

## ON TOPOLOGIES FOR FUNCTION SPACES

RALPH H. FOX

Given topological spaces  $X$ ,  $T$ , and  $Y$  and a function  $h$  from  $X \times T$  to  $Y$  which is continuous in  $x$  for each fixed  $t$ , there is associated with  $h$  a function  $h^*$  from  $T$  to  $F = Y^X$ , the space whose elements are the continuous functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ . The function  $h^*$  is defined as follows:  $h^*(t) = h_t$ , where  $h_t(x) = h(x, t)$  for every  $x$  in  $X$ . The correspondence between  $h$  and  $h^*$  is obviously one-to-one.

Although the continuity of any particular  $h$  depends only on the given topological spaces  $X$ ,  $T$ , and  $Y$ , the topology of the function space  $F$  is involved in the continuity of  $h^*$ . It would be desirable to so topologize  $F$  that the functions  $h^*$  which are continuous are precisely those which correspond to continuous functions  $h$ . It has been known for a long time that this is possible if  $X$  satisfies certain conditions, chief among which is the condition of *local compactness* (Theorem 1). This condition is often felt to be too restrictive (since it practically excludes the possibility of  $X$  itself being a function space), and several years ago, in a letter, Hurewicz proposed to me the problem of defining such a topology for  $F$  when  $X$  is not locally compact. At that time I showed by an example (essentially Theorem 3) that this is not generally possible. Recently I discovered that, by restricting the range of  $T$  in a very reasonable way, one of the standard topologies for  $F$  has the desired property even for spaces  $X$  which are not locally compact (Theorem 2). In this last result the condition of local compactness is replaced by the first countability axiom and this appeals to me as a less troublesome condition.

It should be pointed out that the problem is motivated by the special case in which  $T$  is the unit interval. When  $T$  is the unit interval,  $h$  is a homotopy and  $h^*$  is a path in the function space; in the topology of deformations, equivalence of the concepts of "homotopy" and of "function-space path" is usually required.

Among the various possible topologies for  $F$  there is one, which I shall call the compact-open<sup>1</sup> (co.o.) topology, which seems to be the most natural. For any two sets,  $A$  in  $X$  and  $W$  in  $Y$ , let  $M(A, W)$  denote the set of mappings  $f \in F$  for which  $f(A) \subset W$ . The co.o. topology is defined by selecting as a sub-basis for the open sets of  $F$  the

---

Presented to the Society, November 25, 1944; received by the editors January 2, 1945.

<sup>1</sup> Terminology followed in this note is generally that of Lefschetz, *Algebraic topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 27, New York, 1942.

sets  $M(A, W)$  where  $A$  ranges over the compact subsets of  $X$  and  $W$  ranges over the open subsets of  $Y$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $X$  is regular and locally compact,  $Y$  an arbitrary topological space, and if  $F$  has the co.o. topology, then continuity of  $h$  is equivalent to continuity of  $h^*$  for any topological space  $T$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $X$  is a space which satisfies the first countability axiom,  $Y$  an arbitrary topological space, and if  $F$  has the co.o. topology, then continuity of  $h$  is equivalent to continuity of  $h^*$  for any  $T$  which satisfies the first countability axiom.*

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $X$  is separable metrizable and  $Y$  is the real line, then in order that it be possible to so topologize  $F$  that continuity of  $h$  and of  $h^*$  are equivalent, it is necessary and sufficient that  $X$  be locally compact.*

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $F$  has the co.o. topology, then continuity of  $h$  implies continuity of  $h^*$  under no restrictions on the topological spaces  $X$ ,  $T$ , and  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $W$  be an open set in  $Y$  and  $A$  a compact set in  $X$  and let  $t_0$  be a point in  $h^{*-1}(M(A, W))$ . Then  $A \times t_0 \subset h^{-1}(W)$ . Since  $h^{-1}(W)$  is open it is the union of open sets  $U_\alpha \times V_\alpha$ . Since  $A$  is compact,  $A \times t_0$  is contained in a finite union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \times V_i$  with each  $V_i$  a neighborhood of  $t_0$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$  is an open neighborhood of  $t_0$  and is contained in  $h^{*-1}(M(A, W))$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** In view of the lemma it is sufficient to prove that continuity of  $h^*$  implies continuity of  $h$ . Let  $W$  be an open set in  $Y$  and let  $(x_0, t_0)$  be a point in  $h^{-1}(W)$ . Since  $h^*(t_0)$  is continuous in  $x$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $h^*(t_0) \in M(U, W)$ . Because of the conditions on  $X$  there is an open neighborhood  $R$  of  $x_0$  such that  $\bar{R}$  is compact and contained in  $U$ . Since  $M(\bar{R}, W)$  is open and contains  $h^*(t_0)$  there is an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $t_0$  such that  $h^*(V) \subset M(\bar{R}, W) \subset M(R, W)$ . Thus  $R \times V$  is an open neighborhood of  $(x_0, t_0)$  which is contained in  $h^{-1}(W)$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** As before we have to prove that continuity of  $h^*$  implies continuity of  $h$ . Let  $W$  be an open set in  $Y$  and suppose that  $h^{-1}(W)$  is not open. Then there is a point  $(x_0, t_0)$  in  $h^{-1}(W)$  which is also in the closure of the complement of  $h^{-1}(W)$ . Let  $\{G_n\}$  be a base for the open sets of  $X \times T$  at the point  $(x_0, t_0)$  and choose, for each integer  $n$ , a point  $(x_n, t_n)$  in the intersection of  $\bigcap_{i \leq n} G_i$  and the complement of  $h^{-1}(W)$ . Since  $h^*(t_0)$  is continuous in  $x$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that  $h^*(t_0) \in M(U, W)$ . Let

