

Linearly compact modules and cogenerators II

By Takeshi ONODERA

Introduction

Let R be a ring with 1-element. A left R -module M is called linearly compact if every finitely solvable system of congruences $x \equiv m_\alpha \pmod{M_\alpha}$, $\alpha \in I$, where $m_\alpha \in M_\alpha$ and M_α 's are submodules of M , is solvable. Linearly compact modules play an essential role in Morita duality without chain conditions. ([9], [12], [14]).

Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective left R -module and ${}_R Q$ be a cofinitely generated injective¹⁾ left R -module. We say that the $\{P, Q\}$ is an RZ -pair if every simple homomorphic image of ${}_R P$ is isomorphic to a submodule of ${}_R Q$, and every simple submodule of ${}_R Q$ is a homomorphic image of ${}_R P$. It should be noted that $\{P, P\}$ is an RZ -pair if and only if ${}_R P$ is a finitely generated projective and cofinitely generated injective RZ -module (cf. [11]). Let S and T be the endomorphism rings of ${}_R P$ and ${}_R Q$, respectively. The main purpose of this paper is to show the following

THEOREM (THEOREM 2). *Suppose that the pair $\{P, Q\}$ is an RZ -pair and both ${}_R P$ and ${}_R Q$ are linearly compact. Then both ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ are injective cogenerators, and, S and T are naturally isomorphic to the endomorphism rings of $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ and ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$, respectively.*

A ring R is called a *left Morita ring* following [14], if ${}_R R$ and the injective envelope of every simple left R -module are linearly compact. A *right Morita ring* is defined similarly. Then we have the following

COROLLARY A (COROLLARY 2 to THEOREM 2). *Let R be a left Morita ring. Then the endomorphism ring of every finitely generated projective left R -module is a left Morita ring, and, the endomorphism ring of every cofinitely generated injective left R -module is a right Morita ring.*

The first half of the corollary was announced by R. W. Miller and D. R. Turnidge [7].

Further, we have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY B (COROLLARY 3 to THEOREM 2). *Let R be a ring such*

1) A module Q is cofinitely generated if and only if the socle of Q is finitely generated and an essential submodule of Q (cf. [12, 13]).

that ${}_R R$ is linearly compact. Let ${}_R Q$ be a linearly compact, cofinitely generated injective left R -module, and T be the endomorphism ring of ${}_R Q$. Then the right T -module Q_T is a linearly compact cogenerator, and the left R' -module ${}_R Q$ is cofinitely generated and injective, where R' is the double centralizer of ${}_R Q$, $R' = \text{End}(Q)$.

COROLLARY C (COROLLARY 4 to THEOREM 2). Let R be a ring such that ${}_R R$ is linearly compact. If there is a (faithful) linearly compact, cofinitely generated injective and flat left R -module, then there exists a (faithful) finitely generated projective and injective right R -module.

These corollaries afford some useful generalizations in the study of QF-3 rings (cf. [3, 8]).

§ 1 A pairing of modules

Throughout the present paper, ${}_R P$ and ${}_R Q$ denote left R -modules, and, S and T denote always the endomorphism rings of ${}_R P$ and ${}_R Q$, respectively. ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ is then a S - T -bimodule in the following way:

$$(sgt)(p) = g(ps)t, \quad g \in \text{Hom}_R(P, Q), \quad s \in S, \quad t \in T, \quad p \in P.$$

Let ${}_S P_R^* = \text{Hom}_R(P, R)$ be the R -dual of ${}_R P$ there is a mapping $(,)$ of $P^* \times Q$ into $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ which is defined by

$$(f, q)(p) = f(p)q, \quad f \in P^*, \quad q \in Q, \quad p \in P.$$

It is easy to see that the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 + f_2, q) &= (f_1, q) + (f_2, q), & (f, q_1 + q_2) &= (f, q_1) + (f, q_2) \\ (sf, q) &= s(f, q), & (f, qt) &= (f, q)t \\ (fr, q) &= (f, rq) \end{aligned}$$

$f, f_1, f_2 \in P^*, \quad q, q_1, q_2 \in Q, \quad s \in S, \quad t \in T, \quad r \in R.$

Here we consider the following conditions:

- (A) $(f, q) = 0$ for all $f \in P^*$ implies $q = 0$.²⁾
- (B) $(f, q) = 0$ for all $q \in Q$ implies $f = 0$.

