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#### Abstract

It is shown that each nontrivial equational subclass of $n$-valued Łukasiewicz algebras is determined by a canonical equation that has the least possible number of variables.


By means of Jónsson's lemma on congruence distributive varieties it is possible to prove that $\Lambda_{n}$, the lattice of equational subclasses of $n$-valued $Ł u k a s i e-$ wicz algebras, is isomorphic to the free bounded distributive lattice with [ $n-$ 1)/2] free generators $\mathrm{F}_{D}([(n-1) / 2])$, where $[x]$ denotes the integral part of the number $x$.

The aim of this note is to give a canonical way of writing, for each nontrivial element in $\Lambda_{n}$, an equation which determines it and which has the smallest possible number of variables. The result cited above then follows immediately.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of $n$-valued Łukasiewicz algebras as it is given in [1] or [2]. (Note that our operations $D_{i}$ correspond to the $s_{n-i}$ of [2], $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.)

We begin with some notation. The least element of $\Lambda_{n}$ (namely, the trivial equational class) will be denoted by $\mathbf{T}$ and the greatest element of $\Lambda_{n}$ (namely, the equational class of all $n$-valued Łukasiewicz algebras) by $\mathbf{L}_{n}$. The $n$-element chain $0<1 /(n-1)<\ldots<(n-2) /(n-1)<1$, with the natural lattice structure and the operations $\sim$ and $\mathrm{D}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, n \geq 2$, defined as $\sim(j /(n-$ $1))=(n-1-j) /(n-1)$ and $\mathrm{D}_{i}(j /(n-1))=1$ if $i \leq j$ and $\mathrm{D}_{i}(j /(n-1))=$ 0 if $j<i$, will be denoted by $\mathrm{C}_{n}$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ and its subalgebras are the subdirectly irreducible algebras of $\mathbf{L}_{n}$, and that they are simple.

Let $S_{2}=\varnothing$ and, for $n \geq 3$, let $S_{n}=\{1,2, \ldots,(n-2) / 2\}$ when $n$ is even and $\{1,2, \ldots,(n-1) / 2\}$ when $n$ is odd. If $J \subseteq S_{n}$, then

$$
A_{J}=\{0\} \cup\{j /(n-1): j \in J\} \cup\{(n-1-j) /(n-1): j \in J\} \cup\{1\}
$$
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is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{C}_{n}$, and it is easy to check that the correspondence $J \rightarrow A_{J}$ establishes an isomorphism from the Boolean algebra $2^{S_{n}}$ onto the lattice of subalgebras of $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ (cf. [2], Lemma 5.9). In particular, $A_{\varnothing}=\{0,1\}$ and $A_{S_{n}}=$ $C_{n}$.

We introduce in all $A \in \mathbf{L}_{n} n$ unary operators $\mathrm{H}_{0}, \mathrm{H}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{H}_{n-1}$ by the following formulas: $\mathrm{H}_{0}(x)=\mathrm{D}_{1}(x), \mathrm{H}_{n-1}(x)=\sim \mathrm{D}_{n-1}(x)$, and $\mathrm{H}_{i}(x)=$ $\sim \mathrm{D}_{i}(x) \vee \mathrm{D}_{i+1}(x)$ for $0<i<n-1$. Note that in the algebra $\mathrm{C}_{n}$ we have that $\mathrm{H}_{i}(j /(n-1))=0$ when $i=j$ and $\mathrm{H}_{i}(j /(n-1))=1$ when $i \neq j$, for all $0 \leq i$, $j \leq n-1$.

For each $J \subseteq S_{n}, J \neq \varnothing$, choose a bijection ' from $J$ onto $\{0,1, \ldots,|J|-$ 1) and let ( $\mathrm{E}_{J}$ ) be the following equation in $|J|$ variables:

$$
\left(\mathrm{E}_{J}\right) \quad \bigvee_{i \in J} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=1
$$

Our main tool for proving the results announced above is the following:
Lemma 1 Let $\varnothing \neq J \subseteq S_{n}$. Then the equation $\left(\mathrm{E}_{J}\right)$ fails in the algebra $A_{J}$ but it holds in all algebras $A_{I}$ with $J \nsubseteq I$.
Proof: For each $i \in J$, let $x_{i^{\prime}}=i /(n-1)$. Then $\bigvee_{i \in J} H_{i}(i /(n-1))=0$ and $\left(\mathrm{E}_{J}\right)$ fails in $A_{J}$. Suppose now that $J \nsubseteq I \subseteq S_{n}$, and take $j_{0} \in J \backslash I$. Since $\mathrm{H}_{j_{0}}\left(x_{j_{0}^{\prime}}\right)=1$ for each value of $x_{j_{0}^{\prime}}$ in $A_{I}$, and $\bigvee_{i \in J} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(x_{i^{\prime}}\right) \geq H_{j_{0}}\left(x_{j_{0}^{\prime}}\right)$, we have that $\left(\mathrm{E}_{J}\right)$ holds in $A_{I}$.