$A = U \cap \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ . Since  $A$  is compact,  $M(A, W)$  is open and since  $h^*$  is continuous and  $t_0 \in h^{*-1}(M(A, W))$ , there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $t_0$  such that  $h^*(V) \subset M(A, W)$ . There is an integer  $N$  such that  $x_n \in U$  and  $t_n \in V$  whenever  $n > N$ . Hence  $h(x_n, t_n) \in W$  for every  $n$  greater than  $N$ . This contradiction with the choice of the points  $(x_n, t_n)$  proves that  $h^{-1}(W)$  is open. Thus  $h$  is continuous.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $X$  be a separable metrizable space, let  $Y$  be the real line, and suppose that the topology of  $F$  is such that continuity of  $h$  for  $T = [0, 1]$  implies the continuity of  $h^*$ . Let  $W = (a, b)$  be a finite open interval in  $Y$  and let  $A$  be a closed subset of  $X$  which is not compact. Then the set  $M(A, W)$  has no interior points.*

**PROOF.** Since  $A$  is not compact there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $A$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  is closed in  $X$ . Given any element  $h^*(0)$  of the set  $M(A, W)$  let us define

$$h_t(x_n) = \min \{1 + b, h_0(x_n) + nt\}.$$

Since the function  $h$  is defined over the closed set  $X \times [0] \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n) \times [0, 1]$  it may be extended continuously over the normal space  $X \times [0, 1]$ . If  $t > 0$  there is an integer  $n$  such that  $a + nt > 1 + b$ ; hence  $h^*(t)$  is in the complement of  $M(A, W)$  for every positive  $t$ . By hypothesis the topology of  $F$  is such that  $h^*$  is continuous. Hence  $h^*(0)$  belongs to the closure of the complement of  $M(A, W)$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *If the topology for  $F$  is such that continuity of  $h^*$  always implies continuity of  $h$  then, given a point  $x_0$  in  $X$ , an open set  $W$  in  $Y$ , and an element  $f_0$  in  $M(x_0, W)$ , there is a neighborhood  $R$  of  $x_0$  such that  $M(R, W)$  is a neighborhood of  $f_0$  in  $F$ .*

**PROOF.** Define  $\phi(x, f) = f(x)$  for every  $(x, f) \in X \times F$ . Since  $\phi^*(f) = f$ ,  $\phi^*$  is continuous and hence  $\phi$  is also continuous. Since  $\phi^{-1}(W)$  is therefore open there must be a neighborhood  $R$  of  $x_0$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $f_0$  such that  $\phi(R, V) \subset W$ . Thus  $f_0 \in V \subset M(R, W)$  and hence  $M(R, W)$  is a neighborhood of  $f_0$  in  $F$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.** Let  $W$  be the finite open interval  $(a, b)$  and suppose that the topology of  $F$  is such that continuity of  $h$  and of  $h^*$  are equivalent for every  $T$ . From Lemma 3 it follows that, given any point  $x_0$  in  $X$  and any element  $f_0$  in  $M(x_0, W)$ , there is a neighborhood  $R$  of  $x_0$  such that  $M(R, W)$  is a neighborhood of  $f_0$  in  $F$ . Since  $X$  is regular there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  whose closure is contained in  $R$ , so that  $M(\bar{U}, W)$  is also a neighborhood of  $f_0$ . Since  $f_0$  is an interior point of  $M(\bar{U}, W)$  it follows from Lemma 2 that  $\bar{U}$  is com-

fact. Thus  $X$  must be locally compact. This proves the necessity of the condition; sufficiency is a consequence of Theorem 1.

*COROLLARY. If  $Y$  is the real line and  $X$  is separable metrizable but not locally compact, then  $F$  does not satisfy the first countability axiom in the co.o. topology.*

*PROOF.* Let  $W$  be the finite open interval  $(a, b)$ . If  $F$  satisfied the first countability axiom then Theorem 2 would apply to yield the continuity of the function  $\phi$  defined above. If  $x_0$  is a point at which  $X$  is not locally compact and  $f_0$  any element in  $M(x_0, W)$ , then it follows from the proof of Lemma 3 that there is a neighborhood  $R$  of  $x_0$  such that  $M(R, W)$  is a neighborhood of  $f_0$  in  $F$ . Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x_0$  whose closure is contained in  $R$ , so that  $f_0$  is an interior point of  $M(\bar{U}, W)$ . Since  $\bar{U}$  is not compact this is not in agreement with Lemmas 1 and 2. This contradiction shows that  $F$  does not satisfy the first countability axiom in the co.o. topology.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY