

BESSEL FUNCTIONS AND THE INFINITE DIVISIBILITY OF THE STUDENT t -DISTRIBUTION¹

BY MOURAD E. H. ISMAIL

McMaster University

Using the representation theorem and inversion formula for Stieltjes transforms, we give a simple proof of the infinite divisibility of the student t -distribution for all degrees of freedom by showing that $x^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_\nu(x^{\frac{1}{2}})/K_{\nu+1}(x^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is completely monotonic for $\nu \geq -1$. Our approach proves the stronger and new result, that $x^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_\nu(x^{\frac{1}{2}})/K_{\nu+1}(x^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is a completely monotonic function of x for all real ν . We also derive a new integral representation.

1. Introduction. A function $f(x)$ is completely monotonic if and only if $(-1)^n(d^n f(x)/dx^n) \geq 0$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$. It is clear that the Laplace transform of an L_1 function is completely monotonic. We follow Watson's [8] notations for the Bessel functions.

Ismail and Kelker [6] proved that the infinite divisibility of the student t -distribution of $2\nu + 2$ degrees of freedom is equivalent to the complete monotonicity of $f_\nu(x)$,

$$(1.1) \quad f_\nu(x) \equiv x^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_\nu(x^{\frac{1}{2}})/K_{\nu+1}(x^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

They obtained partial results and conjectured that $f_\nu(x)$ is completely monotonic for $\nu \geq -1$. Grosswald [3] established this conjecture by proving that

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L} \left[\frac{2t^{-1}}{\pi^2} \{J_{\nu+1}^2(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + Y_{\nu+1}^2(t^{\frac{1}{2}})\} \right] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[f_\nu(t)],$$

\mathcal{L} , \mathcal{L}^{-1} being the Laplace transform and its inverse respectively. The observation

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-xv} \int_0^\infty e^{-ut} f(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(t)}{x+t} dt$$

means that a two fold Laplace transform is a Stieltjes transform and that Grosswald's result (1.2) is nothing but

$$(1.3) \quad x^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_\nu(x^{\frac{1}{2}})/K_{\nu+1}(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-1}}{x+t} \{J_{\nu+1}^2(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + Y_{\nu+1}^2(t^{\frac{1}{2}})\}^{-1} dt,$$

$$x > 0, \quad \nu \geq -1,$$

which obviously implies that both $f_\nu(x)$ and its inverse Laplace transforms are completely monotonic functions of x for $\nu \geq -1$. This note contains a very

Received August 24, 1976; revised September 28, 1976.

¹ Part of this work was done at the University of Toronto and supported by NRC grant # A 4048. AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 33A45; Secondary 60E05.

Key words and phrases. Student t -distribution, Bessel functions, completely monotonic functions, Stieltjes transform.

simple proof of (1.3) based on the representation and inversion theory of the Stieltjes transform. As a matter of fact our proof shows that (1.3) holds for complex x , that is

$$(1.4) \quad z^{-\frac{1}{2}}K_\nu(z^{\frac{1}{2}})/K_{\nu+1}(z^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-1}}{z+t} \{J_{\nu+1}^2(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + Y_{\nu+1}^2(t^{\frac{1}{2}})\}^{-1} dt, \\ |\arg z| < \pi, \quad \nu \geq -1.$$

Furthermore we shall establish the following natural companion to (1.4)

$$(1.5) \quad z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{K_{\nu+1}(z^{\frac{1}{2}})}{K_\nu(z^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \frac{2\nu}{z} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-1}}{z+t} \{J_\nu^2(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) + Y_\nu^2(t^{\frac{1}{2}})\}^{-1} dt, \\ |\arg z| < \pi, \quad \nu \geq 0.$$

The relationship (1.5) is a natural companion to (1.4) because (1.5), owing to the fact $K_\nu(z) = K_{-\nu}(z)$, is really (1.4) for $\nu < -1$. It is plain that (1.4) and (1.5) establish the complete monotonicity of $f_\nu(x)$ for all real ν .

2. Proofs of (1.4) and (1.5). Our proof of (1.3) is based on the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *A function $F(z)$ has the representation*

$$(2.1) \quad F(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(t)}{z+t}, \quad \int_0^\infty |d\mu| < \infty$$

if and only if

- (i) $F(z)$ is analytic for $|\arg z| < \pi$,
- (ii) $F(z) = o(1)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ and $F(z) = o(|z|^{-1})$ as $|z| \rightarrow 0$,

uniformly in every sector $|\arg z| \leq \pi - \epsilon, \epsilon > 0$.

PROOF. See Hirschman and Widder ([4], pages 235 and 238).

LEMMA 2.2. *If $F(z)$ has the representation (2.1) and $\mu(t)$ is normalized by $\mu(0) = 0$ and $\mu(t) = \frac{1}{2}\{\mu(t+) + \mu(t-)\}$ then*

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(t_2) - \mu(t_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \{F(-t - i\eta) - F(-t + i\eta)\} dt.$$

PROOF. See Stone [7].

We now prove (1.4) and (1.5).

PROOF OF (1.4). Condition (i) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied since $K_\mu(z^{\frac{1}{2}})$ has no zeros in $|\arg z| < \pi$, Watson ([8], page 511) and $K_{-\mu}(z) = K_\mu(z)$, (here $F(z) = f_\nu(z)$). As $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, from Erdélyi ([1], page 23) $K_\mu(z) \sim (\pi/2z)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-z}$, $|\arg z| < 3\pi/2$. Hence $f_\nu(z) = o(1)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ for $|\arg z| \leq \pi - \epsilon$. As $|z| \rightarrow 0$, $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z^\mu K_\mu(z)$ exists and is not zero, for $\mu > 0$, as can be seen from (13) page 5 and (37) page 9 in Erdélyi et al. [1]. Furthermore $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (K_0(z)/\log z)$ exists and is not zero. All these limits are uniform for $|\arg z| \leq \pi/2 - \epsilon/2$. Therefore $zf_\nu(z) = o(1), \nu \geq 0$,

as $|z| \rightarrow 0$ and is uniform for $|\arg z| \leq \pi - \varepsilon$. Consider next the range $-1 \leq \nu < 0$, and use $K_{-\nu}(x) = K_{\nu}(x)$ to obtain $zf_{\nu}(z) = o(1)$ in a similar way. Thus Lemma 2.1 implies that $f_{\nu}(z)$ is a Stieltjes transform. We now use Lemma 2.2 to invert it. Clearly for $t > \eta > 0$, $-t + i\eta = (t - i\eta)e^{i\pi}$ while $-t - i\eta = (t + i\eta)e^{-i\pi}$. This implies

$$(2.3) \quad K_{\mu}(-t + i\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} = K_{\mu}(e^{i\pi/2}(t - i\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{-i\pi}{2} e^{-i\mu\pi/2} H_{\mu}^{(2)}(t - i\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad K_{\mu}(-t - i\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} = K_{\mu}(e^{-i\pi/2}(t + i\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{i\pi}{2} e^{i\mu\pi/2} H_{\mu}^{(1)}(t + i\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

by (16) page 6 of Eredélyi et al. [1]. Therefore by (2.2) $\mu(t)$ is absolutely continuous and

$$(2.5) \quad \mu'(t) = \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i} \left\{ \frac{H_{\nu}^{(1)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})H_{\nu+1}^{(2)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) - H_{\nu}^{(2)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})H_{\nu+1}^{(1)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})}{H_{\nu+1}^{(1)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})H_{\nu+1}^{(2)}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right\}.$$

The Hankel functions $H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z)$ and $H_{\nu}^{(2)}(z)$ are related to the Bessel functions $J_{\nu}(z)$ and $Y_{\nu}(z)$, by Eredélyi et al. ([1], page 4).

$$(2.6) \quad H_{\nu}^{(1)}(z) = J_{\nu}(z) + iY_{\nu}(z)$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad H_{\nu}^{(2)}(z) = J_{\nu}(z) - iY_{\nu}(z).$$

New (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'(t^2) &= \frac{t^{-1}}{\pi} \{J_{\nu+1}(t)Y_{\nu}(t) - Y_{\nu+1}(t)J_{\nu}(t)\} \{J_{\nu+1}^2(t) + Y_{\nu+1}^2(t)\}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{2t^{-2}}{\pi^2} \{J_{\nu+1}^2(t) + Y_{\nu+1}^2(t)\}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by (35) page 80 of [1]. This completes the proof.

Formula (1.5) can be proved by showing that $z^{-\frac{1}{2}}(K_{\nu+1}(z^{\frac{1}{2}})/K_{\nu}(z^{\frac{1}{2}})) - 2\nu/z$ is a Stieltjes transform, then invert it. The proof is similar to that of (1.4) and is omitted.

3. Remarks. Using special properties of the Bessel polynomials Grosswald [2] established the complete monotonicity of $f_{\nu}(x)$, for $\nu = -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$, by expressing its inverse Stieltjes transform in terms of its poles. In [3] he compared (1.2) with his earlier result in [2] and obtained

$$(3.1) \quad J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2(x) + Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} x^{-(2n+1)} \prod_{j=1}^n (x^2 + \alpha_j^2), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are the zeros of $K_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(z)$. We now show that (3.1) is an immediate consequence of (2.3), (2.4), (2.6), and (2.7). Clearly

$$(3.2) \quad J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2(x) + Y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2(x) = H_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(x)H_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} K_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(e^{i\pi/2}x)K_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-i\pi/2}x).$$

The function $x^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-x}K_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n in x^{-1} , see (40) page 10 of [1]. Therefore

$$(3.3) \quad K_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (x)^{-n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x} \prod_{j=1}^n (x - \alpha_j).$$

It is clear now that (3.2) and (3.3) imply (3.1).

Added in proof. In a forthcoming paper entitled *Special functions, Stieltjes transform and infinite divisibility*, Ismail and Kelker used the methods of the present paper, i.e., Stieltjes transform and special function methods, to establish the infinite divisibility of an F distribution for any degree of freedom, including fractional degrees of freedom. This solves a problem of F. W. Steutel. Further results on monotonicity of quotients of Bessel functions have also been obtained in the abovementioned paper of Ismail and Kelker, and in [5].

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Professor P. G. Rooney for his interest in this work and for several helpful discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] ERDÉLYI, A., MAGNUS, W., OBERHETTINGER, F. and TRICOMI, F. G. (1953). *Higher Transcendental Functions 1*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [2] GROSSWALD, E. (1976). The Student t -distribution of an odd degree of freedom is infinitely divisible. *Ann. Probability* **4** 680-683.
- [3] GROSSWALD, E. (1976). The Student t -distribution of any degrees of freedom is infinitely divisible. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **36** 103-109.
- [4] HIRSCHMAN, I. I. and WIDDER, D. V. (1955). *The Convolution Transform*. Princeton Univ. Press.
- [5] ISMAIL, M. E. H. (1977). Integral representations and complete monotonicity of various quotients of Bessel functions. *Canad. J. Math.* **29**. To appear.
- [6] ISMAIL, M. E. H. and KELKER, D. H. (1976). The Bessel polynomials and the student t -distribution. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **7** 82-91.
- [7] STONE, M. H. (1932). Linear transformations in Hilbert space and their applications to analysis. *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.* **15** New York.
- [8] WATSON, G. N. (1944). *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd. ed. Cambridge Univ. Press.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
 MCMASTER UNIVERSITY
 HAMILTON, ONTARIO
 CANADA