

Convergence of Alexandrov spaces and spectrum of Laplacian

By Takashi SHIOYA

(Received Dec. 9, 1998)

(Revised Aug. 2, 1999)

Abstract. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(n)$ the family of isometry classes of compact n -dimensional Alexandrov spaces with curvature ≥ -1 , and $\lambda_k(M)$ the k^{th} eigenvalue of the Laplacian on $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$. We prove the continuity of $\lambda_k : \mathcal{A}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology for each $k, n \in \mathbf{N}$, and moreover that the spectral topology introduced by Kasue-Kumura [7], [8] coincides with the Gromov-Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{A}(n)$.

1. Introduction.

For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $D > 0$, let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Ric}}(n, D)$ denote the family of isometry classes of closed n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$ and diameter $\text{diam}(M) \leq D$, and $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}|}(n, D)$ the family of all $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Ric}}(n, D)$ whose sectional curvatures K_M satisfy $|K_M| \leq 1$. Fukaya [5] proved that, if we equip each $M \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}|}(n, D)$ with normalized volume measure $d\text{vol}_M/\text{vol}(M)$, then for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$ the function λ_k which assigns to each $M \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}|}(n, D)$ the k^{th} eigenvalue of the Laplacian on M extends to a continuous function on the measured Gromov-Hausdorff closure of $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}|}(n, D)$. After that, Bérard-Besson-Gallot [1] and Kasue-Kumura [7] each proved that the statement holds for $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Ric}}(n, D)$ with some natural topologies different from (indeed finer than) the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Precisely, Kasue-Kumura [7], [8] introduced a natural distance, called the spectral distance, between Riemannian manifolds by using the heat kernels, and proved the precompactness of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Ric}}(n, D)$ with respect to the spectral distance. The topology induced from the spectral distance is called the *spectral topology*. The spectral distance or topology completely expresses the closeness between the analytic structures on manifolds. Especially, the k^{th} eigenvalue of the Laplacian of a Riemannian manifold is continuous with respect to the spectral topology.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58G25, 53C23; Secondary 53C20,

Key Words and Phrases. Alexandrov space, eigenvalue, spectrum, Laplacian, the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, the spectral distance.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 11440023), Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

In this paper, we consider the family, say $\mathcal{A}(n)$, of isometry classes of compact n -dimensional Alexandrov spaces of curvature ≥ -1 . The family $\mathcal{A}(n)$ contains the d_{GH} -closure of the family, say $\mathcal{M}_{\text{sec}}(n, D, v)$, consisting of all $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Ric}}(n, D)$ with sectional curvature $K_M \geq -1$ and volume $\text{vol}(M) \geq v$ for any fixed $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $D, v > 0$, where d_{GH} denotes the Gromov-Hausdorff distance between compact metric spaces. In our previous paper [10], we gave a natural definition of the Laplacian Δ_M on $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$. It has discrete spectrum consisting of eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_0(M) < \lambda_1(M) \leq \lambda_2(M) \leq \cdots \nearrow \infty.$$

We also proved the existence of Hölder continuous heat kernel on $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$, so that the spectral distance is valid between Alexandrov spaces. We observe that almost all results of [8] hold for Alexandrov spaces instead of manifolds, without any change of their proofs. Our main theorem is stated as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *The spectral topology coincides with the Gromov-Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{A}(n)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. In particular, the k^{th} eigenvalue $\lambda_k : \mathcal{A}(n) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology for each $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Denote by $\mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$ the family of $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ with $\text{diam}(M) \leq D$ and the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^n(M) \geq v > 0$. Note that the d_{GH} -closure of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{sec}}(n, D, v)$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$, and that $\mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$ is d_{GH} -compact. As an immediate corollary to Theorem 1.1, we have an upper bound $c(n, k, D, v) > 0$ depending only on $n, k \in \mathbf{N}$, $D, v > 0$ for λ_k on $\mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$.

Let us mention the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof relies on the non-smooth analysis based on the synthetic method of Alexandrov geometry, developed in our previous paper [10]. Assume that a sequence $M_i \in \mathcal{A}(n)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, d_{GH} -converges to an $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$. If the limit M has no singularities, then M_i converges to M with respect to the Lipschitz distance and then the proof is easy. However, this is not true in general. Nevertheless, we can still construct a bi-Lipschitz map between subsets $\Omega \subset M$ and $\Omega_i \subset M_i$ with bi-Lipschitz constant close to 1 for large i (see Theorem 3.1), where Ω is any compact subset of M such that $M \setminus \Omega$ is a small neighborhood of some ‘pointed’ singular set (precisely the set \hat{S}_δ defined in §2). This makes a correspondence between $W^{1,2}(\Omega_i)$ and $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. For the theorem, it is essential to prove that for any fixed $k \in \mathbf{N}$ an eigenfunction for the k^{th} eigenvalue of Δ_{M_i} L^2 -converges to some eigenfunction for the k^{th} eigenvalue of Δ_M on $\Omega (\approx \Omega_i)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, after taking some subsequence. Here, it is a crucial point to show no presence of the concentration of $W^{1,2}$ -mass on $M_i \setminus \Omega_i$ of the eigenfunction in the convergence $M_i \rightarrow M$. Note that in the case of [5], i.e., when $M_i \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}|}(n, D)$ and $\dim M \leq n$, then the singularities of M appears only by quotient of isometric

$O(n)$ -action, and considering analysis on $O(n)$ -invariant smooth functions keeps away from the difficulty. On the other hand, our singularities are not only caused by the quotient of group action, so that we need a different way to prove the theorem. Our idea is to take the mollifier of eigenfunctions of Δ_{M_i} to control the concentration of the L^2 -mass on $M_i \setminus \Omega_i$ of the eigenfunctions (see Lemma 5.6). This also implies no presence of the energy concentration on $M_i \setminus \Omega_i$ of the eigenfunctions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author would like to thank Kazuhiro Kuwae for useful discussion and also the referee for valuable suggestions for improving this paper.