If these two conditions are fulfilled, then we say that the pair $\{P, Q\}$ is a regular pair. It is easy to see that if ${}_R P$ is a generator, then $\{P, Q\}$ satisfies the condition (A) for every left R -module Q .

LEMMA 1. Let $\{P, Q\}$ satisfy the condition (A) and ${}_R M$ be a left R -module. Then, for any submodule X of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ and for any S -

2) Condition (A) is equivalent to say that Q is P -distinguished in the terminology of [6].

homomorphism δ of X into ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$, the following mapping,

$$X(P) \ni \sum_{\text{finite}} f_i(p_i) \longrightarrow \sum \delta(f_i)(p_i) \in Q, \quad f_i \in X, \quad p_i \in P,$$

is an well defined R -homomorphism.

PROOF. By assumption, it suffices to show that if $\sum f_i(p_i) = 0$, then $(f, \sum \delta(f_i)(p_i)) = 0$ for all $f \in P^*$. Let s_i be the element of S which is defined by $ps_i = f(p)p_i$, $p \in P$. Then we have $\sum s_i f_i = 0$, because $(\sum s_i f_i)(p) = \sum f_i(ps) = \sum f(p)f_i(p_i) = 0$ for all $p \in P$. Now, $(f, \sum \delta(f_i)(p_i))(p) = \sum f(p)(\delta(f_i)(p_i)) = \sum \delta(f_i)(f(p)p_i) = \sum \delta(f_i)(ps_i) = \sum \delta(s_i f_i)(p) = \delta(\sum s_i f_i)(p) = 0$ for all $p \in P$. Thus $(f, \sum \delta(f_i)(p_i)) = 0$. Since f is arbitrary element of P^* , we have obtained our assertion.

COROLLARY. Let ${}_R P$ be a generator. Then, for any left R -modules ${}_R A$ and ${}_R B$, $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(P, A), \text{Hom}_R(P, B))$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$.

PROOF. Let δ be an element of $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(P, A), \text{Hom}_R(P, B))$. Then, by Lemma 1, the mapping

$$g: A = \text{Hom}_R(P, A)(P) \ni \sum_{\text{finite}} f_i(p_i) \rightarrow \sum \delta(f_i)(p_i) \in B, \quad f_i \in \text{Hom}_R(P, A), \quad p_i \in P,$$

is an well defined R -homomorphism. This implies that $\delta(f) = gf$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, A)$. On the other hand, if g is an element of $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$ and $gf = 0$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, A)$, then $g = 0$, because ${}_R P$ is a generator. Thus $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(P, A), \text{Hom}_R(P, B))$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$.

LEMMA 2. Let $\{P, Q\}$ satisfy the condition (A), and ${}_R M$ be a left R -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) ${}_R Q$ is M -injective.³⁾
- (2) ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ -injective and $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(P, M), \text{Hom}_R(P, Q))$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$.

PROOF. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). Let X be a submodule of ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ and δ be a S -homomorphism of X into ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$. Then, since ${}_R Q$ is M -injective, by Lemma 1, there exists an element $g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ such that $\delta(f) = gf$ for all $f \in X$. It follows that ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ -injective, and, by taking ${}_S\text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ as X , $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(P, M), \text{Hom}_R(P, Q))$ is homomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$. It remains to show that if g is an element of $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ such that $gf = 0$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, M)$, then $g = 0$. Let m be an element of M . Then we have $(h, g(m))(p) = h(p)g(m) = g(h(p)m) = 0$ for all $h \in P^*$,

3) Q is called M -injective if every homomorphism of a submodule of M into Q is extended to that of M into Q (cf. [1]).

and for all $p \in P$. This implies, by assumption, that $g(m)=0$. Since m is an arbitrary element of M , we have $g=0$. This proves our assertion.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (1). Let M' be a submodule of M and φ be an R -homomorphism of M' into Q . Then, by assumptions, there exists an element $g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ such that $\varphi \cdot f = g \cdot f$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}_R(P, M')$. Then we have $\varphi(m') = g(m')$ for all $m' \in M'$, since $(h, \varphi(m') - g(m'))(p) = (\varphi - g)(h(p)m') = 0$ for all $h \in P^*$, and for all $p \in P$. Thus Q is M -injective.

