

NOTE ON HADAMARD'S DETERMINANT THEOREM

JOHN WILLIAMSON

Introduction. We shall call a square matrix A of order n an Hadamard matrix or for brevity an H -matrix, if each element of A has the value ± 1 and if the determinant of A has the maximum possible value $n^{n/2}$. It is known that such a matrix A is an H -matrix [1]¹ if, and only if, $AA' = nE_n$ where A' is the transpose of A and E_n is the unit matrix of order n . It is also known that, if an H -matrix of order $n > 1$ exists, n must have the value 2 or be divisible by 4. The existence of an H -matrix of order n has been proved [2, 3] only for the following values of $n > 1$: (a) $n = 2$, (b) $n = p^h + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, p a prime, (c) $n = m(p^h + 1)$ where $m \geq 2$ is the order of an H -matrix and p is a prime, (d) $n = q(q - 1)$ where q is a product of factors of types (a) and (b), (e) $n = 172$ and for n a product of any number of factors of types (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e).

In this note we shall show that an H -matrix of order n also exists when (f) $n = q(q + 3)$ where q and $q + 4$ are both products of factors of types (a) and (b), (g) $n = n_1 n_2 (p^h + 1) p^h$, where $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$ are orders of H -matrices and p is an odd prime, and (h) $n = n_1 n_2 m(m + 3)$ where $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$ are orders of H -matrices and m and $m + 4$ are both of the form $p^h + 1$, p an odd prime.

It is interesting to note the presence of the factors n_1 and n_2 in the types (g) and (h) and their absence in the types (d) and (f). Thus, if p is a prime and $p^h + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, an H -matrix of order $p^h(p^h + 1)$ exists but, if $p^h + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we can only be sure of the existence of an H -matrix of order $n_1 n_2 p^h(p^h + 1)$ where $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$ are orders of H -matrices. This is analogous to the simpler result that, if $p^h + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ an H -matrix of order $p^h + 1$ exists but, if $p^h + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we can only be sure of the existence of an H -matrix of order $n(p^h + 1)$ where $n > 1$ is the order of an H -matrix.

We shall denote the direct product of two matrices A and B by $A \cdot B$ and the unit matrix of order n by E_n .

Theorems on the existence of H -matrices. If a symmetric H -matrix of order $m > 1$ exists, there exists an H -matrix H of order m with the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e \\ e' & D \end{pmatrix},$$

Received by the editors December 6, 1946.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.

where e is the row vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ of dimension $m-1$ and e' the column vector which is the transpose of e . Since H is a symmetric H -matrix

$$H^2 = HH' = mE_m$$

and accordingly

$$\begin{pmatrix} m & e + eD \\ e' + De' & e'e + D^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & mE_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$(1) \quad eD = -e, \quad De' = -e'$$

and

$$(2) \quad D^2 = mE_{m-1} - R,$$

where

$$(3) \quad R = e'e$$

and R is the square matrix of order $m-1$ each element of which has the value 1. It follows easily that

$$(4) \quad R^2 = (m-1)R$$

and by (1) and (3) that

$$(5) \quad RD = -R = DR.$$

If $F = 2E_{m-1} - R$, F is a symmetric matrix each element of which has the value ± 1 . Further

$$(6) \quad FD = DF$$

by (5) and

$$(7) \quad F^2 = 4E_{m-1} + (m-5)R$$

by (4). If n is a product of factors of types (a) and (b) there exists [3, p. 67] an H -matrix of order n with the form $E_n + S$ where S is skew-symmetric so that

$$(8) \quad S^2 = -(n-1)E_n.$$

If

$$W = F \cdot E_n + D \cdot S,$$

each element of W has the value ± 1 and

$$\begin{aligned}
WW' &= (F \cdot E_n + D \cdot S)(F \cdot E_n - D \cdot S) \\
&= F^2 \cdot E_n - D^2 \cdot S^2 && \text{(by (6))} \\
&= [4E_{m-1} + (m-5)R] \cdot E_n + (mE_{m-1} - R) \cdot (n-1)E_n \\
&&& \text{(by (7), (2) and (8))} \\
&= [(4 + mn - m)E_{m-1}] \cdot E_n + (m - n - 4)R \cdot E_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $n = m - 4$,

$$WW' = (m-1)(m-4)E_{m-1} \cdot E_{m-4} = n(n+3)E_n \cdot E_{n+3}$$

and W is an H -matrix.