2. Preliminaries.

We denote by $\theta(\delta)$ the symbol expressing a function such that $\theta(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, and use it like Landau's symbols.

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be two metric spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a (not necessarily continuous) map, and $\varepsilon > 0$ a number. We call f an ε -approximation if the following (i) and (ii) hold:

- (i) $|d_Y(f(x), f(y)) - d_X(x, y)| < \varepsilon$ for any $x, y \in X$,
- (ii) $Y \subset B(f(X), \varepsilon)$,

where $B(A, r)$ denotes the r -metric ball of a subset A of a metric space. Note that the Gromov-Hausdorff distance between X and Y is $d_{GH}(X, Y) < \theta(\varepsilon)$ if and only if a $\theta(\varepsilon)$ -approximation from X to Y exists. The *dilatation* $\text{dil}(f)$ of f is defined by

$$\text{dil}(f) := \sup_{x \neq y \in X} \frac{d_Y(f(x), f(y))}{d_X(x, y)} \in [0, \infty].$$

Call f an ε -almost isometry if f is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism with $|\ln \text{dil}(f)| + |\ln \text{dil}(f^{-1})| < \varepsilon$.

In the following, we define some convention for Alexandrov spaces used in this paper. We refer to [2] for the basics for Alexandrov spaces. Let M be an n -dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature ≥ -1 , i.e., a complete locally compact intrinsic metric space with curvature ≥ -1 in the sense of triangle comparison. For $\delta > 0$, the δ -singular set of M is defined to be

$$S_\delta := \{x \in M \mid \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Sigma_x) \leq \omega_{n-1} - \delta\},$$

where Σ_x is the space of directions at $x \in M$ and ω_{n-1} the volume of the unit $(n-1)$ -sphere. It is known that, for $0 < \delta \ll 1/n$, $M \setminus S_\delta$ is a (incomplete)

Lipschitz-Riemannian manifold whose Riemannian metric is compatible with the original distance function ([12]). A *singular point of M* is defined to be a point where the space of directions is not isometric the unit n -sphere. The set of singular points of M , say the *singular set S_M* , coincides with $\bigcup_{\delta>0} S_\delta$. The Hausdorff dimension of S_M is $\leq n-1$ ([2], [12]). A *boundary point of M* is defined inductively as follows. If $\dim M = 1$, then M is a one-dimensional Riemannian manifold and the boundary is naturally defined. When $\dim M \geq 2$, a point $x \in M$ is a boundary point if and only if Σ_x has a boundary point. Denote by ∂M the set of boundary points of M . The *double $\text{dbl}(M)$* of M is obtained by gluing two copies of M along their boundaries. The double of an Alexandrov space with nonempty boundary is an Alexandrov space without boundary and of the same lower bound of curvature ([2], [13]). There is a natural isometric embedding of M into $\text{dbl}(M)$. We denote by \hat{S}_δ the δ -singular points of $\text{dbl}(M)$ contained in M . Then, the Hausdorff dimension of \hat{S}_δ is $\leq n-2$ ([2]).

We here define some convention in analysis on Alexandrov spaces. Refer to [12], [10] for the details. Assume from now on that M is compact. Recalling that $M \setminus S_\delta$ for $0 < \delta \ll 1/n$ is a Lipschitz-Riemannian manifold, we can define the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}$ on it. For $\Omega \subset M$, a symmetric bi-linear form \mathcal{E}_Ω on $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ($:= W^{1,2}(\Omega \setminus S_\delta)$) is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega(u, v) := \int_{\Omega^\circ \setminus S_\delta} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle d\mathcal{H}^n, \quad u, v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

where Ω° denotes the interior of Ω and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the Riemannian inner product. Here, we note that the Riemannian volume measure is known to coincide with the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}^n ([12]). Set $\mathcal{E}(u) := \mathcal{E}_\Omega(u, u)$ for simplicity. Recall that the $W^{1,2}$ -Hilbert inner product of $u, v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$(u, v)_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} := (u, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} + \mathcal{E}_\Omega(u, v),$$

and the associated $W^{1,2}$ -norm of $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ by

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} := \sqrt{(u, u)_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}} = \sqrt{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \mathcal{E}(u)}.$$

The *Laplacian $\Delta_M : \mathcal{D}(\Delta_M) \subset W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$* is defined as the *generator* of the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, W^{1,2}(M))$, i.e., the self-adjoint operator such that $\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\Delta_M}) = W^{1,2}(M)$ and $\mathcal{E}_M(u, v) = (\sqrt{\Delta_M}u, \sqrt{\Delta_M}v)_{L^2}$ for any $u, v \in W^{1,2}(M)$. We proved in [10] that $W^{1,2}(M)$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(M)$. Consequently, the Laplacian Δ_M has only discrete spectrum as mentioned in §1, and there exists a complete orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ on $L^2(M)$ consisting of eigenfunctions φ_k for $\lambda_k(M)$ of Δ_M .

We now recall the definition of the spectral distance introduced by Kasue-Kumura [7], [8]. We proved in [10] that any Alexandrov space M admits Hölder continuous heat kernel $p_M : (0, \infty) \times M \times M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Let $M, N \in \mathcal{A}(n)$. Two \mathcal{H}^n -measurable maps $f : M \rightarrow N$ and $h : N \rightarrow M$ are called ε -spectral approximations, $\varepsilon > 0$, if

$$e^{-(t+1/t)} |p_M(t, x, y) - p_N(t, f(x), f(y))| < \varepsilon,$$

$$e^{-(t+1/t)} |p_M(t, h(z), h(w)) - p_N(t, z, w)| < \varepsilon$$

for any $t > 0$, $x, y \in M$, and $z, w \in N$. The spectral distance $SD(M, N)$ between M and N is defined to be the infimum of $\varepsilon > 0$ such that both ε -spectral approximations $f : M \rightarrow N$ and $h : N \rightarrow M$ exist. We here agree that $SD(M, N) := \infty$ if one of the spectral approximations f and h does not exist.

3. Construction of almost isometries.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following:

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that a sequence $M_i \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ d_{GH} -converges to an $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$. Then, for any δ with $0 < \delta \ll 1/n$, there exist ε_i -approximations $f_{\delta, i} : M \rightarrow M_i$ for some $\varepsilon_i \searrow 0$ and compact subsets $D_{\delta, i} \subset M \setminus \hat{S}_\delta$ with $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty D_{\delta, i} = M \setminus \hat{S}_\delta$ such that the restriction $f_{\delta, i} : D_{\delta, i} \rightarrow f_{\delta, i}(D_{\delta, i})$ is a $\theta(\delta)$ -almost isometry, and*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^n(M_i \setminus f_{\delta, i}(D_{\delta, i})) = 0.$$

In particular, (M_i, \mathcal{H}^n) converges to (M, \mathcal{H}^n) in the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology.

Here, refer to [5] for the definition of the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology.

We need some definitions for the proof of the theorem. An ε -net of a metric space X , $\varepsilon > 0$, is defined to be a discrete subset of X whose ε -neighborhood contains X . Let M be an n -dimensional Alexandrov space with distance function d_M . We say that a point $x \in M$ is δ -strained, $\delta > 0$, if there is a sequence $\{p_i\}_{i=\pm 1, \dots, \pm n}$, called a δ -strainer at x , such that for any i, j ,

$$\tilde{\angle} p_i x p_j > \begin{cases} \pi/2 - \delta & \text{if } i \neq \pm j, \\ \pi - \delta & \text{if } i = -j, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\angle} xyz$ for $x, y, z \in M$ denotes the angle of the comparison triangle of $\triangle xyz$ in the hyperbolic plane at the vertex corresponding to y . It follows that $x \in M \setminus S_{\theta(\delta)}$ if and only if $x \in M$ is a $\theta(\delta)$ -strained point. Let $x \in M$ be a

δ -strained point with strainer $\{p_i\}_{i=\pm 1, \dots, \pm n}$, and let

$$\varphi(y) := (d_M(p_i, y) - d_M(p_{-i}, y))_{i=1, \dots, n} \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad y \in M.$$

Then, there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that the restriction $\varphi : U \rightarrow \varphi(U)$ is a $\theta(\delta)$ -almost isometry and the image $\varphi(U)$ is an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n (see [2]).

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. When $\partial M = \emptyset$, we already proved the theorem in §3 of [15]. Since the basic strategy of the proof here is similar to that in [15], we omit some details.

Let r and t be small enough numbers such that $0 < t \ll r$. There exists a t -net $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of $M \setminus B(\hat{S}_\delta, r)$ such that $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m \cap \partial M$ is a t -net of $\partial M \setminus B(\hat{S}_\delta, r)$ and that $d_M(x_j, \partial M) > t$ for $x_j \notin \partial M$. We embed M into its double $\text{dbl}(M)$. Then, there exists a $\theta(\delta)$ -strainer $\{p_{jk}\}_{k=\pm 1, \dots, \pm n}$ at each x_j on $\text{dbl}(M)$ such that the map

$$\varphi_j := (d_{\text{dbl}(M)}(p_{jk}, \cdot) - d_{\text{dbl}(M)}(p_{j, -k}, \cdot))_{k=1, \dots, n}$$

from $U_j := B(x_j, 2t)$ to $\varphi_j(U_j) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is a $\theta(\delta)$ -almost isometry. By an approximation $\text{dbl}(M) \rightarrow \text{dbl}(M_i)$ we project all the points x_j, p_{jk} to points in $\text{dbl}(M_i)$, say y_j, q_{jk} , such that $\{x_j\} \cap \partial M = \{y_j\} \cap \partial M_i$. Supposing i is large enough, we may assume that the map

$$\psi_j := (d_{\text{dbl}(M)}(q_{jk}, \cdot) - d_{\text{dbl}(M)}(q_{j, -k}, \cdot))_{k=1, \dots, n}$$

from $V_j := B(y_j, 5t)$ to $\psi_j(V_j) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is also a $\theta(\delta)$ -almost isometry. We also assume that if $x_j \in \partial M$, then p_{j1}, q_{j1} are symmetric to $p_{j, -1}, q_{j, -1}$ in $\text{dbl}(M), \text{dbl}(M_i)$ respectively and $p_{jk} \in \partial M, q_{jk} \in \partial M_i$ for every k with $|k| \geq 2$, so that $\varphi_j = -\varphi_j \circ \iota$ and $\psi_j = -\psi_j \circ \iota_i$ for $x_j \in \partial M$, where $\iota : \text{dbl}(M) \rightarrow \text{dbl}(M)$ and $\iota_i : \text{dbl}(M_i) \rightarrow \text{dbl}(M_i)$ denote the symmetric isometries. Note that for $x_j \in \partial M$, $U_j \cap \partial M = \varphi_j^{-1}(\{x_1 = 0\})$ and $V_j \cap \partial M_i = \psi_j^{-1}(\{x_1 = 0\})$. Set $U'_j := B(x_j, t)$. There are Lipschitz functions $\chi_j : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\text{supp}[\chi_j] \subset U_j, \quad \chi_j = 1 \quad \text{on } U'_j, \quad M \subset \bigcup_j \text{supp}[\chi_j]^\circ,$$

$$\text{supp}[\chi_j] \cap \partial M = \emptyset \quad \text{for } x_j \notin \partial M.$$

We inductively define a sequence of functions $f_{\delta, i}^j : \bigcup_{\ell=1}^j U'_\ell \rightarrow M_i$ by

$$f_{\delta, i}^1 := \psi_1^{-1} \circ \varphi_1 : U'_1 \rightarrow M_i$$

and for $j \geq 2$,

$$f_{\delta, i}^j := \begin{cases} f_{\delta, i}^{j-1} & \text{on } \bigcup_{\ell=1}^j U'_\ell \setminus U'_j, \\ \psi_j^{-1} \circ ((1 - \chi_j)\psi_j \circ f_{\delta, i}^{j-1} + \chi_j \varphi_j) & \text{on } \bigcup_{\ell=1}^j U'_\ell \cap U'_j. \end{cases}$$

Note that each $f_{\delta,i}^j$ (and also its inverse) maps boundary points to boundary points. The same discussion as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [15] yields that $f_{\delta,i} := f_{\delta,i}^m : M \setminus B(\hat{S}_\delta, r) \rightarrow M_i$ is a $\theta(\delta)$ -almost isometry onto its image for i large enough against $r > 0$. Taking a sequence of positive numbers r_i slowly tending to zero, we obtain the desired $\theta(\delta)$ -almost isometry $f_{\delta,i} : D_{\delta,i} := M \setminus B(\hat{S}_\delta, r_i) \rightarrow f_{\delta,i}(D_{\delta,i})$. We have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^n(M_i \setminus f_{\delta,i}(D_{\delta,i})) = 0$ in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 of [15]. This completes the proof. \square

4. SD -precompactness of $\mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$.

In this section, we shall prove the SD -precompactness of $\mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$. Let $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$. Take a C^∞ -function $\rho_n : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that ρ_n is a positive constant around 0, $\rho_n(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 1$, and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \rho_n(|x|) dx = 1,$$

where dx denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n with respect to the variable $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. For $h > 0$, we define the h -mollifier $u_h : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of a function $u \in L^2(M)$ by

$$u_h(x) := \frac{\int_{y \in M} \rho_n(d(x, y)/h) u(y) d\mathcal{H}^n}{\int_{y \in M} \rho_n(d(x, y)/h) d\mathcal{H}^n}.$$

Set, for $R > 0$,

$$a(M) := \inf_{x \in M} \int_{y \in M} \rho_n(d(x, y)) d\mathcal{H}^n,$$

$$b(M, R) := \inf_{\substack{x \in M \\ 0 < r \leq R}} \frac{r^n}{\mathcal{H}^n(B(x, r))}.$$

We proved in [10] (see Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, 7.1, and Theorems 4.1, 7.2 of [10] and their proofs) that for any $0 < h < 1$, $0 < r \leq R$, and $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$,

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_M |u_h| \leq \frac{c_n}{a(M)h} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(u)},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \|u_h - u\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{c_n h}{a(M)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(u)},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \|u - \bar{u}_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^2(B(x,r))} \leq c_n b(M, R) r \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B(x,3r))},$$

where c_n is a constant depending only on n and

$$\bar{u}_{B(x,r)} := \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^n(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} u d\mathcal{H}^n.$$

We also have some uniform estimate of the dilatation of u_h . The compactness of the embedding $W^{1,2}(M) \subset L^2(M)$ (Theorem 1.2 of [10]) is in fact obtained by using the mollifier defined here.

LEMMA 4.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D, R, v > 0$, we have*

$$\inf_{M \in \mathcal{A}(n, D, v)} a(M) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{M \in \mathcal{A}(n, D, v)} b(M, R) < \infty.$$

Here, $\mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$ is defined in §1.

PROOF. Since ρ_n is positive on a neighborhood of 0, for the first estimate it suffices to show that

$$\inf_{M \in \mathcal{A}(n, D, v)} \inf_{x \in M} \mathcal{H}^n(B(x, r_0)) > 0 \quad \text{for a small } r_0 > 0.$$

This in fact follows from the Bishop-Gromov inequality ([18]).

The second estimate is also implied by the Bishop-Gromov inequality. \square

Let us recall the concept of spectral embedding (cf. [1], [7], [8]). Consider the Hilbert space $\ell_2 := \{(a_k)_{k=0}^\infty \mid \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k^2 < \infty\}$ and denote by $C_\infty([0, \infty), \ell_2)$ the space of continuous curves $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \ell_2$ such that the ℓ_2 -norm $|\gamma(t)|_{\ell_2}$ of $\gamma(t)$ tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We equip $C_\infty([0, \infty), \ell_2)$ with the distance function d_∞ defined by

$$d_\infty(\gamma, \sigma) := \sup_{t > 0} |\gamma(t) - \sigma(t)|_{\ell_2}, \quad \gamma, \sigma \in C_\infty([0, \infty), \ell_2).$$