COROLLARY 1. Let $\{P, Q\}$ satisfy the condition (A). If ${}_R Q$ is injective, then ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is injective, and the endomorphism ring of ${}_R Q$ is naturally isomorphic to that of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$.

PROOF. Setting $M=P$ in Lemma 2, we see that ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is injective, and, setting $M=Q$ we obtain the latter half of our assertions.

COROLLARY 2. (Pahl).⁴⁾ Let ${}_R P$ be a generator and S be the endomorphism ring of ${}_R P$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) ${}_R P$ is quasi-injective.
- (2) ${}_S S$ is injective.
- (3) ${}_R P$ is injective.

PROOF. Since $\{P, P\}$ satisfies the condition (A), the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follows direct from Lemma 2 by setting $M=Q=P$, while the equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (3) is well known.⁵⁾

§ 2 RZ-pairs

Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated left R -module and ${}_R Q$ be a cofinitely generated injective left R -module. We say that the pair $\{P, Q\}$ is an RZ-pair if every homomorphic image of P is isomorphic to a submodule of Q , and every simple submodule of Q is a homomorphic image of P .

LEMMA 3. If the pair $\{P, Q\}$ is an RZ-pair, then it is a regular pair.

PROOF. Let $(P^*, q)=0$, $q \in Q$. Suppose $q=0$, and let Rq_0 be a simple submodule of Rq . Then, since Rq_0 is a homomorphic image of ${}_R P$ and ${}_R P$ is projective, there exists an element $f \in P^*$ such that $f(P)q_0 = Rq_0$. But this means that $(f, q_0)=0$, and we have a contradiction. Next, let $(f, Q)=0$, $f \in P^*$. Suppose $f=0$, and, let Rq_0 be a simple homomorphic image of $f(P)$. Then, since ${}_R Q$ is injective, there exists an element $q_1 \in Q$ such that $Rq_0 = f(P)q_1$. But this means that $(f, q_1)=0$, and we have a contradiction.

Following lemma is easy to show and we omit here the proof for it.

4) Cf. [5], Theorem 3.

5) Cf. [1].

LEMMA 4. Let ${}_R P$ be a projective left R -module. Then, for a simple left R -module ${}_R M$, ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ is either 0 or simple.

THEOREM 1.⁶⁾ Let $\{P, Q\}$ be an RZ-pair. Then ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is a cofinitely generated injective cogenerator, and the endomorphism ring of ${}_R Q$ is naturally isomorphic to that of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$.

PROOF. Let Q_0 be the socle of Q . Then, by Lemma 4, ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q_0)$ is a sum of simple submodules. We show that ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q_0)$ is an essential submodule of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$. Let f be a non-zero element of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ and Q' be a simple submodule of $f(P)$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q')$ is a simple submodule of $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q_0)$ which is contained in $\text{Hom}_R(P, f(P)) = Sf$. Thus ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is cofinitely generated. Next, we show that ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ contains an isomorphic image of every simple left S -module. For this purpose, let I be a maximal left ideal of S . Then, since ${}_R P$ is finitely generated and projective, we have $PI \cong P$. Let f be a non-zero R -homomorphism of P/PI into Q . Then $\tilde{f} = f \cdot \nu$ is an element of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ such that $S\tilde{f} \cong S/I$, where ν is the natural homomorphism of ${}_R P$ onto P/PI . Thus ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ contains an isomorphic image of S/I . Since ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is injective by Corollary 1 to Lemma 2, it is an injective cogenerator. The last assertion of the theorem follows direct from Lemma 2.

COROLLARY. If $\{P, P\}$ is an RZ-pair, or equivalently, if ${}_R P$ is a finitely generated projective and cofinitely injective RZ-module, then the endomorphism ring of ${}_R P$ is a left injective cogenerator.

§ 3 Endomorphism rings of linearly compact modules

We begin this section with the following

PROPOSITION 1. Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective left R -module. If ${}_R Q$ is linearly compact, then ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is linearly compact.

