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#### Abstract

In the recent paper [7], it was shown that the solutions of weakly singular Hammerstein equations satisfy certain regularity properties. Using this result, the optimal convergence rate of a standard piecewise polynomial collocation method and that of the recently proposed collocationtype method of Kumar and Sloan [10] are obtained. Superconvergence of both of these methods are also presented. In the final section, we discuss briefly a standard productintegration method for weakly singular Hammerstein equations and indicate its superconvergence property.


1. Introduction. We consider the Hammerstein equation with weakly singular kernel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s)-\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t) \psi(t, \varphi(t)) d t=f(s), \quad a \leq s \leq b \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{\alpha}(s)= \begin{cases}s^{\alpha-1} & \text { for } 0<\alpha<1 \\ \log s & \text { for } \alpha=1\end{cases}
$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that
(i) $k \in C([a, b] \times[a, b])$
(ii) $\psi \in C([a, b] \times(-\infty, \infty))$ and satisfies the Lipschitz condition $\left|\psi\left(t, y_{1}\right)-\psi\left(t, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq A\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|$.

In the recent paper [7], it was shown that under assumptions (i), (ii) and
(iii) $A G<1, \quad$ where $G \equiv \sup _{a \leq s \leq b} \int_{a}^{b}\left|g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t)\right| d t$,
there is a unique solution to equation (1.1).
Generalizing the argument of C. Schneider [14], regularity properties of the solution $\varphi$ were also obtained in [7]. For our present purposes, these results can be summarized as follows:

[^0]Theorem A. Let $m \in N_{0}$, where $N_{0}$ is the set of nonnegative integers. Let $k \in C^{m+1}([a, b] \times[a, b]), f \in C^{(0, \alpha)}[a, b] \cap C^{m}(a, b)$, and assume that, for $m=0,1, \psi \in C^{(0,1)}([a, b] \times(-\infty, \infty))$ and, for $m \geq 2, \psi \in C^{m-1}([a, b] \times(-\infty, \infty))$. Moreover, assume that the functions $y_{i}(s) \equiv(s-a)^{i}(b-s)^{i} f^{(i)}(s)$, for $i=1, \ldots, m$, are $\alpha$-Hölder continuous on $[a, b]$. Then for $0<\alpha<1$ there exists a $\beta \in[\alpha, m]$ or for $\alpha=1$ there exists $\beta \in[1-\varepsilon, m]$ for any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ such that the solution $\varphi$ of (1.1) belongs to $C^{m}(a, b) \cap C^{(0, \beta)}[a, b]$. Also, we have $\varphi_{i}(s) \equiv(s-a)^{i}(b-s)^{i} \varphi^{(i)}(s)$ belongs to $C^{(0, \beta)}[a, b]$ for each $i=1, \ldots, m$.

Here $C^{(0, \alpha)}[a, b]$ denotes the class of $\alpha$-Hölder continuous functions defined on $[a, b]$. For most cases, $\beta=\alpha$ when $0<\alpha<1$ and $\beta=1-\varepsilon$ when $\alpha=1$. Thus we cannot expect the solution to belong to $C^{1}[a, b]$. In the terminology of J. Rice $[\mathbf{1 2}]$, we can also say that $\varphi$ belongs to the class Type $(\beta, m,\{a, b\})$. The results described in Theorem A parallel the regularity result on the solution of weakly singular Fredholm equations obtained by Schneider in $[\mathbf{1 4}]$.

The purpose of this paper is two-fold. First, we present a standard piecewise collocation method and show that the error of its approximation is $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m}\right)$ where $n$ is the number of breakpoints and $m-1$ is the degree of polynomials used. We also show that there is a superconvergence at the collocation points. This is done in Section 2. Second, we review the collocation-type method of Kumar and Sloan [10] and prove that their method when applied to equation (1.1) also provides an approximation whose error is of order $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m}\right)$. With a certain condition on the set of partition points, a global superconvergence is attained for the method. This will be presented in Section 3. The results described in Sections 2 and 3 are not unexpected since similar results are available for the Fredholm equations, $[\mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 8}]$. Moreover, superconvergence results can be obtained by borrowing the arguments from [15] and [18]. Despite these viewpoints, the results of this paper are new and the authors believe that they will give useful information toward solving equation (1.1) numerically. In addition to the aforementioned papers on weakly singular Fredholm equations, there are many other notable papers on the subject, see, e.g., $[4,5,6$ and 13].
In order to make this paper self-contained, several known results will be stated as they are needed. In the final section, Section 4, we make
a few comments concerning the product-integration scheme applied to equation (1.1).
2. Piecewise polynomial collocation scheme. Following the idea of Vainikko and Uba [18] which is based on the result of Rice [12], for $0<\mu \leq m, m \in \mathbf{N} \equiv$ the set of all positive integers, let $q=\mu / \alpha$. Also let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then the breakpoints of our piecewise polynomial approximation are selected as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t_{i}=a+((b-a) / 2)(2 i / n)^{q} & \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots,[n / 2]  \tag{2.1}\\
t_{i}=(b+a)-t_{n-i} & \text { for } i=[n / 2]+1, \ldots, n
\end{array}
$$

Define a sequence $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ of points such that $0 \leq \xi_{1}<\cdots<\xi_{m} \leq 1$. Also, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}=t_{i}+\xi_{j}\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right), \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n-1 ; \quad j=1, \ldots, m \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
t_{i} \leq t_{i 1}<t_{i 2}<\cdots<t_{i m} \leq t_{i+1}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n-1
$$

The approach here is to construct the approximate solution $\varphi_{n}$ of equation (1.1) as a piecewise polynomial of degree $m-1$ with breakpoints (2.1).

For each $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, let $l_{i k}$ denote the Lagrange fundamental polynomial for the knots $\left\{t_{i k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i k}(\sigma)=\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq k}}^{m} \frac{\left(\sigma-t_{i l}\right)}{\left(t_{i k}-t_{i l}\right)}, \quad t_{i} \leq \sigma \leq t_{i+1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require the approximate solution $\varphi_{n}$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}\left(t_{i j}\right)-\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}\left(\left|t_{i j}-t\right|\right) k\left(t_{i j}, t\right) \psi\left(t, \varphi_{n}(t)\right) d t=f\left(t_{i j}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1 ; j=1,2, \ldots, m$. If $\xi_{1}=0$ and $\xi_{m}=1$, then we assume that (2.4) is satisfied only once at the breakpoints.

For the particular choice of basis functions selected in (2.3), we have $\varphi_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i k} l_{i k}(t)$, for $t_{i} \leq t \leq t_{i+1}, i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$; thus (2.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i j}-\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{p+1}} g_{\alpha}\left(\left|t_{i j}-t\right|\right) k\left(t_{i j}, t\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \cdot \psi\left(t, \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{p k} l_{p k}(t)\right) d t=f\left(t_{i j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, m$.
In order to describe equations (1.1) and (2.5) in operator form, we let $\Psi(\varphi)(t)=\psi(t, \varphi(t))$ and

$$
(K \Psi)(\varphi)(s)=\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t) \psi(t, \varphi(t)) d t
$$

Moreover, for any continuous function $\varphi, P_{n}$ denotes the interpolation projector defined by

$$
\left(P_{n} \varphi\right)(s)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \varphi\left(t_{i k}\right) l_{i k}(s), \quad t_{i} \leq s \leq t_{i+1}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n-1
$$

Then equations (1.1) and (2.5) can be written respectively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi-K \Psi \varphi=f \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}-P_{n} K \Psi \varphi_{n}=P_{n} f \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let \|\| denote the norm in $L_{\infty}=L_{\infty}[a, b]$. It is straightforward to verify that $\left\{\left\|P_{n}\right\|\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded (considered as operators on $C[a, b]$ ) and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{n} \varphi-\varphi\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { for } \varphi \in C[a, b] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for our choice of breakpoints, (2.1).

The following propositions of Vainikko and Uba [18] concerning the projectors $P_{n}$ will be used to prove the superconvergence of numerical solutions at the collocation points.

Proposition V-U-1. If $q=\mu / \alpha \geq 1$ and $\mu \leq m$, then $\left\|u-P_{n} u\right\| \leq$ $c n^{-\mu}$ for each $u \in \operatorname{Type}(\alpha, m,\{a, b\})$ where $c$ is a constant.

Proposition V-U-2. If $q=\mu / \alpha \geq 1, \mu \leq m$ and $p=1 / \alpha$, then $\left\|u-P_{n} u\right\|_{p} \leq c(\mu) \delta_{n}$ for each $u \in$ Type $(\alpha, m,\{a, b\})$. Here $c(\mu)$ denotes a constant which depends upon $\mu$ and

$$
\delta_{n}= \begin{cases}n^{-m} & \text { for } \mu>m / 2 \\ n^{-m}(\ln n)^{\alpha} & \text { for } \mu=m / 2 \\ n^{-2 \mu} & \text { for } \mu<m / 2\end{cases}
$$

Put $T \varphi \equiv K \Psi \varphi+f$ and $T_{n} \varphi_{n} \equiv P_{n} K \Psi \varphi_{n}+P_{n} f$ so that (2.6) and (2.7) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=T \varphi \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}=T_{n} \varphi_{n} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now in a position to state and prove our first theorem. A proof is provided for completeness. The reader who is interested in additional discussion on the solution of nonlinear equations may consult [3, $\mathbf{8}$ and 19].