Let $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ be an Alexandrov space and $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ a complete orthonormal basis on $L^2(M)$ consisting of eigenfunctions φ_k for $\lambda_k := \lambda_k(M)$ of Δ_M . Define a curve $F_\Phi[x]$ in $C_\infty([0, \infty), \ell_2)$ for $x \in M$ by

$$F_\Phi[x](t) := (e^{-(t+1/t)/2} e^{-\lambda_k t/2} \varphi_k(x))_{k=0}^\infty, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Note here that every eigenfunction of Δ_M is Hölder continuous on M (see Theorem 1.4 of [10]). Then, the map F_Φ gives an embedding of M into $C_\infty([0, \infty), \ell_2)$ and is called the *spectral embedding of M with respect to the basis Φ* . Denote by \mathcal{FM} the set of such bases Φ on $L^2(M)$, and by $\mathcal{FA}(n)$ the set of pairs (M, Φ) of $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{FM}$. For $(M, \Phi), (N, \Psi) \in \mathcal{FA}(n)$, we define $SD^*((M, \Phi), (N, \Psi))$ to be the Hausdorff distance between $F_\Phi(M)$ and $F_\Psi(N)$ in $C_\infty([0, \infty), \ell_2)$, i.e.,

$$SD^*((M, \Phi), (N, \Psi)) := \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid F_\Phi(M) \subset B(F_\Psi(N), \varepsilon), F_\Psi(N) \subset B(F_\Phi(M), \varepsilon)\}.$$

LEMMA 4.2. *The family $\mathcal{FA}(n, D, v)$ is SD^* -precompact and $\mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$ SD -precompact. The natural projection $\pi : (\mathcal{FA}(n), SD^*) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}(n), SD)$ is Lipschitz continuous.*

PROOF. Note that the Bishop-Gromov inequality implies the uniform boundedness of the doubling constant:

$$(4.4) \quad \sup_{\substack{x \in M \in \mathcal{A}(n, D, v) \\ 0 < r \leq D}} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B(x, 2r))}{\mathcal{H}^n(B(x, r))} < \infty.$$

Combining (4.4), (4.3), Lemma 4.1 of this paper, and Theorem 2.4 of [16] (see also [14] and Remark 7.1 of [10]) yields that the heat kernel $p_M : (0, \infty) \times M \times M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ on each $M \in \mathcal{A}(n, D, v)$ satisfies

$$p_M(t, x, x) \leq c_0 t^{-v/2} \quad \text{for any } t > 0 \text{ and } x \in M,$$

where c_0 and v are positive constants depending only on n, D, v . Thus, the discussions in §1.3 and §2.2 of [8] complete the proof. \square

5. Proof of main theorem.

Let a sequence $\{M_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ in $\mathcal{A}(n)$ d_{GH} -converge to an $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$, let $\delta_\ell \searrow 0$ be a sequence of numbers with $\delta_1 \ll 1/n$, and let $f_{\delta_\ell, i}$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Define a linear map $F_{\ell, i} : L^2(M_i) \rightarrow L^2(M)$ by

$$F_{\ell, i}(u) := I_{D_{\delta_\ell, i}} \cdot u \circ f_{\delta_\ell, i}, \quad u \in L^2(M_i),$$

where I_A denotes the *indicator function of a set A* , i.e., $I_A(x) := 1$ for $x \in A$, and $I_A(x) := 0$ for $x \notin A$. Set for simplicity $\lambda_k^i := \lambda_k(M_i)$ and $\lambda_k := \lambda_k(M)$. We take a basis $\Phi_i = \{\varphi_k^i\}_{k=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{FM}_i$ for each i . The following is a key to the proof of the main theorem.

LEMMA 5.1. *For each k we have*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k^i = \lambda_k.$$

Moreover, by replacing with a subsequence of $\{M_i\}$, there exist a sequence $\ell(i) \rightarrow \infty$ and a basis $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{FM}$ such that $F_{\ell(i), i}(\varphi_k^i)$ L^2 -converges to φ_k as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for each fixed k .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 UNDER ASSUMING LEMMA 5.1. We first prove that the spectral topology is not stronger than the Gromov-Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Suppose the contrary, so that we find a sequence $M_i \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ d_{GH} -converges to $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, but there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $SD(M_i, M) \geq$

ε_0 for all i . Note that Theorem 3.1 says that (M_i, \mathcal{H}^n) converges to (M, \mathcal{H}^n) with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Since the diameters of M_i are uniformly bounded and the \mathcal{H}^n -volumes of M_i bounded away from zero, Lemma 4.2 implies the SD^* -precompactness of $\{(M_i, \Phi_i)\}_i$, $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{F}M_i$. Therefore, by replacing with a subsequence of $\{i\}$, the embedded image $F_{\Phi_i}[M_i]$ converges to a subset $FX \subset C_\infty([0, \infty), \ell_2)$ with respect to the Hausdorff distance. By Lemma 5.1 and the continuity of eigenfunctions, we obtain $FX = F_\Phi[M]$ for some $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}M$, namely $SD^*((M_i, \Phi_i), (M, \Phi)) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts $SD(M_i, M) \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$.