PROOF. This is proved in [14]. But for the sake of completeness, we give here the proof for it. Let $g \equiv g_\alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha}$, $\alpha \in I$, where $g_\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ and \mathfrak{U}_α 's are submodules of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$, be a finitely solvable system of congruences. Then, as is easily seen, for each element p of P , the system of congruences $x \equiv g_\alpha(p) \pmod{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha(P)}$ is finitely solvable, and, because ${}_R Q$ is linearly compact, it is solvable. Let $K = \prod_{\alpha \in I} Q/\mathfrak{U}_\alpha(P)$ be the direct product of $Q/\mathfrak{U}_\alpha(P)$'s and K_0 be the submodule $\{\prod (q \bmod \mathfrak{U}_\alpha(P)) | q \in Q\}$ of K . Let h be the homomorphism of ${}_R P$ into K which is defined by,

$$h(p) = \prod (g_\alpha(p) \bmod \mathfrak{U}_\alpha(P)), \quad p \in P.$$

6) Cf. [6], Theorem 4.

Because ${}_R P$ is projective, there is a homomorphism k of ${}_R P$ in ${}_R Q$ such that $k(p) \equiv g_\alpha(p) \pmod{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha(P)}$ for all $p \in P$. Our proof is therefore complete if we prove the following

LEMMA 5. *Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective left R -module and ${}_R Q$ be a left R -module. Let \mathfrak{U} be a submodule of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$, and, k be a homomorphism of ${}_R P$ in ${}_R Q$ such that $k(P) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(P)$. Then we have $k \in \mathfrak{U}$.*

PROOF. Let $P = \sum_{i=1}^n R p_i$ and $k(p_i) = u_1^{(i)}(p_1^{(i)}) + \cdots + u_{i_i}^{(i)}(p_{i_i}^{(i)})$, $u_j^{(i)} \in \mathfrak{U}$, $p_j^{(i)} \in P$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $t = l_1 + \cdots + l_n$ and ν be the homomorphism of $P^{(t)}$, the direct sum of t -copies of ${}_R P$, in Q which is defined by,

$$P^{(t)}(x_1, \dots, x_t) \longrightarrow u_1^{(1)}(x_1) + \cdots + u_{i_n}^{(n)}(x_t) \in Q.$$

It is clear that $k(P) \subseteq \nu(P^{(t)})$. Since ${}_R P$ is projective, there exist $s_1, \dots, s_t \in S$ such that $u_1^{(1)}(p s_1) + \cdots + u_{i_n}^{(n)}(p s_t) = k(p)$ for all $p \in P$. It follows that $k = s_1 u_1^{(1)} + \cdots + s_t u_{i_n}^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{U}$, as asserted.

COROLLARY. *Let ${}_R P$ be a linearly compact projective left R -module. Then ${}_S S$ is linearly compact.*

PROOF.⁷⁾ It suffices to show that ${}_R P$ is finitely generated. Since ${}_R P$ is complemented, $Ra(P)$, the radical of ${}_R P$, is small and $P/Ra(P)$ is semi-simple artinian. It follows that ${}_R P$ is finitely generated.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let ${}_R P$ be a generator and ${}_R Q$ be a left R -module. If the left S -module ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is linearly compact, then ${}_R Q$ is linearly compact.*

PROOF. Let $x \equiv q_\alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha}$, $\alpha \in I$, where $q_\alpha \in Q$ and \mathfrak{U}_α 's are submodules of ${}_R Q$, be a finitely solvable system of congruences. Since ${}_R P$ is a generator, there exist $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \text{Hom}_R(P, R)$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(p_i) = 1$. For each i and for each α , let $g_\alpha^{(i)}$ be a homomorphism of ${}_R P$ in ${}_R Q$ which is defined by,

$$g_\alpha^{(i)}: P \ni p \longrightarrow f_i(p) q_\alpha \in Q.$$

Then, as is easily seen, for each i , the system of congruences $g = g_\alpha^{(i)} \pmod{\text{Hom}_R(P, \mathfrak{U}_\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in I$, is finitely solvable. Since ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is linearly compact, the system has a solution $g^{(i)}$. Let $q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n g^{(i)}(p_i)$. Then we have, for each $\alpha \in I$, $q_0 - q_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n g^{(i)}(p_i) - (\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(p_i)) q_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n g^{(i)}(p_i) - g_\alpha^{(i)}(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (g^{(i)} - g_\alpha^{(i)})(p_i) \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$. Thus our assertion is proved.

7) Cf. [4, 15].

