Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XVII, Number 2, April 1976 NDJFAM

A SHORT EQUATIONAL AXIOMATIZATION OF ORTHOMODULAR LATTICES

BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

By definition, cf. e.g., [2], p. 53, an orthomodular lattice is an ortholattice satisfying the following formula:¹

 $K1 \quad [ab]:a, b \in A . a \leq b . \supset a \cup (a^{\perp} \cap b) = b$

In this note it will be proved that:

(A) Any algebraic system

$$\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \cup, \cap, \bot \rangle$$

where \cup and \cap are two binary operations and ¹ is a unary operation defined on the carrier set A, is an orthomodular lattice, if it satisfies the following three mutually independent postulates:

- $C1 \quad [abcd]:a, b, c, d \in A : \supset a \cup ((a \cup ((b \cup c) \cup d)) \cap a^{\perp}) = ((d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup b) \cup a$ $C2 \quad [ab]:a, b \in A : \supset a = a \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})$
- C3 $[ab]:a, b \in A$ $\supset a = a \cap (a \cup b)^2$

Proof of (**A**):

1 Clearly, postulates C2 and C3 are the theses of any ortholattice. It remains to prove that, in the field of an arbitrary ortholattice, C1 is inferentially equivalent to formula K1.

1.1 First, we shall prove that in the field of any lattice KI is inferentially equivalent to formula RI given below.

1.1.1 Assume L. Then we have at our disposal:

^{1.} Throughout this paper A indicates an arbitrary but fixed carrier set, L a lattice, and OL an ortholattice. The so-called closure axioms are assumed tacitly.

^{2.} Of course, in this postulate-system the operations \cup , \cap and $^{\perp}$ are not mutually independent.

 $[ab]: a \leq b := .a \cup b = b .a \in A . b \in A$ D1[L] $[ab]:a, b \in A . a \leq b . \supset . a \cup b = b$ L1 $\begin{bmatrix} D1 \end{bmatrix}$ L2 $[ab]:a, b \in A :\supset a \leq a \cup b$ [L; D1] $[ab]:a, b \in A :\supset a \cap b = b \cap a$ L3[L] 1.1.2 Now, assume L and K1. Then: $[ab]:a, b \in A :\supset a \cup (a^{\perp} \cap (a \cup b)) = a \cup b$ R1PR $[ab]: Hp (1) . \supset$. 2. $a \leq a \cup b$. [1; L2] $a \cup (a^{\perp} \cap (a \cup b)) = a \cup b$ $[1; 2; K1, b/a \cup b]$ 1.1.3 Let us assume L and R1. Then: $VI \quad [ab] = a \quad b \in A \quad a < b \quad \forall \quad a \mapsto (a \downarrow \land b) = b$

1.1.4 Thus, from the deductions presented above, it follows that in the field of any lattice we have

$$\{K1\} \rightleftharpoons \{R1\}$$

1.2 Now, let us assume an arbitrary ortholattice. Then, obviously, in its field, the equivalence $\{K1\} \rightleftharpoons \{R1\}$ and the formula L3 hold. Moreover, we have at our disposal:

$$M1 \quad [abcd]:a, b, c, d \in A . \supset a \cup ((b \cup c) \cup d) = ((d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup b) \cup a \\ [OL, cf. [1], p. 251]$$

$$M2 \quad [b]:b \in A . \supset ((b \cup (b \cap b^{\perp}))) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp}) = b \\ M3 \quad [ab]:a, b \in A . \supset . (((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup b) \cup a = a \cup b \\ [OL]$$

$$1.2.1 \quad \text{Assume OL and } R1. \quad \text{Then:}$$

$$C1 \quad [abcd]:a, b, c, d \in A . \supset . a \cup ((a \cup ((b \cup c) \cup d)) \cap a^{\perp}) = ((d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup b) \cup a \\ ((d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup b) \cup a \\ PR \quad [abcd]: Hp (1) . \supset . \\ a \cup ((a \cup ((b \cup c) \cup d)) \cap a^{\perp}) = a \cup (a^{\perp} \cap (a \cup ((b \cup c) \cup d))) \\ [1; L3, a/a \cup ((b \cup c) \cup d), b/a^{\perp}] \\ = a \cup ((b \cup c) \cup d) \\ = ((d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup b) \cup a \\ [M1]$$

$$1.2.2 \quad \text{Assume OL and } C1. \quad \text{Then:}$$

$$R1 \quad [ab]: a, b \in A . \supset . a \cup (a^{\perp} \cap (a \cup b)) = a \cup b$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{PR} & [ab]: \mathrm{Hp} \ (1) \ . \supset . \\ & a \cup (a^{\perp} \cap (a \cup b)) = a \cup ((a \cup b) \cap a^{\perp}) & [1; \ L3, \ a/a^{\perp}, \ b/a \cup b] \\ & = a \cup ((a \cup ((b \cup (b \cap b^{\perp}))) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})))) \cap a^{\perp}) & [M2] \\ & = (((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup b) \cup a \\ & & [C1, \ c/b \cap b^{\perp}, \ d/b \cap b^{\perp}] \\ & = a \cup b & [M3] \end{array}$$

318

1.3 From sections 1.1 and 1.2 it follows at once that in the field of any ortholattice $\mathbf{1}$

$$\{K1\} \rightrightarrows \{R1\} \rightrightarrows \{C1\}$$

Therefore, it is proved that formulas C1, C2, and C3 are the theses of any orthomodular lattice.

