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1. Introduction Let $A$ be a function algebra on a compact Hausdorff space $X$, that is, a closed subalgebra of the complex Banach algebra $C(X)$, which contains the constant functions and separates the points of $X$. Gleason discovered that $\|\varphi-\psi\|<2$ defines an equivalent relation on the set $\operatorname{Spec} A$ of all multiplicative linear functionals of $A$ while Bishop introduced the other metric $\sigma(\varphi, \psi)$ on Spec $A$ such that $\sigma(\varphi, \psi)<1$ defines the same equivalent relations as Gleason's, and König established an algebraic relation between these two metrics (see $[1 ; 143 \sim 144]$ and [2, 3]). Importance of Gleason's equivalent classes, called Gleason parts, is shown by the fact that $\varphi$ and $\psi$ belong to the same Gleason part if and only if they admit mutually dominating representing measures in the sense that there are probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on $X$ such that for all $f$ in $A$

$$
\varphi(f)=\int f d \mu, \quad \phi(f)=\int f d \nu
$$

and $\mu / k \leq \nu \leq k \mu$ for some positive constant $k$. The minimum $k$ can be determind in terms of $\sigma(\varphi, \psi)$ (see [2,3]).

Let $E$ be a subset of $C(X)$, which is closed under multiplication and contains the constant functions. $M(E)$ is the set of all cotinuous multiplicative functions $\Phi$ of $E$ to the non-negative real numbers with $\|\Phi\|_{E} \leq 1$, where $\|\cdot\|_{E}$ denotes the supremum on the set of $f$ with $\|f\| \leqq 1$. We shall show that $\sigma_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)=\sup _{r>0}\left\|\Phi^{r}-\Psi^{r}\right\|_{E}<1$ defines an equivalent relation on $M(E)$, and that, in the case of a multiplicative group $E, \Phi$ and $\Psi$ belong to the same equivalent class if and only if there exist positive measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ such that $\mu / k \leq \nu \leq k \mu$ for some $k>0$ and for all $f$ in $E$

$$
\log \Phi(f)=\int \log |f| d \mu, \quad \log \Psi(f)=\int \log |f| d \nu
$$

Applicability of these results to $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is based on the observation that each $\varphi$ in Spec $A$ is completely determined by the values of its modulus $\Phi(f)=$ $|\varphi(f)|$ on any set containing $\exp A$, the set of $f$ with $f=\exp (g)$ for some $g$ in $A$. We can take as $E$ the whole space $A$, $\exp A$ or $A^{-1}$, the set of invertible functions. Associating to $\varphi$ its modulus $\Phi$ we shall show that though $\sigma_{A}(\Phi, \Psi)$ is trivial, that is, $0-1$ valued, $\|\Phi-\Psi\|_{A}$ coincides with
$\sigma(\varphi, \psi) . \quad \sigma_{\exp A}(\Phi, \Psi)<1$ is shown to define the same equivalent relation as Gleason's while $\sigma_{A^{-1}}(\Phi, \Psi)<1$ introduces new equivalent classes, called logmodular parts, in Spec $A$. We shall present several examples in which logmodular parts coincide with or are different from Gleason parts.
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2. Metric on $\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{E}) \quad M(E)$ consists of all continuous functions $\Phi$ on $E$ such that $\Phi(f) \geq 0, \Phi(f g)=\Phi(f) \Phi(g)$ and $\|\Phi\|_{E} \leq 1$. Since the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \Phi(\alpha)$ is multiplicative and continuous on the positive numbers, either $\Phi$ vanishes identically or $\Phi(\alpha)=\alpha^{k}$ for some constant $k$. $\|\Phi\|_{E} \leq 1$ implies that either $\Phi(f)=0$ or $k$ is non-negative and $\Phi(f) \leq\|f\|^{k}$. With respect to pointwise definition $M(E)$ becomes a multiplicative semigroup, and together with $\Phi$ all its non-negative exponents $\Phi^{r}$ belong to $M(E)$. The order relation $\Phi_{1} \preccurlyeq \Phi_{2}$ is introduced to mean that there is $\Psi \in M(E)$ with $\Phi_{1}=\Psi \Phi_{2}$. Then $\Phi_{1} \preccurlyeq \Phi_{2}$ is equivalent to $\Phi_{1}(f) \leq \Phi_{2}(f)$ for all $f \in E$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$.

Let us introduce a functional:

$$
\rho_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)=\sup \left\{\left|\log \left[\frac{\log \Phi(f)}{\log \Psi(f)}\right]\right| ; \quad f \in E \quad\|f\|<1\right\}
$$

with convention $-\infty /-\infty=1$. Clearly $\rho_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)<\infty$ defines an equivalent relation on $M(E)$ and is given by

$$
\rho_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)=\inf \left\{\log k: \Phi^{k} \preccurlyeq \Psi \preceq \Phi^{1 / k}, \quad k>1\right\} .
$$

Now a metric on $M(E)$ is defined by

$$
\sigma_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)=\sup _{r>0}\left\|\Phi^{r}-\Psi^{r}\right\|_{E}
$$

THEOREM 1. $\sigma_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)$ and $\rho_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)$ are connected to each other by the relation

$$
\sigma_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)=H\left(\rho_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)\right)
$$

where $H(x)(x \geq 0)$ is the monotone inceasing function defined by

$$
H(x)=\left(e^{x}-1\right) \exp \left[\frac{x e^{x}}{1-e^{x}}\right]
$$

In particular, $\sigma_{E}(\Phi, \Psi)<1$ defines an equivalent relation on $M(E)$.
Proof. Since $H(x)$ is monotone increasing, it suffices to prove that for $1>\alpha \geq \beta \geq 0$.

$$
\sup _{r>0}\left(\alpha^{r}-\beta^{r}\right)=H\left(\log \left(\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}\right)\right) .
$$

If $F(r)=\alpha^{r}-\beta^{r}, r>0$ and $r_{0}=\log \left(\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}\right) / \log \beta_{\beta}^{\alpha}, F^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)=0$.

$$
F\left(r_{0}\right)=\left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \times \log \left(\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha-\log \beta}\right)\right] \times\left[\frac{\log \beta-\log \alpha}{\log \beta}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(r_{0}\right)=\left[\beta \frac{1}{\log \alpha-\log \beta} \times \log \left(\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}\right)\right] \times\left[\frac{\log \beta-\log \alpha}{\log \alpha}\right] \\
& \sup _{r>0} F(r)=\sqrt{F\left(r_{0}\right) F\left(r_{0}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}-1}{\sqrt{\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}}} \exp \left[\frac{1+\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}}{1-\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}} \times \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}\right] \\
& =H\left(\log \left(\frac{\log \beta}{\log \alpha}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
A positive measure $\mu$ on $X$ is called a Jensen measure for $\Phi \in M(E)$ if for all $f \in E$

$$
\log \Phi(f) \leq \int \log |f| d \mu
$$

If $E$ becomes a multiplicative group, inequality in the above definition becomes equality. Existence of a Jensen measure can be shown just as in Bishop (c.f. [1; 33-34]). In fact, if $\Phi(f)=0$ for all $f \in E$, it is trivial. Let $\Phi(f) \leq\|f\|^{k}$ for a positive constant $k$. In the real Banach space $C_{R}(X)$ of all real valued continuous functions the convex cone of negative functions is disjoint from the convex cone of functions $u$ such that $n u>k \log |f|-$ $\log \Phi(f)$ for some $f \in E$ and some positive integer $n$, and a positive measure, which represents a functional separating these two convex cones, is a Jensen measure for $\Phi$.