From Propositions 1 and 2 we have direct the following

PROPOSITION 3. *Let ${}_R P$ be a progenerator. Then ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is linearly compact if and only if ${}_R Q$ is linearly compact.*

We have also the following

PROPOSITION 4. *Let ${}_R Q$ be an injective left R -module with essential socle and ${}_R P$ be a linearly compact left R -module. Then the right T -module $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ is linearly compact.*

PROOF. For each submodule \mathfrak{U} of $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$, we have $\text{Ann}_{\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)}(\text{Ann}_P(\mathfrak{U})) = \mathfrak{U}$.⁸⁾ Let $g \equiv g_\alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha}$, $\alpha \in I$, be a finitely solvable system of congruences, where $g_\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ and \mathfrak{U}_α 's are submodules of $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$. Then, as is easily seen, the mapping

$$\sum \text{Ann}_P(\mathfrak{U}_\alpha) \ni \sum_{\text{finite}} p_{\alpha_i} \longrightarrow \sum g_{\alpha_i}(p_{\alpha_i}) \in Q,$$

where $p_{\alpha_i} \in \text{Ann}_P(\mathfrak{U}_{\alpha_i})$, is an well defined R -homomorphism. Since ${}_R Q$ is injective, there exists $g \in \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ such that $g(p_{\alpha_i}) = g_{\alpha_i}(p_{\alpha_i})$ for all $p_{\alpha_i} \in \text{Ann}_P(\mathfrak{U}_{\alpha_i})$. It follows that, for every $\alpha \in I$, $g - g_\alpha \in \text{Ann}_{\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)}(\text{Ann}_P(\mathfrak{U}_\alpha)) = \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$. This proves our assertion.

§ 4 Main results

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that $\{P, Q\}$ is an RZ-pair and both ${}_R P$ and ${}_R Q$ are linearly compact. Then both ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_S$ are injective cogenerators, and, S and T are naturally isomorphic to the endomorphism rings of $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ and ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$, respectively, where S is the endomorphism ring of ${}_R P$ and T is that of ${}_R Q$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1 ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is a cofinitely generated injective cogenerator and T is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring of ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$. Further, by Proposition 1, both ${}_S S$ and ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ are linearly compact, whence ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is balanced and $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ is an injective cogenerator.⁹⁾

COROLLARY 1. *Let ${}_R P$ be a linearly compact, cofinitely generated, injective and projective left R -module. If $\{P, P\}$ is an RZ-pair, then the endomorphism ring of ${}_R P$ is a two-sided cogenerator ring.*

A ring R is called *left Morita ring*¹⁰⁾ if both ${}_R R$ and the injective envelope of every simple left R -module are linearly compact. A *right Morita*

8) Cf. [12], Theorem 5. Here, $\text{Ann}_X(Y)$ denotes, as usual, the annihilator of Y in X .

9) Cf. [12], Theorem 2, Theorem 7.

10) Cf. [7].

ring is defined similarly. Then we have the following

COROLLARY 2. *Let R be a left Morita ring. Then the endomorphism ring of a finitely generated projective left R -module is a left Morita ring, and, the endomorphism ring of a cofinitely generated injective left R -module is a right Morita ring.*

PROOF. Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective left R -module. Since R is a semi-perfect ring,¹¹⁾ there exists a cofinitely generated injective left R -module ${}_R Q$ such that $\{P, Q\}$ is an RZ -pair. Further, since R is a left Morita ring, both ${}_R P$ and ${}_R Q$ are linearly compact, whence, by Theorem 2, ${}_S S$ is linearly compact and ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is a linearly compact injective cogenerator. Thus S is a left Morita ring. The latter half of the corollary is also proved similarly.

COROLLARY 3. *Let R be a ring such that ${}_R R$ is linearly compact. Let ${}_R Q$ be a linearly compact, cofinitely generated injective left R -module and T be the endomorphism ring of ${}_R Q$. Then Q_T is a linearly compact cogenerator, and, ${}_R Q$ is a cofinitely generated injective left R' -module, where R' is the endomorphism ring of Q_T .*

PROOF. By assumption, there is a finitely generated projective left R -module ${}_R P$ such that $\{P, Q\}$ is an RZ -pair. Then, by Theorem 2 $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ is an injective cogenerator. Since $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ is isomorphic to a direct product of Q_T , Q_T is a cogenerator. By Proposition 4, Q_T is linearly compact and whence ${}_R Q$ is injective.¹²⁾ Further, ${}_R Q$ is cofinitely generated by ([13], Lemma 8).¹³⁾