Lemma 2 For each $\mathbf{V} \in \Lambda_{n} \backslash\left\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{L}_{n}\right\}$ let $S^{\prime}(\mathbf{V})=\left\{J \subseteq S_{n}: A_{J} \notin \mathbf{V}\right\}$, and let $S(\mathbf{V})$ denote the set of all minimal sets (with respect to inclusion) belonging to $S^{\prime}(\mathbf{V})$. Then the equation:

$$
\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{V}}\right) \bigwedge_{J \in S(\mathbf{v})} \bigvee_{i \in J} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=1
$$

determines the equational class $\mathbf{V}$.
Proof: Suppose that $A_{I} \in \mathbf{V}$. If $J \in S^{\prime}(\mathbf{V})$ then $J \nsubseteq I$ and, by Lemma 1, $\left(\mathrm{E}_{J}\right)$ holds in $A_{I}$. Therefore ( $\mathrm{E}_{J}$ ) holds in $A_{I}$ for each $J \in S(\mathbf{V})$ and, hence, ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ ) also holds in $A_{I}$. Conversely, suppose that $A_{I} \notin \mathbf{V}$. Then $I \in S^{\prime}(\mathbf{V})$ and there is a $J_{0} \in S(\mathbf{V})$ such that $J_{0} \subseteq I$. By Lemma 1, ( $\mathrm{E}_{J_{0}}$ ) fails in $A_{J_{0}} \subseteq A_{I}$. Therefore, there is an evaluation of $x_{i^{\prime}}, i \in J_{0}$ in $A_{I}$, such that $\bigvee_{i \in J_{0}} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=0$, and since

$$
\bigwedge_{J \in S(\mathbf{V})} \bigvee_{i \in J} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(x_{i^{\prime}}\right) \leq \bigvee_{i \in J_{0}} \mathrm{H}_{i}\left(x_{i^{\prime}}\right)
$$

we have that ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ ) fails in $A_{I}$. Since the subdirectly irreducibles in $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ are the algebras $A_{I}$, the equational class $\mathbf{V}$ is determined by the equation ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{V}}$ ).

Let $\operatorname{Ant}\left(S_{n}\right)$ be the set of all antichains formed by subsets of $S_{n}$, ordered by the following relation: If $R, Q$ are in $\operatorname{Ant}\left(S_{n}\right)$, then $R \leq Q$ if and only if, for each $I \in Q$, there is a $J \in R$ such that $J \subseteq I$. Note that, under this relation, $\{\varnothing\}$ is the least element of $\operatorname{Ant}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and $\varnothing$ is the greatest.

Put $\left.\operatorname{Ant}^{*}\left(S_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Ant}\left(S_{n}\right) \backslash\{\varnothing\}, \varnothing\right\}$ and $\Lambda_{n}^{*}=\Lambda_{n} \backslash\left\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{L}_{n}\right\}$. For each $R \in$ Ant ${ }^{*}\left(S_{n}\right)$, let ( $\mathrm{E}_{R}$ ) be the equation
( $\mathrm{E}_{R}$ ) $\bigwedge_{J \in R} \bigvee_{i \in J} \mathrm{H}={ }_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=1$
and let $\mathbf{V}_{R}$ be the equational subclass of $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ determined by the equation $\left(\mathrm{E}_{R}\right)$. Then we have:

Theorem 1 The correspondence $R \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{R}$ establishes an order isomorphism from $\mathrm{Ant}^{*}\left(S_{n}\right)$ onto $\Lambda_{n}^{*}$.

Proof: Since $S(\mathbf{V}) \in \operatorname{Ant}^{*}\left(S_{n}\right)$ for each $\mathbf{V} \in \Lambda_{n}^{*}$, it follows from Lemma 2 that $R \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{R}$ is an onto mapping. To see that it is an order isomorphism, suppose first that $R \leq Q$ and take $A_{K} \in \mathbf{V}_{R}$. Since ( $\mathrm{E}_{R}$ ) holds in $A_{K}$, we have that all the equations ( $\mathrm{E}_{J}$ ), for $J \in R$, hold in $A_{K}$. Hence, by Lemma $1, J \nsubseteq K$ for each $J \in R$. If $A_{K} \notin \mathbf{V}_{Q}$, then there would be an $I \in Q$ such that $\left(\mathrm{E}_{I}\right)$ fails in $A_{K}$, and again by Lemma 1 we would have that $I \subseteq K$. Since $R \leq Q$, there would be a $J \in R$ such that $J \subseteq I \subseteq K$, a contradiction. Hence $R \leq Q$ implies $\mathbf{V}_{R} \subseteq \mathbf{V}_{Q}$. Suppose now that $Q \nsupseteq R$. Then there is an $I \in Q$ such that $J \nsubseteq I$ for each $J \in R$, and, by Lemma 1, the equation ( $\mathrm{E}_{J}$ ) holds in $A_{I}$ for each $J \in R$. Thus ( $\mathrm{E}_{R}$ ) holds in $A_{I}$ and so $A_{I} \in \mathbf{V}_{R}$. But since, by Lemma 1, ( $\mathrm{E}_{I}$ ) fails in $A_{I},\left(\mathrm{E}_{Q}\right)$ also fails in $A_{I}$ and so $A_{I} \notin \mathbf{V}_{Q}$. Therefore $\mathbf{V}_{R} \subseteq \mathbf{V}_{Q}$ implies $R \leq Q$ and we have completed the proof.