Theorem 2.1. Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem A are satisfied, and for $0<\mu \leq m, m \in \mathbf{N}$, let $q=\mu / \alpha$. Let $\varphi_{0} \in C[a, b]$ be an isolated solution of (1.1). Assume that the partial derivative $\Psi_{2}$ of $\psi$ with respect to the second variable exists and satisfies

$$
\left|\Psi_{2}\left(t, y_{1}(t)\right)-\Psi_{2}\left(t, y_{2}(t)\right)\right| \leq C\left|y_{1}(t)-y_{2}(t)\right| \quad \text { for each } t \in[a, b]
$$

Then the piecewise polynomial collocation approximation equation (2.10) has a unique solution $\varphi_{n} \in L_{\infty}[a, b]$ in $\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{0}\right\| \leq \delta$ for some $\delta>0$ and
for sufficiently large $n$. Moreover, there is a constant $Q, 0<Q<1$, and $\alpha_{n}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{0}\right\| \leq \delta}\left\|\left(I-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(T_{n}^{\prime}(\varphi)-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)\right\| \leq Q
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{n} /(1+Q) \leq\left\|\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{0}\right\| \leq \alpha_{n} /(1-Q)
$$

Finally,

$$
\left\|\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{0}\right\|=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-\mu}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $P_{n}$ is a bounded linear operator, $\left(P_{n} K \Psi\right)^{\prime}\left(\psi_{0}\right)=$ $P_{n}(K \Psi)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$, where

$$
(K \Psi)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)(\varphi)(s)=\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t) \Psi_{2}\left(t, \varphi_{0}(t)\right) \varphi(t) d t
$$

is valid provided that $\psi$ has the first partial derivative $\Psi_{2}$ with respect to the second variable. Since $K \Psi$ is a compact operator of $L_{\infty}[a, b]$ into $C[a, b],(K \Psi)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)$ is also compact. Now because of (2.8), it is easy to see that $\left\|P_{n}(K \Psi)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-(K \Psi)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From this, one can conclude that $\left(I-P_{n}(K \Psi)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(I-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}$ exists and it is a bounded linear operator for all sufficiently large $n$, say for all $n \geq N_{1}$.

Now for $\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{0}\right\| \leq \delta$ and $n \geq N_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|T_{n}^{\prime}(\varphi)-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|P_{n}(K \Psi)^{\prime}(\varphi)-P_{n}(K \Psi)^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|P_{n}\right\| \sup _{\left\|\varphi^{*}\right\|=1} \mid \int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t)\left\{\Psi_{2}(t, \varphi(t))\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\Psi_{2}\left(t, \varphi_{0}(t)\right)\right\} \varphi^{*}(t) d t \mid \\
& \leq\left\|P_{n}\right\| G C\left\|\varphi-\hat{\varphi}_{0}\right\| \leq M \delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=G C \sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|$ and $G$ is defined as in (iii) of Section 1. Hence, $\sup _{\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{0}\right\| \leq \delta}\left\|\left(I-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(T_{n}^{\prime}(\varphi)-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)\right\| \leq Q$ with $Q \equiv M \delta\left\|\left(I-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|$. Here we take $\delta$ so small that $0<Q<1$. Now $\left\|T_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-T\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|P_{n} K \Psi\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-K \Psi\left(\varphi_{0}\right)+P_{n} f-f\right\|$ and
because of (2.8), there exists $N_{2}$ so that for $n \geq N_{2}, \alpha_{n} \equiv \|(I-$ $\left.T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(T_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-T\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right) \| \leq \delta(1-Q)$. Hence, for $n \geq \max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$, using Theorem 2 of $[\mathbf{1 7}]$, one can conclude that (2.10) has a unique solution in $\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{0}\right\| \leq \delta$ and the inequalities $\alpha_{n} /(1+Q) \leq\left\|\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{0}\right\| \leq$ $\alpha_{n} /(1-Q)$ hold. To prove the convergence rate, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{0}\right\| & \leq \frac{\alpha_{n}}{1-Q} \\
& =\frac{\left\|\left(I-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(T_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-T\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)\right\|}{1-Q} \\
& \leq \frac{\left\|\left(I-T_{n}^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|T_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-T\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right\|}{1-Q} \\
& =\frac{Q}{M \delta(1-Q)}\left\|P_{n} K \Psi\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-K \Psi\left(\varphi_{0}\right)+P_{n} f-f\right\| \\
& =\frac{Q}{M \delta(1-Q)}\left\|P_{n} \varphi_{0}-\varphi_{0}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P_{n}$ is the interpolatory projection defined using the nonuniform breakpoints (2.1), using the regularity result of the solution $\varphi_{0}$ of (1.1) obtained in $[\mathbf{7}]$, proposition $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{U}-1$ now enables us to conclude that $\left\|\varphi_{0}-P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right\|=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-\mu}\right)$.

The next theorem establishes the superconvergence of $\varphi_{n}$ to $\varphi_{0}$ at the collocation points. This theorem states in part that in order to obtain the $m$-th order of accuracy at the collocation points, it is sufficient to take $q=m / 2 \alpha$. Recall that, to achieve the same order of accuracy in the uniform norm, we must take $q=m / \alpha[\mathbf{1 8}]$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi_{n}$ be defined as in Theorem 2.1. If $q=\mu / \alpha>1, \mu \leq m$, then $\max _{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}\left|\varphi_{n}\left(t_{i j}\right)-\varphi_{0}\left(t_{i j}\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ where, for $0<\alpha<1, \varepsilon_{n}=\delta_{n}(\ln n)^{1-\alpha}$, and for $\alpha=1, \varepsilon_{n}=\delta_{n} \ln n$, where $\delta_{n}$ is defined in $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{U}-2$.

Proof. First we need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{n}-P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right\| \leq c\left\|K \Psi\left(P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right)-K \Psi\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right\| \text { for some constant } c . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{n}-P_{n} \varphi_{0}= & P_{n}\left(K \Psi\left(\varphi_{n}\right)-K \Psi\left(P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right)+K \Psi\left(P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right)-K \Psi\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right) \\
= & P_{n}(K \Psi)^{\prime}\left(P_{n} \varphi_{0}+\theta\left(\varphi_{n}-P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right)\right)\left(\varphi_{n}-P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right) \\
& +P_{n}\left(K \Psi\left(P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right)-K \Psi\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $0<\theta<1$. Hence, 2.11 is obtained. Moreover, using the Lipschitz condition on $\psi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|K \Psi\left(P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right)-K \Psi\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq A_{1}| | P_{n} \varphi_{0}-\varphi_{0} \| \sup _{a \leq s \leq b} \int_{\substack{s \in[a, b] \\
|s-t| \leq h}}\left|g_{\alpha}(|s-t|)\right| d t \\
& \quad+A_{1}\left\|P_{n} \varphi_{0}-\varphi_{0}\right\|_{p} \sup _{a \leq s \leq b}\left\{\int_{\substack{s \in[a, b] \\
|s-t|>h}}\left|g_{\alpha}(|s-t|)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d t\right\}^{1 / p^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{1}=A \sup _{a \leq s, t \leq b}|k(s, t)|, 0<h<b-a, p=1 / \alpha$ and $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$. Using propositions V-U-1 and V-U-2 and noting that $\left|\varphi_{n}\left(t_{i j}\right)-\varphi_{0}\left(t_{i j}\right)\right| \leq\left\|\varphi_{n}-P_{n} \varphi_{0}\right\|$, for appropriately chosen values of $h$ (see [18, Proposition 3]), we obtain the desired results.

Example. Consider

$$
\varphi(s)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{2}(t)}{|s-t|^{1 / 4}} d t=f(s) \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

where $f$ is selected so that $\varphi(s)=s^{3 / 4}$ is the solution to be approximated. The collocation points $t_{i j}$ are obtained by mapping the Gaussian points (the zeros of Legendre polynomials) into $\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ for each $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. We used $m=2$ and two different values of $q$ for comparison, $(q=m / 2 \alpha=4 / 3$ and $q=m / \alpha=8 / 3$ were used in the first and second data respectively). In order to obtain the second order accuracy of the method in the uniform norm, we must take $q=8 / 3$ whereas the same order is achieved at the collocation points with $q=4 / 3$.

Data 1. $(q=4 / 3)$

| $n$ | $\max _{t_{i j}}\left\|\varphi\left(t_{i j}\right)-\varphi_{n}\left(t_{i j}\right)\right\|$ | decay exp. | $\left\\|\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right\\|$ | dec. exp. |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $3.16 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  | $1.99 \mathrm{D}-02$ |  |
| 16 | $7.96 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 1.99 | $9.41 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 1.08 |
| 32 | $1.95 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.03 | $4.57 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 1.04 |
| 64 | $4.84 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 2.01 | $2.22 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 1.04 |

Data 2. $(q=8 / 3)$

| $n$ | $\max _{t_{i j}}\left\|\varphi\left(t_{i j}\right)-\varphi_{n}\left(t_{i j}\right)\right\|$ | decay exp. | $\left\\|\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right\\|$ | dec. exp. |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $2.46 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  | $7.46 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  |
| 16 | $6.47 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 1.92 | $1.74 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 2.10 |
| 32 | $1.47 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.13 | $4.06 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.10 |
| 64 | $3.43 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 2.10 | $9.54 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 2.09 |

$\left\|\varphi-\varphi_{n}\right\|$ was approximated by $\max \left\{\left|\varphi\left(x_{i}\right)-\varphi_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|: x_{i}=i / 50\right.$ for $i=0, \ldots, 50\}$.

In the remainder of this section, we make some remarks concerning the discrete form of equation (2.5) -i.e., the form obtained when the integrals in (2.5) are replaced by some numerical quadrature. Up to this point, we have paid no attention to the discrete form of equation (2.5). In many of the practical problems, the integrals involved in (2.5) must be computed numerically. In order to accomplish this, it is convenient to utilize some type of product-integration scheme. Consider the integrals in (2.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} g_{\alpha}\left(\left|t_{i j}-t\right|\right) k\left(t_{i j}, t\right) \psi\left(t, \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i k} l_{i k}(t)\right) d t \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple change of variables will transform (2.12) into

$$
\frac{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} g_{\alpha}\left(\left|t_{i j}-\xi(x)\right|\right) k\left(t_{i j}, \xi(x)\right) \psi\left(\xi(x), \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i k} l_{i k}(\xi(x))\right) d x
$$

where $\xi(x)=\left[\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right) x+t_{i}+t_{i+1}\right] / 2$.
Now we outline the results of I. Sloan [16] in order to demonstrate a method of approximating the integral in (2.12). Let $\left\{x_{m k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ be the

Chebyshev points and $l_{m r}\left(x_{m k}\right)=\delta_{r k}$. Then the integral above can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{m} w_{m r} k\left(t_{i j}, \xi\left(x_{m r}\right)\right) \psi\left(\xi\left(x_{m r}\right), \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i k} l_{i k}\left(\xi\left(x_{m r}\right)\right)\right. \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{m r}=\int_{-1}^{1} g_{\alpha}\left(\left|t_{i j}-\xi(x)\right|\right) l_{m r}(x) d x$.
Now, for the $m$-th degree Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind $T_{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{m r}(x)=\frac{T_{m}(x)}{\left(x-x_{m r}\right) T_{m}^{\prime}\left(x_{m r}\right)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the Christoffel-Darboux identity (2.14) becomes
(2.15) $l_{m r}(x)=\frac{2}{m}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} T_{k}(x) \cos k \theta_{m r}\right] \quad$ with $\theta_{m r}=(2 r-1) / 2 m$.

Using (2.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{m r} & =\frac{2}{m}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{k} \cos k \theta_{m r}\right]  \tag{2.16}\\
\text { with } a_{k} & =\int_{-1}^{1} g_{\alpha}\left(\left|t_{i j}-\xi(x)\right|\right) T_{k}(x) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

For the weakly singular kernel $g_{\alpha}(s)=s^{\alpha-1}, 0<\alpha<1$, a recurrence relation is available to compute $a_{k}$ in (2.16) efficiently. See [11 and 16] for more detailed discussion on the subject. If $F(x) \equiv k\left(t_{i j}, \xi(x)\right) \psi\left(\xi(x), \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i k} l_{i k}(\xi(x))\right)$ is sufficiently smooth, e.g., $F \in C^{m}[-1,1]$, then it is proved [16, Theorem 3] that (2.13) approximates (2.12) in the order that is consistent with the order of approximation of $\varphi_{0}$ by $\varphi_{n}$ obtained in Theorem 2.1.
3. Method of Kumar and Sloan. In this section, as an alternative approach to the standard collocation method described in the previous section, we present the new collocation-type method of S. Kumar and
I. Sloan $[\mathbf{1 0}]$ and improve their results by giving the rate of convergence of numerical solutions. Following the argument given by Schneider in [15], we are able to provide a global superconvergence result provided that the partition points $\left\{\xi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ in (2.2) are chosen properly. In [10], the following interesting observation was made. If the system of nonlinear equations (2.5) were to be solved by an iterative scheme, then the integrals in (2.11) must be computed at each step of the iteration. To circumvent this difficulty, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(s) \equiv \psi(s, \varphi(s)) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upon substituting (3.1) into (1.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(s)-\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t) z(t) d t=f(s) \quad a \leq s \leq b \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(s)=\psi\left(s, f(s)+\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t) z(t) d t\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$z$ is approximated by $z_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{p k} l_{p k}(t)$ for $t_{p} \leq t \leq t_{p+1}$, $p=0, \ldots, n-1$. Using the collocation scheme,