We next prove that the spectral topology is not weaker than the Gromov-Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Assume that a sequence $M_i \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ SD -converges to an $M \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 3.1(iii) of [8] and $\mathcal{H}^n(M) > 0$, we have $\inf_i \mathcal{H}^n(M_i) > 0$. By Lemma 2.5 of [8], the diameters of M_i are uniformly bounded. Therefore, $\{M_i\}$ is a d_{GH} -relatively compact subset of $\mathcal{A}(n)$. By the first part of the proof, any d_{GH} -limit of $\{M_i\}$ must coincide with the SD -limit M . Thus, M_i d_{GH} -converges to M . This completes the proof of the main theorem. \square

The rest of the paper is devoted to prove Lemma 5.1. With the notations defined in the top of this section, for a fixed integer $k \geq -1$ and for every $j = 0, \dots, k$, let φ_j be an eigenfunction of Δ_M associated with eigenvalue λ_j such that $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=0}^k$ is L^2 -orthonormal, and let $i(\ell) \rightarrow \infty$ be a sequence of positive integers. Consider the following:

ASSUMPTION 5.1. For each ℓ and $j = 0, \dots, k$,

- (a)
$$\sup_{i \geq i(\ell)} \|F_{\ell, i}(\varphi_j^i) - \varphi_j\|_{L^2} \leq \theta(\delta_\ell),$$
- (b)
$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j^i = \lambda_j.$$

If $k = -1$, then Assumption 5.1 says the empty statement and is trivially true.

To obtain Lemma 5.1, it suffices to prove the following:

CLAIM 5.1. Under Assumption 5.1, by replacing with subsequences of $\{i\}$, $\{\delta_\ell\}$, and $\{i(\ell)\}$ if necessary, there exists an eigenfunction $\varphi_{k+1} \in W^{1,2}(M)$ of Δ_M for λ_{k+1} such that $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=0}^{k+1}$ is L^2 -orthonormal and that (a) and (b) both hold for every ℓ and $j = 0, \dots, k+1$.

We suppose Assumption 5.1 and shall prove the claim.

LEMMA 5.2. Let Ω be a domain of a Lipschitz-Riemannian manifold such that $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$, and let $\{u_i\} \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a sequence

satisfying

$$\varliminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

Then, there exists a subsequence $\{u_{j(i)}\}$ of $\{u_i\}$ converging to a function $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ L^2 -strongly and $W^{1,2}$ -weakly such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq \varliminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}.$$

PROOF. A standard discussion in functional analysis. \square

LEMMA 5.3. Let U and V be two domains of Lipschitz-Riemannian manifolds and $f : U \rightarrow V$ a δ -almost isometry, $\delta > 0$. Then, we have $u \circ f^{-1} \in W^{1,2}(V)$ for any $u \in W^{1,2}(U)$, and the map $W^{1,2}(U) \ni u \mapsto u \circ f^{-1} \in W^{1,2}(V)$ is a $\theta(\delta)$ -almost isometry with respect to L^2 and $W^{1,2}$ -norm.

PROOF. See, for example, Theorem 4(ii) in 4.2.2 of [4] and its Remark. \square

LEMMA 5.4. We have

$$\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+1}^i \leq \lambda_{k+1}.$$

PROOF. There exists an eigenfunction $\psi \in W^{1,2}(M)$ for λ_{k+1} such that $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k, \psi\}$ is L^2 -orthonormal. By Theorem 1.1 of [10], for any $\delta > 0$ there exists an approximation $\psi_\delta \in W^{1,2}(M)$ of ψ such that $\text{supp}[\psi_\delta] \cap \hat{S}_\delta = \emptyset$ and

$$(5.1) \quad \|\psi_\delta - \psi\|_{W^{1,2}} < \delta.$$

For i large enough, we have $\text{supp}[\psi_\delta] \subset D_{\delta,i}$ and set

$$\psi_\delta^i := \begin{cases} \psi_\delta \circ f_{\delta,i}^{-1} & \text{on } f_{\delta,i}(D_{\delta,i}), \\ 0 & \text{on } M_i \setminus f_{\delta,i}(D_{\delta,i}). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.3 implies $\psi_\delta^i \in W^{1,2}(M_i)$. In what follows, we assume that i is sufficiently large against k and a fixed δ . Then, by Lemma 5.3 and $\mathcal{E}(\psi) = \lambda_{k+1}$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi_\delta^i) = (1 + \theta(\delta))\mathcal{E}(\psi_\delta) = (1 + \theta(\delta))(\lambda_{k+1} + \theta(\delta)) = \lambda_{k+1} + \theta(\delta).$$

Remark here that $\theta(\delta)$ is independent of i . By Lemma 5.3 and (5.1),

$$\|\psi_\delta^i\|_{L^2} = (1 + \theta(\delta))\|\psi_\delta\|_{L^2} = (1 + \theta(\delta))(\|\psi\|_{L^2} + \theta(\delta)) = 1 + \theta(\delta)$$

and also, in the same way, $(\psi_\delta^i, \varphi_j^i)_{L^2} = \theta(\delta)$ for each $j = 1, \dots, k$. Using the Gram-Schmidt method, we find a function $\hat{\psi}_\delta^i \in W^{1,2}(M_i)$ such that $(\hat{\psi}_\delta^i, \varphi_j^i)_{L^2} =$

0, $\|\hat{\psi}_\delta^i\|_{L^2} = 1$, and $\|\hat{\psi}_\delta^i - \psi_\delta^i\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq \theta(\delta)$. Therefore,

$$\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+1}^i \leq \overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}(\hat{\psi}_\delta^i)}{\|\hat{\psi}_\delta^i\|_{L^2}} \leq \lambda_{k+1} + \theta(\delta).$$

By taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$, this completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 5.5. *For any fixed ℓ , there exists a subsequence $I(\ell) \subset \{i\}$ such that as $I(\ell) \ni i \rightarrow \infty$, $F_{\ell,i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i)$ converges to a function $\varphi_{k+1,\ell} \in W^{1,2}(M)$ in the $L^2(\Omega)$ -strong and $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ -weak topologies for any compact subset $\Omega \subset M \setminus \hat{S}_{\delta_\ell}$, and the limit satisfies*

$$\|\varphi_{k+1,\ell}\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \leq (1 + \theta(\delta_\ell)) \left(1 + \underline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+1}^i\right).$$