Note that we can extend the correspondence of Theorem 1 to an order isomorphism from $\operatorname{Ant}\left(S_{n}\right)$ onto $\Lambda_{n}$ by putting $\mathbf{V}_{[\varnothing]}=\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{\varnothing}=\mathbf{L}_{n}$.

Corollary 1 For each $n \geq 2, \Lambda_{n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{F}_{D}([(n-1) / 2])$.
Theorem 2 Let $R \in \operatorname{Ant}^{*}\left(S_{n}\right)$. Then the equational class $\mathbf{V}_{R}$ cannot be determined by an equation having a smaller number of variables than those occurring in the equation $\left(\mathrm{E}_{R}\right)$.

Proof: Let $\mathrm{m}_{R}=\operatorname{Max}\{|J|: J \in R\}$ and $R^{\prime}=\left\{J \in R:|J|=\mathrm{m}_{R}\right\}$. Since $\{\varnothing\} \neq$ $R \neq \varnothing, 0<\mathrm{m}_{R} \in \omega$. If $\mathrm{m}_{R}=1$, then there is nothing to prove. Assume then that $\mathrm{m}_{R} \geq 2$. We are going to show that there is a $\mathbf{V} \in \Lambda_{n}$ with the following two properties: (i) $\mathbf{V}_{R} \subset \mathbf{V}$ and (ii) if $|I|<\mathrm{m}_{R}$, then $A_{I} \in \mathbf{V}$ if and only if $A_{I} \in \mathbf{V}_{R}$. Property (ii) implies that the varieties $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{R}$ have the same subdirectly irreducibles generated by less than $\mathrm{m}_{R}$ elements, and hence that the free algebras with $\mathrm{m}_{R}-1$ generators in $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{R}$ are isomorphic. But this together with property (i) imply that no equation with less than $\mathrm{m}_{R}$ variables can characterize $\mathbf{V}_{R}$. To find a $\mathbf{V}$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) we consider the following cases:

Case 1: $R \neq R^{\prime}$. Let $Q=R \backslash R^{\prime}$. Since $R \leq Q$ and $R \nsupseteq Q$, by Theorem 1 we have that $\mathbf{V}_{R} \subset \mathbf{V}_{Q}$. If $I \subseteq S_{n}$ and $|I|<\mathrm{m}_{R}$, then $J \nsubseteq I$ for each $J \in R^{\prime}$ and it follows from Lemma 1 that all equations ( $\mathrm{E}_{J}$ ), for $J \in R^{\prime}$, hold in $A_{I}$. Consequently ( $\mathrm{E}_{Q}$ ) holds in $A_{I}$ if and only if $\left(\mathrm{E}_{R}\right)$ holds, and we can take $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V}_{Q}$.

Case 2: $R=R^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{R}=\left|S_{n}\right|$. In this case we must have that $R=\left\{S_{n}\right\}$. Hence, by Lemma 1, $A_{I} \in \mathbf{V}_{R}$ for each $I \subset S_{n}$ and $A_{S_{n}}=\mathrm{C}_{n} \notin \mathbf{V}_{R}$. Then we can take $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{L}_{n}$.

Case 3: $R=R^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{R}<\left|S_{n}\right|$. Take $J_{0} \in R, k \in S_{n} \backslash J_{0}$, and let $Q=\left\{J_{0} \cup\{k\}\right\}$. Since $Q \in \operatorname{Ant}^{*}\left(S_{n}\right), R \leq Q$, and $R \neq Q$, we have that $\mathbf{V}_{R} \subset \mathbf{V}_{Q}$. Let $I \subseteq S_{n}$, $|I|<\mathrm{m}_{R}$ (recall we are considering $\mathrm{m}_{R} \geq 2$ ). Since $J \nsubseteq I$ for each $J \in R$, a fortiori $J_{0} \cup\{k\} \nsubseteq I$, so we have again by Lemma 1 that both $\left(\mathrm{E}_{R}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{E}_{Q}\right)$ hold in $A_{I}$. Hence we can take $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V}_{Q}$.
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