Since a symmetric H -matrix of order 2 exists and a symmetric H -matrix of order $p^h + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, where p is a prime, exists [3, p. 67], there exists a symmetric H -matrix of order n where n is a product of factors of types (a) and (b). We have therefore proved the theorem:

THEOREM 1. *If n and $n+4$ are both products of factors of types (a) and (b) there exists an H -matrix of order $n(n+3)$.*

As a particular case of this theorem we have the corollary:

COROLLARY 1. *If $n-1$ and $n+3$ are both powers of primes and are congruent to 3 modulo 4, there exists an H -matrix of order $n(n+3)$.*

If $m = p^h + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, where p is a prime, there exists [3, p. 66] a symmetric matrix T of order m , each diagonal element of which has the value 0 and each other element the value ± 1 and such that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ e' & U \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(9) \quad T^2 = (m-1)E_m.$$

It follows therefore that

$$(10) \quad UU' = U^2 = (m-1)E_{m-1} - R,$$

where R is defined by (3). Let A_1 and B_1 be two H -matrices of order n_1 such that [3, p. 66]

$$(11) \quad A_1 B_1' = -B_1 A_1'$$

and let $K = A_1 \cdot E_{m-1} + B_1 \cdot U$. Then each element of K has the value ± 1 and

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} KK' &= A_1A_1' \cdot E_{m-1} + B_1B_1' \cdot U^2 && \text{(by (11))} \\ &= n_1E_{n_1} \cdot (mE_{m-1} - R) && \text{(by (10)).} \end{aligned}$$

Since $eU = 0 = Ue'$,

$$(13) \quad RU = UR = 0.$$

Hence, if $\Gamma = A_1 \cdot R$,

$$(14) \quad \Gamma\Gamma' = n_1E_{n_1} \cdot (m - 1)R \quad \text{(by (4))}$$

and

$$(15) \quad \Gamma K' = A_1A_1' \cdot R = K\Gamma' \quad \text{(by (13)).}$$

Finally, if A_2 and B_2 are two H -matrices of order n_2 satisfying

$$(16) \quad A_2B_2' = -B_2A_2',$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W &= A_2 \cdot \Gamma \cdot E_m + B_2 \cdot K \cdot T, \\ WW' &= A_2A_2' \cdot \Gamma\Gamma' \cdot E_m + B_2B_2' \cdot KK' \cdot T^2 && \text{(by (15) and (16))} \\ &= n_2E_{n_2} \cdot n_1E_{n_1} \cdot [(m - 1)R + (mE_{m-1} - R)(m - 1)] \cdot E_m && \\ & && \text{(by (9), (12) and (14))} \\ &= rE_r && (r = n_1n_2m(m - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore W is an H -matrix and we have proved the theorem:

THEOREM 2. *If H -matrices of orders n_1 and n_2 exist, $n_1 > 1$, $n_2 > 1$, and p is a prime such that $p^h + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, there exists an H -matrix of order $n_1n_2p^h(p^h + 1)$.*

Since, if p is a prime such that $p^h + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there exists an H -matrix of order $p^h(p^h + 1)$, we have the corollary:

COROLLARY 1. *If H -matrices exist of orders $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$, there exists an H -matrix of order $n_1n_2(p^h + 1)p^h$ where p is an odd prime.*

Since an H -matrix of order 2 exists we have the corollary:

COROLLARY 2. *If p is an odd prime an H -matrix exists of order $4p^h(p^h + 1)$.*

In the proof of the final theorem we require the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. *If there exists an H -matrix A of order $n > 1$, there exist two H -matrices B and C of order n such that $AB' = -BA'$, $AC' = CA'$, $BC' = CB'$.*

In fact the matrices $B = XA$ and $C = YA$, where X is the diagonal block matrix

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

and Y is the diagonal block matrix

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

satisfy the conditions of the lemma. For $BB' = CC' = nE_n$, $AB' = nX' = -nX = -BA'$, $CA' = nY' = nY = CA'$ and $BC' = nXY' = nYX' = CB'$.