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{n}\left(t_{i j}\right)=\psi\left(t_{i j}, f\left(t_{i j}\right)+\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}\left(\left|t_{i j}-t\right|\right) k\left(t_{i j}, t\right) z_{n}(t) d t\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
\text { for } i=0, \ldots, n-1 \quad \text { and } \quad j=1, \ldots, m
\end{gather*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i j}=\psi\left(t_{i j}, f\left(t_{i j}\right)+\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{p k} \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{p+1}} g_{\alpha}\left(\left|t_{i j}-t\right|\right) k\left(t_{i j}, t\right) l_{p k}(t) d t\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
\text { for } i=0, \ldots, n-1 \quad \text { and } \quad j=1, \ldots, m
\end{gather*}
$$

In this new system of nonlinear equations, the $a_{i j}^{\prime} s$ are ingeniously extracted out of the integrals, making the computations of the integrals
necessary only once throughout the iteration process. Upon computing $a_{i j}$, the approximation $\bar{\varphi}_{n}$ to $\varphi$ is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}_{n}(s)=f(s)+\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{p k} \int_{t_{p}}^{t_{p+1}} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t) l_{p k}(t) d t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence of $\bar{\varphi}_{n}$ to $\varphi$ is guaranteed by [10, Theorem 2]. The convergence rate is given by Kumar and Sloan through the inequality $\left\|z-z_{n}\right\| \leq$ const. $\left\|z-p_{n} z\right\|$. Hence, the actual rate of convergence depends heavily upon the smoothness of $z$ which, for weakly singular equation (1.1), is not normally in $C^{1}[a, b]$. The regularity result of Theorem A now allows us to establish the optimal convergence rate.

Theorem 3.1. Let $m \in N_{0}, k \in C^{m+1}([a, b] \times[a, b]), f \in C^{m}(a, b)$ and the functions $y_{i}(s) \equiv(s-a)^{i}(b-s)^{i} f^{(i)}(s)$, for $i=0, \ldots, m$, be $\alpha$-Hölder continuous on $[a, b]$. Assume that, for $m=0,1, \psi \in$ $C^{(0,1)}([a, b] \times(-\infty, \infty))$ and for $m \geq 2, \psi \in C^{m-1}([a, b] \times(-\infty, \infty))$. Let $\varphi_{0}, \bar{\varphi}_{n}, z$ and $z_{n}$ be the solutions of (1.1), (3.6), (3.3) and (3.4), respectively. Here we assume $\varphi_{0}$ to be an isolated solution. If 1 is not an eigenvalue of the compact linear operator $(T w)(s) \equiv \Psi_{2}(s, f(s)+$ $(K z)(s))(K w)(s)$ defined on $C[a, b]$ with

$$
(K w)(s) \equiv \int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t) w(t) d t, \text { then }\left\|\varphi_{0}-\bar{\varphi}_{n}\right\|=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m}\right)
$$

Proof. From [9, Theorem 2], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{0}-\bar{\varphi}_{n}\right\| \leq c^{*}\left\|K\left(z-P_{n} z\right)\right\| \leq c^{*}\|K\|\left\|z-P_{n} z\right\| \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{*}$ is a constant independent of $n$ and $\|K\|=\sup _{a \leq s \leq b} \int_{a}^{b} \mid g_{\alpha}(\mid s-$ $t \mid)||k(s, t)| d t$. In $[\mathbf{7}]$, it was shown that $\varphi \in$ Type $(\alpha, m,\{a, b\})$ and that $z \in$ Type ( $\alpha, m,\{a, b\}$ ). Since $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ are selected according to (2.1), the result of Rice $[\mathbf{1 2}]$ and (3.7) would yield $\left\|\varphi_{0}-\bar{\varphi}_{n}\right\|=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m}\right)$ upon choosing $q=m / \alpha$ or $q=m / 1-\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ in the logarithmic case.