THEOREM 2. The following assertions for $\Phi, \Psi \in M(E)$ are equivalent:
(1) $\Phi^{k} \preccurlyeq \Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi^{1 / k}$ for some $k>1$.
(2) there exist Jensen measures $\mu$ for $\Phi$ and $\nu$ for $\Psi$ such that $\mu / k \leq$ $\nu \leq k \mu$ and for all $f \in E$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k}\left[\int \log |f| d \mu-\log \Phi(f)\right] & \leq \int \log |f| d \nu-\log \Psi(f) \\
& \leq k\left[\int \log |f| d \mu-\log \Phi(f)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with convention $-\infty+\infty=0$. If $E$ becomes a multiplicative group the last integral inequalities are redundant.

Proof is almost parallel to Bishop (c.f. [1; 143]). We may assume $\Phi \neq 0$ and $\Psi \not \equiv 0$. Suppose $\Phi^{k} \preccurlyeq \Psi \preccurlyeq \Phi^{1 / k}$ for some $k>1$. Then, there exist $F$ and $G$ in $M(E)$ such that $\Phi^{k}=F \Psi$ and $\Psi^{k}=G \Phi$. There exist Jensen measures $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ for $F$ and $\tau$ for $G$. Put $\mu=(k \sigma+\tau) /\left(k^{2}-1\right)$ and $\nu=(k \tau+\sigma) /\left(k^{2}-1\right)$, then $\mu$ and $\nu$ are Jensen measures for $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ respectively. Clearly $0 \leq \mu \leq k \nu$ and $0 \leq \nu \leq k \mu$. From $\sigma=k \mu-\nu$ and $\tau=k \nu-\mu$, we get the latter half of (2). This shows that (1) implies (2).

If (2) is valid, for all $f \in E$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k \log \Psi(f)-\log \Phi(f) \leq \int \log |f| d(k \nu-\mu) \\
& k \log \Phi(f)-\log \Psi(f) \leq \int \log |f| d(k \mu-\nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $F(f)=\Psi(f)^{k} / \Phi(f)$ if $\Phi(f) \neq 0, F(f)=0$ if $\Phi(f)=0$ and put $G(f)=$ $\Phi(f)^{k} / \Psi(f)$ if $\Psi(f) \neq 0, G(f)=0$ if $\Psi(f)=0$. Then $F \in M(E)$ and $G \in M(E)$, thus we get (1).
3. Gleason parts and log-modular parts Let $A$ be a function algebra on $X$. Let us denote for $\varphi, \psi, \cdots$ in the set $S p e c A$ of all multiplicative linear functionals of $A$ their moduluses by corresponding capitals $\Phi, \Psi, \cdots$; $\Phi(f)=|\varphi(f)|$.

Gleason showed that $\|\varphi-\psi\|<2$ defines an equivalent relation on $S p e c$ A. Bishop and König introduced functions

$$
\sigma(\varphi, \psi)=\sup \{|\psi(f)| ; \quad \varphi(f)=0, \quad\|f\|<1, \quad f \in A\}
$$

and

$$
G(\varphi, \psi)=\sup \{|\log \operatorname{Re\varphi }(f)-\log \operatorname{Re} \psi(f)| ; \quad \operatorname{Re} f>0 \quad f \in A\}
$$

respectively, and König showed the relations;

$$
G(\varphi, \phi)=\log \frac{1+\sigma(\varphi, \phi)}{1-\dot{\sigma}(\varphi, \psi)}=2 \log \frac{2+\|\varphi-\phi\|}{2-\|\varphi-\phi\|}
$$

To apply the results of $\S 2$, let us first take as $E$ the whole space $A$. Since for $\varphi \neq \psi$ in $S p e c ~ A$ there is $f \in A$ with $\psi(f) \neq 0$ and $\varphi(f)=0, \sigma_{A}(\Phi, \Psi)=0$
or 1 according as $\varphi=\psi$ or not. Thus each equivalent class with respect to $\sigma_{A}$ reduces to a singleton. In this case, however, the metric $\|\Phi-\Psi\|_{A}$ itself gives rise to the Gleason parts.