Let $M = C_1 \cdot (2E_{m-1} - R)$ and $N = A_1 \cdot E_{m-1} + B_1 \cdot U$, where R is defined by (14), U by (10) and A_1, B_1 and C_1 are matrices of order n_1 with the properties of Lemma 1. Then each element of the matrices M and N has the value ± 1 . Further

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} MM' &= n_1 E_{n_1} \cdot (4E_{m-1} - 4R + R^2) \\ &= n_1 E_{n_1} \cdot [4E_{m-1} + (m - 5)R] \end{aligned} \quad \text{(by (4)),}$$

$$(18) \quad NN' = n_1 E_{n_1} \cdot (E_{m-1} + U^2) = n_1 E_{n_1} \cdot (mE_{m-1} - R) \quad \text{(by (10))}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} MN' &= C_1 A_1' \cdot (2E_{m-1} - R) + C_1 B_1' \cdot (2E_{m-1} - R)U \\ &= C_1 A_1' \cdot (2E_{m-1} - R) + C_1 B_1' \cdot 2U \end{aligned} \quad \text{(by (13)).}$$

Therefore by Lemma 1

$$(19) \quad MN' = NM'.$$

Let A_2 and B_2 be two H -matrices of order $n_2 > 1$ satisfying (16) and let $n = p^h + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ where p is a prime. Then there exists a matrix G of order n and of the same form as T in (9) and satisfying

$$(20) \quad G^2 = (n - 1)E_n.$$

If finally $W = A_2 \cdot M \cdot E_n + B_2 \cdot N \cdot G$, each element of W has the value ± 1 and

$$\begin{aligned} WW' &= n_2 E_{n_2} \cdot (MM' \cdot E_n + NN' \cdot G^2) && \text{(by (16) and (19))} \\ &= n_1 n_2 E_{n_1 n_2} \cdot [4E_{m-1} + (m - 5)R] \cdot E_n \\ &\quad + (mE_{m-1} - R) \cdot (n - 1)E_n && \text{(by (17), (18) and (20))} \\ &= n_1 n_2 E_{n_1 n_2} \cdot [(4 + mn - m)E_{m-1} + (m - 4 - n)R] \cdot E_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $m = n + 4$ and $r = n_1 n_2 n(n + 3) = n_1 n_2 (m - 1)(m - 4)$,

$$WW' = rE_r$$

and W is an H -matrix. We have therefore proved the theorem:

THEOREM 3. *If n and $n + 4$ are both of the form $p^h + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ where p is a prime and if H -matrices of orders $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$ both exist, there exists an H -matrix of order $n_1 n_2 n(n + 3)$.*

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we have the corollary:

COROLLARY 1. *If n and $n + 4$ are both of the form $p^h + 1$ where p is an odd prime and if H -matrices of orders $n_1 > 1$ and $n_2 > 1$ both exist, there exists an H -matrix of order $n_1 n_2 n(n + 3)$.*

Since an H -matrix of order 2 exists we also have the corollary:

COROLLARY 2. *If n and $n + 4$ are both of the form $p^h + 1$, where p is an odd prime, there exists an H -matrix of order $4n(n + 3)$.*

Particular examples. That the above theorems do actually increase the values of n as orders of H -matrices which are known to exist is shown by the following examples.

By Theorem 1 an H -matrix of order (56)(59) exists. For $56 = 2(3^3 + 1)$ and 59 is prime. Further no one of (56)(59), (28)(59), (14)(59), 4(59) or 2(59) is of the form $p^h + 1$. Therefore (56)(59) is not a product of factors of types (a), (b) or (c). By Theorem 2 an H -matrix of order 4(73)(74) exists and by Theorem 3 an H -matrix of order 4(230)(233) exists. Neither of the numbers 4(73)(74) nor 4(230)(233) is a product of factors of types (a), (b), (c) and (d).

REFERENCES

1. Jacques Hadamard, *Résolution d'une question relative aux déterminants*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) vol. 17 (1893) pp. 240-246.
2. R. E. A. C. Paley, *On orthogonal matrices*, Journal of Mathematics and Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, vol. 12 (1933) pp. 311-320.
3. John Williamson, *Hadamard's determinant theorem and the sum of four squares*, Duke Math. J. vol. 11 (1944) pp. 65-81.

QUEENS COLLEGE