Our next theorem discusses a global superconvergence of $\bar{\varphi}_{n}$ to $\varphi_{0}$. The inequality (3.7) again serves as a critical factor in obtaining this result.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that the conditions in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Also with $m \geq 1$ assume that $M_{1} \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(\xi_{j}-s\right) d s=0$ where $\left\{\xi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m}$ are the points used in (2.2). Let $\varphi_{0}$ be an isolated solution of (1.1) and, for $\alpha \leq \beta \leq m+1$, assume $\varphi_{0} \in \operatorname{Type}(\beta, m+1,\{a, b\})$ or $\varphi_{0} \in \operatorname{Type}(\beta-\varepsilon, m+1,\{a, b\})$ for any $\varepsilon \in(0, \beta)$ in the logarithmic case. Then with $q=(\alpha+m+1) /(\alpha+\beta)$ and $q=(\alpha+m+1) /(\alpha+\beta-\varepsilon)$ in the logarithmic case used as the graded exponent in (2.1), we have

$$
\left\|\varphi_{0}-\bar{\varphi}_{n}\right\|= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m-\alpha}\right) & 0<\alpha<1  \tag{3.8}\\ \mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m-1} \ln n\right) & \alpha=1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Arguing as in the previous theorem, $z \in$ Type $(\beta, m+1,\{a, b\})$ or $z \in \operatorname{Type}(\beta-\varepsilon, m+1,\{a, b\})$. For such $z$, following the proof of Theorem 3 of Schneider [15], we can establish the following upper bounds,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left(g_{\alpha}, z\right)\right| & \equiv\left|\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) k(s, t)\left\{z(t)-\left(P_{n} z\right)(t)\right\} d t\right| \\
& \leq \begin{cases}c n^{-m-\alpha} & 0<\alpha<1 \\
c n^{-m-1} \ln n & \alpha=1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c$ is a constant. Combining this result with the first inequality in (3.7), we obtain (3.8).

This type of superconvergence arises from the fact that $\varphi_{n}(=f+$ $K z_{n}$ ), being the iterate of $z_{n}$, has the possibility that it converges faster than $z_{n}$. Kumar $[\mathbf{9}]$ demonstrates that this superconvergence does occur under the assumption that $z$ belongs to some Sobolev space. Such a strong condition on the smoothness of $z$ is not necessary in the present paper. Now we present examples.

Example 1. Consider the previous example of Section 2,

$$
\varphi(s)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi^{2}(t)}{|s-t|^{1 / 4}} d t=f(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

where $f$ is selected so that $\varphi(s)=s^{3 / 4}$ is a solution. Let $e_{n}=\left\|\varphi-\bar{\varphi}_{n}\right\|$. In the first data, $q=(\alpha+m+1) /(\alpha+\beta)$ with $\alpha=\beta=3 / 4$. In
the second data, $q=1$ (uniformly spaced breakpoints) is tested for comparison. In both of these two cases, $t_{i j}$ are obtained by mapping the Gaussian points into $\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ for each $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. Hence, $M_{1}=0$. The $e_{n}$ 's are estimated as before.

$$
m=2
$$

| $n$ | $e_{n}$ data-1 | decay exp. | $e_{n}$ data-2 | decay exp. |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $4.72 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  | $1.62 \mathrm{D}-02$ |  |
| 16 | $9.06 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.38 | $6.83 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 1.24 |
| 32 | $1.52 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.57 | $1.84 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 1.92 |
| 64 | $2.43 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 2.65 | $4.96 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 1.89 |

$$
m=3
$$

| 8 | $8.24 \mathrm{D}-04$ |  | $5.27 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16 | $7.70 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 3.42 | $1.06 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 2.31 |
| 32 | $6.57 \mathrm{D}-06$ | 3.55 | $1.64 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.69 |
| 64 | $5.12 \mathrm{D}-07$ | 3.68 | $2.43 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 2.72 |

Example 2. Consider

$$
\varphi(s)-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sin \varphi(t)}{\sqrt{|s-t|}} d t=f(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1
$$

where $f$ is selected so that $\varphi(s)=\sqrt{s}$ is a solution. In the first data, $q=(\alpha+m+1) /(\alpha+\beta)$ with $\alpha=\beta=1 / 2$ and $M_{1}=0$ (the Gaussian points are used as in Example 1). In the second data, we used the same $q$ but $M_{1} \neq 0$. Namely, for $m=2, t_{i 1}=t_{i}$ and $t_{i 2}=t_{i+1}$, and for $m=3, t_{i 1}=t_{i}, t_{i 2}=\left(2 t_{i}+t_{i+1}\right) / 3$ and $t_{i 3}=t_{i+1}$. In the third data, the case for $q=1$ and $M_{1}=0$ was tested for comparison.