Theorem 3. For $\varphi, \psi \in S p e c ~ A$

$$
\|\Phi-\Psi\|_{A}=\sigma(\varphi, \psi) .
$$

In particular, $\|\Phi-\Psi\|_{A}<1$ defines the same equivalent relation as Gleason's.
Proof. Let $A_{\varphi}=\{g \in A ; \varphi(g)=0\|g\|<1\}$. For any $f \in A$ and $\|f\|<1$,
 $f \in A$ and $\varphi(f)=\lambda$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi-\Psi\|_{A} & =\sup _{g \in \Lambda_{\varphi}} \sup _{|\lambda|<1}\left\{\left.|\lambda|-\left|\frac{\psi(g)+\lambda}{1+\bar{\lambda} \psi(g)}\right| \right\rvert\,\right\} \\
& =\sup _{g \in \Lambda_{\varphi}} \sup _{0 \leq \ll 1} \sup _{\theta}\left\{\left|t-\left|\frac{\psi(g)+e^{i \theta} t}{1+e^{-i b} t \psi(g)}\right|\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $t \geq|\psi(g)|$, we can get by simple computation,

$$
\sup _{|\varphi(g)| \leq t<1} \sup _{\theta}\left\{\left|t-\left|\frac{\psi(g)+e^{i \theta} t}{1+e^{-i \theta} t \psi(g)}\right|\right|\right\}=\sup _{|(g())| \leq t<1}\left\{\frac{|\psi(g)|\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{1-t|\psi(g)|}\right\}=|\psi(g)| .
$$

When $t \leq|\psi(g)|$, we can get similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0 \leq t \leq|\psi(g)|} \sup _{\theta}\left\{\left|t-\left|\frac{\psi(g)+e^{i \theta} t}{1+e^{-i \theta} t \psi(g)}\right|\right|\right\} \\
& \quad=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq|\psi(g)|} \max \left\{\frac{-t^{2}|\psi(g)|+2 t-|\psi(g)|}{1-t|\psi(g)|}, \frac{|\psi(g)|\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{1+t|\psi(g)|}\right\}=\mid \psi(g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\|\Phi-\Psi\|_{A}=\sup _{g \in A_{\varphi}}|\psi(g)|=\sigma(\varphi, \psi) .
$$

Secondly, let us take as $E$ the set $\exp A$. The metric $\sigma_{\exp A}(\Phi, \Psi)$ coincides with $\|\Phi-\Psi\|_{\exp A}$ for $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. Since

$$
G(\varphi, \psi)=\sup \left\{\log \left|\frac{\log |\varphi(\exp f)|}{\log |\psi(\operatorname{evp} f)|}\right|,\|\exp f\|<1\right\}
$$

Theorem 1 and König's result yields;
Theorem 4. For $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Spec} A$

$$
\sigma_{\exp A}(\Phi, \Psi)=H\left(\log \frac{1+\sigma(\varphi, \psi)}{1-\sigma(\varphi, \psi)}\right),
$$

where $H(x)=\left(e^{x}-1\right) \exp \left[\frac{x e^{x}}{1-e^{x}}\right]$.
In particular, $\sigma_{\exp A}(\Phi, \Psi)<1$ defines the same equivalent relation as Gleason's.

Jensen measures for $\exp A$ are merely representing measures.
Finally let us take as $E$ the set $A^{-1}$ of all invertible functions. Then $\sigma_{A^{-1}}(\Phi, \Psi)<1$ defines an equivalent relation on $S p e c A$. The equivalent class, containg $\varphi$, is called the log-modular part of $\varphi$. Then Theorem 2 yields;

TheOrem 5. Multiplicative linear functionals $\varphi$ and $\psi$ belong to the same log-modular part if and only if there are positive measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on X such that $\mu / k \leq \nu \leq k \mu$ for some $k>0$ and

$$
\log |\varphi(f)|=\int \log |f| d \mu \quad \text { and } \quad \log |\psi(f)|=\int \log |f| d \nu
$$

4. Examples Let $A$ be a function algebra on a compact Hausdorff set X. The log-modular part of a multiplicative linear functional sometimes coincides with its Gleason part, but sometimes not.