COROLLARY 4. *Let R be a two-sided cogenerator ring. Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective left R -module. Then P_S is a linearly compact cogenerator and ${}_R P$ is a faithful finitely generated projective and cofinitely generated injective left R' -module, where S is the endomorphism ring of ${}_R P$ and R' is the double centralizer of ${}_R P$.*

PROOF. Since ${}_R R$, whence ${}_R P$ is linearly compact, cofinitely generated and injective, our assertion follows direct from the above Corollary 3.

COROLLARY 5. *Let R be a ring such that ${}_R R$ is linearly compact. If there is a (faithful) linearly compact, cofinitely generated injective and flat left R -module, then there exists a (faithful) finitely generated projective and injective right R -module.*

PROOF. Let ${}_R Q$ be a linearly compact, cofinitely generated injective and

11) Cf. [12], Corollary to Theorem 5.

12) Cf. [12], Corollary 1 to Theorem 2.

13) Note that T is a semi-perfect ring.

flat left R -module, and, T be the endomorphism ring of ${}_R Q$. Let ${}_R P$ be a finitely generated projective left R -module such that $\{P, Q\}$ forms an RZ -pair. Then, by Theorem 2, both ${}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ are injective cogenerators, and S, T are naturally isomorphic to the endomorphism rings of $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T, {}_S \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ respectively, where S is the endomorphism rings of ${}_R P$. We show that the right R -module $P^* = \text{Hom}_R(P, R)$ is injective. Since Q_T is linearly compact and $\{P, Q\}$ is a regular pair, ${}_S P^*$ is isomorphic to the $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ -dual of Q_T , that is, ${}_S P^* \cong \text{Hom}_T({}_R Q_T, \text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T)$.¹⁴⁾ It follows that P_R^* is injective, because ${}_R Q$ is flat and $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)_T$ is injective. It is clear that P_R^* is finitely generated and projective. Further, let ${}_R Q$ be faithful. Then $P^* r = 0, r \in R$, implies that $0 = (P^* r, Q) = (P^*, rQ)$. It follows that $rQ = 0$, whence $r = 0$. Thus P_R^* is faithful. This completes our proof.

Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University

References

- [1] G. AZUMAYA: M -projective and M -injective modules (to appear).
- [2] K. R. FULLER: On indecomposable injectives over artinian rings, *Pacific J. Math.* 29 (1969), 115-135.
- [3] M. HARADA: QF -3 and semiprimary PP -rings II, *Osaka J. Math.* 3 (1966), 21-27.
- [4] F. KASCH and E. A. MARES: Eine Kennzeichnung semiperfekter Moduln, *Nagoya Math. J.* 27 (1966), 525-529.
- [5] F. KASCH, H.-J. SCHNEIDER and H. J. STOLBERG: On injective modules and cogenerators, Report 69-23, Dept. Math., Carnegie Institut of Technology, Carnegie Mellon Univ., 1969.
- [6] T. KATO: U -distinguished modules (to appear).
- [7] R. W. MILLER and D. R. TURNIDGE: Morita duality for endomorphism rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31 (1972) 91-94.
- [8] K. MORITA: Duality in QF -3 rings, *Math. Z.* 108 (1960), 237-252.
- [9] B. MÜLLER: Linear compactness and Morita duality, *J. Algebra* 16 (1970), 60-66.
- [10] T. ONODERA: Über Kogeneratoren, *Arch. Math.* 16 (1968), 402-410.
- [11] T. ONODERA: Ein Satz über koendlich erzeugte RZ -Moduln, *Tōhoku Math. J.* 23 (1971), 691-695.
- [12] T. ONODERA: Linearly compact modules and cogenerators, *J. Fac. Sci., Hokkaido Univ., Ser. I.* 12. No. 3. 4 (1972), 116-125.
- [13] T. ONODERA: Koendlich erzeugte Moduln und Kogeneratoren *Hokkaido M. J.* 2 (1973) 69-83.
- [14] F. L. SANDOMIERSKI: Linearly compact modules and local Morita duality, *Ring Theory*, Academic Press, 1972.

14) Cf. [12], Theorem 7.