an operator $f_{h}$ which has the same effect as $f$ in each of the $n^{k}$ possible states.

For example, consider the following definition of equivalence proposed by Łukasiewicz [3] for a three-valued logic:

| $E$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

We wish to express this in terms of $S$ alone. A reasonable "first guess"' is obtained from the definitions of (2), namely:

$$
E_{0} x y=K C x y C y x=S S T x y \mathrm{~T} S \mathrm{~T} y x=S S 1 x y 1 S 1 y x .
$$

This has the truth table:

| $E_{0}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |

$E_{0}$ differs from $E$ in the two states:

$$
Q_{1}: x=2, y=3 ; \text { and } Q_{2}: x=3, y=2 .
$$

From (3), $V_{2} x=S S 2 \times 123 ; V_{3} x=S S 3 \times 133=S 3 \times 1$.
From (4) and (5), $\chi_{Q_{1}}(\lambda)=K V_{2} x V_{3} y=S S S 2 x 1231 S 3 y 1$.
We wish $E_{1}$ to differ from $E_{0}$ in the state $Q_{1}$ by taking on the value 2 in that state. Then,

$$
E_{1}(\lambda)=S S \chi_{Q_{1}}(\lambda) 123 E_{0}(\lambda)=\operatorname{SSSSS} 2 x 1231 S 3 y 1123 S S 1 x y 1 S 1 y x .
$$

This differs from $E$ only in the state $Q_{2}$.

$$
\chi_{Q_{2}}(\lambda)=K V_{3} x V_{2} y=S S 3 x 11 S S 2 y 123 .
$$

We wish $E_{2}$ to differ from $E_{1}$ in the state $Q_{2}$ by taking on the value 2 in that state. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2}(\lambda) & =\operatorname{SSX}_{Q_{2}}(\lambda) 123 E_{1}(\lambda) \\
& =\operatorname{SSSS} 3 x 11 S S 2 y 123123 S S S S S 2 x 1231 S 3 y 1123 S S 1 x y 1 S 1 y x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $E x y=E_{2} x y$.
The author is grateful to Mr. Eric Nixon of the University of London Institute of Computer Science who conjectured this result.
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