PROOF. Let $\Omega \subset M \setminus \hat{S}_{\delta_\ell}$ be any compact domain with Lipschitz boundary and set $\Omega_i := f_{\delta_\ell,i}(\Omega)$ for large enough i . Note that Ω is a compact Lipschitz-Riemannian manifold with Lipschitz boundary, so that a standard discussion shows that $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since $\|\varphi_{k+1}^i\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_i)}^2 \leq \|\varphi_{k+1}^i\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 = 1 + \lambda_{k+1}^i$, we have, by Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4,

$$\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|F_{\ell,i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq (1 + \theta(\delta_\ell))(1 + \lambda_{k+1}).$$

Applying Lemma 5.2 yields that there exist a subsequence $I(\ell) \subset \{i\}$ and a function $\varphi_{k+1,\ell} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that

- (i) as $I(\ell) \ni i \rightarrow \infty$, $F_{\ell,i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i)|_\Omega$ converges to $\varphi_{k+1,\ell}$ $L^2(\Omega)$ -strongly and $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ -weakly;
- (ii) we have

$$\|\varphi_{k+1,\ell}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq (1 + \theta(\delta_\ell)) \left(1 + \underline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+1}^i\right).$$

By taking a monotone increasing sequence of Ω tending to $M \setminus S_{\delta_\ell}$, the diagonal argument extends $\varphi_{k+1,\ell}$ to a function in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(M)$ which satisfies (i) and (ii) for any compact subset $\Omega \subset M \setminus \hat{S}_\delta$. This completes the proof. \square

Our next aim is to control the L^2 -mass of the eigenfunctions φ_{k+1}^i around the δ -singular set of M .

LEMMA 5.6. *For any fixed ℓ , let $\Omega \subset M \setminus \hat{S}_{\delta_\ell}$ be any compact subset such that $\mathcal{H}^n(M \setminus \Omega) \leq \delta_\ell$, and set $\Omega_i := f_{\delta_\ell,i}(\Omega)$. Then we have*

$$\overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2(M_i \setminus \Omega_i)} \leq \theta(\delta_\ell).$$

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{H}^n(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(M)$ and $\text{diam}(M_i) \rightarrow \text{diam}(M)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, Lemma 4.1 implies $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} a(M_i) > 0$. Therefore, by (4.1), (4.2), and $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_{k+1}^i) = \lambda_{k+1}^i$, the h -mollifier $\tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i$ of φ_{k+1}^i , $0 < h < 1$, satisfies that for some constant $c > 0$ and for all sufficiently large i ,

$$\sup_{M_i} |\tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i| \leq \frac{c}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi_{k+1}^i - \tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2} \leq ch.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2(M_i \setminus \Omega_i)} &\leq \|\varphi_{k+1}^i - \tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i - I_{\Omega_i} \tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2} + \|I_{\Omega_i} \tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i - I_{\Omega_i} \varphi_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq 2\|\varphi_{k+1}^i - \tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{\varphi}_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2(M_i \setminus \Omega_i)} \\ &\leq 2ch + \frac{c\mathcal{H}^n(M_i \setminus \Omega_i)}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}^n(M_i \setminus \Omega_i) &= \overline{\lim}_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{H}^n(M_i) - \mathcal{H}^n(\Omega_i)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{H}^n(M) - (1 - \theta(\delta_\ell))\mathcal{H}^n(\Omega) \\ &= \mathcal{H}^n(M \setminus \Omega) + \theta(\delta_\ell)\mathcal{H}^n(\Omega) \leq \theta(\delta_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we set h to be the square root of the last $\theta(\delta_\ell)$ of the above formula, the proof is completed. \square

LEMMA 5.7. For any fixed ℓ and for all sufficiently large $i \in I(\ell)$, we have

- (1) $\|F_{\ell, i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i)\|_{L^2} = 1 + \theta(\delta_\ell)$,
- (2) $\|\varphi_{k+1, \ell}\|_{L^2} = 1 + \theta(\delta_\ell)$,
- (3) $\|F_{\ell, i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i) - \varphi_{k+1, \ell}\|_{L^2} \leq \theta(\delta_\ell)$,
- (4) $|(\varphi_{k+1, \ell}, \varphi_j)_{L^2}| \leq \theta(\delta_\ell)$ for any $j = 0, \dots, k$.

PROOF. Let $\Omega \subset M \setminus \hat{S}_{\delta_\ell}$ be any compact subset such that $\mathcal{H}^n(M \setminus \Omega) \leq \delta_\ell$, and set $\Omega_i := f_{\delta_\ell, i}(\Omega)$.

(1): Lemma 5.3 implies

$$\|F_{\ell, i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i)\|_{L^2} \leq (1 + \theta(\delta_\ell))\|\varphi_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2(f_{\delta_\ell, i}(D_{\delta_\ell, i}))} \leq 1 + \theta(\delta_\ell).$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 5.3 and 5.6,

$$\|F_{\ell, i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq (1 - \theta(\delta_\ell))\|\varphi_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2(\Omega_i)} \geq 1 - \theta(\delta_\ell).$$

Thus we obtain (1).

(2): By Lemma 5.5 and the above,

$$\|\varphi_{k+1,\ell}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \lim_{I(\ell) \ni i \rightarrow \infty} \|F_{\ell,i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1 + \theta(\delta_\ell).$$

Taking $\Omega \rightarrow M \setminus \hat{S}_{\delta_\ell}$ we have (2).