2 Now, let us assume C1, C2, and C3. Then: $[ab]: a, b \in A, \supset, a = ((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a$ C4PR [ab]: Hp (1) \supset . $a = a \cup (a \cap a^{\perp}) = a \cup ((a \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})) \cap a^{\perp})$ [1; C2, b/a; C2] $= a \cup ((a \cup ((b \cap b^{\perp}) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp}))) \cap a^{\perp})$ $\begin{bmatrix} C2, a/b \cap b^{\perp} \end{bmatrix}$ $= a \cup ((a \cup (((b \cap b^{\perp}) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp}))) \cap a^{\perp})$ $[C2, a/b \cap b^{\perp}]$ $= (((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})) \cup a$ $\begin{bmatrix} C1, b/b \cap b^{\perp}, c/b \cap b^{\perp}, d/b \cap b^{\perp} \end{bmatrix}$ $= ((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a$ $[C2, a/((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}]$ C5 $[b]: b \in A$, \supset , $b \cap b^{\perp} = ((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}$ PR [b]: Hp (1) . \supset . $b \cap b^{\perp} = ((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})$ $[1: C4, a/b \cap b^{\perp}]$ $= ((b \cap b^{\perp}) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \qquad [C2, a/((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}]$ [ab]: a, b \epsilon A. \color a = (b \cap b^{\perp}) \cup a \qquad (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup (c \cup b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp}] C6 $[abcd]:a, b, c, d \in A$. \supset . $((a \cup c) \cup d) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} = (d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a$ C7PR [abcd]: Hp (1) . \supset . $((a \cup c) \cup d) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} = ((b \cap b^{\perp}) \cup ((a \cup c) \cup d))) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp}$ $[1; C6, a/(a \cup c) \cup d]$ $= (b \cap b^{\perp}) \cup (((b \cap b^{\perp}) \cup ((a \cup c) \cup d)) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})$ $[C6, a/((b \cup b^{\perp}) \cup ((a \cup c) \cup d)) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp}]$ $= ((d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp}) = (d^{\perp} \cup c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a$ $[C1, a/b \cap b^{\perp}, b/a; C2, a/(d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a]$ $[ab]:a, b \in A :\supset a \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} = a$ C8PR [ab]: Hp (1) . \supset . $a \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} = (a \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp}$ [1; C2] $= ((a \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})) \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp}$ $\begin{bmatrix} C2 \end{bmatrix}$ $= ((b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a = a$ $[C7, c/b \cap b^{\perp}, d/b \cap b^{\perp}; C4]$ $[abc]:a, b, c \in A$ \supset $(a \cup b) \cup c = (c^{\perp} \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a$ C9PR [abc]: Hp (1) . \supset . $(a \cup b) \cup c = ((a \cup b) \cup c) \cap (b \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} = (c^{\perp} \cap b^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup a$ $[1; C8, a/(a \cup b) \cup c; C7, c/b, d/c]$

3 Since, on the basis of deductions presented in [3], L. Beran has proved in [1] that any algebraic system which satisfies theses C9, C3, and C2 is an ortholattice, it follows immediately from sections 1 and 2 that any algebraic system which satisfies postulates C1, C2, and C3 is an orthomodular lattice.

4 The mutual independence of axioms C1, C2, and C3 is established by using the following algebraic tables:³

	U	α	β	\cap	α	β	x	x^{\perp}
9N1	α	α	α β	α	α	β	α	β
	β	β	β	β	α	β	β	α
	U	α	β	\cap	α	β	x	x^{\perp}
M2	α β	β β	β β	α β	α	α	α β	β
	β	β	β	β	α	β	β	α
	U	α	β	\cap	α	β	<i>x</i>	x^{\perp}
M3	α	α	β	α β	β	α	α	β
	α β	β	α	β	α	β	β	α

Namely:

(a) **M1** verifies C2 and C3, but falsifies C1 for a/α , b/β , c/β , and d/β : (i) $\alpha \cup ((\alpha \cup ((\beta \cup \beta) \cup \beta)) \cap \alpha^{\perp}) = \alpha \cup ((\alpha \cup (\beta \cup \beta)) \cap \beta) = \alpha \cup ((\alpha \cup \beta) \cap \beta) = \alpha \cup (\alpha \cap \beta) = \alpha \cup \beta = \alpha$, (ii) $((\beta^{\perp} \cap \beta^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup \beta) \cup \alpha = ((\alpha \cap \alpha)^{\perp} \cup \beta) \cup \alpha = (\alpha^{\perp} \cup \beta) \cup \alpha = (\beta \cup \beta) \cup \alpha = \beta \cup \alpha = \beta$.

(b) **M2** verifies C1 and C3, but falsifies C2 for a/α and b/α : (i) $\alpha = \alpha$, (ii) $\alpha \cup (\alpha \cap \alpha^{\perp}) = \alpha \cup (\alpha \cap \beta) = \alpha \cup \alpha = \beta$.

(c) **M3** verifies C1 and C2, but falsifies C3 for a/α and b/α : (i) $\alpha = \alpha$, (ii) $\alpha \cap (\alpha \cup \alpha) = \alpha \cap \alpha = \beta$.

5 It follows immediately from sections 1, 3, and 4 that the proof of (A) is complete.

Remark: We have to note that, although, clearly, axiom C1 is constructed in a rather mechanical way by combining formulas R1 and C9, C1 is an organic formula in the sense defined in [4], p. 60, point (c).

REFERENCES

- [1] Beran, L., "Three identities for ortholattices," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. XVII (1976), pp. 251-252.
- [2] Birkhof, G., Lattice Theory, third (new) edition, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Providence, Rhode Island, vol. XXV (1967).
- [3] Sobociński, B., "A short postulate-system for ortholattices," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. XVI (1975), pp. 141-144.
- [4] Sobociński, B., "On well constructed axiom systems," Yearbook of the Polish Society of Arts and Sciences Abroad, vol. VI (1956), pp. 54-65.

University of Notre Dame Notre Dame, Indiana

^{3.} Concerning **M1** and **M3**, cf. [3], p. 143.