| $m=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $e_{n}$ data-1 | dec. exp. | $e_{n}$ data-2 | dec. exp. | $e_{n}$ data-3 | dec. exp |
| 8 | $5.75 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  | $3.26 \mathrm{D}-02$ |  | $1.43 \mathrm{D}-02$ |  |
| 16 | $1.22 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 2.23 | $8.15 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 2.00 | $7.12 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 1.00 |
| 32 | $2.52 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.28 | $2.01 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 2.02 | $3.15 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 1.17 |
| 64 | $4.94 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 2.35 | $5.03 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.00 | $1.36 \mathrm{D}-03$ | 1.21 |


| $m=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $e_{n}$ data-1 | dec. exp. | $e_{n}$ data-2 | dec. exp. | $e_{n}$ data-3 | dec. exp |
| 8 | $9.31 \mathrm{D}-04$ |  | $6.77 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  | $4.04 \mathrm{D}-03$ |  |
| 16 | $9.39 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 3.31 | $9.01 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.91 | $9.36 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.11 |
| 32 | $8.77 \mathrm{D}-06$ | 3.42 | $1.13 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.99 | $2.32 \mathrm{D}-04$ | 2.01 |
| 64 | $8.08 \mathrm{D}-07$ | 3.44 | $1.43 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 2.98 | $5.05 \mathrm{D}-05$ | 2.20 |

4. Production-integration method. In this final section, we discuss the product-integration method for equation (1.1). Since the stated results which follow can be proved with minor modifications to our earlier proofs, we omit the proofs.

Let $P_{n}$ be the interpolatory projection which was defined in Section 2 with the breakpoints (2.1) and the interpolation points (2.2). The product-integration method was investigated by Atkinson $[\mathbf{1 , 2}]$ to obtain a numerical solution of the weakly singular second kind Fredholm integral equation. His results were extended by Schneider in [15] who, using the graded breakpoints (2.1) of Rice, gave the optimal rate of convergence of the numerical solution. It is straightforward to see that the product-integration method can be applied to our Hammerstein equation (1.1). Furthermore, it is evident, from the discussion of Section 3, that a global superconvergence is possible.

In the product-integration method, we seek a function $\varphi_{n}$, taken usually as a piecewise polynomial, which satisfies the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(s)-\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) P_{n}\left[k(s, \cdot) \psi\left(\cdot, \varphi_{n}(\cdot)\right)\right](t) d t=f(s), \quad a \leq s \leq b \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}-K_{\alpha} P_{n} \hat{\Psi} \varphi_{n}=f \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\alpha}(\varphi)(s)=\int_{a}^{b} g_{\alpha}(|s-t|) \varphi(t) d t$ and $\hat{\Psi}(\varphi)(t)=k(s, t) \psi(t, \varphi(t))$. Using an argument similar to the one used to prove Theorem 2.1 or using the results from [ $\mathbf{3}$ or 19], the following theorem can be established.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem A are satisfied. Let $\varphi_{0}$ be an isolated solution of (1.1) and for $\beta \in[\alpha, m], \varphi_{0}$
is of Type $\{\beta, m,\{a, b\})$ or $\varphi_{0}$ is of Type $(\varphi-\varepsilon, m,\{a, b\})$ for any $\varepsilon \in$ $(0, \beta)$ in the logarithmic case. Let $\Psi_{2}$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition as in Theorem 2.1. Then, with $q=m / \alpha$ or $q=m / \beta-\varepsilon$ in the logarithmic case, the solution $\varphi_{n}$ of (4.2) exists and $\left\|\varphi_{0}-\varphi_{n}\right\|=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m}\right)$.

For a result of superconvergence, we obtain

Theorem 4.2. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 be satisfied. Then for the solution $\varphi_{n}$ of (4.2) and an isolated solution $\varphi_{0}$ of (1.1), we have

$$
\left\|\varphi_{0}-\varphi_{n}\right\|= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m-\alpha}\right) & 0<\alpha<1 \\ \mathcal{O}\left(n^{-m-1} \ln n\right) & \alpha=1\end{cases}
$$
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