(3): It follows from (1) that $F_{\ell,i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i)$ converges to $\varphi_{k+1,\ell}$ $L^2(M)$ -weakly, which together with (1) and (2) shows (3).

(4): By $(\varphi_{k+1}^i, \varphi_j^i)_{L^2} = 0$, $\|\varphi_j^i\|_{L^2} = 1$, and by Lemma 5.6, we have, for all sufficiently large $i \in I(\ell)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varphi_{k+1}^i, \varphi_j^i)_{L^2(\Omega_i)}| &= |(\varphi_{k+1}^i, \varphi_j^i)_{L^2} - (I_{M_i \setminus \Omega_i} \varphi_{k+1}^i, \varphi_j^i)_{L^2}| \\ &\leq \|\varphi_{k+1}^i\|_{L^2(M_i \setminus \Omega_i)} \leq \theta(\delta_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (1), (2), Lemma 5.5, (a) of Assumption 5.1, and by Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varphi_{k+1,\ell}, \varphi_j)_{L^2(\Omega)}| &\leq \overline{\lim}_{I(\ell) \ni i \rightarrow \infty} |(F_{\ell,i}(\varphi_{k+1}^i), F_{\ell,i}(\varphi_j^i))_{L^2(\Omega)}| + \theta(\delta_\ell) \\ &\leq (1 + \theta(\delta_\ell)) \overline{\lim}_{I(\ell) \ni i \rightarrow \infty} |(\varphi_{k+1}^i, \varphi_j^i)_{L^2(\Omega_i)}| + \theta(\delta_\ell) \leq \theta(\delta_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

PROOF OF CLAIM 5.1. By Lemma 5.2, some subsequence of $\varphi_{k+1,\ell}$ converges to a function $\varphi_{k+1} \in W^{1,2}(M)$ L^2 -strongly and $W^{1,2}$ -weakly. (2), (4) of Lemma 5.7, and Lemma 5.5 respectively imply

$$\|\varphi_{k+1}\|_{L^2} = 1, \quad (\varphi_{k+1}, \varphi_j)_{L^2} = 0, \quad \|\varphi_{k+1}\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 \leq 1 + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+1}^i.$$

We therefore obtain

$$\lambda_{k+1} \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(\varphi_{k+1})}{\|\varphi_{k+1}\|_{L^2}^2} = \mathcal{E}(\varphi_{k+1}) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k+1}^i,$$

which together with Lemma 5.4 implies (b) for $j = k + 1$ and that φ_{k+1} is an eigenfunction for eigenvalue λ_{k+1} .

We may assume that $I(\ell+1) \subset I(\ell)$ for every ℓ . Thus, if $i(\ell) \in I(\ell)$ is taken to be large enough for each ℓ , the diagonal argument together with Lemma 5.7(3) proves (a) by replacing with subsequences. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] P. Bérard, G. Besson, and S. Gallot, Embedding Riemannian manifolds by their heat kernel, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **4** (1994), no. 4, 373–398.

- [2] Yu. Burago, M. Gromov, and G. Perel'man, A. D. Aleksandrov spaces with curvatures bounded below, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **47** (1992), no. 2(284), 3–51, 222, translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **47** (1992), no. 2, 1–58.
- [3] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. III, preprint, 1999.
- [4] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, *Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [5] K. Fukaya, Collapsing of Riemannian manifolds and eigenvalues of Laplace operator, *Invent. Math.*, **87** (1987), 517–547.
- [6] M. Gromov, *Structures métriques pour les variétés riemanniennes*, *Textes Mathématiques [Mathematical Texts]*, vol. 1, CEDIC, Paris, 1981, Edited by J. Lafontaine and P. Pansu.
- [7] A. Kasue and H. Kumura, Spectral convergence of Riemannian manifolds, *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, **46** (1994), no. 2, 147–179.
- [8] ———, Spectral convergence of Riemannian manifolds. II, *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, **48** (1996), no. 1, 71–120.
- [9] K. Kuwae, Y. Machigashira, and T. Shioya, *Beginning of analysis on Alexandrov spaces, Geometry and topology: Aarhus* (Aarhus, 1998), 275–284, *Contemp. Math.*, **228**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [10] ———, Sobolev spaces, Laplacian, and heat kernel on Alexandrov spaces, to appear in *Math. Z.*
- [11] K. Kuwae and T. Shioya, Convergence of spectral structure: a functional analytic theory and its applications to spectral geometry, preprint, 1999.
- [12] Y. Otsu and T. Shioya, The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces, *J. Differential Geom.*, **39** (1994), no. 3, 629–658.
- [13] G. Perelman, A. D. Alexandrov's spaces with curvatures bounded from below II, preprint.
- [14] L. Saloff-Coste, Uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.*, **36** (1992), no. 2, 417–450.
- [15] T. Shioya, Mass of rays in Alexandrov spaces of nonnegative curvature, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **69** (1994), no. 2, 208–228.
- [16] K.-T. Sturm, Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. II. Upper Gaussian estimates for the fundamental solutions of parabolic equations, *Osaka J. Math.*, **32** (1995), no. 2, 275–312.
- [17] N. Teleman, The index of signature operators on Lipschitz manifolds, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (1983), no. 58, 39–78 (1984).
- [18] T. Yamaguchi, A convergence theorem in the geometry of Alexandrov spaces, *Actes de la Table Ronde de Géométrie Différentielle (Luminy, 1992)*, *Sémin. Congr.*, vol. 1, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996, pp. 601–642.

Takashi SHIOYA

Mathematical Institute

Tohoku University

Sendai 980-8578

JAPAN

E-mail: shioya@math.tohoku.ac.jp