If $\exp A$ is (uniformly) dense in $A^{-1}, \sigma_{A^{-1}}(\Phi, \Psi)$ coincides with $\sigma_{\exp A}(\Phi, \Psi)$, hence every log-modular part is a Gleason part. Moreover, if $A^{-1}$ is uniformly dense in $A$, every Gleason part (and hence every log-modular part) reduces to a singleton.

If the set of all representing measures for a multiplicative linear functional $\varphi$ is finite dimensional, it is known $[1 ; 113-114]$ that $\varphi$ admits a Jensen measure $\mu$ (with respect to $A^{-1}$ ) such that every representing measure is majorated by a scalar multiple of $\mu$. If $\psi$ belongs to the Gleason part of such $\varphi$, the set of representing measures for $\psi$ is also finite dimensional. Since $\varphi$ and $\psi$ admit mutually dominating representing measures, they admit mutually dominating Jensen measures, hence by Theorem 5, $\psi$ belongs to the log-modular part of $\varphi$.

Theorem 6. If for some $f \in A \varphi(f)$ lies on the boundary of the set $\{\phi(f) ; \phi \in S p e c A\}$ in the complex plane, then the log-modular part of $\varphi$ is contained in the set $\{\psi \in$ Spec $A ; \psi(f)=\varphi(f)\}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ be a complex number sequence such that $s_{n} \notin\{\psi(f)$; $\phi \in S$ Sec $A\} n=1,2, \cdots$ and $s_{n} \rightarrow \varphi(f)$, which lies on the boundary of the set $\{\psi(f) ; \psi \in S$ Sec $A\}$. Let $\sup \left\{\left|s_{n}-\psi(f)\right| ; \psi \in \operatorname{Spec} A\right\}=c_{n} / 2$ and $g_{n}=\frac{s_{n}-f}{c_{n}}$, then $\boldsymbol{g}_{n} \in A^{-1}$ and $\left\|g_{n}\right\|<1$. We can assume $c_{n} \rightarrow 0$, so $\psi\left(g_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for every $\psi \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ such that $\psi\left(f=\varphi(f)\right.$, while $\psi\left(g_{n}\right) \nrightarrow 0$ for every $\psi \in S p e c e A$ such that $\psi(f) \neq$ $\varphi(f)$. Thus if $\psi(f) \neq \varphi(f), \sigma_{A^{-1}}(\Psi, \Phi)=1$.

To apply these results, let X be a compact plane set and $A=R(\mathrm{X})$ the subspace of functions in $C(X)$, which are uniformly approximated by rational functions with poles off $X$. It is well known $[1 ; 27]$ that any multiplicative linear functional $\varphi$ on $R(X)$ is realized by point evaluation at some $x \in X$; $\varphi(f)=f(x)$. Under this identification, the log-modular part of $x$ on the boundary of $X$ is a singleton. For the proof, apply Theorem 6 with $f$, the coordinate function: $f(x)=x$. Further the set of representing measures for $x$ on the boundary is finite dimensional only if the Dirac measure at $x$ is the unique representing measure for $x$. In fact, since the finite dimensionality leads to coincidence of Gleason and log-modular parts, the Gleason part of $x$ reduces to a singleton. On the other hand, Wilken (c.f. $[1 ; 146])$ showed that the Gleason part of $x$ reduces to a singleton only if the Dirac measure at $x$ is the unique representing measures for $x$.

A Swiss cheese $X[1 ; 25-26]$ of the complex plane shows an example that the Gleason parts are different from the log-modular parts in $R(X)$. In fact, there exist the points which are not peak point, while each point of $X$ is one point log-modular part.
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